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Abstract: We prove a refined asymptotic formula for the number of representations of sufficiently large integer as a sum of a prime and a $k$-free number, $k \geqslant 2$.
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## 1. Introduction

The problem of counting the number of representations of an integer as a sum of a prime and a square-free integer was first considered by Estermann [3] in 1931. He obtained an asymptotic formula that was subsequently refined by Page [11] and then by Walfisz [13] in 1936. In 1949 Mirsky [10] generalized such results to the case of the sum of a prime and a $k$-free number, where $k \geqslant 2$ is a fixed integer. He obtained, for every $A>0$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}(n)=\sum_{p \leqslant n} \mu_{k}(n-p)=\mathfrak{S}_{k}(n) \operatorname{li}(n)+O\left(\frac{n}{\log ^{A} n}\right) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{k}(n)=\sum_{a^{k} \mid m} \mu(a)$ is the characteristic function of the $k$-free numbers, $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function, $\operatorname{li}(n)=\int_{2}^{n} \frac{d t}{\log t}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{k}(n)=\prod_{p \nmid n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{k-1}(p-1)}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the singular series of this problem.
The aim of this paper is to prove a refinement of Walfisz-Mirsky asymptotic formula (1). This refinement depends on inserting a new term connected with the existence of the Siegel zero of Dirichlet $L$-functions (see Lemmas 1-2 below) and by sharping the error term in the asymptotic formula.

Denoting by $\Lambda(n)$ the von Mangoldt function, we define

$$
R_{k}(n)=\sum_{m \leqslant n} \Lambda(m) \mu_{k}(n-m)
$$

to be the weighted number of representations of an integer $n$ as a sum of a prime and a $k$-free number. As usual $R_{k}$ is easily related with $r_{k}$. We have the following
Theorem. Let $k \geqslant 2$ be a fixed integer. Then there exists a constant $c=c(k)>0$ such that, for every sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
R_{k}(n)=\left(n-\delta_{\widetilde{\beta}} \tilde{\chi}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \mathfrak{S}_{k}(n)+O_{k}(n G \exp (-\mathrm{c} \sqrt{\log n}))
$$

where $\widetilde{\beta}$ is the Siegel zero, $\widetilde{\chi}$ is the Siegel character, $\widetilde{r}$ is the Siegel modulus associated with the set of Dirichlet $L$-functions with modulus $q \leqslant \exp \left(c^{\prime} \sqrt{\log n}\right)$, where $c^{t}=c^{t}(k)>0$ is a suitable constant,

$$
G=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(1-\widetilde{\beta}) \sqrt{\log n} & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta} \text { exists } \\
1 & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta} \text { does not exist, }
\end{array} \quad \delta_{\widetilde{\beta}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta} \text { exists } \\
0 & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta} \text { does not exist }\end{cases}\right.
$$

(see also Lemmas 1-2 below).
An analogous result, but with a weaker error term, can also be obtained via the circle method using some recent results on exponential sums over $k$-free numbers proved by Brüdern-Granville-Perelli-Vaughan-Wooley [1].
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## 2. Lemmas

We recall now some analytic results on the zero-free region of Dirichlet $L$-functions.
Lemma 1. [Davenport [2], §13-14] Assume $T^{\prime} \geqslant 0$. There exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that $L(\sigma+i t, \chi) \neq 0$ whenever

$$
\sigma \geqslant 1-\frac{c_{1}}{\log T^{\prime}}, \quad|t| \leqslant T^{\prime}
$$

for all the Dirichlet characters $\chi$ modulo $q \leqslant T^{\prime}$, with the possible exception of at most one primitive character $\tilde{\chi}(\bmod \tilde{r}), \tilde{r} \leqslant T^{\prime}$. If it exists, the character $\tilde{\chi}$ is real and the exceptional zero $\widetilde{\beta}$ of $L(s, \widetilde{\chi})$ is unique, real, simple and there exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{c_{2}}{\tilde{r}^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} \tilde{r}} \leqslant 1-\tilde{\beta} \leqslant \frac{c_{1}}{\log T^{\prime}}, \quad|t| \leqslant T^{\prime} .
$$

Fix now $T_{1}>0$ such that $\log T_{1} \asymp \sqrt{\log n}$. According to Lemma 1, applied with $T^{\prime}=T_{1}$, we denote by $\widetilde{\beta}$ the Siegel zero, $\widetilde{\chi}$ the Siegel character and by $\widetilde{r}$ its modulus. Let now

$$
T_{2}= \begin{cases}T_{1} & \text { if } \tilde{r} \leqslant T_{1}^{1 / 4} \\ T_{1}^{1 / 4} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Now Lemma 1 remains true for $T^{\prime}=T_{2}$, with a suitable change in the constant $c_{1}$. In the following we will continue to call $c_{1}$ this modified constant. Hence $\widetilde{r} \leqslant T_{2}^{1 / 4}$, if it exists. From now on we set $T=T_{2}$.

Moreover we need also the following form of Deuring-Heilbronn phenomenon whose proof can be found in Knapowski [9], see also $\S 4$ of Gallagher [5].

Lemma 2. Under the same hypotheses of Lemma 1 applied with $T^{\prime}=T$, if $\widetilde{\beta}$ exists, then for all the Dirichlet characters $\chi$ modulo $q \leqslant T$, there exists a constant $c_{3}>0$ such that $L(\sigma+i t, \chi) \neq 0$ whenever

$$
\sigma \geqslant 1-\frac{c_{3}}{\log T} \log \left(\frac{e c_{1}}{(1-\widetilde{\beta}) \log T}\right), \quad|t| \leqslant T
$$

and $\widetilde{\beta}$ is still the only exception.
The next Lemma is the explicit formula for $\psi(x, \chi)$.
Lemma 3. [Davenport [2], $\S 19]$ Let $\chi$ a Dirichlet character to the modulus $q$ and $2 \leqslant T \leqslant x$. Then

$$
\sum_{m \leqslant x} \Lambda(m) \chi(m)=\delta_{\chi} x-\delta_{\chi, \bar{\chi}} \frac{x^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}-\sum_{|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}+O\left(\frac{x}{T} \log ^{2} q x+x^{1 / 4} \log x\right)
$$

where $\delta_{\chi}=1$ if $\chi$ is the principal character, $\delta_{\chi}=0$ otherwise, $\delta_{\chi, \tilde{\chi}}=1$ if $\chi=\widetilde{\chi}$ and $\delta_{\chi, \tilde{\chi}}=0$ otherwise and $\sum^{\prime}$ means that the sum runs over the non-exceptional zeros.

We will need also a zero-density result for Dirichlet's $L$-functions.
Lemma 4. [Huxley [7] and Ramachandra [12]] Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character $(\bmod q)$ and $N(\sigma, T, \chi)=\mid\{\rho=\beta+i \gamma: L(\rho, \chi)=0, \beta \geqslant \sigma$ and $|\gamma| \leqslant T\} \mid$. Then, for $\sigma \in[1 / 2,1]$, there exists a positive absolute constant $c_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\chi} N(\sigma, T, \chi) \ll(q T)^{12 / 5(1-\sigma)}(\log q T)^{c_{4}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of the theorem

Following Walfisz [13] and Mirsky [10], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{k}(n) & =\sum_{m \leqslant n} \Lambda(m) \sum_{\substack{d^{k} \mid(n-m)}} \mu(d)=\sum_{m \leqslant n} \Lambda(m)\left[\sum_{\substack{d^{k} \mid(n-m) \\
d \leqslant D}} \mu(d)+\sum_{\substack{d^{k} \mid(n-m) \\
d>D}} \mu(d)\right]= \\
& =\sum_{d \leqslant D} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{m \leqslant n \\
d^{k} \mid(n-m)}} \Lambda(m)+\sum_{d>D} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{m \leqslant n \\
d^{k} \mid(n-m)}} \Lambda(m)=  \tag{4}\\
& =\sum_{d \leqslant D} \mu(d) \psi\left(n ; d^{k}, n\right)+\sum_{d>D} \mu(d) \psi\left(n ; d^{k}, n\right)=A+B
\end{align*}
$$

say, where $\psi(x ; q, a)=\sum_{\substack{m \leqslant x \\ m \equiv a(\bmod q)}} \Lambda(m)$ and $1 \leqslant D \leqslant n^{1 / k}$ will be chosen later in (12).

First of all, we estimate B. By Brun-Titchmarsh Theorem, see, e.g., Fried-lander-Iwaniec [4], and Theorem 328 of Hardy-Wright [6], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \leqslant \sum_{d>D} \psi\left(n ; d^{k}, n\right) \ll \sum_{d>D} \frac{n}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}<k_{k} n \sum_{d>D} \frac{\log \log d}{d^{k}}<_{k} n D^{1-k} \log \log D . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we remark that, if ( $d, n$ ) >1, we have $\psi\left(n ; d^{k}, \pi\right) \ll_{k} \log ^{2}(d n)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\(d, n)=1}} \mu(d) \psi\left(n ; d^{k}, n\right)+O_{k}\left(D \log ^{2}(D n)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now insert $\psi(x ; q, a)=\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi(\bmod q)} \bar{\chi}(a) \psi(x, \chi)$ in (6). Hence, by Lemma 3 and the previous remarks, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
A= & \sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\
(d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}\left[n-\delta_{\tilde{\beta}} \widetilde{\widetilde{x}}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}-\sum_{\substack{\chi\left(\bmod d^{k}\right) \\
\chi \neq \chi 0, \widetilde{\chi}}} \bar{\chi}(n) \sum_{|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{n^{\rho}}{\rho}+\right. \\
& \left.+O\left(\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)\left(\frac{n}{T} \log ^{2}\left(d^{k} n\right)+n^{1 / 4} \log n\right)\right)\right]+O_{k}\left(D \log ^{2}(D n)\right)= \\
= & \left(n-\delta_{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{\chi}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\
(d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}-\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\
(d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\chi\left(\bmod d^{k}\right) \\
\chi \neq \chi_{0}, \tilde{\chi}}} \bar{\chi}(n) \sum_{|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{n^{\rho}}{\rho}+ \\
& +O\left(\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\
(d, n)=1}}\left(\frac{n}{T} \log ^{2}\left(d^{k} n\right)+n^{1 / 4} \log n\right)\right)+O_{k}\left(D \log ^{2}\left(D_{n}\right)\right)= \\
= & \Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}+\Sigma_{3}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

say.

## Evaluation of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

To evaluate the singular series we use again Theorem 328 of Hardy-Wright [6], thus obtaining

$$
\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\(d, n)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}=\sum_{\substack{d=1 \\(d, n)=1}}^{+\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}+O\left(\sum_{d>D} \frac{1}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)}\right)=\mathfrak{S}_{k}(n)+O_{k}\left(D^{1-k} \log \log D\right)
$$

by the Euler identity and (2). Hence we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}=\left(n-\delta_{\widetilde{\beta}} \widetilde{X}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \mathfrak{S}_{k}(n)+O_{k}\left(n D^{1-k} \log \log D\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Estimation of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{2}}$.

Writing $\rho=\beta+i \gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2} \ll \sum_{\substack{d \leqslant D \\(d, n)=1}} \frac{1}{\varphi\left(d^{k}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\chi\left(\bmod d^{k}\right) \\ \chi \neq \chi 0, \tilde{\chi}}} \sum_{|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{n^{\beta}}{|\rho|} \leqslant \sum_{\substack{q \leqslant D^{k} \\(q, n)=1}} \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(\bmod ) \underline{c}) \\ \chi \neq \chi_{0}, \tilde{\chi}}} \sum_{|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{n^{\beta}}{|\rho|} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, to estimate $\Sigma_{2}$, we first split the summation over $\rho$ according to $0<|\rho| \leqslant 1$ and $1<|\rho| \leqslant T$. Arguing as in $\S 20$ of Davenport [2] and using Lemmas 1-2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{x(\bmod q) \\ x \neq \chi_{0}, \tilde{x}}} \sum_{0<|\rho| \leqslant 1} \frac{n^{\beta}}{|\rho|} \ll n^{1-f(T)} \log ^{2} n, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(T)=\frac{c_{1}}{\log T}$ if the Siegel zero does not exist or $f(T)=\frac{c_{3}}{\log T} \log \left(\frac{e c_{1}}{(1-\beta) \log T}\right)$ if the Siegel zero exists.

In the range $1<|\rho| \leqslant T$, we follow the line of $\S 12$ of Ivić $[8]$. Recalling Lemmas 1-2 and 4 and Theorem 328 of Hardy-Wright [6], we have, for $D^{k} \leqslant T$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{x(\bmod q) \\ \chi \neq \chi_{0}, \bar{\chi}}} \sum_{1<|\rho| \leqslant T} \frac{n^{\beta}}{|\rho|} \ll\left(\log ^{c_{4}+3} n\right) \max _{1 / 2 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 1-f(T)} n^{\sigma} \max _{1 \leqslant \leqslant T}(q t)^{12 / 5(1-\sigma)-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(T)$ is as in (10).
Choosing now

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=D^{2 k} \quad \text { and } \quad T=\exp (C \sqrt{\log n}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>0$ is an absolute constant, we split the interval over $\sigma$ in two parts: the first one is for $\sigma \in[1 / 2,7 / 12]$ and the second one is for $\sigma \in[7 / 12,1-f(T)]$. In the first case the maxima are attained at $t=T$ and $\sigma=7 / 12$ and in the second case they are attained at $t=1$ and $\sigma=1-f(T)$. The total contribution of (11) is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll\left(n^{7 / 12}+n^{1-f(T)}\right) T^{1 / 2} \log ^{E} n \ll T^{1 / 2} n^{1-f(T)} \log ^{E} n, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E>0$ is a suitable constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. An analogous argument for (10) gives the same estimate. Hence, by (10) and (12)-(13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2} \ll T^{1 / 2} n^{1-f(T)} \log ^{E} n \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the Siegel zero does not exist than we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2} \ll{ }_{k} T^{1 / 2} n \exp \left(-\mathrm{c}_{1} \frac{\log n}{\log T}\right) \log ^{E} n, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

while, if the Siegel zero exists, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{2} & \ll{ }_{k} T^{1 / 2} n \exp \left(-\mathrm{c}_{3} \frac{\log n}{\log T} \log \left(\frac{\mathrm{ec}_{1}}{(1-\widetilde{\beta}) \log T}\right)\right) \log ^{E} n \ll \\
& \ll T^{1 / 2} n[(1-\widetilde{\beta}) \log T] \exp \left(-\mathrm{c}_{3} \frac{\log n}{\log T}\right) \log ^{E} n, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence, combining (15)-(16) we finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2} \ll T^{1 / 2} n G \exp \left(-c_{5} \frac{\log n}{\log T}\right) \log ^{E} n \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{5}=\min \left(c_{1} ; c_{3}\right)$ and

$$
G= \begin{cases}(1-\widetilde{\beta}) \sqrt{\log n} & \text { if } \tilde{\beta} \text { exists } \\ 1 & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta} \text { does not exist. }\end{cases}
$$

## Estimation of $\Sigma_{\mathbf{3}}$ and the final argument.

Recalling $T=D^{2 k}$ and $T=\exp (C \sqrt{\log n})$, we get from (17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2}<_{k} n G \exp \left(-c_{6} \sqrt{\log n}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sqrt{c_{5}} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{6}=\sqrt{c_{5}} / 3 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}=\left(n-\delta_{\widetilde{\beta}} \tilde{\chi}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}\right) \mathfrak{S}_{k}(n)+O_{k}\left(n \exp \left(-C \frac{k-1}{3 k} \sqrt{\log n}\right)\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the error terms collected in $\Sigma_{3}$ can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{3} & \ll k \frac{n D}{T} \log ^{2}\left(D^{k} n\right)+n^{1 / 4} D \log n+D \log ^{2}(D n) \ll \\
& <_{k} n \exp \left(-C \frac{2 k-1}{3 k} \sqrt{\log n}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if the Siegel zero does not exist, inserting (18)-(21) into (4)-(5) and (7) we have the Theorem with $c=C \frac{k-1}{3 k}$ provided that $C<\frac{3 k}{k-1} c_{6}$ (which holds by (19)).

If the Siegel zero exists, we remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n-\widetilde{\chi}(n) \frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}} & \geqslant n-\frac{n^{\widetilde{\beta}}}{\widetilde{\beta}}=\int_{T}^{n}\left(1-t^{\widetilde{\beta}-1}\right) d t+O(T) \gg n\left(1-T^{\widetilde{\beta}-1}\right)+O(T) \gg \\
& \gg G n+O(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by Lemma 1, that

$$
G \gg \frac{\sqrt{\log n}}{\widetilde{r}^{1 / 2} \log ^{2} \widetilde{r}} \gg \exp \left(-C \frac{k-1}{3 k} \sqrt{\log n}\right),
$$

since $\widetilde{r} \leqslant T^{1 / 4}=\exp ((C / 4) \sqrt{\log n})$.
Provided that $C<\frac{3 k}{k-1} c_{6}$ (which holds by (19)), the Theorem follows also in this case with $c=C \frac{k-1}{3 k}$ by inserting (18)-(21) into (4)-(5) and (7).
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