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#### Abstract

We obtain, by means of the technique introduced in by J. Kaczorowski, a meromorphic continuation and the functional equation for the function $m(F, \cdot)$, where $F$ is from the Selberg class with a functional equation expressible with exactly one $\Gamma$ function.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$ denote the subset of the Selberg class [9] consisting of the functions with a functional equation expressible with exactly one $\Gamma$ function. That is a function $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$ satisfies the following five axioms ( $s=\sigma+i t$ here and futher on)

1. (Dirichlet series) For $\sigma>1, F$ is an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$
F(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{F}(n)}{n^{s}} .
$$

2. (Analytic continuation) For some $m \geqslant 0,(s-1)^{m} F(s)$ is an entire function of finite order.
3. (Functional equation) $F$ satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$
\Phi_{F}(s)=\omega \bar{\Phi}_{F}(1-s)
$$

where

$$
\Phi_{F}(s)=\mathrm{Q}^{s} \Gamma(\lambda s+\mu) F(s)
$$

with $\mathrm{Q}>0, \lambda>0, \Re \mu \geqslant 0$ and $|\omega|=1$.
4. (Ramanujan hypothesis) For every $\varepsilon>0, a_{F}(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$.

[^0]5. (Euler product) For $\sigma>1$
$$
F(s)=\prod_{p} F_{p}(s)
$$
where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log F_{p}(s):=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m s}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and $b(n) \ll n^{\theta}$ for some $\theta<\frac{1}{2}$.
The known invariants of functions from the Selberg class $S$, the degree, the $\xi$-invariant, the parity and the shift, may be written as

$$
d_{F}=2 \lambda, \quad \xi_{F}+1=2 \mu, \quad \eta_{F}+1=2 \Re \mu \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{F}=2 \Im \mu
$$

for such $F$.
We note that, although the data in the functional equation in $S$ are, in general, not unique, see for example Section 4 of Vignéras [14], Section 2 of Conrey--Ghosh [4], Section 3 of Kaczorowski [9] and Kaczorowski-Perelli [12], they are unique in the special case of the functional equation from $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$ as a immediate consequence of a simple form of invariants given above. Throughout this paper we fix $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$ and data $\mathrm{Q}, \lambda, \mu, \omega$.

We denote by $\mu_{F}(n)$ the Dirichlet convolution inverse of $a_{F}(n)$, i.e. we formally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{F(\sigma+i t)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{n^{\sigma+i t}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [11, Lemma 1] it follows that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $M=M(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mu_{F}(n)<_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$ for ( $\left.n, M\right)=1$. By this estimation it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{(p, M)>1} F_{p}(s) \frac{1}{F(s)}=\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\(n, M)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{n^{s}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges absolutely and uniformly for $\sigma \geqslant 1+\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. Using axiom (5) one obtains

$$
\mu_{F}\left(p^{m}\right) \ll p^{m \theta} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k!}\binom{m-1}{k-1} \ll p^{m \theta} e^{2 \sqrt{m}}, \quad m \geqslant 1 .
$$

Hence the Dirichlet series

$$
\frac{1}{F_{p}(s)}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mu_{F}\left(p^{m}\right) p^{-m s}
$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets for $\sigma>\theta$. As a consequence we obtain the absolute and uniform convergence of the whole series (1.2) in the half-plane $\sigma \geqslant 1+\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon>0$.

For brevity of notation we put

$$
\varkappa_{F}:= \begin{cases}-\frac{\eta_{F}+1}{2 d_{F}} & \text { if } \eta_{F}>-1 \\ -\frac{1}{d_{F}} & \text { if } \eta_{F}=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Then, for $z$ from the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(z)>0\}$, the function $m(F, z)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(F, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$. The path of integration consists of the half-line $s=\varkappa_{F}+i t$, $\infty>t \geqslant 0$, the smooth arc $\mathcal{A}$ on the upper half-plane joining points $\varkappa_{F}$ and $3 / 2$ separating possible real zeros of $F \bar{F}$ from the zeros above the real line, and the half-line $s=3 / 2+i t, 0 \leqslant t<\infty$. Since from axiom (3) and the Stirling formula it easily follows that $1 / F(s)$ is bounded on $\mathcal{C}$, the integral converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{H}$, and hence represents a holomorphic function on this half-plane. To formulate the main result of this paper we need two auxiliary functions

$$
\begin{align*}
R(F, z) & =\sum_{\substack{F(\beta)=0 \\
0 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 1}} \operatorname{Res}_{s=\beta} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}  \tag{1.5}\\
J_{\nu}(z) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}(z / 2)^{2 k+\nu}}{k!\Gamma(k+\nu+1)} \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{\nu}(z)$ denotes the familiar Bessel function of the first kind of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ [8, formula (2), p. 4] that we only use for $z \neq 0$, choosing the standard real branch on the positive part of the real axis. As usual, $\delta_{a}^{b}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. We also use the notation $\bar{m}(F, z):=\overline{m(F, \bar{z})}$.

Theorem 1. Let $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$. Then $m(F, \cdot)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles at the points $z=\log n, \mu_{F}(n) \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and residues

$$
\underset{z=\log n}{\operatorname{Res}} m(F, z)=-\frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{2 \pi i} .
$$

Moreover, it satisfies the following functional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
m(F, z)+\bar{m}(\bar{F}, z)= & -\frac{2 \bar{\omega}}{d_{F} \mathrm{Q}^{1+2 i \frac{\theta_{F}}{d_{F}}}} e^{-i \frac{\theta_{F}}{d_{F}} z} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\mu_{F}(n)}}{n^{1+i \frac{\theta_{F}}{d_{F}}}}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \times\left(\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{d_{F}}} J_{\frac{1}{2} d_{F}+\eta_{F}}\left(2\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d_{F}}}\right)-\delta_{-1}^{\eta_{F}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} d_{F}\right)}\right) \\
& -R(F, z) .
\end{align*}
$$

This theorem generalises a result of K. Bartz [2] since the Riemann zeta function belongs to $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$. It also generalises a result of A. Łydka [13, Theorem 1.3] since by the results contained in $[3,5,6]$ the function $L\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, E\right)$ belongs to $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$, where $L(s, E)$ denotes the global L-function of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$.

In fact the class $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$ contains many more functions. Let $\chi$ be a primitive, non principal Dirichlet character. Then for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ the Dirichlet $L$-function $L(s+i \theta, \chi)$ belongs to $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$. Let $f$ be a normalised newform of weight $k$ and level $N$, i.e. $f \in \mathbf{S}_{k}^{\text {new }}(N)$, such that $f$ is a common eigenvector for all Hecke operators $T_{p}$. Then the associated $L$-function $L\left(f, s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)$ belongs to $S^{\Gamma}[5,6,9]$.

Neither the complete structure of the Selberg class $S$, nor even the structure of $\mathrm{S}^{\Gamma}$ is known, although many conjectures are formulated [9, 12]. We note here that our result is completely independent of those conjectures.

Let us explicitly state here that the function $m(F, \cdot)$ is just a tool aimed at proving $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{ \pm}$results for the summatory functions of the function $\mu_{F}$. So far this aim was achieved for the summatory function of the function $\mu_{\zeta}$ i.e. the classical arithmetic Möbius function [10, Theorem 1]. Therefore our research is primarily motivated by the arithmetical nature of the elements of the Selberg class and the main result of this paper is just a step towards obtaining $\Omega$ results for the summatory function of $\mu_{F}$ where $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$.
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## 2. Auxiliary results

First we state some technical lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$ and let $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$ run through non-trivial zeros of the function $F$. Then for $|t|>1$ we have the following formulce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)=\sum_{|t-\gamma| \leqslant 1} \frac{1}{s-\rho}+\mathrm{O}_{F}(\log t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log F(s)=\sum_{|t-\gamma| \leqslant 1} \log (s-\rho)+\mathrm{O}_{F}(\log t), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $-1 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 2$, where the implied constants depend only on $F$ (cf. [1, Lemma 2.4]) and $-\pi<\Im \log (s-\rho)<\pi$.

The proof of Lemma 1 follows, mutatis mutandis, by the argument in the proof of Theorem 9.6 (B) [15]. As a corollary we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log F(\sigma+i t)<_{\varepsilon, F} \log (|t|+2), \quad \text { as } \quad|t| \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$, in the strip $1+\varepsilon \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 2$.

For brevity of notation we put

$$
v_{F}:=\frac{\left|\theta_{F}\right|}{d_{F}}+1 .
$$

Then we have
Lemma 2. Let $z=x+i y, y>0, s=R e^{i \varphi}, R \sin \varphi \geqslant v_{F}, R|\cos \varphi| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left|\varkappa_{F}\right|$, where $\frac{\pi}{2}<\varphi<\pi$ and let $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$. Then for $R \geqslant R_{0}(x, y)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}\right| \leqslant e^{-y \frac{R}{2}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the asymmetric form of the functional equation for $F \in \mathrm{~S}^{\Gamma}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\omega \frac{1}{h_{F}(s)} \bar{F}(1-s), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{F}(s)=\mathrm{Q}^{2 s-1} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda s+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda(1-s)+\bar{\mu})} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\log \left|\frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}\right|=\Re(s z)-\log |\bar{F}(1-s)|+\log \left|h_{F}(s)\right|
$$

Since $\Re(1-s)=1+R|\cos \varphi| \geqslant 1+\frac{1}{2}\left|\varkappa_{F}\right|$, by (2.3) we have $\log |\bar{F}(1-s)| \ll \varkappa_{F}$ $\log R$. Since $R \sin \varphi \geqslant v_{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\sin (\pi(\lambda s+\mu))|=\frac{d_{F}}{2} \pi R \sin \varphi+\mathrm{O}(1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the well-known formula

$$
\Gamma(s) \Gamma(1-s)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi s)}
$$

and the Stirling formula we estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \left|h_{F}(s)\right|= & (2 R \cos \varphi) \log \mathrm{Q}+\left(d_{F} R \cos \varphi\right) \log \left(\frac{1}{2} d_{F} R\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
& +d_{F} R\left(\varphi-\frac{3}{2} \pi\right) \sin \varphi-d_{F} R \cos \varphi+\mathrm{O}(\log R)
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left|\frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}\right|=d_{F} R \log \left(\frac{d_{F}}{2} R\right) \cos \varphi+R f(\varphi, x, y)+\mathrm{O}(\log R) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f(\varphi, x, y):=\left(x+2 \log \mathrm{Q}-d_{F}\right) \cos \varphi+\left(-y+d_{F}\left(\varphi-\frac{3}{2} \pi\right)\right) \sin \varphi .
$$

Since

$$
f\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, x, y\right)=-\left(y+d_{F} \pi\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}(\varphi, x, y)<_{x, y} 1, \quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\varphi<\pi
$$

we have for $\frac{\pi}{2}<\varphi \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2}+1 / \sqrt{\log R}$

$$
f(\varphi, x, y)=-\left(y+d_{F} 2 \pi\right)+\mathrm{O}_{x, y}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log R}}\right)
$$

Hence, for such $\varphi$ and sufficiently large $R$, we have

$$
\log \left|\frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}\right| \leqslant-y \frac{R}{2}
$$

For $\frac{\pi}{2}+1 / \sqrt{\log R} \leqslant \varphi \leqslant \pi$ we have $|\cos \varphi| \gg 1 / \sqrt{\log R}$ and hence using (2.9) we have

$$
\log \left|\frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)}\right|=-d_{F} R \log \left(\frac{d_{F}}{2} R\right)|\cos \varphi|+\mathrm{O}_{x, y}(R) \leqslant-y \frac{R}{2}
$$

for sufficiently large $R$, and the lemma follows.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We split the proof of the theorem into two parts. First we prove that function $m(F, \cdot)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, then we show the functional equation.

Using Lemma 2 we can shift the path of integration in (1.4) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
m(F, z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{\mathcal{D}}+\int_{\mathcal{A}}+\int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+i \infty}\right) \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s  \tag{3.1}\\
& =m_{\mathcal{D}}(F, z)+m_{\mathcal{A}}(F, z)+m_{\mathcal{L}}(F, z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ consists of the half-line $s=\sigma+i v_{F},-\infty<\sigma \leqslant \varkappa_{F}$ and the vertical line segment $\left[\varkappa_{F}+i v_{F}, \varkappa_{F}\right], \mathcal{A}$ is the arc part of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{L}=[3 / 2,3 / 2+i \infty)$. For $s=\sigma+i v_{F}$ with $\sigma \leqslant \varkappa_{F}$ and $z=x+i y$ we have

$$
\left|e^{s z}\right|=e^{\sigma x-v_{F} y}
$$

and using (2.9)

$$
\left|\frac{1}{F\left(\sigma+i v_{F}\right)}\right| \ll e^{-c|\sigma| \log (|\sigma|+2)}
$$

for a positive $c$ depending only on $F$. Hence $m_{\mathcal{D}}(F, \cdot)$ is an entire function. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is compact and omits zeros of $F$ it follows that the function $m_{\mathcal{A}}(F, z)$ is also
entire. Let $\Im(z)>0$. Since the series $1 / F\left(\frac{3}{2}+i t\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{F}(n) n^{-\frac{3}{2}-i t}$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $0 \leqslant t<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\mu_{F}(n) e^{(z-\log n)\left(\frac{3}{2}+i t\right)}\right||d t| \\
& \quad \leqslant e^{\frac{3}{2} x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\mu_{F}(n)\right| n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y t} d t<_{F, x} \frac{1}{y} \ll 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

therefore in $m_{\mathcal{L}}(F, \cdot)$ we can interchange the order of summation and integration obtaining

$$
m_{\mathcal{L}}(F, z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{F}(n) \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}+i \infty} e^{(z-\log n) s} d s
$$

We have

$$
m_{\mathcal{L}}(F, z)=-\frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}} z}{2 \pi i} m_{0}(F, z)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}(F, z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{n^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{z-\log n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because (3.3) is uniformly convergent on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z=\log n \mid \mu_{F}(n) \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ we obtain a meromorphic continuation of $m_{\mathcal{L}}(F, z)$ and, consequently, $m(F, z)$ to the whole complex plane. The only singularities are those generated by $m_{0}(F, z)$ i.e. simple poles at $\log n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu_{F}(n) \neq 0$, with residues

$$
\underset{z=\log n}{\operatorname{Res}} m(F, z)=-\frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{2 \pi i} .
$$

Let us now consider $\bar{m}(\bar{F}, z)$, where $\Im(z)<0$. Changing the variable $s \mapsto \bar{s}$ in (1.4), we have

$$
\bar{m}(\bar{F}, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\overline{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the contour conjugate to $\mathcal{C}$ and the minus sign indicates the reversed orientation. As in the first part of the proof, we replace the half-line $\left[\varkappa_{F}, \varkappa_{F}+i \infty\right)$, by the contour $-\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ consisting of the vertical line segment $\left[\varkappa_{F}, \varkappa_{F}-\right.$ $\left.i v_{F}\right]$ and the half line $s=\sigma-i v_{F}, 0 \geqslant \sigma>-\infty$. Therefore we have as in (3.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{m}(\bar{F}, z) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{-\overline{\mathcal{D}}}+\int_{-\overline{\mathcal{A}}}+\int_{\frac{3}{2}-i \infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s  \tag{3.4}\\
& =m_{-\overline{\mathcal{D}}}(F, z)+m_{-\overline{\mathcal{A}}}(F, z)+\frac{e^{\frac{3}{2}} z}{2 \pi i} m_{0}(F, z)
\end{align*}
$$

and the equality extends to $z \in \mathbb{C}$ by analytic continuation. From (3.1) and (3.4) we obtain for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{\log n \mid \mu_{F}(n) \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(F, z)+\bar{m}(\bar{F}, z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{2}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the path consisting of $\left(-\infty+i v_{F}, \varkappa_{F}+i v_{F}\right]$, $\left[\varkappa_{F}+i v_{F}, \varkappa_{F}-i v_{F}\right]$ and $\left[\varkappa_{F}-i v_{F},-\infty-i v_{F}\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}=\mathcal{A} \cup-\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed loop. Since $\mathcal{A}$ separates the real zeros of $F \bar{F}$ from the zeros above the real line, there are no points inside the loop $\mathcal{A}_{2}$, apart from the interval $[0,1]$, where $e^{z \cdot} / F(\cdot)$ could have singularity. Computing residues and noting that the orientation of $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is clockwise, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{2}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s=-R(F, z)
$$

By (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\varkappa_{F}}^{-\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\mu_{F}(n)}{n^{1-s}}\right|\left|h_{F}\left(\sigma \pm i v_{F}\right)\right|\left|e^{\left(\sigma \pm i v_{F}\right)} z\right||d \sigma| \\
& \ll \int_{\varkappa_{F}}^{-\infty} e^{-c_{1}|\sigma|} e^{-|\sigma| x \mp y v_{F}}|d \sigma| \ll 1 \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{1}>0$. By the functional equation (2.5), the expansion of $1 / \bar{F}(1-s)$ into the absolutely and uniformly convergent Dirichlet series, and by the estimation (3.6) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{e^{s z}}{F(s)} d s=\frac{\bar{\omega}}{\mathrm{Q}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\mu_{F}}(n)}{n} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda s+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda(1-s)+\bar{\mu})}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{s} d s
$$

Under the substitution $\lambda s \mapsto s$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda s+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda(1-s)+\bar{\mu})}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{s} d s \\
&=\frac{2}{d_{F}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\lambda \mathcal{E}} \frac{\Gamma(s+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\bar{\mu}-s)}\left(\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{\frac{2}{d_{F}}}\right)^{s} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the last integral by means of [7, formulæ (9), p. 205 \& (3), p. 211] we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda s+\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda(1-s)+\bar{\mu})}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{s} d s \\
= & -\frac{2}{d_{F}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{-i \frac{\theta_{F}}{d_{F}}}\left(\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{d_{F}}} J_{\frac{1}{2} d_{F}+\eta_{F}}\left(2\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2} n e^{z}\right)^{-\frac{1}{d_{F}}}\right)-\delta_{-1}^{\eta_{F}} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} d_{F}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem follows.
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