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#### Abstract

We pose a new problem of collinear central configurations in Newtonian $n$-body problem. It is known that the configuration of two bodies moving along the Newtonian force is always a collinear central configuration. Can we add new two bodies on the straight line of initial two bodies without changing the move of the initial two bodies and the configuration of the four bodies is central, too? We call it $2+2$ Moulton configuration.


We find three special solutions to this problem and find each mass of new two bodies is zero.
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## 1. Introduction

Euler found solutions of three-body problem on a line, collinear three problem [2], $(n, d)=(3,1)$, for the first time in history. In general solutions of $n$-body problem on a line, called a collinear n-body-problem, become collinear central configuration, that is, the ratios of the distances of the bodies from the center of mass are constants [5]. F. Moulton [5] proved that for a fixed mass vector $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ and a fixed ordering of the bodies along the line, there exists a unique collinear central configuration $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ with mass $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ (up to translation and scaling). The configuration is called a Moulton Configuration, which will be abbreviated as M.C.
Many papers about M.C. were published by many authors since then. For example, Albouy and Moeckel [1] consider the inverse problem: given a fixed collinear configuration $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ of $n$ bodies, the problems to find masses $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ which make $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ with $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ central. They proved that for $n \leq$ 6, each configuration $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ determines a one-parameter family of masses $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ which makes $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right)$ with $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right)$ central.

In this paper, we consider the following problem. We assume we are given a M.C. of two bodies, $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of configuration $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{A_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, such that $q_{A_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}$ with mass $\mathbf{m}_{A}=\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)$. We consider to add two bodies, $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of $\mathbf{q}_{B}=$ $\left(q_{B_{1}}, q_{B_{2}}\right)$ with $\mathbf{m}_{B}=\left(m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}\right)$, to $A_{1}, A_{2}$ on the same line so that
i) the positions $q_{A_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}, q_{B_{1}}, q_{B_{2}}$ are mutually distinct and their configuration is M.C. with masses $m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}, m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}$
ii) the motion of $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are kept invariant during the process.

We call it $2+2$-Moulton-Configuration for two bodies $\mathbf{q}_{A}=\left(q_{A_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}\right)$ with $\mathbf{m}_{A}=\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)$. More precisely, we denote by $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{4}$ the positions of $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{4}\right)$ their mass, respectively, and we define

Definition 1 (2+2-Moulton-Configuration) We call $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)$ with $\mathbf{m}=$ $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)$ " $2+2$ Moulton-Configuration" for two bodies, $\mathbf{q}_{A}$ with $\mathbf{m}_{A}$ when it satisfies the following conditions.
i) The configuration $\mathbf{q}$ with $\mathbf{m}$ is a Moulton Configuration without changing the initial configuration $\mathbf{q}_{A}$ with $\mathbf{m}_{A}$
ii) The center of mass of the systems $c$ and center of mass of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2} c_{A}$ are the same, and the motion of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ is invariant.

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem $2(2+2$ Moulton Configuration) i) There exist three $2+2$ Moulton Configurations for two bodies, $\mathbf{q}_{A}$ with $\mathbf{m}_{A}$ with order

- $q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}<q_{A_{2}}$
- $q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}<q_{B_{2}}$
- $q_{A_{1}}<q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}<q_{B_{2}}$
ii) The masses of added two bodies $m_{B_{1}}$ and $m_{B_{2}}$ are zero for each case.

We can also set the $k+l$ Moulton Configuration problem in the same manner. We will consider this problem in forthcoming papers.

We will prove Theorem 1 by showing $\mathbf{i}$ ), namely the positions of $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)$ first, and then by determining $m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}$ to obtain ii). We call the problem i) the position problem and ii) the mass problem.

Then this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we deduce the equations for the position problem and also the mass problem. In Section 3, we solve position problem each case. In Section 4, we show that the mass of the added bodies is zero where any cases and in last section we show an example of $2+2$ central configuration.

## 2. Equations for $2+2$ Moulton Configuration

### 2.1. Collinear Central Configuration

We consider the $d$-dimensional Newtonian $n$-body problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i} \ddot{\mathbf{q}}_{i}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m_{i} m_{j}\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}(t)-\mathbf{q}_{i}(t)\right)}{\left\|\mathbf{q}_{i}(t)-\mathbf{q}_{j}(t)\right\|^{3}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{i}(t)} U(\mathbf{q}(t)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(\mathbf{q})$ is the Newtonian potential function

$$
U(\mathbf{q})=\sum_{(i, j) i<j} \frac{m_{i} m_{j}}{\left\|\mathbf{q}_{i}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right\|}, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, n
$$

$m_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are masses of the bodies and $\mathbf{q}(t)=\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}(t), \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{n}(t)\right)$ $\in\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}, 1 \leq d \leq 3$ is their configuration. Here we except $\mathbf{q}_{i}(t)=\mathbf{q}_{j}(t)$ for some $i \neq j$.
If we consider a solution of the form $\mathbf{q}(t)=\tilde{\mathbf{c}}+\phi(t)(\mathbf{q}-\tilde{\mathbf{c}})$, we easily see $\mathbf{q}$ satisfies the equation (2) below, where $\phi(t)$ is a scalar-valued function, $\mathbf{q}=$ $\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{n}\right)$ is a constant vector, $\mathbf{c}$ is the center of mass of the system $\mathbf{c}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \mathbf{q}_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}=(\mathbf{c}, \ldots, \mathbf{c})$. Then we naturally obtain the following concept.

Definition 3 (Central Configuration [4] Section 2.1.3) We call $\mathbf{q}=\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\mathbf{q}_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{n}$ a central configuration with $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{n}$ if $\mathbf{q}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m_{j}\left(\mathbf{q}_{j}-\mathbf{q}_{i}\right)}{r_{i j}^{3}}+\lambda\left(\mathbf{q}_{i}-\mathbf{c}\right)=\mathbf{0}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, where $r_{i j}=\left\|\mathbf{q}_{i}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right\|$ is a distance of two bodies.
We easily see that the $\lambda$ automatically satisfies $\lambda=U(\mathbf{q}) /(2 I)$, where $I=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}\left\|\mathbf{q}_{i}-\mathbf{c}\right\|^{2} / 2$. We remark here $\lambda$ is positive.

Conversely for a central configuration $\mathbf{q}=\left(\mathbf{q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{n}\right)$ with mass $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}\right.$, $\ldots, m_{n}$ ), and for a real valued function $\phi(t)$ which satisfies $\ddot{\phi}=-\lambda \phi /|\phi|^{3}$, if we put $\mathbf{q}(t)=\tilde{\mathbf{c}}+\phi(t)(\mathbf{q}-\tilde{\mathbf{c}})$, then $\mathbf{q}(t)$ is a solution of the equation (1).

Now we consider $d=1$, which means that all bodies lie on a straight line, that is, collinear. We call it a collinear central configuration, or a Molton Configuration. Since the configuration of the bodies are collinear, the equation (2) is rewritten in the form

$$
A \cdot{ }^{t} \mathbf{m}+\lambda^{t}(\mathbf{q}-\tilde{\mathbf{c}})=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { for some } \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A$ is a skew-symmetric matrix defined by $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), a_{i j}=\left(q_{i}-q_{j}\right)^{-2}$ for $i<j$, and $a_{i i}=0, a_{j i}=-a_{i j}$. One can easily see $A$ is regular when $n$ is even. Then for even $n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{t} \mathbf{m}=-\lambda A^{-1} \cdot{ }^{t}(\mathbf{q}-\tilde{\mathbf{c}}) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4. It is known that any two-body problem is always reduced to a collinear central configuration.

### 2.2. Key Lemma

When we consider conditions for $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}$ to become $2+2$ Moulton Configuration for two bodies $\mathbf{q}_{A}$ with $\mathbf{m}_{A}$, we get naturally the following

Proposition 5. If we have a $2+2$ Moulton Configuration, then we have

$$
\left(m_{B_{1}} q_{B_{1}}+m_{B_{2}} q_{B_{2}}\right) /\left(m_{B_{1}}+m_{B_{2}}\right)=c_{A} .
$$

In what follows we take the origin of coordinates as the center of mass of the system $A_{1}, A_{2}$, that is, $c_{A}=0$, for simplicity. We can set the distance of $q_{A_{1}}$ and $q_{A_{2}}$ is unit by scaling. Then we set $\left(q_{A_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}\right)=(u-1, u), u \in(0,1)$. Since two bodies are always a collinear central configuration, or Moulton Configuration, then the equation (3) gives

$$
\binom{m_{A_{1}}}{m_{A_{2}}}=-\lambda\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{12}  \tag{4}\\
-a_{12} & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{q_{A_{1}}}{q_{A_{2}}}=-\lambda\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{u-1}{u}=\lambda\binom{u}{1-u}
$$

because $a_{12}=\left(q_{A_{1}}-q_{A_{2}}\right)^{-2}=1$.
Then we add new two bodies $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of configuration $\mathbf{q}_{B}=\left(q_{B_{1}}, q_{B_{2}}\right), q_{B_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}$ with $\mathbf{m}_{B}=\left(m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}\right)$. We denote by $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots q_{4}\right)$ the configuration of
$\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ and by $\mathbf{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{4}\right)$ their masses, respectively. When $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ is M.C., the equation (3) also gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1}=\left(\tilde{a}_{23} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{24} q_{3}+\tilde{a}_{34} q_{2}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4} \\
& m_{2}=\left(\tilde{a}_{14} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{13} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{34} q_{1}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4}  \tag{5}\\
& m_{3}=\left(\tilde{a}_{12} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{14} q_{2}+\tilde{a}_{24} q_{1}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4} \\
& m_{4}=\left(\tilde{a}_{13} q_{2}-\tilde{a}_{12} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{23} q_{1}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{4}$ is a Pfaffian of the matrix $A=\left(\tilde{a}_{i j}\right)$, i.e., $P_{4}=\tilde{a}_{12} \tilde{a}_{34}-\tilde{a}_{13} \tilde{a}_{24}+\tilde{a}_{14} \tilde{a}_{23}$, $A=\left(\tilde{a}_{i j}\right)$ is the coefficient matrix for $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)$, and $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a certain constant. It is easy to see $P_{4}>0$ because $\tilde{a}_{12}>\tilde{a}_{13}, \tilde{a}_{34}>\tilde{a}_{24}$ then $\tilde{a}_{12} \tilde{a}_{34}>\tilde{a}_{13} \tilde{a}_{24}$.
If $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ is also a $2+2$ Moulton Configuration for $A_{1}, A_{2}$, the masses corresponding to $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are the same as in equation (4). Then the point is that Definition 1 i) yields the following.

Lemma 6 (Key Lemma). The equation (4), i.e., $\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)=\lambda(u, 1-u)$ coincides with the corresponding equations in (5) above.

Using this relation we will solve the problems i) and ii). As a possibility we have the following cases:

Case 1: $q_{B_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}<q_{A_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}$ Case 2: $q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}<q_{A_{2}}$
Case 3: $q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}<q_{B_{2}}$ Case 4: $q_{A_{1}}<q_{B_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}<q_{A_{2}}$
Case 5: $q_{A_{1}}<q_{B_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}<q_{B_{2}}$ Case 6: $q_{A_{1}}<q_{A_{2}}<q_{B_{1}}<q_{B_{2}}$.

We easily obtain that $m_{B_{1}} q_{B_{1}}+m_{B_{2}} q_{B_{2}} \neq 0$ in Cases 1 and 6 because both $q_{B_{1}}$ and $q_{B_{2}}$ are negative in Case 1 and those are positive in Case 6. Then the Cases 1 and 6 give no solutions.

## 3. Position Problem

We prove Theorem 2 i) by determining the positions of added bodies $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ for every given $u \in(0,1)$ in Cases 2,3 and 5 . Then we show that Case 4 allows no solutions.

### 3.1. Case 2

We set $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=\left(q_{B_{1}}, q_{A_{1}}, q_{B_{2}}, q_{A_{2}}\right)$, in which $q_{1}<q_{2}<q_{3}<q_{4}$ with $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)=\left(m_{B_{1}}, m_{A_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)$ (See Fig. 1). Then we have ( $m_{2}, m_{4}$ )


Figure 1. Case 2.
$=\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)$. Since the motion of the system is determined by the solution of $\ddot{\phi}=-\lambda \phi /|\phi|^{3}$, Definition 1 (ii) gives $\lambda=\tilde{\lambda}$ in equation (5). Then we obtain from (4) and (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{P_{4}}\binom{\tilde{a}_{14} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{13} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{34} q_{1}}{\tilde{a}_{13} q_{2}-\tilde{a}_{12} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{23} q_{1}}=\binom{u}{1-u} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q_{2}=q_{A_{1}}, q_{4}=q_{A_{2}}$, we put $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=(u-s-1, u-1, u-t, u)$ such that $s>0, t \in(0,1)$ for every $u \in(0,1)$. Then $\tilde{a}_{12}=s^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{13}=(1+s-$ $t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{14}=(1+s)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{23}=(1-t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{24}=1, \tilde{a}_{34}=t^{-2}$ and (6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{s-u+1}{t^{2}}+\frac{u-t}{(s+1)^{2}}-\frac{u}{(s-t+1)^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{P_{4}}=u  \tag{7}\\
-\left(\frac{u-t}{s^{2}}+\frac{1-u}{(s-t+1)^{2}}+\frac{-s+u-1}{(t-1)^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{P_{4}}=1-u \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
P_{4}=\frac{1}{s^{2} t^{2}}+\frac{1}{(s+1)^{2}(t-1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(s-t+1)^{2}} .
$$

Then we see easily that (7), (8) are equivalent (9), (10) below respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(s+1)^{2}}{s^{2}}\left(s^{3}+(1-u) s^{2}-u\right)=\frac{t^{3}}{(t-1)^{2}}\left(t^{2}-(u+2) t+2 u+1\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{s^{3}}{(s+1)^{2}}\left(s^{2}+(3-u) s+3-2 u\right)=\frac{(1-t)^{3}}{t^{2}}\left(t^{2}+(1-u) t+1-u\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The positions of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are decided by the solutions $s$ and $t$ of (9), (10) for a given $u \in(0,1)$.

Lemma 7. For every $u \in(0,1)$ which there is a unique solution $(s, t)$ of simultaneous equation (9), (10).

Proof: Let us put the left hand side of $(9)$ as $f_{1}(s)$ and the right hand side as $g_{1}(t)$. Similarly, we set the left hand side of $(10)$ as $f_{2}(s)$ and the right hand as $g_{2}(t)$. (See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).


Figure 2. $(s, f(s))$-plane in Case 2.


Figure 3. $(t, g(t))$-plane in Case 2.

Firstly we see easily that $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ are strictly monotone increasing satisfying $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} f_{1}=-\infty, \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} f_{1}=\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{1}=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} g_{1}=+\infty$. We set $s_{0}$ such that $f_{1}\left(s_{0}\right)=0$. Then for every $t \in(0,1)$ there exists $s_{1}=s_{1}(t)$ such that $f_{1}\left(s_{1}(t)\right)=g_{1}(t)$. The function $s_{1}(t)$ satisfies $s_{1}(t)>s_{0}$ and is continuous, monotone increasing in $t \in(0,1)$.
Secondly, $f_{2}(s)$ is strictly monotone increasing for $s>0$, and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} f_{2}=0$, $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} f_{2}=+\infty$, while $g_{2}(t)$ is a strictly monotone decreasing function on $t \in(0,1)$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{2}=\infty, g_{2}(1)=0$. Therefore there is a unique $s_{2}=s_{2}(t)$ for every $t \in(0,1)$ such that $f_{2}\left(s_{2}(t)\right)=g_{2}(t)$, and then $s_{2}(t)$ is a continuous monotony decreasing function of $t$.
Notice the functions $s_{1}(t), s_{2}(t)$ satisfy that as $t \rightarrow 0, s_{1}(t) \rightarrow s_{0}>0$ and $s_{2}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$, and as $t \rightarrow 1_{-0}, s_{1}(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ and $s_{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists a unique $t=\bar{t} \in(0,1)$ such that $s_{1}(\bar{t})=s_{2}(\bar{t})$, which gives the solution $\left(s_{1}, \bar{t}\right)$ for (9), (10).

### 3.2. Case 3

We set $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=\left(q_{B_{1}}, q_{A_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}, q_{B_{2}}\right), q_{1}<q_{2}<q_{3}<q_{4}$ with $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)=\left(m_{B_{1}}, m_{A_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}, m_{B_{2}}\right)$ (See Fig. 4). Then similarly to the Case 2 we obtain from (4) and (5) the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{m_{2}}{m_{3}}=\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{P_{4}}\binom{\tilde{a}_{14} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{13} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{34} q_{1}}{\tilde{a}_{12} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{14} q_{2}+\tilde{a}_{24} q_{1}}=\lambda\binom{u}{1-u} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we also assume $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda$. We put $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=(u-s-1, u-1, u, u+t)$, such that $s, t>0$ for every $u \in(0,1)$. Then $\tilde{a}_{12}=s^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{13}=(1+s)^{-2}$, $\tilde{a}_{14}=(1+s+t)^{-2}, \quad \tilde{a}_{23}=1, \tilde{a}_{24}=(1+t)^{-2}$ and $\tilde{a}_{34}=t^{-2}$. Therefore we can


Figure 4. Case 3
rewrite the equation (11) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{(s+1)^{2}}{s^{2}}\left(s^{3}+(1-u) s^{2}-u\right)=\frac{t^{3}}{(t+1)^{2}}\left(t^{2}+(u+2) t+2 u+1\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{s^{3}}{(s+1)^{2}}\left(s^{2}+(3-u) s+3-2 u\right)=\frac{(t+1)^{2}}{t^{2}}\left(t^{3}+u t^{2}+u-1\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us put the left hand side of (12) as $f_{3}(s)$ and the right hand side as $g_{3}(t)$, similarly, we set the left hand side of (13) as $f_{4}(s)$ and the right hand side as $g_{4}(t)$. (See Fig. 5 and Fig. 6)


Figure 5. $(s, f(s))$-plane in Case 3.


Figure 6. $(t, g(t))$-plane in Case 3.

It is clear $f_{3}(s), f_{4}(s), g_{3}(t)$ and $g_{4}(t)$ are strictly monotone increasing functions. Moreover $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} f_{3}=-\infty, \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} f_{3}=+\infty, g_{3}(0)=0$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{3}=\infty$, while $f_{4}(0)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} f_{4}=+\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{4}=-\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} g_{4}=\infty$. Then we obtain a unique solution $s=s_{3}(t)>s_{0}$ such that $f_{3}\left(s_{3}(t)\right)=g_{3}(t)$ and for every $t>0$, and $s=s_{4}(t)$ such that $f_{4}\left(s_{4}(t)\right)=g_{4}(t)$ for every $t>t_{0}$, respectively, where the constants $s_{0}, t_{0}$ are given by $f_{3}\left(s_{0}\right)=0, g_{4}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, respectively.

Lemma 8. There exists uniquely $t=\bar{t}$ such that $s_{3}(\bar{t})=s_{4}(\bar{t})$.
Proof: It is easy to see that the graphs of $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ intersect once at a certain $s=s^{*}>0$ because $f_{3}(s)-f_{4}(s)$ is monotone increasing and $f_{3}(2)-f_{4}(2)=$ $(556-277 u) / 36>0$. We set $F=f_{3}\left(s^{*}\right)=f_{4}\left(s^{*}\right)$. Similarly, we see easily
that the graphs of $g_{3}(t)$ and $g_{4}(t)$ intersect at a unique point $t=t^{*}>0$ and $g_{4}(t)>g_{3}(t)$ for $t>t^{*}$.
Firstly we see that $s_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)>s_{4}\left(t_{0}\right)$ because $s_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)>s_{0}>0$, and $s_{4}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ since $g_{4}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ (see Fig. 5). Secondly we show that $s_{3}(t)<s_{4}(t)$ for large $t$. Take a sufficiently large $\check{t}$ such that $g_{4}(\check{t})>g_{3}(\check{t})>F$. Then $f_{3}\left(s_{4}(\check{t})\right)>f_{4}\left(s_{4}(\check{t})\right)$ because $s_{4}(\check{t})>s^{*}$. On the other hand $f_{4}\left(s_{4}(\check{t})\right)>f_{3}\left(s_{3}(\check{t})\right)$ because $g_{4}(\check{t})>$ $g_{3}(\check{t})$ and $f_{i}\left(s_{i}(\check{t})\right)=g_{i}(\check{t})(i=1,2)$. Then we have $f_{3}\left(s_{4}(\check{t})\right)>f_{3}\left(s_{3}(\check{t})\right)$. Since $f_{3}(s)$ is monotone increasing $s_{4}(\check{t})>s_{3}(\check{t})$.
Then we have $s_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)>s_{4}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $s_{3}(\check{t})<s_{4}(\check{t})$, hence there exists $\bar{t}>t_{0}$ such that $s_{3}(\bar{t})=s_{4}(\bar{t})$.

### 3.3. Case 5

Let $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=\left(q_{A_{1}}, q_{B_{1}}, q_{A_{2}}, q_{B_{2}}\right)$, and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)$ $=\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{B_{1}}, m_{A_{2}}, m_{B_{2}}\right)$ (See Fig. 7). Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{m_{1}}{m_{3}}=\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{P_{4}}\binom{\tilde{a}_{23} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{24} q_{3}+\tilde{a}_{34} q_{2}}{\tilde{a}_{12} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{14} q_{2}+\tilde{a}_{24} q_{1}}=\lambda\binom{u}{1-u} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we assume $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda$.


Figure 7. Case 5.

We set $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=(u-1, u-s, u, u+t)$, such that $s \in(0,1), t>0$ for every $u \in(0,1)$. Then $\tilde{a}_{12}=(1-s)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{13}=1, \tilde{a}_{14}=(1+t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{23}=s^{-2}$, $\tilde{a}_{24}=(s+t)^{-2}$ and $\tilde{a}_{34}=t^{-2}$. Therefore we can write the equation (14) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{s^{3}}{(s-1)^{2}}\left(s^{2}-(u+2) s+2 u+1\right)=\frac{t^{3}}{(t+1)^{2}}\left(t^{2}+(u+2) t+2 u+1\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \frac{(s-1)^{3}}{s^{2}}\left(s^{2}+(1-u) s-u+1\right)=-\frac{(t+1)^{2}}{t^{2}}\left(t^{3}+u t^{2}+u-1\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 9. For every $u \in(0,1)$, there is a unique solution $(s, t)$ of simultaneous equations (15) and (16).


Figure 8. $(s, f(s))$-plane in Case 5.


Figure 9. $(t, g(t))$-plane in Case 5.

Proof: Let us put the left hand side of (15) as $f_{5}(s)$ and the right hand side as $g_{5}(t)$ and similarly, we set the left hand side of (16) as $f_{6}(s)$ and the right hand side as $g_{6}(t)$. (See Fig. 8 and Fig. 9)
First $f_{5}(s)$ and $g_{5}(s)$ are monotone increasing for $s \in(0,1)$ and $t>0$, respectively, and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} f_{5}(s)=0, \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} f_{5}(s)=+\infty$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{5}(t)=0$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g_{5}(t)=+\infty$. Therefore, for every $t>0$ there exists $s_{5}=s_{5}(t)$ such that $f_{5}\left(s_{5}(t)\right)=g_{5}(t)$. Since both $f_{5}$ and $g_{5}$ are monotone increasing, so is $s_{5}(t)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} s_{5}(t)=+0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} s_{5}(t)=+\infty$.
Secondly $f_{6}(s)$ is strictly monotone increasing for $s \in(0,1)$ such that $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} f_{6}=$ $-\infty, \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} f_{6}=0$, and $g_{6}(t)$ is strictly monotone decreasing for $t>0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} g_{6}=+\infty, \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g_{6}=-\infty$. We set $t_{0}>0$ such that $g_{6}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, then there exists $s_{6}=s_{6}(t)>0$ such that $f_{6}\left(s_{6}\right)=g_{6}(t)$ for every $t>t_{0}$. Since $f_{6}$ is increasing and $g_{6}$ is decreasing, $s_{6}(t)$ is decreasing such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+} s_{6}(t)=1$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} s_{6}(t)=+0$. We notice $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}+} s_{5}(t)=\tilde{s}$, where $f_{5}(\tilde{s})=g_{5}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\tilde{s}<1$. Then there exists unique $t=\bar{t} \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that $s_{5}(\bar{t})=s_{6}(\bar{t})$, which gives the solution $\left(s_{5}(\bar{t}), \bar{t}\right)$ for (15), (16).

### 3.4. Case 4

In this subsection, we show that there are no solutions for the Case 4. Let $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right.$, $\left.q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=\left(q_{A_{1}}, q_{B_{1}}, q_{B_{2}}, q_{A_{2}}\right)$, and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}\right)=\left(m_{A_{1}}, m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}, m_{A_{2}}\right)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{m_{1}}{m_{4}}=\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{P_{4}}\binom{\tilde{a}_{23} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{24} q_{3}+\tilde{a}_{34} q_{2}}{\tilde{a}_{13} q_{2}-\tilde{a}_{12} q_{3}-\tilde{a}_{23} q_{1}}=\lambda\binom{u}{1-u} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we set $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda$. We put $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=(u-1, u-s-t, u-t, u)$, such that $s, t>0, s+t \in(0,1)$ for every $u \in(0,1)$. Then $\tilde{a}_{12}=(1-s-t)^{-2}$, $\tilde{a}_{13}=(1-t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{14}=1, \tilde{a}_{23}=s^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{24}=(s+t)^{-2}$ and $\tilde{a}_{34}=t^{-2}$. Therefore
we write the equation (17) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{2}\left(t-u-\frac{u}{(t-1)^{2}}\right)=(s+t)^{2}\left(s+t-u-\frac{u}{(s+t-1)^{2}}\right)  \tag{18}\\
& (t-1)^{2}\left(u-t+\frac{1-u}{t^{2}}\right)=(s+t-1)^{2}\left(u-s-t+\frac{1-u}{(s+t)^{2}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We can rewrite the equations (19) and (18), $f(t)=f(s+t)$ and $g(t)=g(s+$ $t$, respectively. Moreover $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are monotone decreasing. Therefore, obviously, we have no solutions.

Remark 10. We can prove that there are no solutions $(s, t)$ for Case 1 and Case 6 by the similar manner as Case 4.

## 4. Mass Problem

We will show that masses $m_{B_{1}}, m_{B_{2}}$ of added bodies are zero in Case 2, namely, $s, t, u$ which satisfy the equations (9) (10) give $m_{B_{1}}=m_{B_{2}}=0$ by (5). We get also $m_{B_{1}}=m_{B_{2}}=0$ in Case 3 and Case 5 .
In Section 3.1 we have shown that for each $u \in(0,1)$, there exists unique solution $(s, t)$ of the equation (9), (10), which gives a curve denoted by $C$ in the space

$$
S=\{(s, t, u) ; 0<s, 0<t<1,0<u<1\}
$$

Hence the curve $C$ is a real algebraic curve determined by the equation (9), (10). On the other hand, the identity (5) yields in Case 2 that the mass of $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{B_{1}}=m_{1}=\left(\tilde{a}_{23} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{24} q_{3}+\tilde{a}_{34} q_{2}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4} \\
& m_{B_{2}}=m_{3}=\left(\tilde{a}_{12} q_{4}-\tilde{a}_{14} q_{2}+\tilde{a}_{24} q_{1}\right) \tilde{\lambda} / P_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\S 3.1$ we put $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right)=(u-s-1, u-1, u-t, u)$ such that $s>0$, $t \in(0,1)$ for every $u \in(0,1)$. Then $\tilde{a}_{12}=s^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{13}=(1+s-t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{14}=$ $(1+s)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{23}=(1-t)^{-2}, \tilde{a}_{24}=1, \tilde{a}_{34}=t^{-2}$ and then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{B_{1}}=\frac{\lambda}{P_{4}}\left(\frac{u-1}{t^{2}}+\frac{u}{(t-1)^{2}}+t-u\right) \\
& m_{B_{2}}=\frac{\lambda}{P_{4}}\left(\frac{u}{s^{2}}-\frac{u-1}{(s+1)^{2}}-s+u-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{4}=1 / s^{2} t^{2}+1 /(s+1)^{2}(t-1)^{2}-1 /(s-t+1)^{2}$.

Now let us consider an algebraic curve $C_{1}$ in $S$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{B_{1}}=m_{B_{2}}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we sill show $C$ and $C_{1}$ coincide.
First we solve $u$ in (9), which is denoted by $u_{1}$ and also we solve $u$ in (10), denoted by $u_{2}$ respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}=\frac{s^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(s^{3}+3 s^{2}+3 s-t^{3}+1\right)}{s^{2}\left(-t^{4}+2 t^{3}+2 t^{2}-4 t+2\right)+\left(s^{4}+2 s^{3}+2 s+1\right)(t-1)^{2}}  \tag{21}\\
& u_{2}=\frac{\left(s^{2}+3 s+3\right) s^{3} t^{2}+(s+1)^{2}(t-1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)}{(s+2) s^{3} t^{2}+(s+1)^{2}(t-1)^{3}(t+1)} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the curve $C$ is given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=u_{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly we consider the curve $C_{1}$ given by (20). Since $P_{4} \neq 0$, the equations $m_{B_{1}}=0$ and $m_{B_{2}}=0$ yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=u_{m_{B_{1}}}=\frac{(t-1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)}{t^{4}-2 t^{3}-t^{2}+2 t-1}  \tag{24}\\
u=u_{m_{B_{2}}}=\frac{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+3 s+3\right)}{s^{4}+2 s^{3}+s^{2}+2 s+1} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. Then the curve $C$ is given by $H(s, t)=u_{1}-u_{2}=0$ and the curve $C_{1}$ is given by $h(s, t)=u_{m_{B_{1}}}-u_{m_{B_{2}}}=0$, respectively.
From (21), (22), we have $H(s, t)=H_{n}(s, t) / H_{d}(s, t)$, where the numerator $H_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}=s^{7}\left(-\left(-2 t^{6}+6 t^{5}-3 t^{4}-4 t^{3}+7 t^{2}-4 t+1\right)\right) \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
-s^{6}\left(2 t^{7}-14 t^{6}+33 t^{5}-23 t^{4}-9 t^{3}+26 t^{2}-16 t+4\right) \\
-s^{5}\left(6 t^{7}-33 t^{6}+72 t^{5}-59 t^{4}-2 t^{3}+37 t^{2}-24 t+6\right) \\
\\
\quad-s^{4}(t-1)^{2}\left(7 t^{5}-21 t^{4}+24 t^{3}+2 t^{2}-6 t+3\right) \\
\quad-s^{3}(t-1)^{2}\left(8 t^{5}-15 t^{4}+12 t^{3}-5 t^{2}+6 t-3\right) \\
\quad-s^{2}(t-1)^{3}\left(7 t^{4}-5 t^{3}+t^{2}-6 t+6\right) \\
\quad-4 s(t-1)^{5}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)-(t-1)^{5}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (24), (25), $h(s, t)=h_{n}(s, t) / h_{d}(s, t)$, where the numerator $h_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n}=s^{5} & \left(-t^{4}+2 t^{3}+t^{2}-2 t+1\right)+s^{4}\left(t^{5}-5 t^{4}+7 t^{3}+2 t^{2}-4 t+2\right) \\
+ & s^{3}\left(2 t^{5}-7 t^{4}+8 t^{3}+t^{2}-2 t+1\right)+s^{2}(t-1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right) \\
& +2 s(t-1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)+(t-1)^{3}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. A direct calculation gives
Lemma 11. We have the identity

$$
H_{n}(s, t)=\left(s^{2}\left(-2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)-(2 s+1)(t-1)^{2}\right) h_{n}(s, t)
$$

Therefore $H_{n}(s, t)=0$ is equivalent to $h_{n}(s, t)=0$ because $s^{2}\left(-2 t^{2}+2 t-1\right)-$ $(2 s+1)(t-1)^{2}<0$ for $s>0, t \in(0,1)$. Then we see $m_{B_{1}}=m_{B_{2}}=0$ on $C$.

Similarly, in Case 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}=\frac{s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(s^{3}+3 s^{2}+3 s-t^{3}+1\right)}{s^{4}(t+1)^{2}+2 s^{3}(t+1)^{2}+s^{2}\left(t^{4}+2 t^{3}+2 t^{2}+4 t+2\right)+2 s(t+1)^{2}+(t+1)^{2}} \\
& u_{2}=\frac{s^{5} t^{2}+3 s^{4} t^{2}+3 s^{3} t^{2}-s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right)-2 s(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right)-(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right)}{s^{4} t^{2}+2 s^{3} t^{2}+s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right)+2 s(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right)+(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right)} \\
& u_{m_{1}}=-\frac{(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right)}{t^{4}+2 t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+1}, \quad u_{m_{4}}=\frac{s^{3}\left(s^{2}+3 s+3\right)}{s^{4}+2 s^{3}+s^{2}+2 s+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
H_{n}=s^{7} & \left(2 t^{5}+5 t^{4}+4 t^{3}+5 t^{2}+4 t+1\right) \\
+ & s^{6}\left(4 t^{6}+19 t^{5}+31 t^{4}+23 t^{3}+22 t^{2}+16 t+4\right) \\
& +s^{5}\left(2 t^{7}+19 t^{6}+56 t^{5}+71 t^{4}+46 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+24 t+6\right) \\
& +s^{4}(t+1)^{2}\left(5 t^{5}+21 t^{4}+24 t^{3}+4 t^{2}+6 t+3\right) \\
& +s^{3}(t+1)^{2}\left(4 t^{5}+15 t^{4}+12 t^{3}-t^{2}-6 t-3\right) \\
& +s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(5 t^{5}+12 t^{4}+6 t^{3}-5 t^{2}-12 t-6\right) \\
& \quad+4 s(t+1)^{4}\left(t^{3}-1\right)+(t+1)^{4}\left(t^{3}-1\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
h_{n}=s^{5}\left(-\left(t^{4}+2 t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+1\right)\right) \\
\quad-s^{4}\left(t^{5}+5 t^{4}+7 t^{3}+2 t^{2}+4 t+2\right) \\
\\
\quad-s^{3}\left(2 t^{5}+7 t^{4}+8 t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+1\right)-s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right) \\
\\
\quad
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
2 s(t+1)-(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right) .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
H_{n}(s, t)=-\left(s^{2}(2 t+1)+2 s(t+1)^{2}+(t+1)^{2}\right) h_{n}(s, t)
$$

and $s^{2}(2 t+1)+2 s(t+1)^{2}+(t+1)^{2}>0$ for $s, t>0$.

Also in Case 5 we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1} & =\frac{(s-1)^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(s^{3}-t^{3}\right)}{s^{4}(t+1)^{2}-2 s^{3}(t+1)^{2}+s^{2} t^{3}(t+2)-2 s t^{3}(t+2)+t^{3}(t+2)} \\
u_{2} & =-\frac{s^{5} t^{2}-2 s^{4} t^{2}+s^{3} t^{2}+s^{2}\left(t^{5}+2 t^{4}+t^{3}-2 t^{2}-2 t-1\right)+2 s t^{2}-t^{2}}{s^{4}\left(-t^{2}\right)+2 s^{3} t^{2}+s^{2}(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{2}+1\right)-2 s t^{2}+t^{2}} \\
u_{m_{2}} & =-\frac{(t+1)^{2}\left(t^{3}-1\right)}{t^{4}+2 t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+1}, \quad \quad u_{m_{4}}=\frac{(s-1)^{3}\left(s^{2}+s+1\right)}{s^{4}-2 s^{3}-s^{2}+2 s-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}=s^{7}\left(2 t^{6}+6 t^{5}+7 t^{4}+8 t^{3}+7 t^{2}+4 t+1\right) \\
& -s^{6}\left(-2 t^{7}+9 t^{5}+14 t^{4}+25 t^{3}+23 t^{2}+12 t+3\right) \\
& -s^{5}\left(6 t^{7}+9 t^{6}-10 t^{4}-32 t^{3}-28 t^{2}-12 t-3\right) \\
& -s^{4} t^{2}\left(-3 t^{5}+2 t^{4}+16 t^{3}+18 t^{2}+21 t+9\right) \\
& \quad+s^{3} t^{2}\left(4 t^{5}+19 t^{4}+32 t^{3}+21 t^{2}+12 t+3\right) \\
& -s^{2} t^{3}\left(7 t^{4}+23 t^{3}+28 t^{2}+9 t+3\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+4 s t^{5}\left(t^{2}+3 t+3\right)-t^{5}\left(t^{2}+3 t+3\right)
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{r}
h_{n}=-s^{5}\left(t^{4}+2 t^{3}+t^{2}+2 t+1\right)+s^{4}\left(-t^{5}+3 t^{3}+3 t^{2}+6 t+3\right) \\
+s^{3}\left(2 t^{5}+3 t^{4}-3 t^{2}-6 t-3\right)+s^{2} t^{3}\left(t^{2}+3 t+3\right) \\
\quad-2 s t^{3}\left(t^{2}+3 t+3\right)+t^{3}\left(t^{2}+3 t+3\right)
\end{array}
$$

It holds

$$
H_{n}(s, t)=\left(-s^{2}\left(2 t^{2}+2 t+1\right)+2 s t^{2}-t^{2}\right) h_{n}(s, t)
$$

and $-s^{2}\left(2 t^{2}+2 t+1\right)+2 s t^{2}-t^{2}<0$ for $s \in(0,1), t>0$. Thus we have $m_{B_{1}}=m_{B_{2}}=0$ for both Case 3 and Case 5 .

## 5. Procyon - an Example

Procyon is the $\alpha$ star in Canis Minor. It is a couple of binary star, Procyon A and B, which are the heavenly bodies where two fixed stars work on an orbit around
the center of gravity of both. The mass of Procyon A is $1.42 \pm 0.04 M_{s}$ and of Procyon B is $0.575 \pm 0.017 M_{s}$ [3], where $M_{s}$ is the weight of the sun, i.e., $1 M_{s}=$ $1.989 \times 10^{30} \mathrm{~kg}$.
We consider a system of Procyon A and B as a initial two bodies $A_{1}, A_{2}$ of M.C.. We suppose $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are not under the influence from other celestial bodies. If we assume $m_{A_{1}}=1.42$ and $m_{A_{2}}=0.575$, then we obtain $u \fallingdotseq 0.712$ and $\lambda=1.995$ by solving simultaneous equations (4), namely $m_{A_{1}}=u \lambda=1.42$ and $m_{A_{2}}=(1-u) \lambda=0.575$,
Using Mathematica we obtain the solution of (9), (10) is $(s, t) \fallingdotseq(0.830,0.408)$. Therefore the position and mass of each bodies are as follows in Table 1. Similarly, we can get their positions and mass in Case 3 and Case 5 (See Table 1).

Table 1. The positions and mass in each case Procyon.

|  |  | $q_{1}$ | $q_{2}$ | $q_{3}$ | $q_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Case 2 | position | -1.118 | -0.288 | 0.304 | 0.712 |
|  | $\operatorname{mass}\left(M_{s}\right)$ | 0 | 0.575 | 0 | 1.42 |
| Case 3 | $\operatorname{position}$ | -1.118 | -0.288 | 0.712 | 1.259 |
|  | $\operatorname{mass}\left(M_{s}\right)$ | 0 | 0.575 | 1.42 | 0 |
| Case 5 | $\operatorname{position}$ | -0.288 | 0.304 | 0.712 | 1.259 |
|  | $\operatorname{mass}\left(M_{s}\right)$ | 0.575 | 0 | 1.42 | 0 |
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