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Abstract. A representation of the Jacobi algebra by first order differential operators with polynomial coefficients on a Kähler manifold which as set is the product of the complex multidimensional plane times the Siegel ball is presented.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we construct a holomorphic polynomial first order differential representation of the Lie algebra which is the semidirect sum $\mathfrak{h}_{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, on the manifold $\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathcal{D}_{n}$, different from the extended metaplectic representation [6]. The case $n=1$ corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{1} \rtimes \mathfrak{s u}(1,1)$ was considered in [3]. The natural framework of such an approach is furnished by the so called coherent state (CS)-groups, and the semi-direct product of the Heisenberg-Weyl group with the symplectic group is an important example of a mixed group of this type [11]. We use Perelomov's coherent state aproach [12]. Previous results concern the hermitian symmetric spaces [2] and semisimple Lie groups which admit CS-orbits [4]. The case of the symplectic group was previously investigated in [1], [6], [5], [10], [12]. Due to lack of space we do not give here the proofs, but in general the technique is the same as in [3], where also more references are given. More details and the connection of the present results with the squeezed states [13] will be discussed elsewhere.

## 2. The Differential Action of the Jacobi Algebra

The Heisenberg-Weyl (HW) group is the nilpotent group with the $2 n+1$-dimensional real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{n}=<\mathrm{i} s 1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i} a_{i}^{+}-\bar{x}_{i} a_{i}\right)>_{s \in \mathbb{R}, x_{i} \in \mathbb{C}}$, where $a_{i}^{+}\left(a_{i}\right)$ are the boson creation (respectively, annihilation) operators.

Table 1: The generators of the symplectic group: operators, matrices, and bifermion operators

| $\boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}$ | $K_{i j}^{+}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & e_{i j}+e_{j i} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{+} a_{j}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{-}$ | $K_{i j}^{-}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ e_{i j}+e_{j i} & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2} a_{i} a_{j}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{0}$ | $K_{i j}^{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}e_{i j} & 0 \\ 0 & -e_{j i}\end{array}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{4}\left(a_{i}^{+} a_{j}+a_{j} a_{i}^{+}\right)$ |

We consider the realization of the Lie algebra of the group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ [1], [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{R})=\left\langle\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i j} K_{i j}^{0}+b_{i j} K_{i j}^{+}-\bar{b}_{i j} K_{i j}^{-}\right)\right\rangle, \quad a^{*}=-a, \quad b^{t}=b \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the notation: $\boldsymbol{X}:=\mathrm{d} \pi(X)$, we have the correspondence: $X \in \mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ $\boldsymbol{X}$, where the real symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ is realized as $\mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{C}) \cap$ $\mathfrak{u}(n, n)$

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b  \tag{2}\\
\bar{b} & \bar{a}
\end{array}\right) \leftrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{X}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(2 a_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{0}+z_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}-\bar{z}_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{-}\right), b=\mathrm{i} z
$$

The Jacobi algebra is the the semi-direct sum $\mathfrak{g}^{J}:=\mathfrak{h}_{n} \rtimes \mathfrak{s p}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{n}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{g}^{J}$, i.e. $\left[\mathfrak{h}_{n}, \mathfrak{g}^{J}\right]=\mathfrak{h}_{n}$, determined by the commutation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[a_{i}, a_{j}^{+}\right] } & =\delta_{i j}, \quad\left[a_{i}, a_{j}\right]=\left[a_{i}^{+}, a_{j}^{+}\right]=0  \tag{3a}\\
{\left[K_{i j}^{-}, K_{k l}^{-}\right] } & =\left[K_{i j}^{+}, K_{k l}^{+}\right]=0, \quad 2\left[K_{j i}^{0}, K_{k l}^{0}\right]=K_{j l}^{0} \delta_{k i}-K_{k i}^{0} \delta_{l j}  \tag{3b}\\
2\left[K_{i j}^{-}, K_{k l}^{+}\right] & =K_{k j}^{0} \delta_{l i}+K_{l j}^{0} \delta_{k i}+K_{k i}^{0} \delta_{l j}+K_{l i}^{0} \delta_{k j}  \tag{3c}\\
2\left[K_{i j}^{-}, K_{k l}^{0}\right] & =K_{i l}^{-} \delta_{k j}+K_{j l}^{-} \delta_{k i}, \quad 2\left[K_{i j}^{+}, K_{k l}^{0}\right]=-K_{i k}^{+} \delta_{j l}-K_{j k}^{+} \delta_{l i}  \tag{3d}\\
2\left[a_{i}, K_{k j}^{+}\right] & =\delta_{i k} a_{j}^{+}+\delta_{i j} a_{k}^{+}, \quad 2\left[K_{k j}^{-}, a_{i}^{+}\right]=\delta_{i k} a_{j}+\delta_{i j} a_{k}  \tag{3e}\\
2\left[K_{i j}^{0}, a_{k}^{+}\right] & =\delta_{j k} a_{i}^{+}, \quad 2\left[a_{k}, K_{i j}^{0}\right]=\delta_{i k} a_{j}, \quad\left[a_{k}^{+}, K_{i j}^{+}\right]=\left[a_{k}, K_{i j}^{-}\right]=0 \tag{3f}
\end{align*}
$$

Perelomov's coherent state vectors associated to the group $G^{J}$ with Lie algebra the Jacobi algebra, based on the complex $N$-dimensional manifold, $N=\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\mathrm{HW} / \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{U}(n) ; M=\mathcal{D}:=\mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathcal{D}_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{z, W}=\exp (\boldsymbol{X}) e_{0}, \boldsymbol{X}:=\sum_{i} z_{i} a_{i}^{+}+\sum_{i j} w_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}, z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \quad W \in \mathcal{D}_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The non-compact hermitian symmetric space $X_{n}=\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{U}(n)$ admits a realization as a bounded homogeneous domain, precisely the Siegel ball [7], [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{n}:=\left\{W \in M(2 n, \mathbb{C}) ; W=W^{t}, 1-W \bar{W}>0\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extremal weight vector $e_{0}$ verify the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i} e_{o}=0, \quad i=1, \cdots, n  \tag{7a}\\
& \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+} e_{0} \neq 0 ; \quad \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{-} e_{0}=0 ; \quad \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{0} e_{0}=\frac{k}{4} \delta_{i j} e_{0} . \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 1. The differential action of the generators of the Jacobi algebra is

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{a} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \boldsymbol{a}^{+}=z+W \frac{\partial}{\partial z}  \tag{8a}\\
\mathbb{K}^{-} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial W}, \quad \mathbb{K}^{0}=\frac{k}{4} 1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes z+\frac{\partial}{\partial W} W  \tag{8b}\\
\mathbb{K}^{+} & =\frac{k}{2} W+\frac{1}{2} z \otimes z+\frac{1}{2}\left(W \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \otimes z+z \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z} W\right)+W \frac{\partial}{\partial W} W \tag{8c}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: The calculation is an application of the formula $\operatorname{Ad}(\exp X)=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad}_{X}\right)$. We have used the convention: $\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial W} W\right) f(W)\right]_{k l}:=\frac{\partial f(W)}{\partial w_{k i}} w_{i l}, W=\left(w_{i j}\right)$.

## 3. The Group Action

The displacement operator, i.e., $D(\alpha):=\exp \left(\alpha a^{+}-\bar{\alpha} a\right)$, has the addition property

$$
D\left(\alpha_{2}\right) D\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{h}\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right)} D\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{1}\right), \quad \theta_{h}\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}\right):=\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{2} \overline{\alpha_{1}}\right)
$$

Concerning the real symplectic group, we extract from [1], [6]
Remark 2. To every $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R}), g \rightarrow g_{c} \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathrm{U}(n, n)$, or denoted just by $g, g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a}\end{array}\right)$, where $a a^{*}-b b^{*}=1, a b^{t}=b a^{t}, a^{*} a-b^{t} \bar{b}=1, a^{t} \bar{b}=$ $b^{*} a$.

We consider a particular case of the positive discrete series representation [9] of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ and let us denote $\underline{S}(Z)=S(W)$. The vacuum is chosen such that equation (7b) is satisfied. Here

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{S}(Z) & =\exp \left(\sum z_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}-\bar{z}_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{-}\right), \quad Z=\left(z_{i j}\right)  \tag{9a}\\
S(W) & =\exp \left(W \boldsymbol{K}^{+}\right) \exp \left(\eta \boldsymbol{K}^{0}\right) \exp \left(-\bar{W} K^{-}\right)  \tag{9b}\\
W & =Z \tanh \frac{\sqrt{Z^{*} Z}}{\sqrt{Z^{*} Z}}  \tag{9c}\\
Z & =\frac{\operatorname{arctanh} \sqrt{W W^{*}}}{\sqrt{W W^{*}}} W=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{W W^{*}}} \log \frac{1+\sqrt{W W^{*}}}{1-\sqrt{W W^{*}}}  \tag{9d}\\
\eta & =\log \left(1-W W^{*}\right)=-2 \log \cosh \sqrt{Z Z^{*}} \tag{9e}
\end{align*}
$$

Perelomov's un-normalized CS-vectors for $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ are

$$
e_{Z}:=\exp \left(\sum z_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}\right) e_{0}=\pi\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathrm{i} Z  \tag{10}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) e_{0}, \quad Z=\left(z_{i j}\right), \quad Z=Z^{t}
$$

Remark 3. For $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, the following relations between the normalized and un-normalized Perelomov's CS-vectors hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{S}(Z) e_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(1-W W^{*}\right)^{k / 4} e_{W}  \tag{11}\\
e_{g}:=\pi(g) e_{0}=(\operatorname{det} \bar{a})^{-k / 2} e_{Z}=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det} a}{\operatorname{det} \bar{a}}\right)^{\frac{k}{4}} \underline{S}(Z) e_{0}, Z=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} b \bar{a}^{-1}  \tag{12}\\
S(g) e_{W / \mathrm{i}}=\operatorname{det}\left(W b^{*}+a^{*}\right)^{-k / 2} e_{Y / \mathrm{i}} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $W \in \mathcal{D}_{n}$, and $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ in (12) are related by equations (9c), (9d), and the linear-fractional action of the group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ on the unit ball $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ in $(13)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y:=g \cdot W=(a W+b)(\bar{b} W+\bar{a})^{-1}=\left(W b^{*}+a^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(b^{t}+W a^{t}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the notation $\tilde{A}:=\binom{A}{\bar{A}}$ and

$$
\mathcal{D}(Z)=\mathrm{e}^{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh \sqrt{Z \bar{Z}} & \frac{\sinh \sqrt{Z \bar{Z}}}{\sqrt{Z \bar{Z}}}  \tag{15}\\
\frac{\sinh \sqrt{\bar{Z} Z}}{\sqrt{\bar{Z} Z}} \bar{Z} Z & \cosh \sqrt{\bar{Z} Z}
\end{array}\right), \quad X:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & Z \\
\bar{Z} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 4. The following (Holstein-Primakoff-Bogoliubov) equation is true: $\underline{S}^{-1}(Z) \tilde{a} \underline{S}(Z)=\mathcal{D}(Z) \tilde{a}$.

Remark 5. If $D$ is the displacement operator and $\underline{S}(Z)$ is defined by (9a), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\alpha) \underline{S}(Z)=\underline{S}(Z) D(\beta), \quad \tilde{\beta}=\mathcal{D}(-Z) \tilde{\alpha}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}=\mathcal{D}(Z) \tilde{\beta} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(g)=\underline{S}(Z, A):=\exp \left(\sum 2 a_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{0}+z_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{+}-\bar{z}_{i j} \boldsymbol{K}_{i j}^{-}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6. If $S$ denotes the representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, in the matrix realization of Table 1, we have $S^{-1}(g) \tilde{a} S(g)=g \cdot \tilde{a}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(g) D(\alpha) S^{-1}(g)=D\left(\alpha_{g}\right), \quad \alpha_{g}=a \alpha+b \bar{\alpha} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7. The normalized and un-normalized Perelomov's coherent state vectors

$$
\Psi_{\alpha, W}:=D(\alpha) S(W) e_{0}, \quad e_{z, W^{\prime}}:=\exp \left(z a^{+}+W^{\prime} \boldsymbol{K}^{+}\right) e_{0}
$$

are related by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\alpha, W}=\operatorname{det}(1-W \bar{W})^{k / 4} \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} z\right) e_{z, W}, \quad z=\alpha-W \bar{\alpha} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comment 8. Starting from (19), we obtain the expression of the reproducing kernel $K=K(\bar{x}, \bar{V} ; y, W)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(e_{x, V}, e_{y, W}\right) & =\operatorname{det}(U)^{k / 2} \exp \frac{1}{2}[2<x, U y>+\langle V \bar{y}, U y\rangle+\langle x, U W \bar{x}\rangle]  \tag{20}\\
U & =(1-W \bar{V})^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

From the following proposition we can see the holomorphic action of the Jacobi group $G^{J}:=\mathrm{HW} \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ on the manifold (4)

Proposition 9. Let us consider the action $S(g) D(\alpha) e_{z, W}$, where $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$, and the coherent state vector is defined in (5). Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S(g) D(\alpha) e_{z, W} & =\lambda e_{z_{1}, W_{1}}, \quad \lambda=\lambda(g, \alpha ; z, W)  \tag{21}\\
z_{1} & =\left(W b^{*}+a^{*}\right)^{-1}(z+\alpha-W \bar{\alpha})  \tag{22}\\
W_{1}=g \cdot W & =(a W+b)(\bar{b} W+\bar{a})^{-1}=\left(W b^{*}+a^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(b^{t}+W a^{t}\right)  \tag{23}\\
\lambda & =\operatorname{det}\left(W b^{*}+a^{*}\right)^{-k / 2} \exp \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{2} z-\frac{\bar{y}}{2} z_{1}\right) \exp \mathrm{i} \theta_{h}(\alpha, x)  \tag{24}\\
x & =(1-W \bar{W})^{-1}(z+W \bar{z}), \quad y=a(\alpha+x)+b(\bar{\alpha}+\bar{x}) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 10. The action of the Jacobi group $G^{J}$ on the manifold (4) is given by (21), (22). The composition law in $G^{J}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}, \alpha_{1}, t_{1}\right) \circ\left(g_{2}, \alpha_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} \circ g_{2}, g_{2}^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, t_{1}+t_{2}+\operatorname{Im}\left(g_{2}^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{1} \bar{\alpha}_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Proposition 9 is based on the previous assertions of this section.

## 4. The Scalar Product

Following the general prescription for CS-groups [4], we calculate the Kähler potential $f$ as the logarithm of the reproducing kernel $K$, and the Kähler twoform

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & -\frac{k}{2} \log \operatorname{det}(1-W \bar{W})+\bar{z}_{i}(1-W \bar{W})_{i j}^{-1} z_{j} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[z_{i}\left[\bar{W}(1-W \bar{W})^{-1}\right]_{i j} z_{j}+\bar{z}_{i}\left[(1-W \bar{W})^{-1} W\right]_{i j} \bar{z}_{j}\right]  \tag{27}\\
-\mathrm{i} \omega= & \frac{k}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[(1-W \bar{W})^{-1} \mathrm{~d} W \wedge(1-\bar{W} W)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \bar{W}\right] \\
& +\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{d} z^{t} \wedge(1-\bar{W} W)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}\right]  \tag{28}\\
& -\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{t}(1-W \bar{W})^{-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} W \bar{x}\right]+c c \\
& +\operatorname{tr}\left[\bar{x}^{t} \mathrm{~d} W(1-\bar{W} W)^{-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{W} x\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the technique of Chapter IV in [8] and the property extracted from the first reference [5] p. 398, we find out for the density of the volume form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\operatorname{det}(1-W \bar{W})^{-(n+2)} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we determine the scalar product. If $f_{\psi}(z):=\left(e_{\bar{z}}, \psi\right)$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\phi, \psi)=\Lambda \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} ; 1-W \bar{W}>0 ; W=W^{t}} \bar{f}_{\phi}(z, W) f_{\psi}(z, W) Q K^{-1} \mathrm{~d} z \mathrm{~d} W  \tag{30}\\
\mathrm{~d} z=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~d} \Re z_{i} \mathrm{~d} \operatorname{Im} z_{i}, \quad \mathrm{~d} W=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \mathrm{~d} \Re w_{i j} \mathrm{~d} \operatorname{Im} w_{i j} . \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

We take in (30) $\phi, \psi=1$, we change the variable $z=(1-W \bar{W})^{1 / 2} x$, we apply equations (A1), (A2) in Bargmann [1] and Theorem 2.3.1 p. 46 in [8]

$$
\int_{1-W \bar{W}>0, W=W^{t}} \operatorname{det}(1-W \bar{W})^{\lambda} \mathrm{d} W=J_{n}(\lambda)
$$

and we find for $\Lambda$ in (30) (below $p:=(k-3) / 2-n>-1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\pi^{-n} J_{n}^{-1}(p), J_{n}(p)=2^{n} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(2 p+2 i)}{\Gamma(2 p+n+i+1)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 11. Let us consider the Jacobi group $G^{J}$ with the composition rule (26), acting on the coherent state manifold (4) via (22)-(25). The manifold $M$ has the Kähler potential (27) and the $G^{J}$-invariant Kähler two-form $\omega$ given by (28). The Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{F}_{K}$ associated to the holomorphic kernel $K: M \times \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by (20) is endowed with the scalar product (30), where the normalization constant $\Lambda$ is given by (32) and the density of volume given by (29).

Proposition 12. Let $h=(g, \alpha) \in G^{J}$, and let us consider the representation $\pi(h)=S(g) D(\alpha), g \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R}), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, and making use of the notation $x=(z, W) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then the continuous unitary representation $\left(\pi_{K}, \mathcal{H}_{K}\right)$ attached to the positive definite holomorphic kernel $K$ defined by (20) is $\left(\pi_{K}(h) . f\right)(x)=$ $J\left(h^{-1}, x\right)^{-1} f\left(h^{-1} . x\right)$, where the cocycle $J\left(h^{-1}, x\right)^{-1}=\lambda\left(h^{-1}, x\right)$ with $\lambda$ defined by equations (21)-(25) and the function $f$ belongs to the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{H}_{K} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{K}$ endowed with the scalar product (30).

Comment 13. The value of $\Lambda$ given by (32) corresponds to the one given in (7.16) in [3], by taking above $n=1, k \rightarrow 4 k$. Note that $p$ defying the normalization constant $\Lambda$ in (32) for the Jacobi group is related with $q=\frac{k}{2}-n-1$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi, \psi)_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}}=\Lambda_{1} \int_{1-W \bar{W}>0 ; W=W^{t}} \bar{f}_{\phi}(W) f_{\psi}(W) \operatorname{det}(1-W \bar{W})^{q} \mathrm{~d} W \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

defining the normalization constant $\Lambda_{1}=J_{n}^{-1}(q)$ for the group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ by the relation $p=q-\frac{1}{2}$. It is well known [5], [8] that the admissible set for $k$ for the space of functions $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$ endowed with the scalar product (33) is the set $\Sigma=\{0,1, \cdots, n-1\} \cup((n-1), \infty)$. The integral (33) deals with a nonnegative scalar product if $k \geq n-1$, in which the domain of convergence $k \geq 2 n$ is included, and the separate points $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.
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