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#### Abstract

We show that if $k$ is an integer with $k \geq 3$ and $G$ is a $(2 k-1)$ connected graph with radius $r$, then $|V(G)| \geq 2 k r-2 k-2$.
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## §1. Introduction

By a graph, we mean a finite, undirected, simple graph without loops or multiple edges. Let $G$ be a graph. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of $G$, respectively. For $v, w \in V(G)$, let $d_{G}(v, w)$ denote the usual distance between $v$ and $w$. Set

$$
r(G):=\min _{v \in V(G)} \max _{w \in V(G)} d_{G}(v, w)
$$

The number $r(G)$ is called the radius of $G$. A vertex $z \in V(G)$ is called a central vertex of $G$ if $\max _{w \in V(G)} d_{G}(z, w)=r(G)$.

In [3], Harant and Walter proved that there is a constant $C>0$ such that every 3-connected graph $G$ with radius $r$ satisfies $|V(G)|+C \log |V(G)|>4 r$. Subsequently it was proved by Harant in [2] and by Inoue in [5] that if $G$ is a 3-connected graph with radius $r$, then $|V(G)| \geq 4 r-15$. In [1], Egawa and Inoue proved a more general result that if $k$ is an integer with $k \geq 2$ and $G$ is a $(2 k-1)$-connected graph with radius $r$, then $|V(G)| \geq 2 k r-2 k-9$. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following refinement of the result of Egawa and Inoue.

Theorem. Let $r \geq 1, k \geq 3$ be integers, and let $G$ be $a(2 k-1)$-connected graph with radius $r$. Then $|V(G)| \geq 2 k r-2 k-2$.

As is remarked in [1], the following graph shows that the bound $2 k r-2 k-2$ in the Theorem is nearly best possible. Let $r \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3$. Let $C$ be a cycle of order $2 k r-2 k+2$, and define a graph $G$ by $V(G)=V(C)$ and $E(G)=\left\{v w \mid v, w \in V(C), v \neq w, d_{C}(v, w) \leq k\right\}$. Then $G$ is $2 k$-connected (so $(2 k-1)$-connected) and $r(G)=r$.

In passing, we mention that for 3 -connected graphs, it has recently been proved in [4] that every 3-connected graph $G$ with radius $r$ satisfies $|V(G)| \geq$ $4 r-4$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains preliminary lemmas. We prove the key proposition, Proposition 1, in Sections 3 through 5. We complete the proof of the Theorem in Section 6 .

## §2. Preliminary results

Throughout the rest of the paper, we let $G, r$ be as in the Theorem. If $r \leq 2$, we clearly have $|V(G)| \geq 2 k \geq 2 k(r-1)-2$. Thus we may assume $r \geq 3$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and a nonnegative integer $i$, let

$$
N_{i}(v):=\left\{w \mid w \in V(G), d_{G}(v, w)=i\right\} .
$$

We write $N(v)$ for $N_{1}(v)$. Fix a central vertex $z$, and let $X_{i}:=N_{i}(z)$ for $0 \leq$ $i \leq r$. Note that for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, we have $N(w) \subset X_{i-1} \cup X_{i} \cup X_{i+1}$ for every $w \in X_{i}$.
Lemma 1. Let $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then $\left|\left\{y \in X_{i} \mid N(y) \cap X_{i+1} \neq \phi\right\}\right| \geq 2 k-1$.
Proof. Since $G-\left\{y \in X_{i} \mid N(y) \cap X_{i+1} \neq \phi\right\}$ is disconnected, the desired conclusion follows from the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected.

The following two lemmas immediately follow from Lemma 1.
Lemma 2. $\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k-1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r-1$.
Lemma 3. Let $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, and suppose that $\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k-1$. Then $N(y) \cap$ $X_{i+1} \neq \phi$ for every $y \in X_{i}$.

Lemma 4. $|V(G)| \geq\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|+(2 k-1) r-(4 k-3)$.
Proof. By Lemma 2, $|V(G)|=\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 1+(2 k-1)(r-2)+\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|=$ $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|+(2 k-1) r-(4 k-3)$.

Lemma 5. Suppose that $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) there exists $v_{0} \in X_{r-1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right)=1$ for every $v \in X_{r}$; or
(2) there exists $v_{0} \in X_{r-1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq 2$ for every $v \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$.

Proof. Note that $\left|X_{r-1}\right| \geq 2 k-1$ by Lemma 2. Hence $\left|X_{r}\right| \leq 3$ by the assumption of the lemma. Write $X_{r}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{\left|X_{r}\right|}\right\}$. If $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$, then (1) holds with $v_{0} \in N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}$. Assume for the moment that $\left|X_{r}\right|=2$. Then $2 k-1 \leq\left|X_{r-1}\right| \leq 2 k$. By the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected, we have $\left|N\left(v_{i}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq\left|N\left(v_{i}\right)\right|-\left(\left|X_{r}\right|-1\right) \geq(2 k-1)-1=2 k-2$ for each $i=1,2$. Hence $\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|+\left|N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-\left|X_{r-1}\right| \geq$ $2(2 k-2)-2 k>0$. Consequently (1) holds with $v_{0} \in N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}$. Thus we may assume $\left|X_{r}\right|=3$. Then $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$, and $\left|N\left(v_{i}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq$ $2 k-3$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 3$. If $\left|N\left(v_{i}\right) \cap N\left(v_{j}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq\left|N\left(v_{i}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-1$ for every $i, j$, then $\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap N\left(v_{3}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|+$ $\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{3}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq 2\left(\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-1\right)-\mid N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap$ $X_{r-1}\left|=\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-2 \geq 2 k-5>0\right.$, and hence (1) holds with $v_{0} \in$ $N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap N\left(v_{3}\right) \cap X_{r-1}$. Thus we may assume that some two of the $v_{i}$, say $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, satisfy $\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \leq\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-2$. Since $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq 2 k-3$, this forces

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-3,  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right) \cup\left(N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right)=X_{r-1}, \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and $\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|=\left|N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right|-2>0$. Take $v_{0} \in N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap$ $N\left(v_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1}$. Then by (2.2), $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq 2$ for every $v \in X_{r-1}$. Further since $\left|N\left(v_{2}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$, it follows from (2.1) that $v_{1}, v_{3} \in N\left(v_{2}\right)$, and hence $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq 2$ for every $v \in X_{r}$. Therefore (2) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma.

## §3. Statement of key proposition

We continue with the notation of the preceding section. The bulk of the proof of the Theorem is devoted to the verification of the following proposition, which roughly says that the average of the $\left|X_{i}\right|$ is only slightly less than $2 k$, if it is less than $2 k$.

Proposition 1. Let $a, b$ be integers with $a+2 \leq b$, and suppose that $\left|X_{a}\right|=$ $\left|X_{b}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{i}\right|>2 k-1$ for each $a+2 \leq i \leq b-1$.
(1) Suppose that $r \geq 9, a \geq 4$ and $b \leq r-3$. Then $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(b-a)$.
(2) Suppose that $r \geq 7, a \geq 3, b \leq r-2$ and $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. Then $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(b-a)$.
(3) Suppose that $r \geq 6, a \geq 3, b \leq r-1,\left|X_{a-1}\right| \leq 2 k+2$ and $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|=$ $2 k$. Then one of the following holds:
(i) $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(b-a)$; or
(ii) $b=r-1,\left|X_{a-1}\right|=2 k+2$ and $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(b-a)-1$.

Proof. We prove (1), (2) and (3) simultaneously. Suppose that $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right|<$ $2 k(b-a)$. Then one of the following two situations must occur:
(A) $\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k$ for each $a+1 \leq i \leq b-1$; or
(B) $\left|X_{a+1}\right|=2 k-1$, and $\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k$ or $2 k+1$ for each $a+2 \leq i \leq b-1$, and the number of $X_{i}$ with $\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k+1$ is at most one.

We aim at showing that either we get a contradiction, or (3)(ii) holds. We introduce a graph structure $\mathscr{G}$ on $X_{a+1}$ by joining $v$ and $w$ if and only if $d_{G}(v, w) \leq 2$ and $v \neq w$. Let $\alpha$ denote the independence number of $\mathscr{G}$.

Claim 3.1. $\alpha \leq 2$.
Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \geq 3$. Then there exist $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \geq 3$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$. This implies that $\left(\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup N\left(v_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(\left\{v_{j}\right\} \cup\right.$ $\left.N\left(v_{j}\right)\right)=\phi$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$. On the other hand, whether (A) holds or (B) holds, $\left|X_{a+1}\right|+\left|X_{a+2}\right| \leq 4 k$. Since $\left|N\left(v_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$ for each $i$ by the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected, we now obtain $6 k \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3}\left|\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup N\left(v_{i}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\cup_{1 \leq i \leq 3}\left(\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup N\left(v_{i}\right)\right)\right| \leq\left|X_{a} \cup X_{a+1} \cup X_{a+2}\right| \leq(2 k-1)+4 k=6 k-1$, a contradiction.

In the rest of this section, we consider the case where $\mathscr{G}$ is connected.
Case 1. $\mathscr{G}$ is connected.
Claim 3.2. Let $w^{\prime} \in X_{a+1}$. Then there exists $x \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(x, w^{\prime}\right) \leq$ 1 , and $d_{\mathscr{G}}(x, w) \leq 2$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$.

Proof. If $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(w^{\prime}, w\right) \leq 1$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$, then the desired conclusion holds with $x=w^{\prime}$. Thus we may assume that there exists $u \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right)=2$. Let $v$ be a vertex adjacent to both $u$ and $w^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{G}$. Since $\alpha \leq 2$ by Claim 3.1, each vertex in $X_{a+1}-\left\{u, v, w^{\prime}\right\}$ is adjacent to $u$ or $w^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{G}$. This means that $d_{\mathscr{G}}(v, w) \leq 2$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$, and hence the desired conclusion holds with $x=v$.

Claim 3.3. Let $w^{\prime} \in X_{a+1}$. Then there exists $x \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(x, w^{\prime}\right) \leq$ 2 , and $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$.

Proof. Since $d_{G}(u, v) \leq 2 d_{\mathscr{G}}(u, v)$ for every $u, v \in X_{a+1}$ by the definition of $\mathscr{G}$, this follows from Claim 3.2.

Claim 3.4. Suppose that $a=r-3$. Then one of the following holds:
(0) $\left|X_{r-4}\right|=2 k+2$ and $\left|X_{r-3}\right|+\left|X_{r-2}\right|=4 k-1$; or
(1) there exists $x \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 5$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$.

Proof. Note that $b=r-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{r-3}\right|+\left|X_{r-2}\right| \leq 4 k-1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions of the proposition, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{r-4}\right| \leq 2 k+2,\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1,\left|X_{r}\right|=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that (1) does not hold. Under this assumption, we first prove the following subclaims.

Subclaim 1. $d_{G}(w, v) \leq 3$ for every $w \in X_{r-2}$ and every $v \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$.

Proof. Suppose that there exist $w \in X_{r-2}$ and $v \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$ such that $d_{G}(w, v) \geq 4$. Then $(\{w\} \cup N(w)) \cap\left(\{v\} \cup N(v) \cup N_{2}(v)\right)=\phi$. Since $|N(w)| \geq$ $2 k-1,|N(v)| \geq 2 k-1$ and $\left|N_{2}(v)\right| \geq 2 k-1$ by the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected, we obtain $6 k-1 \leq\left|\{w, v\} \cup N(w) \cup N(v) \cup N_{2}(v)\right| \leq$ $\left|\cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right|$. In view of (3.1) and (3.2), this implies that $\cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}=$ $\{w, v\} \cup N(w) \cup N(v) \cup N_{2}(v)$. Since $\mathscr{G}$ is connected, it follows that there exist $w_{1} \in(\{w\} \cup N(w)) \cap X_{r-2}$ and $v_{1} \in\left(N(v) \cup N_{2}(v)\right) \cap X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v_{1}\right) \leq 2$. Now let $x \in N\left(v_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-3}$. Take $y \in \cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$. If $y \in$ $\{w\} \cup N(w), d_{G}(x, y) \leq d_{G}\left(x, v_{1}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{1}, w_{1}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w\right)+\bar{d}_{G}(w, y) \leq 5$; if $y \in\{v\} \cup N(v) \cup N_{2}(v), d_{G}(x, y) \leq d_{G}\left(x, v_{1}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{1}, v\right)+d_{G}(v, y) \leq 5$. Thus
(1) holds, which contradicts the assumption that (1) does not hold.

Subclaim 2. $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ for every $w, w^{\prime} \in X_{r-2}$.
Proof. We may assume $w \neq w^{\prime}$. Then since $\left|X_{r-2}\right| \leq 2 k$, it follows from Lemma 1 that one of $w$ or $w^{\prime}$, say $w^{\prime}$, satisfies $N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{r-1} \neq \phi$. Take $v \in N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{r-1}$. Then by Subclaim 1, $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{G}(w, v)+d_{G}\left(v, w^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $3+1=4$.

Subclaim 3. Let $u \in X_{r-3}$. Then the following hold.
(1) There exists $u^{\prime} \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \geq 6$.
(2) There exists $w^{\prime} \in X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 5$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, $N(u) \cap X_{r-2} \neq \phi$. Take $w \in N(u) \cap X_{r-2}$. By the assumption that (1) of the statement of Claim 3.4 does not hold, there exists $u^{\prime} \in \cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \geq 6$. If $u^{\prime} \in \cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$, then by Subclaims 1 and $2, d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{G}(u, w)+d_{G}\left(w, u^{\prime}\right) \leq 1+4=5$, a contradiction. Thus $u^{\prime} \in X_{r-3}$ and, if we let $w^{\prime} \in N\left(u^{\prime}\right) \cap X_{r-2}$, we get $d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)-d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \geq 6-1=5$.

Subclaim 4. There exist $w, w^{\prime} \in X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=$ 4.

Proof. Let $w \in X_{r-2}$ be a central vertex of $\mathscr{G}$. Take $u \in N(w) \cap X_{r-3}$. By Subclaim 3(2), there exists $w^{\prime} \in X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 5$. Then $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right)-d_{G}(u, w) \geq 4$. On the other hand, since Claim 3.2 implies that the radius of $\mathscr{G}$ is at most 2 , we have $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$. Since $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)$, this forces $d_{\mathscr{G}}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=4$.

Subclaim 5. Suppose that there exist $w, w^{\prime} \in X_{r-2}$ and $u \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ and $d_{G}(w, u)=d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, u\right)=3$. Then (0) holds.

Proof. By Subclaim 3(1), there exists $u^{\prime} \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \geq 6$. Then $d_{G}\left(w, u^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)-d_{G}(u, w) \geq 3$ and $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)-d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. Since $|N(w)|,\left|N\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|,|N(u)|,\left|N\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$, we obtain $8 k \leq \mid\left\{w, w^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}\right\} \cup$ $N(w) \cup N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cup N(u) \cup N\left(u^{\prime}\right)\left|\leq\left|\cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r-1} X_{i}\right| \leq 8 k\right.$ by (3.1) and (3.2). This implies that equality holds in (3.1) and (3.2), and hence (0) holds.

We return to the proof of the claim. By Subclaim 4, there exist $w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2} \in$ $X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)=d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, w_{2}\right)=4$. Take $u \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-3}$. If $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, u\right)=d_{G}\left(w_{2}, u\right)=3$, then by Subclaim 5 , (0) holds. Thus by symmetry, we may assume $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, u\right) \leq 2$. Take $y \in$ $N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap N\left(w_{2}\right)$. Then $d_{G}(y, u) \leq 2$. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, w_{1}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, w_{2}\right)=$ 4 , we also get $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, y\right)=3$. By Subclaim $3(2)$, there exists $w_{3} \in X_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, u\right) \geq 5$. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, u\right) \leq 2$ and $d_{G}(y, u) \leq 2$, we have $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, w_{0}\right) \geq 3$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, y\right) \geq 3$. Now if $y \in X_{r-2} \cup X_{r-1}$, then $N\left(w_{0}\right) \cup$ $N\left(w_{3}\right) \cup N(y) \subseteq \cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$, and hence $6 k \leq \mid\left\{w_{0}, w_{3}, y\right\} \cup N\left(w_{0}\right) \cup N\left(w_{3}\right) \cup$ $N(y)\left|\leq\left|\cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right| \leq 6 k-1\right.$ by (3.1) and (3.2), a contradiction. Thus $y \in X_{r-3}$. On the other hand, since $d_{G}\left(w_{0}, w_{2}\right)=4 \geq 3$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, w_{0}\right) \geq 3$, we obtain $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, w_{2}\right) \leq 2$ by Claim 3.1. Since $y \in N\left(w_{2}\right)$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, y\right) \geq 3$, this forces $d_{G}\left(w_{3}, y\right)=3$. Therefore applying Subclaim 5 to $w_{0}, w_{3}$ and $y$, we see that ( 0 ) holds.

Having Claims 3.3 and 3.4 in mind, we divide the rest of the proof for Case 1 into four cases, Cases 1.1 through 1.4. Except in Case 1.3, we derive a contradiction by showing that there exists $u \in V(G)$ such that $d_{G}(u, v)<r$ for every $v \in V(G)$.

Case 1.1. $a \geq 4$ and $b \leq r-3$.
We have $a \leq r-5$. By Claim 3.3, there exists $x \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{5}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq a-1$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=5+i \leq 5+(a-1)=a+4 \leq(r-5)+4=$ $r-1<r$. Thus we may assume $a \leq i \leq r$. First assume $i=a$. By Lemma 3, $N(v) \cap X_{a+1} \neq \phi$. Take $w \in N(v) \cap X_{a+1}$. Then $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, w)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq\{(a+1)-5\}+4+1=a+1 \leq$ $(r-5)+1=r-4<r$. Next assume $a+1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $w$ be a vertex in $X_{a+1}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path. Then $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, w)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq\{(a+1)-5\}+4+\{i-(a+1)\}=$ $i-1 \leq r-1<r$.

Case 1.2. $a \leq r-4$, and either $a=3$ and $b \leq r-1$ or $a \geq 4$ and $r-2 \leq$ $b \leq r-1$.

By the assumptions of the proposition, we have $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. By Lemma 5, there exists $v_{0} \in X_{r-1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$ for every $v^{\prime} \in X_{r}$. Let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{a+1}$ which is on a shortest $z-v_{0}$ path. By Claim 3.3, there exists $x \in X_{a+1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(x, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$, and $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$ for every $w \in X_{a+1}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{4}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq a-1, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=$ $4+i \leq 4+(a-1)=a+3 \leq(r-4)+3=r-1<r$. If $i=a$, then taking $w \in N(v) \cap X_{a+1}$ (see Lemma 3), we get $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, w)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq\{(a+1)-4\}+4+1=a+2 \leq$ $(r-4)+2=r-2<r$. If $a+1 \leq i \leq r-1$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{a+1}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}(x, w) \leq 4$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, w)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq\{(a+1)-4\}+4+\{i-(a+$ $1)\}=i \leq r-1<r$. If $i=r$, then letting $v_{0}, w^{\prime}$ be as above, we obtain $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}\left(x, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v_{0}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq\{(a+1)-4\}+2+$ $\{(r-1)-(a+1)\}+2=r-1<r$.

Case 1.3. $a=r-3$ and Claim 3.4(0) holds.
We have $b=r-1$, which means that the conditions in (1) and (2) of the proposition are not satisfied. Further $\sum_{i=a}^{b-1}\left|X_{i}\right|=\left|X_{r-3}\right|+\left|X_{r-2}\right|=4 k-1=$ $2 k(b-a)-1$, and therefore (3)(ii) holds.

Case 1.4. $a=r-3$ and Claim 3.4(1) holds.
Let $x$ be as in Claim 3.4(1). Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{3}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq r-4, d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=3+i \leq 3+(r-4)=r-1<r$. If $r-3 \leq i \leq r$,
then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 5$ by Claim 3.4(1), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq$ $\{(r-3)-3\}+5=r-1<r$.

This concludes the discussion for the case where $\mathscr{G}$ is connected.

## §4. The case where $\mathscr{G}$ is disconnected

In this section and the next section, we consider the case where $\mathscr{G}$ is disconnected. The main results of this section are Claims 4.13 through 4.16 , which correspond to Claims 3.3 and 3.4 in Case 1.

Case 2. $\mathscr{G}$ is disconnected.
By Claim 3.1, $\mathscr{G}$ consists of two components. Let $S_{a+1}$ and $T_{a+1}$ be the vertex sets of the components of $\mathscr{G}$. For $j$ with $a \leq j \leq b$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j}=\left(\cup_{v \in S_{a+1}} N_{|a+1-j|}(v)\right) \cap X_{j}, \\
& T_{j}=\left(\cup_{w \in T_{a+1}} N_{|a+1-j|}(w)\right) \cap X_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S_{a+1} \cup T_{a+1}=X_{a+1}$, it immediately follows from the definition of $S_{j}$ and $T_{j}$ that $S_{j} \cup T_{j}=X_{j}$ for each $a+1 \leq j \leq b$. Applying Lemma 3 with $i=a$, we also see that $S_{a} \cup T_{a}=X_{a}$. Thus $S_{j} \cup T_{j}=X_{j}$ for each $a \leq j \leq b$. Also since $d_{G}(v, w) \geq 3$ for every $v \in S_{a+1}$ and every $w \in T_{a+1}, S_{j} \cap T_{j}=\phi$ for each $a \leq j \leq a+2$.

Claim 4.1. $\left|S_{a}\right| \geq k-1$ and $\left|T_{a}\right| \geq k-1$.
Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that $\left|S_{a}\right| \leq k-2$. Then since $G-$ ( $S_{a} \cup T_{a+2}$ ) is disconnected, $\left|T_{a+2}\right| \geq k+1$ by the assumption that $G$ is ( $2 k-1$ )connected, and hence $\left|S_{a+2}\right|=\left|X_{a+2}-T_{a+2}\right| \leq(2 k+1)-(k+1)=k$. But since $G-\left(S_{a} \cup S_{a+2}\right)$ is also disconnected, this contradicts the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected. Thus $\left|S_{a}\right| \geq k-1$. We can prove $\left|T_{a}\right| \geq k-1$ in exactly the same way.

In view of Claim 4.1, we may assume $\left|S_{a}\right|=k-1$ and $\left|T_{a}\right|=k$.
Claim 4.2. Let $a+1 \leq i \leq b$, and suppose that for each $h$ with $a+1 \leq h \leq$ $i-1, d_{G}(v, w) \geq 3$ for every $v \in S_{h}$ and every $w \in T_{h}$. Then the following hold.
(1) (a) $\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k-1$.(b) If $i \geq a+2,\left|S_{i}\right| \geq k$.
(2) $\left|T_{i}\right| \geq k$.

Proof. From the assumption of Claim 4.2, it follows that $G-\left(S_{i} \cup T_{a}\right)$ is disconnected, and hence (1)(a) follows from the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$ connected. Similarly, $G-\left(S_{a} \cup T_{i}\right)$ is disconnected and, in the case where $i \geq a+2, G-\left(S_{a} \cup S_{i}\right)$ is also disconnected, and hence (1)(b) and (2) also follow from the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected.

We define an integer $c$ as follows. Set
$Q:=\left\{i \mid a+1 \leq i \leq b-1\right.$, there exists $w_{1} \in S_{i}$ and there exists $w_{2} \in T_{i}$ such that $\left.d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \leq 2\right\}$.

We have $Q \neq \phi$ because if $Q=\phi$, then $\left|X_{b}\right|=\left|S_{b}\right|+\left|T_{b}\right| \geq k+k=2 k$ by Claim 4.2, which contradicts the assumption that $\left|X_{b}\right|=2 k-1$. Now set

$$
c=\min Q .
$$

Then $a+2 \leq c \leq \max Q \leq b-1$ by the definition of $S_{a+1}$ and $T_{a+1}$. The following remarks follow from the definition of $c$.

Remark. For each $a \leq i \leq c$, we have $X_{i}-S_{i}=T_{i}$.
Remark. Let $a+1 \leq i \leq c-1$. Then $N(v) \subset S_{i-1} \cup S_{i} \cup S_{i+1}$ for every $v \in S_{i}$, and $N(w) \subset T_{i-1} \cup T_{i} \cup T_{i+1}$ for every $w \in T_{i}$.

The following two claims immediately follow from Claim 4.2.

## Claim 4.3.

(1) If (A) holds, then $\left|S_{a+1}\right|=k-1$ or $k$, and $\left|S_{i}\right|=k$ for each $a+2 \leq i \leq c$.
(2) If (B) holds, then $\left|S_{a+1}\right|=k-1,\left|S_{i}\right|=k$ or $k+1$ for each $a+2 \leq i \leq c$, and the number of those indices $i$ with $a+2 \leq i \leq c$ for which $\left|S_{i}\right|=k+1$ is at most one.

## Claim 4.4.

(1) If (A) holds, then $\left|T_{a+1}\right|=k$ or $k+1$, and $\left|T_{i}\right|=k$ for each $a+2 \leq i \leq c$.
(2) If (B) holds, then $\left|T_{i}\right|=k$ or $k+1$ for each $a+1 \leq i \leq c$, and the number of those indices $i$ with $a+1 \leq i \leq c$ for which $\left|T_{i}\right|=k+1$ is at most one.

Claim 4.5. $\left|S_{i-1} \cup S_{i} \cup S_{i+1}\right| \leq 3 k+1$ for each $a+1 \leq i \leq c-1$.
Proof. Since Claim 4.3 implies that $\left|S_{i}\right| \leq k+1$ for each $a \leq i \leq c$, and that the number of indices $i$ with $a \leq i \leq c$ such that $\left|S_{i}\right|=k+1$ is at most one, the desired inequality follows immediately.

Claim 4.6. $\left|T_{i-1} \cup T_{i} \cup T_{i+1}\right| \leq 3 k+1$ for each $a+1 \leq i \leq c-1$.

Proof. Since Claim 4.4 implies that $\left|T_{i}\right| \leq k+1$ for each $a \leq i \leq c$, and that the number of indices $i$ with $a \leq i \leq c$ such that $\left|T_{i}\right|=k+1$ is at most one, the desired inequality follows immediately.

Claim 4.7. Let $a+1 \leq i \leq c-1$. Then the following hold.
(1) $d_{G}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$ for every $v, v^{\prime} \in S_{i}$.
(2) $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$ for every $w, w^{\prime} \in T_{i}$.

Proof. Suppose that there exist $v, v^{\prime} \in S_{i}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. Then $(\{v\} \cup N(v)) \cap\left(\left\{v^{\prime}\right\} \cup N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)=\phi$. Since $|N(v)| \geq 2 k-1$ and $\left|N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$ by the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected, it follows from Claim 4.5 that $4 k \leq\left|\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \cup N(v) \cup N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|S_{i-1} \cup S_{i} \cup S_{i+1}\right| \leq 3 k+1$, a contradiction. Thus (1) is proved. We can prove (2) in exactly the same way by using Claim 4.6 in place of Claim 4.5.

Claim 4.8. Let $a \leq i<j \leq c$. Then the following hold.
(1) $d_{G}(w, v) \leq j-i+2$ for every $w \in S_{j}$ and every $v \in S_{i}$.
(2) $d_{G}(w, v) \leq j-i+2$ for every $w \in T_{j}$ and every $v \in T_{i}$.

Proof. Let $w \in T_{j}$ and $v \in T_{i}$. If $a+1 \leq i \leq c-1$, then letting $v^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $T_{i}$ which is on a shortest $z-w$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq 2$ by Claim 4.7(2), and hence $d_{G}(w, v) \leq d_{G}\left(w, v^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq j-i+2$. Thus we may assume $i=a$. Take $v^{\prime \prime} \in N(v) \cap T_{a+1}$ (see Lemma 3). Let $v^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be a vertex in $T_{a+1}$ which is on a shortest $z-w$ path. Then $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime \prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2$ by Claim 4.7(2), and hence $d_{G}(w, v) \leq d_{G}\left(w, v^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(v^{\prime \prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, v\right) \leq\{j-(a+1)\}+2+1=$ $j-a+2$. This proves (2). Note that we did not make use of the assumption that $\left|S_{a}\right|=k-1$ and $\left|T_{a}\right|=k$ in the proof of (2). Thus (1) similarly follows from Claim 4.7(1).

Letting $i=c-1$ and $j=c$ in Claim 4.8, we obtain the following claim.

## Claim 4.9.

(1) $d_{G}(u, v) \leq 3$ for every $u \in S_{c-1}$ and every $v \in S_{c}$.
(2) $d_{G}(u, v) \leq 3$ for every $u \in T_{c-1}$ and every $v \in T_{c}$.

## Claim 4.10.

(1) $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ for every $w, w^{\prime} \in S_{c}$.
(2) $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ for every $w, w^{\prime} \in T_{c}$.

Proof. Let $w, w^{\prime} \in S_{c}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $S_{c-1}$ which is on a shortest $z-w$ path (i.e., $\left.u \in N(w) \cap S_{c-1}\right)$. Then by Claim 4.9(1), $d_{G}\left(w, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 1+$ $d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$. Thus (1) is proved, and (2) similarly follows from Claim 4.9(2).

Claim 4.11. Suppose that $c \geq a+3$. Then $d_{G}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$ for every $v \in S_{a+1}$ and every $v^{\prime} \in S_{a+2}$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose that there exist $v \in S_{a+1}$ and $v^{\prime} \in$ $S_{a+2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. Then $(\{v\} \cup N(v)) \cap\left(\left\{v^{\prime}\right\} \cup N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)=\phi$. By Claim 4.3, we have $\left|\cup_{a \leq i \leq a+3} S_{i}\right| \leq 4 k-1$. Since $|N(v)| \geq 2 k-1$ and $\left|N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq$ $2 k-1$, we now get $4 k \leq\left|\left\{v, v^{\prime}\right\} \cup N(v) \cup N\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|\cup_{a \leq i \leq a+3} S_{i}\right| \leq 4 k-1$, a contradiction.

Throughout the rest of the discussion for Case 2, we fix two vertices $w_{1}, w_{2}$ with $w_{1} \in S_{c}$ and $w_{2} \in T_{c}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \leq 2$. If possible, we choose $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ so that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=1$. We prove one more auxiliary result.

Claim 4.12. Let $a \leq i \leq c-1$, and let $v \in X_{i}$.
(1) If $v \in S_{i}$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v\right) \leq c-i+2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4$.
(2) If $v \in T_{i}$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v\right) \leq c-i+4$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+2$.
(3) If $c \geq a+3, a \leq i \leq a+1$ and $v \in S_{i}$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v\right) \leq c-i+1$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+3$.

Proof. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \leq 2,(1)$ and (2) follow from Claim 4.8. To prove (3), assume $c \geq a+3$, and let $a \leq i \leq a+1$ and $v \in S_{i}$. Let $v^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $S_{a+2}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{1}$ path. If $i=a+1$, then $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq 2=$ $(a+2)-i+1$ by Claim 4.11; if $i=a$, then taking $v^{\prime \prime} \in N(v) \cap S_{a+1}$ (see Lemma 3), we get $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(v^{\prime \prime}, v\right) \leq 2+1=(a+2)-i+1$ by Claim 4.11. Thus in either case, $d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq(a+2)-i+1$. Consequently $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v\right) \leq d_{G}\left(w_{1}, v^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \leq\{c-(a+2)\}+\{(a+2)-i+1\}=c-i+1$ and, since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \leq 2$, we also get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+3$.

We now prove the four main claims of this section.
Claim 4.13. Suppose that $c=a+2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 4$ for every $w \in X_{a+2}$; or
(2) for each $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{a+1}$, we have $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 7$ for every $y \in X_{a+1} \cup$ $X_{a+2}$ and $d_{G}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ for every $y^{\prime} \in X_{a+3}$.

Proof. Suppose that (1) does not hold. In view of Claim 4.10(2), this means that there exists $w_{3} \in S_{a+2}$ with $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \geq 5$. Then since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$, we have $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{3}\right) \geq 3$, and hence $\left(\left\{w_{1}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{1}\right)\right) \cap\left(\left\{w_{3}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{3}\right)\right)=\phi$. Note that the assumption that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$, together with the choice of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ mentioned in the paragraph preceding Claim 4.12, implies that there is no edge between $S_{a+2}$ and $T_{a+2}$. Hence $N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N\left(w_{3}\right) \subseteq S_{a+1} \cup S_{a+2} \cup X_{a+3}$. On the other hand, whether (A) holds or (B) holds, $\left|X_{a+1}\right|+\left|X_{a+2}\right|+\left|X_{a+3}\right| \leq 6 k$. Since $\left|T_{a+1}\right|+\left|T_{a+2}\right| \geq 2 k$ by Claim 4.4, this implies $\left|S_{a+1} \cup S_{a+2} \cup X_{a+3}\right| \leq$ $4 k$. Since $\left|N\left(w_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$ and $\left|N\left(w_{3}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$, we now obtain $4 k \leq$ $\left|\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N\left(w_{3}\right)\right| \leq\left|S_{a+1} \cup S_{a+2} \cup X_{a+3}\right| \leq 4 k$, which implies that $X_{a+3}=\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N\left(w_{3}\right)\right) \cap X_{a+3}$. Let $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{a+1}$. Since $X_{a+3}=$ $\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N\left(w_{3}\right)\right) \cap X_{a+3}$, it follows from Claim 4.9(1) that $d_{G}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ for every $y^{\prime} \in X_{a+3}$. By Claims 4.7(1) and 4.9(1), $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 3$ for every $y \in S_{a+1} \cup S_{a+2}$. Since $d_{G}\left(x, w_{2}\right) \leq 3$ and since $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, y\right) \leq 4$ for every $y \in T_{a+1} \cup T_{a+2}$ by Claims 4.9(2) and 4.10(2), we also get $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 7$ for every $y \in X_{a+1} \cup X_{a+2}$. Therefore (2) holds.

Claim 4.14. Suppose that $c=r-2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=1$. Then for each $x \in\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3}\right) \cup\left(N\left(w_{2}\right) \cap T_{r-3}\right)$, we have $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 6$ for every $y \in$ $\cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$.

Proof. Note that from the assumption that $c=r-2$, it follows that $b=$ $r-1$, and hence $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$ by the assumptions of the proposition. Let $x \in\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3}\right) \cup\left(N\left(w_{2}\right) \cap T_{r-3}\right)$. By symmetry, we may assume $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3}$ (in the proof of this claim, we do not make use of the assumption that $\left|S_{a}\right|=k-1$ and $\left|T_{a}\right|=k$. Then by Claims 4.7(1) and $4.8(1), d_{G}(x, y) \leq 3$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r-2} S_{i}$. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, y\right) \leq 4$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r-2} T_{i}$ by Claims 4.10(2) and 4.12(2), $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 6$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r-2} T_{i}$. Now if $\left(\cup_{w \in S_{r-2}} N(w)\right) \cap X_{r-1}=\phi$, then $G-T_{r-2}$ is disconnected, and hence $\left|T_{r-2}\right| \geq 2 k-1$ by the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$ connected, which contradicts Claim 4.4. Thus there exist $w_{0} \in S_{r-2}$ and $y_{0} \in X_{r-1}$ such that $w_{0} y_{0} \in E(G)$. Since $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$, $d_{G}\left(y_{0}, y\right) \leq 2$ for every $y \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$. Since $d_{G}\left(x, w_{0}\right) \leq 3$ by Claim 4.9(1), it now follows that $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 6$ for every $y \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$. Thus the claim is proved.

Claim 4.15. Suppose that $c=r-2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$. Then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, y\right) \leq 4$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$.

Proof. Note that $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$, and hence $d_{G}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$ for every $y, y^{\prime} \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$. Suppose that the claim is false. Then by Claim 4.10(2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { there exists } v \in S_{r-2} \cup X_{r-1} \cup X_{r} \text { such that } d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \geq 5 \text {. } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$, there is no edge between $S_{r-2}$ and $T_{r-2}$. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and $N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap N\left(w_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-3}=\phi$, this implies $N\left(w_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-1} \neq \phi$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}\left(w_{2}, y\right) \leq 3 \text { for every } y \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), there exists $w_{3} \in S_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right) \geq 5$. By (4.2), $N\left(w_{3}\right) \cap X_{r-1}=\phi$. Hence $N\left(w_{3}\right) \subseteq S_{r-3} \cup S_{r-2}$. On the other hand, since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{3}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w_{3}\right)-d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w_{1}\right) \geq 3,\left(\left\{w_{1}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{1}\right)\right) \cap\left(\left\{w_{3}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{3}\right)\right)=$ $\phi$. Consequently $N\left(w_{3}\right) \subseteq\left(S_{r-3} \cup S_{r-2}\right)-\left(\left\{w_{1}, w_{3}\right\} \cup\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3}\right)\right)$. Since $\left|S_{r-3} \cup S_{r-2}\right| \leq 2 k+1$ by Claim 4.3 and $N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3} \neq \phi$ by the definition of $S_{r-2}$, we now obtain $\left|N\left(w_{3}\right)\right| \leq(2 k+1)-3$, which contradicts the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected.

Claim 4.16. Suppose that $c=a+2=r-2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$. Then one of the following holds:
(1) there exists $x \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 5$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$; or
(2) there exists $x \in X_{r-4}$ such that $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 4$ for every $y \in X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}$; or
(3) there exists $x \in X_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}(x, y) \leq 4$ for $y \in \cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$.

Proof. Note that $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$. Write $X_{r}=\left\{y_{0}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(y_{0}\right)=X_{r-1}, \text { and } d_{G}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \text { for every } y, y^{\prime} \in X_{r-1} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$, there is no edge between $S_{r-2}$ and $T_{r-2}$, and $N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1} \neq \phi$. Hence by (4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}\left(y, y_{0}\right) \leq 4 \text { for every } y \in N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-4} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w\right) \leq 2$ for every $w \in S_{r-3}$, then it follows from Claims 4.7(2) and 4.15 that (1) holds with $x \in N\left(w_{2}\right) \cap X_{r-3}$. Thus we may assume that there exists $w^{\prime} \in S_{r-3}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. If there exists $w^{\prime \prime} \in X_{r-1}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 3$ and $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right) \geq 3$, then since $\left|T_{r-4} \cup T_{r-3}\right| \geq 2 k$ by Claim 4.4 and since $\left|X_{r-3} \cup X_{r-2}\right| \leq 4 k$ and $\left|X_{r-4}\right|=2 k-1$, we get $6 k \leq\left|\left\{w_{1}, w^{\prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cup N\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|\left(S_{r-4} \cup S_{r-3}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\cup_{r-4 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right|-\left|T_{r-4} \cup T_{r-3}\right| \leq(8 k-1)-2 k$, a contradiction. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w\right) \leq 2 \text { or } d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, w\right) \leq 2 \text { for each } w \in X_{r-1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap S_{r-4} \neq \phi$, then it follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that (2) holds with $x \in N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap S_{r-4}$. Thus we may assume that $N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap$ $N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap S_{r-4}=\phi$. Since $\left|S_{r-4}\right|=k-1$ and $N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-4} \neq \phi$, this implies that $\left|N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap S_{r-4}\right| \leq k-2$. Since $\left|N\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2 k-1$, it follows that $\mid N\left(w^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(S_{r-3} \cup\right.$
$\left.S_{r-2}\right) \mid \geq k+1$. Since $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ and $\left|S_{r-3}\right|+\left|S_{r-2}\right| \leq 2 k$ by Claim 4.3, this in turn implies that $\left|N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap\left(S_{r-3} \cup S_{r-2}\right)\right| \leq 2 k-2-(k+1)=k-3$, and hence $\left|N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right| \geq(2 k-1)-(k-3)=k+2$. If $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w\right) \leq 3$ for every $w \in T_{r-2}$, then it follows from (4.3) and Claim 4.9(1) that (3) holds with $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-3}$. Thus we may assume that there exists $w_{4} \in T_{r-2}$ such that $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{4}\right) \geq 4$. Set $M=\cup_{v \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}}\left(N(v)-\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right)\right)$. Note that $w_{1} \in M$ and $M \subseteq\left\{w_{1}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right)$. Also $|M| \geq 2 k-1$ by the assumption that $G$ is $(2 k-1)$-connected. Since $\left|S_{r-3}\right| \geq k-1$ by Claim 4.3 and $\left|X_{r-3} \cup X_{r-2}\right| \leq 4 k$, we now obtain $5 k+1=1+(2 k-1)+(k+2)+$ $(2 k-1) \leq\left|\left\{w_{4}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{4}\right) \cup\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{r-1}\right) \cup M\right| \leq\left|T_{r-3} \cup\left(\cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\cup_{r-3 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}\right|-\left|S_{r-3}\right| \leq 6 k-(k-1)=5 k+1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{r-3} \subseteq N\left(w_{4}\right),  \tag{4.6}\\
\cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i} \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, w_{4}\right\} \cup N\left(w_{4}\right) \cup N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $X_{r-1} \subseteq N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right)$, then it follows from (4.4) that (2) holds with $x \in N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-4}$. Thus we may assume that $X_{r-1}-\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right)\right) \neq$ $\phi$. Let $u \in X_{r-1}-\left(N\left(w_{1}\right) \cup N_{2}\left(w_{1}\right)\right)$. Then $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, u\right) \leq 2$ by (4.5), and $d_{G}\left(w_{4}, u\right) \leq 1$ by (4.7). Hence $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, w_{4}\right) \leq 3$. Since $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, w_{1}\right) \leq 3$ by Claim 4.9(1), this together with (4.7) implies that $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, y\right) \leq 5$ for every $y \in \cup_{r-2 \leq i \leq r} X_{i}$. Furthermore it follows from (4.6) that $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, y\right) \leq 4$ for every $y \in \bar{T}_{r-3}$. Therefore it follows from Claim 4.7(1) that (1) holds with $x=w^{\prime}$. This completes the proof of Claim 4.16.

## §5. Proof of Proposition 1

We continue with the notation of the preceding section, and complete the proof of Proposition 1.

We divide the rest of the proof for Case 2 into eight cases. In each case, we derive a contradiction by showing that there exists $u \in V(G)$ such that $d_{G}(u, v)<r$ for every $v \in V(G)$.
Case 2.1. $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=1$.
Subcase 2.1.1. $a \geq 4$ and $c \leq r-4$.
Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{6}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-3, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=6+i \leq 6+(c-$ $3) \leq 6+(r-7)=r-1<r$. If $c-2 \leq i \leq c-1$, then since $c-2 \geq a$, it follows from (1) and (2) of Claim 4.12 that $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4 \leq c-(c-2)+4=6$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(c-6)+6 \leq\{(r-4)-6\}+6=$ $r-4<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 5$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-6)+5+(i-c)=i-1 \leq r-1<r$.

Subcase 2.1.2. $c \leq r-3$, and either $a=3$ or $c=r-3$.
Note that $b \geq r-2$ in the case where $c=r-3$. Thus we have $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq$ $2 k+2$ by the assumptions of the proposition.
Subcase 2.1.2.1. Lemma 5(1) holds.
Let $v_{0}$ be as in Lemma $5(1)$, and let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v_{0}$ path. By symmetry, we may assume $w^{\prime} \in T_{c}$ (in this subcase, we do not make use of the assumption that $\left|S_{a}\right|=k-1$ and $\left|T_{a}\right|=k$ ). Then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ by Claim $4.10(2)$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{5}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-3$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=5+i \leq 5+(c-3) \leq 5+\{(r-3)-3\}=r-1<r$. If $c-2 \leq i \leq c-1$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4 \leq 6$ by Claim 4.12, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(c-5)+6=c+1 \leq(r-3)+1=$ $r-2<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r-1$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 5$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-5)+5+(i-c)=i \leq r-1<r$. If $i=r$, then letting $v_{0}, w^{\prime}$ be as above, we get $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right)=1$ by Lemma $5(1)$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v_{0}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq(c-$ 5) $+4+\{(r-1)-c\}+1=r-1<r$.

Subcase 2.1.2.2. Lemma 5(2) holds.
Let $v_{0}$ be as in Lemma $5(2)$, and let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v_{0}$ path. By symmetry, we may assume $w^{\prime} \in T_{c}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{4}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-2, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=4+i \leq 4+(c-2) \leq$ $4+\{(r-3)-2\}=r-1<r$. If $i=c-1$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-(c-1)+4 \leq 5$ by Claim 4.12, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(c-4)+5=$ $c+1 \leq(r-3)+1=r-2<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r-2$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 5$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-4)+5+(i-c)=$ $i+1 \leq r-1<r$. Thus we may assume $r-1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $v_{0}, w^{\prime}$ be as above, and let $w^{\prime \prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c-1}$ which is on a shortest $u-w_{2}$ path. Then $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq 2$ by Lemma $5(2)$, and $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ by Claim 4.9(2). Hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime \prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v_{0}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq\{(c-1)-4\}+$ $3+\{(r-1)-c\}+2=r-1<r$.

Subcase 2.1.3. $c=r-2$.
Fix $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap S_{r-3}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{4}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq r-5, d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=4+i \leq 4+(r-5)=r-1<r$. If $r-4 \leq i \leq r$, then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 6$ by Claim 4.14, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq$ $\{(r-3)-4\}+6=r-1<r$.

Case 2.2. $a+3 \leq c \leq r-3$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$.
Subcase 2.2.1. $a \geq 4$ and $c \leq r-4$.
Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{7}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-4, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=7+i \leq$ $7+(c-4) \leq 7+\{(r-4)-4\}=r-1<r$. If $c-3 \leq i \leq c-1$, then since $c-3 \geq a$ by the assumption of Case 2.2, it follows from Claim 4.12 that $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4 \leq 7$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq$ $(c-7)+7=c \leq r-4<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 6$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-7)+6+(i-c)=$ $i-1 \leq r-1<r$.

Subcase 2.2.2. $a=3$ or $c=r-3$.
We have $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$ by the assumptions of the proposition.
Subcase 2.2.2.1. Lemma 5(1) holds.
Let $v_{0}$ be as in Lemma $5(1)$, and let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v_{0}$ path. By symmetry, we may assume $w^{\prime} \in T_{c}$. Then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq$ 4 by Claim $4.10(2)$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{6}$ which is on a shortest $z-$ $w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-4, d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=6+i \leq 6+(c-4) \leq 6+\{(r-3)-4\}=r-1<r$. If $c-3 \leq i \leq c-1$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4 \leq 7$ by Claim 4.12, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(c-6)+7=c+1 \leq(r-3)+1=$ $r-2<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r-1$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 6$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-6)+6+(i-c)=i \leq r-1<r$. If $i=r$, then letting $v_{0}, w^{\prime}$ be as above, we get $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right)=1$ by Lemma $5(1)$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v_{0}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq(c-$ $6)+4+\{(r-1)-c\}+1=r-2<r$.

Subcase 2.2.2.2. Lemma 5(2) holds.
Let $v_{0}$ be as in Lemma $5(2)$, and let $w^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v_{0}$ path. By symmetry, we may assume $w^{\prime} \in T_{c}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{5}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq c-3, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=5+i \leq 5+(c-3) \leq$ $5+\{(r-3)-3\}=r-1<r$. If $c-2 \leq i \leq c-1$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq c-i+4 \leq 6$ by Claim 4.12, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(c-5)+6=c+1 \leq$ $(r-3)+1=r-2<r$. If $c \leq i \leq r-2$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{c}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 6$ by Claim 4.10, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq(c-5)+6+(i-c)=i+1 \leq r-1<$
$r$. If $r-1 \leq i \leq r$, then letting $v_{0}, w^{\prime}$ be as above and $w^{\prime \prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{c-1}$ which is on a shortest $u-w_{2}$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq 2$ by Lemma $5(2)$ and $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq 3$ by Claim 4.9(2), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w^{\prime \prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(w^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime}\right)+$ $d_{G}\left(w^{\prime}, v_{0}\right)+d_{G}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq\{(c-1)-5\}+3+\{(r-1)-c\}+2=r-2<r$.

Case 2.3. $a+3 \leq c=r-2$ and $d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$.
Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{r-a-1}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq a, d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=$ $(r-a-1)+i \leq(r-a-1)+a=r-1<r$. If $r-2 \leq i \leq r$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq 4$ by Claim 4.15, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq$ $\{(r-2)-(r-a-1)\}+4=a+3 \leq(r-5)+3=r-2<r$. Thus we may assume that $a+1 \leq i \leq r-3$. If $v \in T_{i}$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(r-2)-i+2 \leq$ $(r-2)-(a+1)+2=r-a-1$ by Claim 4.12(2); if $v \in S_{i}$ and $a+2 \leq i \leq r-3$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(r-2)-i+4 \leq(r-2)-(a+2)+4=r-a$ by Claim 4.12(1); if $v \in S_{a+1}$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(r-2)-(a+1)+3=r-a$ by Claim 4.12(3). Hence $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq r-a$. Therefore $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq$ $\{(r-2)-(r-a-1)\}+(r-a)=r-1<r$.

Case 2.4. $a+2=c \leq r-3, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and Claim 4.13(1) holds.
Note that $a \leq r-5$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{5}$ which is on a shortest $z-w_{2}$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq a-1, d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=5+i \leq 5+(a-1)=a+4 \leq(r-5)+4=r-1<r$. If $a \leq i \leq a+1$, then $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq(a+2)-a+4=6$ by Claim 4.12, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, v\right) \leq\{(a+2)-5\}+6=a+3 \leq(r-5)+3=$ $r-2<r$. If $a+2 \leq i \leq r$, then letting $w$ be a vertex in $X_{a+2}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right) \leq 4$ by Claim $4.13(1)$, and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}\left(u, w_{2}\right)+d_{G}\left(w_{2}, w\right)+d_{G}(w, v) \leq\{(a+2)-5\}+4+\{i-(a+2)\}=$ $i-1 \leq r-1<r$.

Case 2.5. $a+2=c \leq r-3, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and Claim 4.13(2) holds.
Note that $a \leq r-5$. Fix $x \in N\left(w_{1}\right) \cap X_{a+1}$. Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{4}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq a$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=4+i \leq 4+a \leq 4+(r-5)=r-1<r$. If $a+1 \leq i \leq a+2$, then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 7$ by Claim 4.13(2), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq\{(a+1)-4\}+7=a+4 \leq(r-5)+4=r-1<r$. If $a+3 \leq i \leq r$, then letting $y^{\prime}$ be a vertex in $X_{a+3}$ which is on a shortest $z-v$ path, we get $d_{G}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ by Claim 4.13(2), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq$ $d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}\left(x, y^{\prime}\right)+d_{G}\left(y^{\prime}, v\right) \leq\{(a+1)-4\}+4+\{i-(a+3)\}=i-2 \leq r-2<r$.

Case 2.6. $c=a+2=r-2, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and Claim 4.16(1) holds.

Let $x$ be as in Claim 4.16(1). Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{3}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq r-4$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=3+i \leq 3+(r-4)=r-1<r$. If $r-3 \leq i \leq r$, then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 5$ by Claim 4.16(1), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq$ $\{(r-3)-3\}+5=r-1<r$.

Case 2.7. $c=a+2=r-2, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and Claim 4.16(2) holds.
Let $x$ be as in Claim 4.16(2). Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{1}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq r-2$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=1+i \leq 1+(r-2)=r-1<r$. If $r-1 \leq i \leq r$, then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 4$ by Claim 4.16(2), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq$ $\{(r-4)-1\}+4=r-1<r$.

Case 2.8. $c=a+2=r-2, d_{G}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)=2$ and Claim 4.16(3) holds.
Let $x$ be as in Claim 4.16(3). Let $u$ be a vertex in $X_{2}$ which is on a shortest $z-x$ path. Take $v \in V(G)$, and let $v \in X_{i}$. If $0 \leq i \leq r-3$, $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, z)+d_{G}(z, v)=2+i \leq 2+(r-3)=r-1<r$. If $r-2 \leq i \leq r$, then $d_{G}(x, v) \leq 4$ by Claim 4.16(3), and hence $d_{G}(u, v) \leq d_{G}(u, x)+d_{G}(x, v) \leq$ $\{(r-3)-2\}+4=r-1<r$.

This concludes the discussion for the case where $\mathscr{G}$ is disconnected, and completes the proof of Proposition 1.

## §6. Proof of the Theorem

We continue with the notation of Section 2, and complete the proof of the Theorem. We first prove three propositions.

Proposition 2. Suppose that $r \geq 9$. Then $\sum_{i=4}^{r-3}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(r-6)-2$.
Proof. Let $I:=\left\{i\left|4 \leq i \leq r-3,\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k-1\right\}\right.$. We may assume $|I| \geq 3$. Write $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{|I|}\right\}$ with $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{|I|}$. From $I$, we define a new sequence $j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{s}$ inductively as follows. Set $j_{1}=i_{1}$. For $l \geq 2$, set $j_{l}=\min \left\{i \mid i \in I, i \geq j_{l-1}+2\right\}$ (if $\left\{i \mid i \in I, i \geq j_{l-1}+2\right\}=\phi$, then we set $s=l-1$ and terminate this procedure). We have $j_{s}=i_{|I|}$ or $i_{|I|-1}$ by definition. By Proposition 1(1), $\sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(j_{h}-j_{h-1}\right)$ for all $2 \leq h \leq s$. Taking the sum of these inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{s}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\sum_{h=2}^{s} \sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 2 k\left(j_{s}-j_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=4}^{r-3}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\sum_{i=4}^{j_{1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{s}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{s}}^{r-3}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 2 k\left(j_{1}-4\right)+2 k\left(j_{s}-j_{1}\right)+2 k\left(r-2-j_{s}\right)-2 \\
& =2 k(r-6)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
We can prove the following proposition by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.
Proposition 3. Suppose that $r \geq 7$ and $2 k+1 \leq\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. Then $\sum_{i=3}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(r-4)-2$.
Proposition 4. Suppose that $r \geq 6$ and $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|=2 k$. Then $\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq$ $2 k(r-2)-3$.
Proof. Note that $\left|X_{r-1}\right|=2 k-1$ and $\left|X_{r}\right|=1$ by Lemma 2. Let $I:=\{i \mid 3 \leq$ $\left.i \leq r-1,\left|X_{i}\right|=2 k-1\right\}$. Then $r-1 \in I$. If $|I| \leq 2$, then it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & \geq 2 k(r-2)-(|I|+1) \\
& \geq 2 k(r-2)-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we may assume $|I| \geq 3$. Write $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{|I|}\right\}$ with $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<$ $i_{|I|}$. Then $i_{|I|}=r-1$. From $I$, we define a new sequence $j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{s}$ inductively as follows. Set $j_{1}=i_{1}$. For $l \geq 2$, set $j_{l}=\min \left\{i \mid i \in I, i \geq j_{l-1}+2\right\}$ (if $\left\{i \mid i \in I, i \geq j_{l-1}+2\right\}=\phi$, then we set $s=l-1$ and terminate this procedure). We have $j_{s}=i_{|I|}$ or $i_{|I|-1}$ by definition. Also $\frac{|I|}{2} \leq s \leq|I|$. Assume for the moment that $s=2$. Then $|I| \leq 4$. If $\left|X_{j_{1}-1}\right| \leq 2 k+1$, then $\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{2}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(j_{2}-j_{1}\right)$ by Proposition $1(3)$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\left|X_{2}\right|+\sum_{i=3}^{j_{1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{2}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{2}}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq(2 k-1)+2 k\left(j_{1}-3\right)+2 k\left(j_{2}-j_{1}\right)+2 k\left(r-j_{2}\right)-2 \\
& =2 k(r-2)-3
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\left|X_{j_{1}-1}\right| \geq 2 k+2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & \geq 2 k(r-2)-(|I|+1)+\left(\left|X_{j_{1}-1}\right|-2 k\right) \\
& \geq 2 k(r-2)-3
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we may assume $s \geq 3$. Then $r-1 \geq j_{s} \geq j_{1}+4 \geq 7$; i.e., $r \geq 8$. We first consider the case where $j_{s}=i_{|I|-1}$. In this case $j_{s} \leq r-2$. Hence by Proposition 1(2), $\sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(j_{h}-j_{h-1}\right)$ for all $2 \leq h \leq s$. Taking the sum of these inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{s}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\sum_{h=2}^{s} \sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 2 k\left(j_{s}-j_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\left|X_{2}\right|+\sum_{i=3}^{j_{1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{j_{s}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{s}}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq(2 k-1)+2 k\left(j_{1}-3\right)+2 k\left(j_{s}-j_{1}\right)+2 k\left(r-j_{s}\right)-2 \\
& =2 k(r-2)-3
\end{aligned}
$$

We are now left with the case where $j_{s}=i_{|I|}$, i.e., $j_{s}=r-1$. We show that $\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-2}-1\right)-1$. First assume $\left|X_{j_{s-1}-1}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. Then by Proposition 1(3), $\sum_{i=j_{s-1}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-1}-1\right)-1$. Since $\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{j_{s-1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq$ $2 k\left(j_{s-1}-j_{s-2}\right)$ by Proposition 1(2), this implies $\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-2}-\right.$ $1)-1$. Next assume $\left|X_{j_{s-1}-1}\right| \geq 2 k+3$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{j_{s-1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & \geq 2 k\left(j_{s-1}-j_{s-2}\right)-2+\left(\left|X_{j_{s-1}-1}\right|-2 k\right) \\
& \geq 2 k\left(j_{s-1}-j_{s-2}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we clearly have $\sum_{i=j_{s-1}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-1}-1\right)-2$, this implies $\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-2}-1\right)-1$. Therefore $\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{s-2}-1\right)-1$ in either case. By Proposition 1(2), $\sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k\left(j_{h}-j_{h-1}\right)$ for all $2 \leq h \leq s-2$. Taking the sum of these inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\left(\sum_{h=2}^{s-2} \sum_{i=j_{h-1}}^{j_{h}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|\right)+\left(\sum_{i=j_{s-2}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right|\right) \\
& \geq 2 k\left(r-j_{1}-1\right)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| & =\left|X_{2}\right|+\sum_{i=3}^{j_{1}-1}\left|X_{i}\right|+\sum_{i=j_{1}}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right|+\left|X_{r-1}\right| \\
& \geq(2 k-1)+2 k\left(j_{1}-3\right)+\left\{2 k\left(r-j_{1}-1\right)-1\right\}+(2 k-1) \\
& =2 k(r-2)-3
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

We are now in a position to complete the proof of the Theorem. First we consider the case where $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \geq 2 k+3$. If $r \leq 8$, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4. Thus we may assume $r \geq 9$. Note that $\left|X_{0}\right|=1$, and $\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k-1$ for each $i \in\{1,2,3, r-2\}$ by Lemma 2. By Proposition 2, $\sum_{i=4}^{r-3}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(r-6)-2$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V(G)| & =\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 1+3(2 k-1)+\{2 k(r-6)-2\}+(2 k-1)+(2 k+3) \\
& =2 k(r-1)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we consider the case where $2 k+1 \leq\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right| \leq 2 k+2$. If $r \leq 6$, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4. Thus we may assume $r \geq 7$. By Proposition $3, \sum_{i=3}^{r-2}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(r-4)-2$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V(G)| & =\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 1+2(2 k-1)+\{2 k(r-4)-2\}+(2 k+1) \\
& =2 k(r-1)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we consider the case where $\left|X_{r-1} \cup X_{r}\right|=2 k$. If $r \leq 5$, the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4. Thus we may assume $r \geq 6$. By Proposition 4, $\sum_{i=2}^{r-1}\left|X_{i}\right| \geq 2 k(r-2)-3$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V(G)| & =\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left|X_{i}\right| \\
& \geq 1+(2 k-1)+\{2 k(r-2)-3\}+1 \\
& =2 k(r-1)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.
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