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#### Abstract

Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ be distinct square-free integers (including 1). First, we show that there exist infinitely many square-free integers $d$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, d\right)=1$ such that the class numbers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} d}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} d}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 . This is a generalization of a result of T. Komatsu [15]. Secondly, we show that there exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)=1$ such that the class numbers of real quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D}\right)$, and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{3} D}\right)$ are all indivisible by 3 when $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ are positive. This is a generalization of a result of D. Byeon [4]. We add an application of this result to the Iwasawa invariants related to Greenberg's conjecture.
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## 1. Introduction

For a given positive integer $n$, there are infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose class numbers are divisible by $n$. Such results are obtained by T. Nagell [18], N. C. Ankeny and S. Chowla [1], R. A. Mollin [17], etc. Similarly, for a given positive integer $n$, there are infinitely many real quadratic fields whose class numbers are divisible by $n$. Y. Yamamoto [25], P. J. Weinberger [24], etc. obtained such results. All the proofs of them were given by constructing such quadratic fields explicitly. Many results on the divisibility of the class number of quadratic fields are known for the case $n=3$ particularly. We begin with a result of T. Komatsu.

Theorem 1.1 (Komatsu, [15]). Fix a non-zero integer $t$. Then, there exist infinitely many both positive and negative square-free integers $d$ such that the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t d})$ are both divisible by 3 .

[^0]The result for the case $t=-1$ is already known by [14]. For the case where $t=-3$ and $d>1$, Theorem 1.1 follows from the Scholz inequality (Theorem 1.2 below), as there are infinitely many real quadratic fields whose class numbers are divisible by 3 .

Theorem 1.2 (Scholz, [21], cf. [23, Theorem 10.10]). Let $d>1$ be squarefree. Let $r_{0}$ be the 3-rank of the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $s_{0}$ the 3-rank of the ideal class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3 d})$. Then,

$$
r_{0} \leqslant s_{0} \leqslant r_{0}+1
$$

One of the purpose of this paper is the following result which is regarded as a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be distinct square-free integers (including 1). Then, there exist infinitely many both positive and negative square-free integers d with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, d\right)=1$ such that the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} d}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} d}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 .

In detail, we see that Theorem 1.3 holds true for pairs of two real quadratic fields, for pairs of two imaginary quadratic fields, or for pairs of real and imaginary quadratic fields respectively. On the other hand, D. Byeon proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (Byeon, [4]). Let $t$ be a square-free integer. Then, there exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t D})$ are both indivisible by 3 .

For $t=-3$, Theorem 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.2. We denote by $h(d)$ the class number of a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. By Theorem 1.2 , for a square-free integer $d>1$, if $3 \nmid h(-3 d)$, then $3 \nmid h(d)$. It is known that there exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $3 \nmid h(-3 D)$ by [19]. Therefore, there exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3 D})$ are both indivisible by 3 . Another goal of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ be square-free positive integers (including 1).
(1) There exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)=1$ and the class numbers of real quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D}\right)$, and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{3} D}\right)$ are all indivisible by 3 .
(2) There exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D\right)=1$ and the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-m_{2} D}\right)$ are both indivisible by 3 .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3 by constructing an explicit cubic polynomial which gives an unramified cyclic cubic extension of a quadratic field. In Section 2.1, we state the method of this construction. We treat two cases where $4 \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ and $4 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$ respectively (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2). Theorem 1.3 follows from these theorems. We prove Theorem 2.1 in Section 2.2 and prove Theorem 2.2 in Section 2.3. To check the divisibility of the class numbers of the quadratic fields, we use a result of P. Llorente and E. Nart [16]. In Section 3, we give a proof of Theorem 1.5. To show this theorem, we essentially use a result of J. Nakagawa and K. Horie [19]. In Section 3.1, we state their result. In Section 3.2, we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 3.3, we add an application of Theorem 1.5 to the Iwasawa invariants related to Greenberg's conjecture.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.3, modifying the method in [15].

### 2.1. Construction

Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be distinct square-free integers (including 1). First, we treat the case where $4 \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ and $2 \nmid m_{2}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of all prime numbers $l$ which are inert in the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}$ and satisfy the condition

$$
\left(\frac{m_{1}}{l}\right)=\left(\frac{m_{2}}{l}\right)=1
$$

where $(\cdot / \cdot)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. We can show that $\mathcal{L}$ is an infinite set not containing 2 and 3 , using the Chebotarev density theorem as in [15, Lemma 1.1]. We fix $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $s$ be a prime number such that $s \neq 2,3, l$ and $s \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$. We take integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ satisfying the following conditions: for each $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{i} \equiv \begin{cases}0 \bmod 9 & \text { if } m_{i} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3, \\
0 \bmod 3 & \text { if } m_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 3,\end{cases} \\
m_{i} n_{i}^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod l, \\
n_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod s^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\
n_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that there exist such integers $n_{i}$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Now put $r_{1}:=m_{1} n_{1}^{2}, r_{2}:=m_{2} n_{2}^{2}$, and $r:=r_{1} r_{2}$. It follows from the assumption on $n_{i}$ that $r_{1}$ is even and $r_{2}$ is odd. Let $P$ be the set of prime numbers defined by

$$
P:=\left\{p: \text { prime } \mid p \neq 3, s \text { and } p \mid r(r-1)\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that 2 and $l$ are contained in $P$. Let $Q$ be the subset of $P$ defined by

$$
Q:=\left\{q: \text { prime } \mid q \neq 3 \text { and } q \mid m_{1} m_{2}\right\} .
$$

When $m_{1} m_{2}=-1, \pm 3,-9$, the set $Q$ is empty. We treat the set $Q$ including the case where $Q$ is empty. Note that $s \notin Q$. We denote by $T$ the set of integers $t$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}t \equiv \pm 3 s \bmod 27 s^{3}, & \\ t \equiv-1 \bmod l, & \text { for any } p \in P, \\ t \not \equiv r, r_{1} \bmod p & \text { for any } q \in Q . \\ 2 t \not \equiv 3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \bmod q\end{cases}
$$

We can use the Chinese remainder theorem to make sure the set $T$ is infinite. Define three subsets of $T$ as follows. For the case where $r_{1}>0$ and $r_{2}>0$, let

$$
T_{1}:=\left\{t \in T \left\lvert\, t \geqslant \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}\right.\right\}
$$

and

$$
T_{2}:=\left\{t \in T \mid t \leqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}\right\} .
$$

For $r<0$, let

$$
T_{3}:=\left\{t \in T \mid t>t_{0}\right\}
$$

where $t_{0}$ is a real number such that $t_{0}>\operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $2 t_{0}^{3}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) t_{0}^{2}+$ $6 r t_{0}-r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)=0$. Note that the real number $t_{0}$ is uniquely determined (see the proof of Lemma 2.11). Define

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X):=\frac{1}{27}\left(3 X^{2}+r\right)\left\{2 X^{3}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) X^{2}+6 r X-r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

For any $t \in T$, we can check the integrality of $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$. Let $\mathcal{F}(S)$ denote the family $\left\{\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right) \mid t \in S\right\}$ for a subset $S$ of $T$. For a prime number $p$ and an integer $a$, we denote by $v_{p}(a)$ the greatest exponent $n$ such that $p^{n} \mid a$. Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be distinct square-free integers (including 1) with $4 \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$. For every $t \in T$, the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$ $=1$. Moreover, the families $\mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)$, and $\mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)$ each include infinitely many quadratic fields. In particular, if $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are positive and $t \in T_{1}$ (resp. $\left.t \in T_{2}\right)$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both real (resp. both imaginary). Furthermore, if $m_{2}<0<m_{1}$ and $t \in T_{3}$, then $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive. In this case, the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is real and the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is imaginary.

This theorem is essential for the proof of the case $4 \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ of Theorem 1.3. In fact, the case $12 \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ of Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 2.1 immediately. For the case $3 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$, we can show Theorem 1.3 by using Theorem 2.1 as follows. By the congruence relation $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $t$, we find $v_{3}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=3$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m_{i}}{3} \frac{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}{3^{3}}}\right)
$$

when $3 \mid m_{i}$ and

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{3 m_{i} \frac{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}{3^{3}}}\right)
$$

when $3 \nmid m_{i}$. Putting $m_{i}^{\prime}:=m_{i} / 3$ (resp. $m_{i}^{\prime}:=3 m_{i}$ ) when $3 \mid m_{i}$ (resp. $\left.3 \nmid m_{i}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 3^{3}\right)=1$. Moreover, the class numbers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1}^{\prime} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 3^{3}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2}^{\prime} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 3^{3}}\right)$ are both divisible by 3.

Next, we treat the case $4 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$. Although the method of the construction is based on the above, we need several changes. The definition of the set $\mathcal{L}$ is the same as above. We fix $l \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $s$ be a prime number such that $s \neq 2,3, l$ and $s \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$. We take integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ satisfying the following conditions: for each $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{i} \equiv \begin{cases}0 \bmod 9 & \text { if } m_{i} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3, \\
0 \bmod 3 & \text { if } m_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 3,\end{cases} \\
m_{i} n_{i}^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod l, \\
n_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod s^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
n_{i} \equiv 2 \bmod 4
$$

Note that there exist such integers $n_{i}$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Put $r_{1}:=m_{1} n_{1}^{2}, r_{2}:=m_{2} n_{2}^{2}$, and $r:=r_{1} r_{2}$ similarly. It follows from the assumption on $n_{i}$ that $r_{i}$ is even. Let $P$ be the set of prime numbers defined by

$$
P:=\left\{p: \text { prime } \mid p \neq 2,3, s \text { and } p \mid r(r-1)\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see $l \in P$. Let $Q$ be the subset of $P$ defined by

$$
Q:=\left\{q: \text { prime } \mid q \neq 2,3 \text { and } q \mid m_{1} m_{2}\right\} .
$$

When $m_{1} m_{2}=-4, \pm 12,-36$, the set $Q$ is empty. We treat the set $Q$ including the case where $Q$ is empty. Note that $s \notin Q$. We denote by $T$ the set of integers $t$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}t \equiv \pm 6 s \bmod 8 \cdot 27 s^{3}, & \\ t \equiv-1 \bmod l \\ t \not \equiv r_{1}, r_{2} \bmod p & \text { for any } p \in P \\ 2 t \not \equiv 3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \bmod q & \text { for any } q \in Q\end{cases}
$$

We see from the Chinese remainder theorem that the set $T$ is infinite. The definitions of $T_{i}(i=1,2,3), D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$, and $\mathcal{F}(S)$ are the same as above. It follows from the congruence relation of $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $t$ that $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is an integer. Then, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be distinct square-free integers (including 1) with $4 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$. For every $t \in T$, the class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} /\left(4 \cdot 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}\right)\right.$, $\left.D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=1$. Moreover, the families $\mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right), \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)$, and $\mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)$ each include infinitely many quadratic fields. In particular, if $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are positive and $t \in$ $T_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.t \in T_{2}\right)$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both real (resp. both imaginary). Furthermore, if $m_{2}<0<m_{1}$ and $t \in T_{3}$, then $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive. In this case, the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is real and the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is imaginary.

This theorem is essential for the proof of the case $4 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$ of Theorem 1.3. We can show Theorem 1.3 by using Theorem 2.2 as follows. First, we treat the case $3 \nmid$ $m_{1} m_{2}$. It follows from the congruence relation $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $t$ that $v_{2}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=6$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} \frac{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}{2^{6}}}\right) .
$$

We see $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 2^{6}\right)=1$. Moreover, the class numbers of the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 2^{6}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) / 2^{6}}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 . Secondly, we treat the case $3 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$. It follows from the congruence relation $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $t$ that $v_{2}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=6$ and $v_{3}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=3$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m_{i}}{3} \frac{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}{2^{6} 3^{3}}}\right)
$$

when $3 \mid m_{i}$ and

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{3 m_{i} \frac{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}{2^{6} 3^{3}}}\right)
$$

when $3 \nmid m_{i}$. Putting $m_{i}^{\prime}:=m_{i} / 3$ (resp. $m_{i}^{\prime}:=3 m_{i}$ ) when $3 \mid m_{i}$ (resp. $\left.3 \nmid m_{i}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) /\left(2^{6} 3^{3}\right)\right)=1$. Moreover, the class numbers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1}^{\prime} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) /\left(2^{6} 3^{3}\right)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2}^{\prime} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) /\left(2^{6} 3^{3}\right)}\right)$ are both divisible by 3 .

### 2.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 2.1. We can show Theorem 2.2 similarly. The proof consists of three parts: the divisibility of the class numbers of the quadratic fields (Proposition 2.10), the determination of the sign of $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ (Proposition 2.12), and the infiniteness of $\mathcal{F}(T)$ (Proposition 2.13). Before these proofs, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. We have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=1 .
$$

Proof. When $m_{1} m_{2}=-1, \pm 3,-9$, we easily see that the statement holds true. Then, we treat the case $m_{1} m_{2} \neq-1, \pm 3,-9$, that is, the case where $Q$ is not empty. Assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right) \neq 1$. For every prime number $\rho_{1}$ with $\rho_{1} \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} / 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$, we have $27 D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
27 D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) & =\left(3 t^{2}+r\right)\left\{2 t^{3}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) t^{2}+6 r t-r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \equiv 3 t^{4}\left(2 t-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right) \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $\rho_{1} \neq 3$ that $\rho_{1} \in Q$. By definition of the set $T$, we see $2 t \not \equiv$ $3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \bmod \rho_{1}$. Then, $t \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{1}$. On the other hand, it follows from $m_{1} m_{2} / 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)} \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{1}$ that $\rho_{1}$ divides $r$. Then, $t \equiv r \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{1}$. Note that $\rho_{1} \in P$. This is a contradiction by definition of the set $T$.

First, we show the divisibility of the class numbers of the quadratic fields. To prove $3 \mid h\left(m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)(i=1,2)$, we use a result of P. Llorente and E. Nart [16]. Let $f(Z)$ be an irreducible cubic polynomial of the form $f(Z)=Z^{3}-\alpha Z-\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $K_{f}$ the minimal splitting field of $f(Z)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, $k_{f}:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{4 \alpha^{3}-27 \beta^{2}}\right)$ is contained in $K_{f}$. Assume that $4 \alpha^{3}-27 \beta^{2}$ is not a square and $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=2^{e} 3^{e^{\prime}} s^{e^{\prime \prime}}$ for some integers $e, e^{\prime}$, and $e^{\prime \prime}$. Let $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$, and $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ be the maximal integers such that $\alpha /\left(2^{2 \delta} 3^{2 \delta^{\prime}} s^{2 \delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ and $\beta /\left(2^{3 \delta} 3^{3 \delta^{\prime}} s^{3 \delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ are integers. Put $\alpha_{0}:=\alpha /\left(2^{2 \delta} 3^{2 \delta^{\prime}} s^{2 \delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ and $\beta_{0}:=\beta /\left(2^{3 \delta} 3^{3 \delta^{\prime}} s^{3 \delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)$. Llorente and Nart proved the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4 (Llorente and Nart, [16]). Assume $v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)<2$ or $v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right)<3$ for each prime number $p$.
(1) If $p \neq 3$, then the prime ideals of $k_{f}$ over $p$ are unramified in the extension $K_{f} / k_{f}$ if and only if the condition $1 \leqslant v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied.
(2) If $p=3, \alpha_{0} \equiv 3 \bmod 9$, and $\beta_{0}^{2} \equiv \alpha_{0}+1 \bmod 27$, then the prime ideals of $k_{f}$ over 3 are unramified in the extension $K_{f} / k_{f}$.
Remark 2.5. In [16], more general situations are treated. However, Proposition 2.4 is enough for us.

We shall show $3 \mid h\left(m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$ and $3 \mid h\left(m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$ for each $t \in T$. For a fixed $t \in T$, we put $u:=t^{3}+3 r t, w:=3 t^{2}+r, a:=u-r_{1} w, b:=u-r_{2} w$, and $c:=t^{2}-r$. Then, $u, w, a, b$, and $c$ are integers such that

$$
(t+\sqrt{r})^{3}=u+w \sqrt{r}
$$

and

$$
r_{2} a^{2}-r_{1} b^{2}=\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) c^{3} .
$$

We note that $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$. This follows from the uniqueness of factorization into prime factors and the assumption that $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are square-free. Define $f_{1}(Z):=$ $Z^{3}-3 c Z-2 a$ and $f_{2}(Z):=Z^{3}-3 c Z-2 b$.

Lemma 2.6. The polynomials $f_{1}(Z)$ and $f_{2}(Z)$ are both irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{l}$. In particular, they are both irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. We can show this lemma in a way similar to [15, Lemma 2.2]. We see from $r_{i}=m_{i} n_{i}^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod l(i=1,2)$ and $t \equiv-1 \bmod l$ that $a \equiv b \equiv-8 \bmod l$ and $c \equiv 0 \bmod l$. Then, $f_{i}(Z) \equiv Z^{3}+16 \bmod l$ for each $i=1,2$. Since $l$ is inert in the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) / \mathbb{Q}$, the polynomial $Z^{3}-2$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{l}$ and so is $Z^{3}+16$. Therefore, $f_{i}(Z)$ are both irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{l}$ and hence also over $\mathbb{Q}$, where $i=1,2$.

Lemma 2.7. The cyclic cubic extensions $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$ are both everywhere unramified at finite places, where $i=1,2$.

By the definitions of the integers $a, b$, and $c$, we have

$$
4(3 c)^{3}-27(2 a)^{2}=54^{2} r_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)=54^{2} m_{1} n_{1}^{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)=\left(54 n_{1}\right)^{2} m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)
$$

and

$$
4(3 c)^{3}-27(2 b)^{2}=54^{2} r_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)=54^{2} m_{2} n_{2}^{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)=\left(54 n_{2}\right)^{2} m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) .
$$

Then, $k_{f_{1}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $k_{f_{2}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$. To prove Lemma 2.7, we need the following two lemmas.

## Lemma 2.8.

(1) $c$ is odd.
(2) We have $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)=3^{e} s^{e^{\prime}}$ for some integers $e, e^{\prime}$.

Proof. (1) We see from $2 \in P$ that $t \not \equiv r \bmod 2$. Then, $c=t^{2}-r \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, that is, $c$ is odd.
(2) Let $\rho_{2}$ be a prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)$. Note that $\rho_{2}$ is odd. Since $\rho_{2}$ divides $c=t^{2}-r$, we see $t^{2} \equiv r \bmod \rho_{2}$. It follows from $\rho_{2} \mid a b$ that

$$
0 \equiv a b \equiv\left(u-r_{1} w\right)\left(u-r_{2} w\right) \equiv 16 t^{4}\left(t-r_{1}\right)\left(t-r_{2}\right) \bmod \rho_{2}
$$

Then, (i) $\rho_{2} \mid t$ or (ii) $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{2}$ or (iii) $t \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}$. First, we treat Case(i). Since $\rho_{2}$ divides $t$, we see $r \equiv t^{2} \equiv t \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{2}$. Then, $\rho_{2} \mid r$, that is, $\rho_{2} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. If $\rho_{2} \in P$, we have $t \not \equiv r \bmod \rho_{2}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\rho_{2}=3$, s. Secondly, we treat Case(ii). Since $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{2}$ holds, we see

$$
r_{1}^{2} \equiv t^{2} \equiv r=r_{1} r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}
$$

If $\rho_{2}$ divides $r_{1}$, we have $r \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{2}$. Then, $\rho_{2} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. Since $t \not \equiv r \bmod p$ holds for every $p \in P$, it must be $\rho_{2}=3$, s. If $\rho_{2}$ does not divide $r_{1}$, we see $r_{1} \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}$, that is, $\rho_{2} \mid r_{1}-r_{2}$. Then, $\rho_{2} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. If $\rho_{2} \in P$, we have $t \not \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{2}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\rho_{2}=3, s$. Finally, we treat Case(iii). Since $t \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}$ holds, we see

$$
r_{2}^{2} \equiv t^{2} \equiv r=r_{1} r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}
$$

If $\rho_{2}$ divides $r_{2}$, then $r \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{2}$, that is, $\rho_{2} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. Since $t \not \equiv r \bmod p$ holds for every $p \in P$, it must be $\rho_{2}=3$, $s$. If $\rho_{2}$ does not divide $r_{2}$, we have $r_{2} \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{2}$. Then, $t \equiv r_{1} \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{2}$, that is, $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{2}$. This case can result in Case(ii) and then $\rho_{2}=3, s$.

Lemma 2.9. We have $r_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 27$, where $i=1,2$.
Proof. When $m_{i} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, we have $n_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 9$. Then, $r_{i}=m_{i} n_{i}^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod$ 27. When $m_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$, we have $n_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. Then, $r_{i}=m_{i} n_{i}^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 27$.

Proof of Lemma 2.7. Since $v_{s}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=5$ and $s \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ hold, we have $k_{f_{i}} \neq$ $\mathbb{Q}$, where $i=1,2$. Then, we can use Proposition 2.4. In this case, we take $\alpha=3 c$, $\beta=2 a$ or $2 b$. By Lemma 2.8 (2), we have $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)=3^{e} s^{e^{\prime}}$ for some integers $e, e^{\prime}$. Then, the assumption $v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)<2$ or $v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right)<3$ is satisfied for each prime number $p$, where $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are as in Proposition 2.4. Moreover, the condition $1 \leqslant v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied when $p \neq 3$, s. By Proposition 2.4 (1), the prime ideals of $k_{f_{i}}$ over $p$ are unramified in the extension $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$ when $p \neq 3, s$. Now, we treat the case $p=s$. Since

$$
\frac{a}{s^{3}} \equiv \frac{b}{s^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{s^{3}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod s
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{s^{2}} \equiv \frac{t^{2}}{s^{2}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod s
$$

hold, we have $\delta^{\prime \prime}=1$, where $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ is as in Proposition 2.4. Then, we find $\alpha_{0}=$ $3 c /\left(2^{2 \delta} 3^{2 \delta^{\prime}} s^{2}\right)$ and $v_{s}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, the condition $1 \leqslant v_{s}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{s}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied, that is, the prime ideals of $k_{f_{i}}$ over $s$ are unramified in $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$. Next, we treat the case $p=3$. Put $t_{1}:=\frac{t}{3 s}$. We see $t_{1} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9$. By Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a}{3^{3} s^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{1} t^{2}-r_{1} r}{3^{3} s^{3}} \equiv t_{1}^{3} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27 \\
& \frac{b}{3^{3} s^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{2} t^{2}-r_{2} r}{3^{3} s^{3}} \equiv t_{1}^{3} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{3^{2} s^{2}}=\frac{t^{2}-r}{3^{2} s^{2}} \equiv t_{1}^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 9
$$

Then, $\delta^{\prime}=1$, where $\delta^{\prime}$ is as in Proposition 2.4. By Lemma 2.8 (1), the integer $c$ is odd. Then, $\delta=0$, where $\delta$ is as in Proposition 2.4. Hence, $\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{3 c}{3^{2} s^{2}}, \frac{2 a}{3^{3} s^{3}}\right)$ if $\beta=2 a$ and $\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{3 c}{3^{2} s^{2}}, \frac{2 b}{3^{3} s^{3}}\right)$ otherwise. Since $\alpha_{0} \equiv 3 \bmod 27$ and $\beta_{0} \equiv \pm 2 \bmod 27$ hold, we see

$$
\beta_{0}^{2} \equiv \alpha_{0}+1 \bmod 27
$$

By Proposition 2.4 (2), the prime ideals of $k_{f_{i}}$ over 3 are unramified in the extension $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$. The proof of Lemma 2.7 is completed.

Lemma 2.7 shows that 3 divides the orders of the narrow class groups of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$. Since the difference between these orders and the class numbers of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is only a power of 2 , the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.10. For any $t \in T$, we have

$$
3 \mid h\left(m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad 3 \mid h\left(m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right) .
$$

Secondly, we consider whether $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive or not. Define

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X):=2 X^{3}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) X^{2}+6 r X-r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) .
$$

Then,

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)=\frac{1}{27}\left(3 X^{2}+r\right) g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X) .
$$

Concerning the sign of $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$, we obtain the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.11.

(1) Assume $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are positive integers. Then, $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive if $t \geqslant$ $\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is negative if $t \leqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$.
(2) Assume $r_{1} r_{2}$ is a negative integer. If $t>t_{0}$, then $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive, where $t_{0}$ is a real number such that $t_{0} \geqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. (1) Since $\frac{1}{27}\left(3 t^{2}+r\right)$ is positive, the sign of $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ coincides with that of $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$. The derivative of $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)$ is

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}^{\prime}(X)=6\left(X-r_{1}\right)\left(X-r_{2}\right) .
$$

We see

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(r_{1}\right)=-r_{1}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}<0
$$

and

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(r_{2}\right)=-r_{2}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{2}<0 .
$$

Then, $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)=0$ has only one real root. This root is larger than $\operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$. Therefore, if $t \leqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$, then $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is negative, that is, $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is negative. Assume $r_{1}>r_{2}>0$. We see

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(3 r_{1} / 2\right)=\frac{1}{4} r_{1} r_{2}\left(5 r_{1}-4 r_{2}\right)>0 .
$$

Since $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(3 r_{1} / 2\right)$ is positive and $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)$ is monotonically increasing for $X>$ $\operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$, we obtain $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)>0$ when $t \geqslant 3 r_{1} / 2$. Then, $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive when $t \geqslant 3 r_{1} / 2$.
(2) We may assume $r_{1}>0>r_{2}$, that is, $m_{1}>0>m_{2}$. We see

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}^{\prime}(X)=6\left(X-r_{1}\right)\left(X-r_{2}\right)
$$

Since $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(r_{1}\right)=-r_{1}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)^{2}$ is negative and $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(r_{2}\right)=-r_{2}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)^{2}$ is positive, there exists only one real number $t_{0}$ such that $t_{0}>r_{1}=\operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Then, $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive when $t>t_{0}$. If $t>\sqrt{-r / 3}$, then $3 t^{2}+r>0$. Therefore, $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive when $t>\operatorname{Max}\left\{t_{0}, \sqrt{-r / 3}\right\}$. Here, $\operatorname{Max}\left\{t_{0}, \sqrt{-r / 3}\right\}=t_{0}$. In fact, we see from

$$
g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{-r}{3}}\right)=\frac{16 r}{3} \sqrt{\frac{-r}{3}}<0
$$

that $t_{0}>\sqrt{-r / 3}$.
By Lemma 2.11, we obtain the following proposition.

## Proposition 2.12.

(1) Assume $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are positive integers. If $t \in T_{1}$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both real. If $t \in T_{2}$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both imaginary.
(2) Assume $m_{1}>0>m_{2}$. If $t \in T_{3}$, then the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is real and the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is imaginary.

Finally, we consider whether $\mathcal{F}(T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i}(T)(i=1,2,3)$ include infinitely many quadratic fields. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.13. We have $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$. In particular, $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right)=\infty, \sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)=$ $\infty$, and $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\infty$.

Proof. We can show this proposition in a way similar to [15, Proposition 2.7]. We will prove $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$. We can show $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right)=\infty, \sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)=\infty$, and $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\infty$ in the same way. Assume $S$ is a non-empty subset of $T$ such that $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is finite. We will show that we can choose $a_{0}$ from $T$ so that $\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)$. The choice of $a_{0}$ is as follows. Let $M_{S}$ be the composite field of all quadratic fields which belong to $\mathcal{F}(S)$ and let $P_{S}$ be the set of prime numbers ramifying in $M_{S} / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $M_{S} / \mathbb{Q}$ is of finite degree, the set $P_{S}$ is finite. Note that $s \in P_{S}$. There exists at least one prime number $q_{1} \notin P \cup P_{S} \cup\{3\}$ such that $\left(\frac{(-r / 3)}{q_{1}}\right)=1$. We fix such a prime number $q_{1}$. Then, there exists at least one integer $x$ such that $3 x^{2}+r \equiv 0$ $\bmod q_{1}$. We fix such an integer $x$. Define

$$
x_{0}:= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } 3 x^{2}+r \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} \\ x+q_{1} & \text { if } 3 x^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

If $x_{0}=x+q_{1}$, then $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 6 q_{1} x \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Assume $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. By $q_{1} \neq 2,3$, we find $q_{1} \mid x$, that is, $q_{1} \mid r$. This is a contradiction with $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{3, s\}$. Then, we always have $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}$ and $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Since

$$
3 g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)=\left(2 X-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right)\left(3 X^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}\right)+16 r_{1} r_{2} X
$$

holds,

$$
3 g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(2 x_{0}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right)\left(3 x_{0}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}\right)+16 r_{1} r_{2} x_{0} \equiv 16 r_{1} r_{2} x_{0} \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

if $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}$. It follows from $2 \in P$ and $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{3, s\}$ that $q_{1} \mid x_{0}$. Then, $q_{1} \mid r$, that is, $q_{1} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv$ $0 \bmod q_{1}$. Since $q_{1} \neq 3$ and $v_{q_{1}}\left(3 x_{0}^{2}+r\right)=1$ hold,

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{3 x_{0}^{2}+r}{27} g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

and

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, it follows from $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{3, s\}$ and the Chinese remainder theorem that there exists $a_{0} \in T$ such that $a_{0} \equiv x_{0} \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Then,

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

and

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} .
$$

This implies that $q_{1}$ ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=$ $3^{e}$ for some integer $e$ and $q_{1} \neq 3$ holds, the prime number $q_{1}$ also ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Then, $q_{1}$ also ramifies in $M_{S}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. By the assumption $q_{1} \notin P_{S}$, this implies

$$
M_{S} \subsetneq M_{S}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)
$$

The family $\mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)$ is also finite. Repeating this, we can construct an infinite increasing sequence of subsets $S_{i}$ of $T$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S_{1}\right) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S_{2}\right) \subsetneq \cdots,
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subsetneq S_{1} \subsetneq S_{2} \subsetneq \cdots$. This implies $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$.
Theorem 2.1 follows from Lemma 2.3, Propositions 2.10, 2.12, and 2.13.

### 2.3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section, we show Theorem 2.2 (the case $4 \mid m_{1} m_{2}$ ), modifying the method of the proof of Theorem 2.1. First, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.14. We have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} /\left(4 \cdot 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}\right), D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=1
$$

Proof. When $m_{1} m_{2}=-4, \pm 12,-36$, we easily see that the statement holds true. Then, we treat the case $m_{1} m_{2} \neq-4, \pm 12,-36$, that is, the case where $Q$ is not empty. Assume $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} /\left(4 \cdot 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}\right), D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right) \neq 1$. For every prime number $\rho_{3}$ with $\rho_{3} \mid \operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} /\left(4 \cdot 3^{v_{3}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)}\right), D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$, we have $27 D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{3}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
27 D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t) & =\left(3 t^{2}+r\right)\left\{2 t^{3}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) t^{2}+6 r t-r\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \equiv 3 t^{4}\left\{2 t-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right\} \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $\rho_{3} \neq 2,3$ that $\rho_{3} \in Q$. By definition of the set $T$, we see $2 t \not \equiv$ $3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) \bmod \rho_{3}$. Then, $t \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{3}$. On the other hand, $\rho_{3} \mid m_{1}$ or $\rho_{3} \mid m_{2}$. Then, $t \equiv r_{1} \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{3}$ or $t \equiv r_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{3}$. This is a contradiction by definition of the set $T$.

Secondly, we show the divisibility of the class numbers of the quadratic fields. The definitions of the integers $u, w, a, b$, and $c$ are the same as in Section 2.2. To prove $3 \mid h\left(m_{i} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)(i=1,2)$, we use Proposition 2.4. Define $f_{1}(Z):=$ $Z^{3}-3 c Z-2 a$ and $f_{2}(Z):=Z^{3}-3 c Z-2 b$ as in Section 2.2. We can show that $f_{1}(Z)$ and $f_{2}(Z)$ are both irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ in a way similar to Lemma 2.6. Using Proposition 2.4, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. The cyclic cubic extensions $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$ are both everywhere unramified at finite places, where $i=1,2$.

It follows from the definitions of $a, b$, and $c$ that $k_{f_{1}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $k_{f_{2}}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$. To prove Lemma 2.15, we need the following two lemmas.

## Lemma 2.16.

(1) $c$ is even.
(2) We have $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)=2^{e} 3^{e^{\prime}} s^{e^{\prime \prime}}$ for some integers $e, e^{\prime}$, and $e^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. (1) Since $t$ and $r$ are even, $c=t^{2}-r$ is also even.
(2) By (1), $c$ is even. The integer $a b$ is also even. Then, $2 \mid \operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)$. Let $\rho_{4}$ be an odd prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)$. Since $\rho_{4}$ divides $c=t^{2}-r$, we have $t^{2} \equiv r \bmod \rho_{4}$. It follows from $\rho_{4} \mid a b$ that

$$
0 \equiv a b \equiv\left(u-r_{1} w\right)\left(u-r_{2} w\right) \equiv 16 t^{4}\left(t-r_{1}\right)\left(t-r_{2}\right) \bmod \rho_{4}
$$

Then, (i) $\rho_{4} \mid t$ or (ii) $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{4}$ or (iii) $t \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}$. First, we treat Case(i). Since $\rho_{4}$ divides $t$, we see $r \equiv t^{2} \equiv t \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{4}$. Then, $\rho_{4} \mid r$, that is, $\rho_{4} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. It follows from $\rho_{4} \mid r$ that $\rho_{4} \mid r_{1}$ or $\rho_{4} \mid r_{2}$. If $\rho_{4} \in P$, we have $t \not \equiv r_{1}, r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\rho_{4}=3$, s. Secondly, we treat Case(ii). Since $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{4}$ holds, we see

$$
r_{1}^{2} \equiv t^{2} \equiv r=r_{1} r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}
$$

If $\rho_{4}$ divides $r_{1}$, we have $r \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{4}$. Then, $\rho_{4} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. Since $t \not \equiv$ $r_{1}, r_{2} \bmod p$ holds for every $p \in P$, it must be $\rho_{4}=3, s$. If $\rho_{4}$ does not divide $r_{1}$,
we see $r_{1} \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}$, that is, $\rho_{4} \mid r_{1}-r_{2}$. Then, $\rho_{4} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. If $\rho_{4} \in P$, we have $t \not \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{4}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\rho_{4}=3, s$. Finally, we treat Case(iii). Since $t \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}$ holds, we see

$$
r_{2}^{2} \equiv t^{2} \equiv r=r_{1} r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}
$$

If $\rho_{4}$ divides $r_{2}$, then $r \equiv 0 \bmod \rho_{4}$, that is, $\rho_{4} \in P \cup\{3, s\}$. Since $t \not \equiv r_{1}, r_{2} \bmod p$ holds for every $p \in P$, it must be $\rho_{4}=3$, $s$. If $\rho_{4}$ does not divide $r_{2}$, we have $r_{2} \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{4}$. Then, $t \equiv r_{1} \equiv r_{2} \bmod \rho_{4}$, that is, $t \equiv r_{1} \bmod \rho_{4}$. This case can result in Case(ii) and then $\rho_{4}=3, s$.

Lemma 2.17. We have $r_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod 27$, where $i=1,2$.
Proof. We can show this lemma in a way similar to Lemma 2.9.
Proof of Lemma 2.15. Since $v_{s}\left(D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)=5$ and $s \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$ hold, we have $k_{f_{i}} \neq \mathbb{Q}$, where $i=1,2$. Then, we can use Proposition 2.4. In this case, we take $\alpha=3 c, \beta=2 a$ or $2 b$. By Lemma 2.16 (2), we have $\operatorname{gcd}(a b, c)=2^{e} 3^{e^{\prime}} s^{e^{\prime \prime}}$ for some integers $e, e^{\prime}$, and $e^{\prime \prime}$. Then, the assumption $v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)<2$ or $v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right)<3$ is satisfied for each prime number $p$, where $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are as in Proposition 2.4. Moreover, the condition $1 \leqslant v_{p}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{p}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied when $p \neq 2,3$, $s$. Then, the prime ideals of $k_{f_{i}}$ over $p$ are unramified in the extension $K_{f_{i}} / k_{f_{i}}$ when $p \neq 2,3$, $s$. Now, we treat the case $p=2, s$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{a}{2^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{1} t^{2}-r_{1} r}{2^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{2^{3}} \equiv 1 \bmod 2, \\
\frac{b}{2^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{2} t^{2}-r_{2} r}{2^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{2^{3}} \equiv 1 \bmod 2,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{2^{2}}=\frac{t^{2}-r}{2^{2}} \equiv \frac{t^{2}}{2^{2}} \equiv 1 \bmod 2
$$

hold, we see $\delta=1$, where $\delta$ is as in Proposition 2.4. Then, $\alpha_{0}=3 c /\left(2^{2} 3^{2 \delta^{\prime}} s^{2 \delta^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is odd, that is, $v_{2}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, the condition $1 \leqslant v_{2}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{2}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied. Since

$$
\frac{a}{s^{3}} \equiv \frac{b}{s^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{s^{3}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod s
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{s^{2}} \equiv \frac{t^{2}}{s^{2}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod s
$$

hold, we have $\delta^{\prime \prime}=1$, where $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ is as in Proposition 2.4. Then, we find $\alpha_{0}=$ $3 c /\left(2^{2} 3^{2 \delta^{\prime}} s^{2}\right)$ and $v_{s}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, the condition $1 \leqslant v_{s}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \leqslant v_{s}\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ is not satisfied. By Proposition 2.4 (1), the prime ideals of $k_{f}$ over $2, s$ are unramified in the extension $K_{f} / k_{f}$. Next, we treat the case $p=3$. It follows from Lemma 2.17 that

$$
\frac{a}{3^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{1} t^{2}-r_{1} r}{3^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{3^{3}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3,
$$

$$
\frac{b}{3^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{2} t^{2}-r_{2} r}{3^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{3^{3}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3,
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{3^{2}}=\frac{t^{2}-r}{3^{2}} \equiv \frac{t^{2}}{3^{2}} \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3
$$

Then, $\delta^{\prime}=1$, where $\delta^{\prime}$ is as in Proposition 2.4. Hence, $\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{3 c}{6^{2} s^{2}}, \frac{2 a}{6^{3} s^{3}}\right)$ if $\beta=2 a$ and $\left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{3 c}{6^{2} s^{2}}, \frac{2 b}{6^{3} s^{3}}\right)$ otherwise. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t}{6 s} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 9 \\
\frac{a}{6^{3} s^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{1} t^{2}-r_{1} r}{6^{3} s^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{6^{3} s^{3}} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27, \\
\frac{b}{6^{3} s^{3}}=\frac{t^{3}+3 r t-3 r_{2} t^{2}-r_{2} r}{6^{3} s^{3}} \equiv \frac{t^{3}}{6^{3} s^{3}} \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 27,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\frac{c}{6^{2} s^{2}}=\frac{t^{2}-r}{6^{2} s^{2}} \equiv \frac{t^{2}}{6^{2} s^{2}} \equiv 1 \bmod 9
$$

hold, we see $\alpha_{0} \equiv 3 \bmod 27$ and $\beta_{0} \equiv \pm 2 \bmod 27$. Then, $\beta_{0}^{2} \equiv \alpha_{0}+1 \bmod 27$. By Proposition 2.4 (2), the prime ideals of $k_{f}$ over 3 are unramified in the extension $K_{f} / k_{f}$. The proof of Lemma 2.15 is completed.

By Lemma 2.15, we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 2.18. We have $3 \mid h\left(m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$ and $3 \mid h\left(m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)\right)$ for any $t \in T$.

Thirdly, we consider whether $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive or not. We have the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.19.

(1) Assume $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are positive integers. Then, $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive if $t \geqslant$ $\frac{3}{2} \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is negative if $t \leqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$.
(2) Assume $r_{1} r_{2}$ is a negative integer. If $t>t_{0}$, then $D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)$ is positive, where $t_{0}$ is a real number such that $t_{0} \geqslant \operatorname{Max}\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. We can show this lemma in a way similar to Lemma 2.11.
By Lemma 2.19, we obtain the following proposition.

## Proposition 2.20.

(1) Assume $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are positive integers. If $t \in T_{1}$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both real. If $t \in T_{2}$, then the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ are both imaginary.
(2) Assume $m_{1}>0>m_{2}$. If $t \in T_{3}$, then the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is real and the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(t)}\right)$ is imaginary.

Finally, we consider whether $\mathcal{F}(T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i}(T)(i=1,2,3)$ include infinitely many quadratic fields. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.21. We have $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$. In particular, $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right)=\infty, \sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)=$ $\infty$, and $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\infty$.

Proof. We can show this proposition in a way similar to Proposition 2.13. We will prove $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$. We can show $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{1}\right)=\infty, \sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{2}\right)=\infty$, and $\sharp \mathcal{F}\left(T_{3}\right)=\infty$ in the same way. Assume $S$ is a non-empty subset of $T$ such that $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is finite. We will show that we can choose $a_{0}$ from $T$ so that $\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)$. The choice of $a_{0}$ is as follows. Let $M_{S}$ be the composite field of all quadratic fields which belong to $\mathcal{F}(S)$ and let $P_{S}$ be the set of prime numbers ramifying in $M_{S} / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $M_{S} / \mathbb{Q}$ is of finite degree, the set $P_{S}$ is finite. Note that $s \in P_{S}$. There exists at least one prime number $q_{1} \notin P \cup P_{S} \cup\{2,3\}$ such that $\left(\frac{(-r / 3)}{q_{1}}\right)=1$. We fix such a prime number $q_{1}$. Then, there exists at least one integer $x$ such that $3 x^{2}+r \equiv 0$ $\bmod q_{1}$. We fix such an integer $x$. Define

$$
x_{0}:= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } 3 x^{2}+r \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} \\ x+q_{1} & \text { if } 3 x^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}\end{cases}
$$

If $x_{0}=x+q_{1}$, then $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 6 q_{1} x \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Assume $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. By $q_{1} \neq 2,3$, we find $q_{1} \mid x$, that is, $q_{1} \mid r$. This is a contradiction with $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{2,3, s\}$. Then, we always have $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}$ and $3 x_{0}^{2}+r \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Since

$$
3 g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(X)=\left(2 X-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right)\left(3 X^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}\right)+16 r_{1} r_{2} X
$$

holds,

$$
3 g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(2 x_{0}-3\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\right)\left(3 x_{0}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2}\right)+16 r_{1} r_{2} x_{0} \equiv 16 r_{1} r_{2} x_{0} \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

if $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}$. It follows from $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{2,3, s\}$ that $q_{1} \mid x_{0}$. Then, $q_{1} \mid r$, that is, $q_{1} \in P \cup\{2,3, s\}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}$. Since $q_{1} \neq 3$ and $v_{q_{1}}\left(3 x_{0}^{2}+r\right)=1$ hold,

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{3 x_{0}^{2}+r}{27} g_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

and

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, it follows from $q_{1} \notin P \cup\{2,3, s\}$ and the Chinese remainder theorem that there exists $a_{0} \in T$ such that $a_{0} \equiv x_{0} \bmod q_{1}^{2}$. Then,

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}
$$

and

$$
D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right) \equiv D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(x_{0}\right) \not \equiv 0 \bmod q_{1}^{2} .
$$

This implies that $q_{1}$ ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=$ $2 \cdot 3^{e}$ for some integer $e$ and $q_{1} \neq 2,3$ holds, the prime number $q_{1}$ also ramifies in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Then, $q_{1}$ also ramifies in $M_{S}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. By the assumption $q_{1} \notin P_{S}$, this implies

$$
M_{S} \subsetneq M_{S}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D_{r_{1}, r_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\right),
$$

that is,

$$
\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)
$$

Here, the family $\mathcal{F}\left(S \cup\left\{a_{0}\right\}\right)$ is also finite. Repeating this, we can construct an infinite increasing sequence of subsets $S_{i}$ of $T$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}(S) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S_{1}\right) \subsetneq \mathcal{F}\left(S_{2}\right) \subsetneq \cdots,
$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S \subsetneq S_{1} \subsetneq S_{2} \subsetneq \cdots$. This implies $\sharp \mathcal{F}(T)=\infty$.
Theorem 2.2 follows from Lemma 2.14, Propositions 2.18, 2.20, and 2.21.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we show Theorem 1.5, modifying the method in [4]. To prove this, we use a result of Nakagawa and Horie [19]. In Section 3.1, we state their result. In Section 3.2, we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 3.3, we give an application of Theorem 1.5.

### 3.1. Result of Nakagawa and Horie

For a given prime number $p$, there are infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose class numbers are indivisible by $p$. Such results are obtained by P. Hartung [8], K. Horie [10, 11], K. Horie and Y. Ônishi [9], W. Kohnen and K. Ono [13], etc. Similarly, for a given prime number $p$, there are infinitely many real quadratic fields whose class numbers are indivisible by $p$. K. Ono [20], D. Byeon [2, 3], etc. obtained such results. For $p=3$, results of H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn [5] and J. Nakagawa and K. Horie [19] are known. We begin with their results.

Suppose $0<X \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $S_{+}(X)$ the set of positive fundamental discriminants $0<D<X$ of quadratic fields. Similarly, we denote by $S_{-}(X)$ the set of negative fundamental discriminants $-X<D<0$ of quadratic fields. The following theorem is known as a corollary that is obtained from a result of [5].

Theorem 3.1 (Davenport and Heilbronn, [5]).
(1)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}(X) \mid 3 \nmid h(D)\right\}}{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}(X)\right\}} \geqslant \frac{5}{6} .
$$

(2)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{-}(X) \mid 3 \nmid h(D)\right\}}{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{-}(X)\right\}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Nakagawa and Horie [19] improved Theorem 3.1. We state their result. Let $m$ and $N$ be positive integers satisfying the following conditions:
(I) If $p$ is an odd prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}(m, N)$, then $p^{2} \mid N$ and $p^{2} \nmid m$.
(II) If $N$ is even, then condition (i) or (ii) is satisfied.
(i) $4 \mid N$ and $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.
(ii) $16 \mid N$ and $m \equiv 8,12 \bmod 16$.

We construct two sets depending upon these integers $m, N$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{+}(X, m, N) & :=\left\{D \in S_{+}(X) \mid D \equiv m \bmod N\right\} \\
S_{-}(X, m, N) & :=\left\{D \in S_{-}(X) \mid D \equiv m \bmod N\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a refinement of Theorem 3.1, Nakagawa and Horie proved the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2 (Nakagawa and Horie, [19]).
(1)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}(X, m, N) \mid 3 \nmid h(D)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}(X, m, N)} \geqslant \frac{5}{6} .
$$

(2)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{-}(X, m, N) \mid 3 \nmid h(D)\right\}}{\sharp S_{-}(X, m, N)} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} .
$$

(3)

$$
\sharp S_{+}(X, m, N) \sim \sharp S_{-}(X, m, N) \sim \frac{3 X}{\pi^{2} \varphi(N)} \prod_{p \mid N: p r i m e} \frac{q}{p+1},
$$

where $\varphi(N)$ is the Euler function, $q:=4$ if $p=2$, and $q:=p$ otherwise.
Next, we state a result of Byeon [4]. Theorem 1.4 is obtained from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 (Byeon, [4, Proof of Proposition 3.1]). Let $t>1$ be a square-free integer. Then, for any two positive integers $m$ and $N$ satisfying conditions ( $I$ ) and (II), we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}(X, m, t N) \mid 3 \nmid h(D) \text { and } 3 \nmid h(t D)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}(X, m, t N)} \geqslant \frac{2}{3} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}(X, m, t N) \mid 3 \nmid h(D) \text { and } 3 \nmid h(-t D)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}(X, m, t N)} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} .
$$

Using the method of the proof of Proposition 3.3, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ be square-free positive integers (including 1). Assume that positive integers $m$ and $N$ satisfy conditions (I), $16 \mid N, m \equiv 1 \mathrm{mod}$ 4 , and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m N, m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right) \mid 2^{3}$. Put $M_{1}:=m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} N$ and $M_{2}:=m_{1} m_{2} N$. Then, we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right), \text { where } i=1,2,3\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{1} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(-m_{2} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} .
$$

For any $D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)=1$ (see Lemma 3.7 in Section 3.2). Similarly, for any $D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)$, we find $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D\right)=1$ (see Section 3.2). Therefore, Theorem 1.5 follows from this theorem.

Remark 3.5. For given positive integers $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ (resp. $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ ), we can take integers $m$ and $N$ satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3.4. Integers $m$ and $M_{1}$ (resp. $m$ and $M_{2}$ ) satisfy conditions (I) and (II).

By Theorems 3.2 (3) and 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 3.6. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ be square-free positive integers (including 1). Assume that positive integers $m$ and $N$ satisfy conditions (I), 16|N, $m \equiv 1 \bmod$ 4 , and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m N, m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right) \mid 2^{3}$. Put $M_{1}:=m_{1} m_{2} m_{3} N$ and $M_{2}:=m_{1} m_{2} N$. Then, we have the following:
(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right),\right.}{} \text { where } i=1,2,3\right\} \\
& \sharp S_{+}(X) \geqslant \frac{1}{3 \varphi\left(M_{1}\right)} \prod_{p \mid M_{1}: \text { prime }} \frac{q}{p+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{1} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(-m_{2} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}(X)} & \geqslant \frac{1}{3 \varphi\left(M_{2}\right)} \prod_{p \mid M_{2}: \text { prime }} \frac{q}{p+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi(N)$ denotes the Euler function, $q:=4$ if $p=2$, and $q:=p$ otherwise.

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.4

In this section, we show Theorem 3.4. First, we prove Theorem 3.4 (1). Define

$$
S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}, m_{i}\right):=\left\{\widetilde{m_{i}} D \mid D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\widetilde{m_{i}}$ denotes $m_{i}$ if $m_{i} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $4 m_{i}$ otherwise. Note that

$$
\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)=\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}, m_{i}\right),
$$

where $i=1,2,3$.
Lemma 3.7. For any $D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)=1$.
Proof. Since $16 \mid N$ and $m \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ hold, $D \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ for any $D \in$ $S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)$. Then, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)$ is odd. Let $\rho$ be an odd prime divisor of $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)$. It follows from $D \equiv m \bmod M_{1}$ that $\rho$ divides $m$. This implies that $\rho$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, m\right)$. By the assumption of Theorem 3.4, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m N, m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}\right) \mid 2^{3}$. Then, $\rho \mid 2^{3}$. This is a contradiction.

It follows from Lemma 3.7 and $D \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ that $\widetilde{m_{i}} D$ is the fundamental discriminant of a quadratic field. Then,

$$
S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}, m_{i}\right)=S_{+}\left(\widetilde{m_{i}} X, \widetilde{m_{i}} m, \widetilde{m_{i}} M_{1}\right)
$$

where $i=1,2,3$. Integers $\widetilde{m_{i}} m$ and $\widetilde{m_{i}} M_{1}$ satisfy conditions (I) and (II). Using Theorem 3.2 (1), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \\
& =\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{\widetilde{m_{i}} D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}, m_{i}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(\widetilde{m_{i}} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}, m_{i}\right)} \\
& =\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{\widetilde{m_{i}} D \in S_{+}\left(X, \widetilde{m_{i}} m, \widetilde{m_{i}} M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(\widetilde{m_{i}} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, \widetilde{m_{i}} m, \widetilde{m_{i}} M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{5}{6} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We can show

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{2}{3}
$$

as follows, where $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ are distinct integers. The equation

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{5}{6}
$$

implies that if $\varepsilon>0$, then for sufficiently large $X \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp S_{+} & \left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \\
\geqslant & \sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { or } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\} \\
= & \sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right)\right\}+\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\} \\
& -\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\}=: A(X) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\varepsilon>0$, then for sufficiently large $X \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(X) \geqslant & \left(\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon\right) \sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{5}{6}-\varepsilon\right) \sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \\
& -\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\} \\
= & \left(\frac{5}{3}-2 \varepsilon\right) \sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \\
& -\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, for sufficiently large $X \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\} & \\
& \geqslant\left(\frac{2}{3}-2 \varepsilon\right) \sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{2}{3}-2 \varepsilon .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(m_{j} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{2}{3} .
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{i} D\right), \text { where } i=1,2,3\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{1}\right)} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 (1) is completed. Next, we show Theorem 3.4 (2), modifying the method in the above. In this case, we define

$$
S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2},-m_{2}\right):=\left\{-\widetilde{m_{2}} D \mid D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\widetilde{m_{2}}$ denotes $m_{2}$ if $-m_{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $4 m_{2}$ otherwise. Note that

$$
\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)=\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}, m_{1}\right)=\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2},-m_{2}\right) .
$$

For any $D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)$, we see $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D\right)=1$. Then,

$$
S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}, m_{1}\right)=S_{+}\left(\widetilde{m_{1}} X, \widetilde{m_{1}} m, \widetilde{m_{1}} M_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2},-m_{2}\right)=S_{-}\left(\widetilde{m_{2}} X, \widetilde{m_{2}} m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m_{2}} M_{2}\right)
$$

where $m^{\prime}$ is a positive integer satisfying $-\widetilde{m_{2}} m \equiv \widetilde{m_{2}} m^{\prime} \bmod \widetilde{m_{2}} M_{2}$. Integers $\widetilde{m_{1}} m$ and $\widetilde{m_{1}} M_{2}$ (resp. $\widetilde{m_{2}} m^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{m_{2}} M_{2}$ ) satisfy conditions (I) and (II). Using Theorem 3.2 (1) and (2), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{1} D\right)\right\} \\
& \sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \\
&=\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{\widetilde{m_{1}} D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}, m_{1}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(\widetilde{m_{1}} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}, m_{1}\right)} \\
&=\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{\widetilde{m_{1}} D \in S_{+}\left(X, \widetilde{m_{1}} m, \widetilde{m_{1}} M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(\widetilde{m_{1}} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, \widetilde{m_{1}} m, \widetilde{m_{1}} M_{2}\right)} \geqslant \frac{5}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} & \begin{array}{l}
\sharp\{D \in
\end{array} \\
& \left.=S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(-m_{2} D\right)\right\} \\
& \sharp \liminf _{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \\
& =\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{-\widetilde{m_{2}} D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2},-m_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(-\widetilde{m_{2}} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2},-m_{2}\right)} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\left.\sharp\left(X, \widetilde{m_{2}} m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m_{2}} M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(-\widetilde{m_{2}} D\right)\right\} \\
\sharp S_{-}\left(X, \widetilde{m_{2}} m^{\prime}, \widetilde{m_{2}} M_{2}\right)
\end{array} \frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above inequalities, we can likewise obtain

$$
\liminf _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sharp\left\{D \in S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right) \mid 3 \nmid h\left(m_{1} D\right) \text { and } 3 \nmid h\left(-m_{2} D\right)\right\}}{\sharp S_{+}\left(X, m, M_{2}\right)} \geqslant \frac{1}{3} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 (2) is completed.

### 3.3. Application

In this section, we give an application of Theorem 1.5 to the Iwasawa invariants of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-extension of a quadratic field. We begin with a result of K. Iwasawa.

Theorem 3.8 (Iwasawa, [12]). Let $p$ be a prime number, $k$ an algebraic number field of finite degree, and $K / k$ an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension. If $p$ does not split in $k$ and the class number of $k$ is indivisible by $p$, then $\lambda_{p}(K / k)=\mu_{p}(K / k)=\nu_{p}(K / k)=0$, where $\lambda_{p}(K / k), \mu_{p}(K / k)$, and $\nu_{p}(K / k)$ are the Iwasawa invariants of $K / k$.

If $k$ is an abelian field, the Iwasawa $\mu$-invariant of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $k$ is equal to 0 [6]. For a prime number $p$, we denote by $\lambda_{p}(d), \mu_{p}(d)$, and $\nu_{p}(d)$ the Iwasawa $\lambda$-, $\mu$-, and $\nu$-invariant of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of a quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. By Theorems 3.4 and 3.8 , we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 3.9. Let $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ be square-free positive integers (including 1).
(1) There exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D\right)=1$ and $\lambda_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=$ $\mu_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=\nu_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=0$, where $i=1,2$.
(2) There exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2}, D\right)=1, \lambda_{3}\left(m_{1} D\right)=$ $\mu_{3}\left(m_{1} D\right)=\nu_{3}\left(m_{1} D\right)=0$, and $\lambda_{3}\left(-m_{2} D\right)=\mu_{3}\left(-m_{2} D\right)=\nu_{3}\left(-m_{2} D\right)=0$.

Corollary 3.10. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $m_{3}$ be distinct square-free positive integers (including 1) with $3 \mid\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)\left(m_{2}-m_{3}\right)\left(m_{3}-m_{1}\right)$. Then, there exist infinitely many positive fundamental discriminants $D$ with a positive inferior limit density such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}, D\right)=1$ and $\lambda_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=\mu_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=\nu_{3}\left(m_{i} D\right)=0$, where $i=1,2,3$.

The idea of this application is based on the one in [19] and [22]. If $k$ is a totally real field, for any prime number $p$, it is conjectured that the Iwasawa $\lambda_{p^{-}}$ and $\mu_{p}$-invariants of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension of $k$ are equal to 0 (Greenberg's Conjecture, [7]). We can say that Corollaries 3.9 (1) and 3.10 are related to this conjecture. These corollaries are proved by taking $N$ and $m$, where $N$ and $m$ are integers in Theorem 3.4. For example, we can take $N$ and $m$ as follows.

| $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} D}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m$ | $N$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $p_{1}$ | $16 p_{1}^{2}$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |


| $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} D}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-m_{2} D}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | $-m_{2}$ | $m$ | $N$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $p_{1}$ | $16 p_{1}^{2}$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{\overline{2}}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}$ | 144 |


| $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{1} \bar{D}}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{2} \bar{D}}\right), \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{m_{3} \bar{D}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m$ | $N$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $p_{1}^{\prime}$ | $16 p_{1}^{\prime 2}$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $p_{1}^{\prime}$ | $16 p_{1}^{\prime 2}$ |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | 1 | 16 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $3 p_{2}^{\prime}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}^{\prime}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}^{\prime}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $\overline{2}$ | $3 p_{2}^{\prime}$ | 144 |
| $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{1}$ | $\overline{2}$ | - | - |

Remark 3.11. We define $\overline{0}, \overline{1}$, and $\overline{2}$ as $\overline{0} \equiv 0 \bmod 3, \overline{1} \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, and $\overline{2} \equiv$ $2 \bmod 3$. Integers $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{1}^{\prime}$, and $p_{2}^{\prime}$ are defined as prime numbers such that $p_{1} \equiv 5 \bmod 12$ and $p_{1} \nmid m_{1} m_{2}$, such that $p_{2} \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and $p_{2} \nmid 3 m_{1} m_{2}$, such that $p_{1}^{\prime} \equiv 5 \bmod 12$ and $p_{1}^{\prime} \nmid m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}$, and such that $p_{2}^{\prime} \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and $p_{2}^{\prime} \nmid 3 m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}$ respectively. The existence of these prime numbers follows from the theorem on arithmetic progressions.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to express her gratitude to Professor Toru Komatsu, Professor Shin Nakano, Doctor Satoshi Fujii, Doctor Takayuki Morisawa, Doctor Filippo Alberto Edoardo Nuccio Mortarino Majno di Capriglio, and Yoshichika Iizuka for helpful discussions. She also thanks Professor Kohji Matsumoto and Professor Hiroshi Suzuki for continuous encouragement.

## References

[1] N.C. Ankeny and S. Chowla, On the divisibility of the class number of quadratic fields, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 321-324.
[2] D. Byeon, Indivisibility of class numbers and Iwasawa $\lambda$-invariants of real quadratic fields, Compositio Math. 126 (2001), no. 3, 249-256.
[3] D. Byeon, Existence of certain fundamental discriminants and class numbers of real quadratic fields, J. Number Theory 98 (2003), no. 2, 432-437.
[4] D. Byeon, Class numbers of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t D})$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), no. 11, 3137-3140.
[5] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 322 (1971), no. 1551, 405-420.
[6] B. Ferrero and L.C. Washington, The Iwasawa invariant $\mu_{p}$ vanishes for abelian number fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 109 (1979), no. 2, 377-395.
[7] R. Greenberg, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), no. 1, 263-284.
[8] P. Hartung, Proof of the existence of infinitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose class number is not divisible by 3, J. Number Theory 6 (1974), 276-278.
[9] K. Horie and Y. Ônishi, The existence of certain infinite families of imaginary quadratic fields, J. Reine Angew. Math. 390 (1988), 97-113.
[10] K. Horie, A note on basic Iwasawa $\lambda$-invariants of imaginary quadratic fields, Invent. Math. 88 (1987), 31-38.
[11] K. Horie, Trace formulae and imaginary quadratic fields, Math. Ann. 288 (1990), 605-612.
[12] K. Iwasawa, A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 20 (1956), 257-258.
[13] W. Kohnen and K. Ono, Indivisibility of class numbers of imaginary quadratic fields and orders of Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves with complex multiplication, Invent. Math. 135 (1999), 387-398.
[14] T. Komatsu, A family of infinite pairs of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ whose class numbers are both divisible by 3, Acta Arith. 96 (2001), 213-221.
[15] T. Komatsu, An infinite family of pairs of quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m D})$ whose class numbers are both divisible by 3, Acta Arith. 104 (2002), 129-136.
[16] P. Llorente and E. Nart, Effective determination of the decomposition of the rational primes in a cubic field, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 579-585.
[17] R.A. Mollin, Solutions of Diophantine equations and divisibility of class numbers of complex quadratic fields, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 195-197.
[18] T. Nagell, Über die Klassenzahl imaginär-quadratischer Zahlkörper, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 1 (1922), 140-150.
[19] J. Nakagawa and K. Horie, Elliptic curves with no rational points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 20-24.
[20] K. Ono, Indivisibility of class numbers of real quadratic fields, Compositio Math. 119 (1999), no. 1, 1-11.
[21] A. Scholz, Über die Beziehung der Klassenzahlen quadratischer Körper zueinander, J. Reine Angew. Math. 166 (1932), 201-203.
[22] H. Taya, Iwasawa invariants and class numbers of quadratic fields for the prime 3, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 5, 1285-1292.
[23] L.C. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic fields, second edition, GTM 83, Springer.
[24] P.J. Weinberger, Real quadratic fields with class numbers divisible by n, J. Number Theory 5 (1973), 237-241.
[25] Y. Yamamoto, On unramified Galois extentions of quadratic number fields, Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 57-76.

Address: Akiko Ito: Shibaura Institute of Technology, 307 Fukasaku, Minuma-ku, Saitama-shi, Saitama 337-8570, Japan.
E-mail: i035895@shibaura-it.ac.jp
Received: 26 May 2012


[^0]:    Supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (22-222) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: primary: 11R11; secondary: 11R29

