THE UNIVERSAL KEPLER PROBLEM

GUOWU MENG

Communicated by Charles-Michel Marle

Abstract. For each simple euclidean Jordan algebra V, we introduce the analogue of hamiltonian, angular momentum and Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector in the Kepler problem. Being referred to as the universal hamiltonian, universal angular momentum and universal Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector respectively, they are elements in (essentially) the TKK (Tits-Kantor-Koecher) algebra of V and satisfy commutation relations similar to the ones for the hamiltonian, angular momentum and Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector in the Kepler problem. We also give some examples of Poisson realization of the TKK algebra, along with the resulting classical generalized Kepler problems. For the simplest simple euclidean Jordan algebra (i.e., \mathbb{R}), we give examples of operator realization for the TKK algebra, along with the resulting quantum generalized Kepler problems.

1. Introduction

Recall that, in the Kepler problem, the hamiltonian is

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{1}{r}.\tag{1}$$

Here, r is the length of $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3_* := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and \mathbf{p} is the (linear) momentum.

The hamiltonian H is clearly invariant under rotations of \mathbb{R}^3 , thanks to Noether's theorem, the angular momentum

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \tag{2}$$

is conserved.

What is special about the Kepler problem is the existence of an additional conserved quantity, i.e., the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{p} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}.$$
 (3)

doi: 10.7546/jgsp-36-2014-47-57

Not everyone agrees that this is a proper name for this vector because of the long history of its rediscovery: Jakob Hermann initially discovered it for a special case of the inverse-square central force [3], Johann Bernoulli generalized it to its modern form [1] in 1710, and at the end of the 18th century, Pierre-Simon de Laplace rediscovered it analytically [8]. In the literature, this vector is sometimes called the Runge-Lenz-Laplace vector [16].

It is well-known that H, L and A satisfy the following Poisson bracket relations (For the definition of Poisson brackets, please consult Section 5 of Chapter III in Ref. [9])

$$\{H, L_i\} = 0, \{H, A_i\} = 0 \{L_i, L_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k, \{L_i, A_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} A_k, \{A_i, A_j\} = -2H\epsilon_{ijk} L_k.$$
 (4)

Here, ϵ_{ijk} is the antisymmetric tensor such that $\epsilon_{123} = 1$, and a summation over the repeated index k is assumed in the above.

When passing to the quantum case, nothing is lost. First of all, we have

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = -i\mathbf{r} \times \nabla, \qquad \mathbf{A} = -\frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{L} \times \nabla - \nabla \times \mathbf{L}) + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r}$$
(5)

secondly, relation (4) still holds when Poisson brackets are replaced by commutators.

The goals of this article are to introduce the analogues of H, **L** and **A** for each simple euclidean Jordan algebra [5], derive the analogue of relation (4), and demonstrate via examples their relevance to generalized Kepler problems. To do that, we need to digress into simple euclidean Jordan algebra and the associated TKK (Tits-Kantor-Koecher) algebra [14], [6, 7].

Remark. This paper was initially written in the winter of 2010. Since then, References [10–12] appeared which in one or another way all demonstrate that the Jordan algebra approach to the Kepler problem in relationship to the universal Kepler problem advocated here is quite natural and fruitful. We would like to remark that the universal nature discovered here for the Kepler problem is quite a common trait shared by many beautiful mathematical objects: cohomology groups, vector bundles, characteristic classes, R-matrices, knot invariants of finite type, etc.

2. TKK Algebra

Let V be a finite dimensional simple euclidean Jordan algebra. This means that, V is a real vector space of positive dimension; and there is a bilinear map $V \times V \to V$ which maps the elements (a,b) into ab such that, for any $a,b \in V$, 1) ab = ba, 2) $(ab)a^2 = a(ba^2)$, 3) $a^2 + b^2 = 0 \implies a = b = 0$. Moreover, V has no nontrivial ideal. It turns out that there is a multiplicative unit element e in V.

For $a \in V$, we use L_a to denote the multiplication by a, so $L_a(b) = ab$. Clearly L_a is an endomorphism on V and is linearly dependent on a. We assume the invariant inner product $\langle \, | \, \rangle$ on V is the inner product such that the unit element e has unit length, i.e.,

$$\langle a | b \rangle := \frac{1}{\dim V} \operatorname{Tr} L_{ab}$$

for any $a, b \in V$. Here, the inner product $\langle \, | \, \rangle$ is invariant means that L_a is self-adjoint with respect to it, i.e., $\langle ab \, | \, c \rangle = \langle b \, | \, ac \rangle$ for any $a, b, c \in V$.

We denote the Jordan triple product of a, b, c by $\{abc\}$. Recall that

$$\{abc\} := a(bc) - b(ca) + c(ab).$$

We denote the endomorphism $c \mapsto \{abc\}$ by S_{ab} . It is clear that

$$S_{ab} = [L_a, L_b] + L_{ab}$$

and is bilinear in (a,b). It is clear that $L_a=S_{ae}=S_{ea}$. We shall use S'_{ab} to denote the adjoint of S_{ab} with respect to the inner product on V. Note that $S'_{ab}=S_{ba}$, and if we identify V^* with V via the invariant inner product. We shall use S'_{ab} to denote the adjoint of S_{ab} with respect to the inner product on V. S^*_{ab} : $V^* \to V^*$ can be identified with $-S_{ba}$.

One can check that

$$[S_{ab}, S_{cd}] = S_{\{abc\}d} - S_{c\{bad\}}$$
(6)

so S_{ab} 's form a real Lie algebra – the **structure algebra** str of V. The commutation relation in equation (6) says that, in S_{cd} , c and d behave as a str-vector and str-covector respectively. In general, str = str' $\oplus \mathbb{R}$, where str', the semi-simple part of str, is called the **reduced structure algebra**.

It is an independent discovery of Tits, Kantor, and Kroecher [14], [6,7] that the real reductive Lie algebra str can be naturally extended to a real simple Lie algebra co

– the **conformal algebra** of V. As a real vector space, we have

$$\mathfrak{co} := V^* \oplus \mathfrak{str} \oplus V.$$

By writing $z \in V$ as X_z , $\langle w \mid \rangle \in V^*$ as Y_w , the commutation relations can be written as follow: for u, v, z, w in V

$$[X_{u}, X_{v}] = 0, [Y_{u}, Y_{v}] = 0, [X_{u}, Y_{v}] = -2S_{uv}$$

$$[S_{uv}, X_{z}] = X_{\{uvz\}}, [S_{uv}, Y_{z}] = -Y_{\{vuz\}}$$

$$[S_{uv}, S_{zw}] = S_{\{uvz\}w} - S_{z\{vuw\}}.$$
(7)

Note that, when the Jordan algebra is $\Gamma(3)$: $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$ (a linear subspace of the real Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbb{R}^3,\mathrm{dot}\,\mathrm{product})$) with the product being the symmetrized Clifford multiplication, we have $\mathfrak{co}=\mathfrak{so}(2,4)$ – the conformal algebra of the Minkowski space, and $\mathfrak{str}=\mathfrak{so}(1,3)\oplus\mathbb{R}$.

By definition, the universal enveloping algebra of co is called the TKK algebra. The simply connected real Lie group with co as its Lie algebra is called the **conformal group** and is denoted by Co.

3. The Universal Kepler Problem

This section is the core of this article. The novel idea introduced here came from the author's realization that the Kepler problem can be reformulated in terms of $\Gamma(3)$ and the further realization that $\Gamma(3)$ can be replaced by any Euclidean Jordan algebra.

Hereafter we shall assume that V is a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra. To introduce the universal Kepler problem associated with V, one needs first to complexify the TKK algebra and then formally invert the element Y_e , here e is the unit element of V. With that done, one introduce the **universal hamiltonian**

$$H := \frac{1}{2} Y_e^{-1} X_e + i Y_e^{-1}$$
 (8)

where Y_e^{-1} is the formal inverse of Y_e , and i is the unit for imaginary numbers.

Next, we introduce the universal Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector

$$A_u := iY_e^{-1}[L_u, Y_e^2 H] \tag{9}$$

where u is an element of V and $[\ ,\]$ denotes the commutator. So

$$A_u = \frac{i}{2}X_u - iY_uH = \frac{i}{2}(X_u - Y_uY_e^{-1}X_e) + Y_uY_e^{-1}.$$
 (10)

Finally, we introduce the universal angular momentum

$$L_{u,v} := [L_u, L_v] \tag{11}$$

where u, v are elements of V. We are now ready to state

Theorem 1. For any u, v, z and w in V, the following commutation relations

$$[L_{u,v}, H] = 0, [A_u, H] = 0$$

$$[L_{u,v}, L_{z,w}] = L_{[L_u, L_v]z,w} + L_{z,[L_u, L_v]w} (12)$$

$$[L_{u,v}, A_z] = A_{[L_u, L_v]z}, [A_u, A_v] = -2HL_{u,v}.$$

hold as identities in the resulting algebra obtained from complexifing the TKK algebra and formally inverting the element Y_e .

Proof: Equation (7) implies that

$$[L_{u,v}, X_z] = X_{[L_u, L_v]z}, \qquad [L_{u,v}, Y_z] = Y_{[L_u, L_v]z}, \qquad [L_{u,v}, L_z] = L_{[L_u, L_v]z}.$$

In particular, we have $[L_{u,v}, X_e] = [L_{u,v}, Y_e] = 0$. Therefore, $[L_{u,v}, H] = 0$,

$$\begin{split} [L_{u,v}, A_z] &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{u,v}, [L_z, Y_e^2 H]] \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} \left([[L_{u,v}, L_z], Y_e^2 H] + [L_z, [L_{u,v}, Y_e^2 H]] \right) \\ &= i Y_e^{-1} [L_{[L_u, L_v]z}, Y_e^2 H] = A_{[L_u, L_v]z} \end{split}$$

and

$$[L_{u,v}, L_{z,w}] = [L_{u,v}, [L_z, L_w]] = [[L_{u,v}, L_z], L_w] + [L_z, [L_{u,v}, L_w]]$$
$$= [L_{[L_u, L_v]z}, L_w] + [L_z, L_{[L_u, L_v]w}] = L_{[L_u, L_v]z,w} + L_{z, [L_u, L_v]w}.$$

Since $H = Y_e^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}X_e + i)$, we have

$$[A_u, H] = [A_u, Y_e^{-1}](\frac{1}{2}X_e + i) + Y_e^{-1}[A_u, \frac{1}{2}X_e + i]$$

$$= -Y_e^{-1}[A_u, Y_e]Y_e^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}X_e + i) + Y_e^{-1}[A_u, \frac{1}{2}X_e]$$

$$= -Y_e^{-1}[A_u, Y_e]H - Y_e^{-1}\left[\frac{i}{2}X_u - iY_uH, \frac{1}{2}X_e\right]$$

$$= -Y_e^{-1}[A_u, Y_e]H - Y_e^{-1}\left[-iY_uY_e^{-1}, \frac{1}{2}X_e\right]Y_eH$$

$$= -Y_e^{-1}[A_u, Y_e]H + iY_e^{-1}\left([Y_u, \frac{1}{2}X_e] - Y_uY_e^{-1}[Y_e, \frac{1}{2}X_e]\right)H$$

$$= -Y_e^{-1} \left[\frac{i}{2} (X_u - Y_u Y_e^{-1} X_e) + Y_u Y_e^{-1}, Y_e \right] H + i Y_e^{-1} (L_u - Y_u Y_e^{-1} L_e) H = 0.$$

Since $A_u = \frac{i}{2}X_u - iY_uH$, we have

$$[A_{u}, A_{v}] = \left[\frac{i}{2}X_{u}, -iY_{v}H\right] - \left[\frac{i}{2}X_{v}, -iY_{v}H\right] - \left[Y_{u}H, Y_{v}H\right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}X_{u}, Y_{v}H\right] - Y_{u}[H, Y_{v}]H - \langle u \leftrightarrow v \rangle$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}X_{u}, Y_{v}\right]H + Y_{v}\left[\frac{1}{2}X_{u}, H\right] - Y_{u}[H, Y_{v}]H - \langle u \leftrightarrow v \rangle$$

$$= -S_{uv}H - Y_{v}Y_{e}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}X_{u}, Y_{e}\right]H - Y_{u}Y_{e}^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}X_{e}, Y_{v}\right]H - \langle u \leftrightarrow v \rangle$$

$$= -S_{uv}H + Y_{v}Y_{e}^{-1}L_{u}H + Y_{u}Y_{e}^{-1}L_{v}H - \langle u \leftrightarrow v \rangle$$

$$= -2L_{u} \cdot H = -2HL_{u} \cdot v.$$

Here $\langle u \leftrightarrow v \rangle$ means a term obtained from its immediate predecessor by switching u with v.

Because of Theorem 1 we say that the universal hamiltonian H in equation (8) defines the **universal Kepler problem** associated with the simple euclidean Jordan algebra V.

4. Concrete Realizations

A concrete realization of the TKK algebra (equivalently conformal algebra) yields a concrete realization for the universal Kepler problem, i.e., a concrete model which resembles the Kepler problem. Of course, certain condition on the concrete realization of the TKK algebra must be satisfied. For example, H, A_u and $L_{u,v}$ must be represented as real functions in a (classical) Poisson realization and as self-adjoint operators in a (quantum) operator realization.

4.1. Poisson Realizations

We are only interested in a Poisson realization of the TKK algebra on a Poisson manifold in which S_{uv} , X_z , Y_w are all realized as real functions S_{uv} , \mathcal{X}_z , \mathcal{Y}_w respectively, with \mathcal{X}_e and \mathcal{Y}_e being both everywhere positive. Then, H, A_u and

 $L_{u,v}$ can be realized as

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{X}_e - 1}{\mathcal{Y}_e}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_u := \frac{\{\mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{Y}_e^2 \mathcal{H}\}}{\mathcal{Y}_e}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{u,v} := \{\mathcal{L}_u, \mathcal{L}_v\}$$
(13)

respectively. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_{u} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{X}_{u} - \mathcal{Y}_{u} \frac{\mathcal{X}_{e}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}} \right) + \frac{\mathcal{Y}_{u}}{\mathcal{Y}_{e}}.$$
 (14)

Theorem 1 obviously holds under the following substitutions

$$[,] \to \{,\}, \qquad H \to \mathcal{H}, \qquad A_u \to \mathcal{A}_u, \qquad L_{u,v} \to \mathcal{L}_{u,v}.$$

4.1.1. Examples (Without Magnetic Charge)

As is well-known, the total cotangent space T^*V is a natural symplectic space. By virtue of the invariant inner product on V, one can identify T^*V with the total tangent space TV, then TV becomes a symplectic space. The tangent bundle of V has a natural trivialization, with respect to which, one can denote an element of TV by (x,π) . We fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ for V so that we can write $x=x^\alpha e_\alpha$ and $\pi=\pi^\alpha e_\alpha$. Then the basic Poisson bracket relations on TV are

$$\{x^\alpha,\pi^\beta\}=\delta^{\alpha\beta},\qquad \{x^\alpha,x^\beta\}=0,\qquad \{\pi^\alpha,\pi^\beta\}=0.$$

One can check that real functions

$$S_{uv} := \langle S_{uv}(x) | \pi \rangle, \qquad \mathcal{X}_u := \langle x | \{\pi u \pi\} \rangle, \qquad \mathcal{Y}_v := \langle x | v \rangle$$
 (15)

yield a Poisson realization on TV of S_{uv} , X_z , Y_w respectively. However, neither \mathcal{X}_e nor \mathcal{Y}_e is positive on TV. To salvage this Poisson realization, we restrict the Poisson realization to certain sub-symplectic manifolds of TV, for example, $T\mathcal{C}_r$ where \mathcal{C}_r is the set of rank r semi-positive elements of V, with r being a positive integer less than or equal to the rank of V. It is an observation in reference [10] that \mathcal{X}_e and \mathcal{Y}_e are both positive on $T\mathcal{C}_r$ so that \mathcal{H} defines a generalized Kepler problem. In fact, if $V = \Gamma(3)$ and r = 1, then \mathcal{H} defines the Kepler problem.

4.1.2. Examples (With Magnetic Charges)

Let $\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_* = \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\ (k \geq 1)$ and $\pi \colon \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_* \to S^{2k}$ be the map sending $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_*$ to $\frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|} \in S^{2k}$. Denote by $P \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_*$ the pullback by π of the canonical

principal SO(2k)-bundle $SO(2k+1) \to S^{2k}$. Let $E \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ be the associated co-adjoint bundle for $P \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_*$ and $E^\sharp \to T^*\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_*$ be the pullback bundle under the cotangent bundle projection map $T^*\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_* \to \mathbb{R}^{2k+1}_*$. It is a fact that the canonical connection on $SO(2k+1) \to S^{2k}$ turns E^\sharp into a Poisson manifold. It has been shown in reference [11] that the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(2,2k+2)$ – the conformal Lie algebra of the Jordan algebra $\Gamma(2k+1):=\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{2k+1}$ – has a Poisson realization on certain symplectic leaves of E^\sharp , and each of these Poisson realizations yields a magnetized Kepler problem in dimension 2k+1. For more details, please consult reference [15].

4.2. Operator Realizations

Throughout this section we assume that the Jordan algebra is \mathbb{R} . Then the symmetric cone is $\mathbb{R}_+:=(0,\infty)$ and Co coincides with $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})$ – the universal cover of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. A point in \mathbb{R} is denoted by x, and the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is denoted by $\mathrm{d} x$. The conformal algebra is $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, with generators $S:=S_{ee}, X:=X_e$ and $Y:=Y_e$ and commutation relations

$$[S, X] = X,$$
 $[S, Y] = -Y,$ $[X, Y] = -2S.$

These generators can be realized as linear operators on $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,\frac{1}{x}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$ as follows

$$S \to \tilde{S} := -x \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}, \quad X \to \tilde{X}(\nu) := \mathrm{i}\left(x \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{\frac{\nu}{2}(1 - \frac{\nu}{2})}{x}\right), \quad Y \to \tilde{Y} := -\mathrm{i}x.$$

Here, ν is a complex parameter whose range is to be determined. Note that, we must specify a common dense domain of definition for these operators. This common domain \tilde{D}_{ν} is defined to be

$$\left\{ x^{\frac{\nu}{2}} e^{-x} p(x); p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x] \right\}.$$

For $\nu \in (0,\infty)$ and only for such an ν , $x^{\nu-1}\mathrm{e}^{-2x}\,\mathrm{d}x$ is a finite positive measure on \mathbb{R}_+ . Therefore, for such and only for such a ν , $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is dense in the space $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,x^{\nu-1}\mathrm{e}^{-2x}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$, or equivalently, \tilde{D}_{ν} is dense in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,\frac{1}{x}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$.

It is not hard to see that operators \tilde{S} , $\tilde{X}(\nu)$ and \tilde{Y} are all anti-hermitian operators on \tilde{D}_{ν} when $\nu \in (0, \infty)$. Therefore,

for each
$$\nu \in (0, \infty)$$
, \tilde{D}_{ν} is a unitary module π_{ν} for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$

moreover¹, $\pi_{\nu_1} \not\cong \pi_{\nu_2}$ if $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$.

Let $E_{\pm}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{X}(\nu)-\tilde{Y})\mp\tilde{S},\,h=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\tilde{X}(\nu)+\tilde{Y}).$ Suppose that $h(\psi_s)=s\psi_s$ and $E_-(\psi_s)=0$, then $\psi_s\propto x^s\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ with $s=\nu/2$. Therefore, $x^{\frac{\nu}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ is a lowest weight state for π_{ν} . After a little play with algebra, one can show that π_{ν} is the lowest weight module for $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, in fact, a unitary lowest weight (\mathfrak{g},K) -modules, where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}),\,K\cong\mathbb{R}$ is the simply connected abelian group whose Lie algebra is generated by X+Y. Since \tilde{D}_{ν} is dense in $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,\frac{1}{x}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$, via integration, we obtain a unitary lowest weight representation (also denoted by π_{ν}) of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})$ on $L^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,\frac{1}{x}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)$. In view of the classification theorem for unitary lowest weight modules in reference [2], these π_{ν} exhaust all nontrivial unitary lowest weight representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Combining with the result in the previous section, for each $\nu \in (0, \infty)$, there is a generalized (quantum) Kepler problem whose hamiltonian is

$$\tilde{H}(\nu) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{\frac{\nu}{2}(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1)}{2x^2} - \frac{1}{x}.$$

However, the Laplace-Runge-Lenz vector is trivial: $A_u = u$. It appears that $\tilde{H}(\nu) = \tilde{H}(2-\nu)$ for $\nu \in (0,2)$, but that is not true, because $\tilde{H}(\nu)$ and $\tilde{H}(2-\nu)$ have different domains of definition².

The bound state spectrum for $\tilde{H}(\nu)$ is

$$\left\{ -\frac{1/2}{(I+\nu/2)^2}; I=0,1,\ldots \right\}$$

moreover, being a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathrm{d}x)$, the Hilbert space of bound states for $\tilde{H}(\nu)$ is isometric to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{1}{x} \mathrm{d}x)$ via an analogue of the twisting map τ introduced in the proof of Theorem 5 in reference [13] and hence provides another realization for π_{ν} .

$$(f,g) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \bar{f}g \, \mathrm{d}x$$

and its domain of definition contain $x^{\frac{\nu}{2}}e^{-\frac{2x}{\nu}}$.

It appears that $\pi_{\nu}=\pi_{2-\nu}$ for $\nu\in(0,2)$, but that is not true, because $\tilde{D}_{\nu}
eq \tilde{D}_{2-\nu}$.

²This can be verified from the requirements that $\tilde{H}(\nu)$ be hermitian with respect to inner product

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by Qiu Shi Science and Technologies Foundation while he was a member at the Institute for Advanced Studies in the cadmic year 2010-2011. He was also supported by the Hong Hong Research Grants Council under RGC Project No. 16304014.

References

- [1] Bernoulli, J., Extrait de la Réponse de M. Bernoulli à M. Herman datée de Basle le 7. Octobre 1710, Histoire de l'academie royale des sciences (Paris) 1732: 521-544.
- [2] Enright T., Howe R. and Wallach N., *A Classification of Unitary Highest Weight Modules*, In: Representation Theory of Reductive Groups, Progress in Math. **40**, P. Trombi (Ed), Birkhäuser, Boston 1983, pp. 97-143.
- [3] Herman J., Extrait d'une lettre de M. Herman à M. Bernoulli datée de Padoüe le 12 Juillet 1710, Histoire de l'academie royale des sciences (Paris) 1732: 519-521.
- [4] Jakobsen H., Hermitian Symmetric Spaces and Their Unitary Highest Weight Modules, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983) 385-412.
- [5] Jordan P., *Uber die Multiplikation quantenmechanischer GroGen*, Z. Phys. **80** (1933) 285-291.
- [6] Kantor I., Classification of Irreducible Transitively Differential Groups, Sov. Math. Dokl. 5 (1964) 1404-1407.
- [7] Koecher M., *Imbedding of Jordan Algebras into Lie Algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967) 787-816.
- [8] Laplace, PS., *Traité de mécanique celeste*, Tome I, Premiere Partie, Livre II, p 165ff.
- [9] Libermann P., and Marle Ch.-M., *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*. Translated from the French by Bertram Eugene Schwarzbach. Mathematics and its Applications **35**, D. Reidel, Dordrecht 1987.
- [10] Meng G., Generalized Kepler Problems I: Without Magnetic Charges, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013) 012109.
- [11] Meng G., The Poisson Realization of $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2k+2)$ on Magnetic Leaves, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 052902.

- [12] Meng G., Lorentz Group and Oriented McIntosh-Cisneros-Zwanziger-Kepler Orbits, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012) 052901.
- [13] Meng G., Euclidean Jordan Algebras, Hidden Actions, and J-Kepler Problems, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011) 112104.
- [14] Tits T., *Une classe d'algèbres de Lie en relation avec les algèbres de Jordan*, Nederl. Akad. van Wetens. **65** (1962) 530-525.
- [15] Meng G., The Classical Magnetized Kepler Problems in Higher Odd Dimensions, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. 32 (2013) 15-23
- [16] Yanovski A., The Phase Space for Conformal Particle with Zero Mass and Spirality and its Relation with the Kepler's Problem, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. 3 (2005) 84-104.

Guowu Meng
Department of Mathematics
Hong Kong University
of Science and Technology
Clear Water Bay
Kowloon, Hong Kong

E-mail address: mameng@ust.hk