On the unit groups and the ideal class groups of certain cubic number fields

Eiji Yoshida

(Received August 26, 2003)

Abstract. Let $f(x) = x^3 + 3x + a^3$ $(a \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a cubic polynomial and θ be the real root of f(x). We consider the unit group of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. We show that $\eta = 1 - a^2 - a\theta$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ under certain conditions. And we consider the 3-class group of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R16, 11R27.

Key words and phrases. Cubic field, fundamental units, 3-class group.

§1. Introduction

Let $x^3 + ax^2 + bx - 1$ $(a, b \in \mathbf{Z})$ be an irreducible cubic polynomial over the rational number field \mathbf{Q} and let K be a cubic field which is generated by a root of above polynomial. Assume that K is not totally real and let $\varepsilon \in K$ be a root of $x^3 + ax^2 + bx - 1$. Then a problem whether ε is a fundamental unit of K or not arises. In particular, Ishida [2], Morikawa [6] and Takaku -Yoshimoto [8] considered the case when $K = \mathbf{Q}(\varepsilon)$ is defined by $\varepsilon^3 + a\varepsilon - 1 = 0$ with $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, $a \ge -1$, $a \ne 0$. They showed that a fundamental unit ε_0 of K is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon$ or $\varepsilon_0^t = \varepsilon$ with t = 2, 4, for $a \ne 67$. In case a = 67, $\varepsilon_0^{11} = \varepsilon$. Kaneko [3] treated $K = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ defined by $\theta^3 - 3\theta + a^3 = 0$ with $a \in \mathbf{Z}$, a > 1. He showed that a fundamental unit of K is $a^2 + 1 + a\theta$ when the order $\mathbf{Z}[\theta]$ is the ring of integers of K.

We shall consider the cubic polynomial of the following type;

$$x^3 + 3x + a^3,$$
 (1)

where a is a positive integer. Then the discriminant of the polynomial (1) is negative and the polynomial (1) has a unique real root. Let θ be the real root of (1) and let $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ be the cubic field formed by adjoining θ to \mathbf{Q} . The minimal polynomial of $1 - a^2 - a\theta$ is

$$x^{3} + 3(a^{2} - 1)x^{2} + 3(a^{4} - a^{2} + 1)x - 1.$$
 (2)

Let *E* be the group of units of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ and let $\langle 1 - a^2 - a\theta, -1 \rangle$ be the group generated by $1-a^2-a\theta$ and ± 1 . Throughout this paper, we put $1-a^2-a\theta = \eta$ and $\langle 1-a^2-a\theta, -1 \rangle = E_{\eta}$. In this paper we shall consider whether the index $|E : E_{\eta}|$ is equal to 1. And as its application, we shall consider the 3-class group of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. Denote $a^6 + 4 = r^2 d$ where *r*, *d* are rational integers and *d* is square-free. Then the following holds.

Theorem 1. Let $-27(a^6+4) = -27r^2d$ (d: square-free) be the discriminant of $x^3 + 3x + a^3$. We assume that

$$\begin{cases} a \ge r \text{ if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}, \\ a \ge 3r \text{ if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$
(*)

then $\eta = 1 - a^2 - a\theta$ is a fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$.

Remark 1. There are only nine numbers a $(1 \le a \le 23000)$, which do not satisfy (*). They are 4, 10, 104, 108, 278 1088, 1808, 2468, 5170. If a = 4, then $\eta = \varepsilon^2$ where ε is the real root of $x^3 - 3x^2 + 27x - 1$. And for other cases, η is a fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. The auther has not found any examples that η is not a fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ except for a = 4 yet.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 1. The discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{-27(a^6+4)}{r^2} & \text{if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{-3(a^6+4)}{r^2} & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let O be the ring of integers of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ and D be the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. First we have

$$\begin{cases} 27 \parallel D \text{ if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 3 \parallel D \text{ if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Indeed the minimal polynomial of $\theta + a$ is $x^3 - 3ax^2 + 3(a^2 + 1)x - 3a$ and if $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$, then this polynomial is an Eisenstein type. Therefore 3 is totally ramified at O and 27 ||D holds.

The minimal polynomial of $\frac{\theta^2}{3}$ is $x^3 + 2x^2 + x - a^6/27$. If $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then this polynomial has integer coefficients. Hence $\frac{\theta^2}{3} \in O$ and $3 \parallel D$ for $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Next we have $\frac{4-a^3\theta+2\theta^2}{r} \in O$ and we have $\frac{\theta^2-\theta}{2} \in O$ when a is even. Because the minimal polynomials of $\frac{4-a^3\theta+2\theta^2}{r}$ and $\frac{\theta^2-\theta}{2}$ are $x^3-3(a^6+4)/r^2x-(a^6+4)^2/r^3$ and $x^3+3x^2+3(1-a^3/4)x-a^3(a^3+4)/8$ respectively. The first polynomial has integer coefficients and the second has integer coefficients if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence we see $\frac{a^6+4}{r^2} \mid D$ and Lemma 1 follows. \Box We shall consider the existence of the unit ε of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ which satisfies $\varepsilon^2 = \eta$.

Lemma 2. Except for a = 4, there are no unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ which satisfies $\varepsilon^2 = \eta$.

To prove Lemma 2, we need two lemmas.

Lemma 3. ([7]) The diophantine equation

$$pz^2 = x^4 - y^4,$$

where p is a prime number and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ has no positive integer solution (x, y, z) with gcd(x, y, z) = 1 except for z = 0, x = y.

Lemma 4. ([4], [5]) The diophantine equation

$$ax^4 - by^4 = c,$$

where a, b are positive integers has at most one solution in positive integers x, y if c = 1, 2, 4, 8.

Proof of Lemma 2. We assume that there is a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ with $\varepsilon^2 = \eta$. Here we can take ε with norm 1. We denote the minimal polynomial of ε by $x^3 - Ax^2 + Bx - 1$ ($A, B \in \mathbf{Z}$). Since the minimal polynomial of ε^2 is $x^3 - (A^2 - 2B)x^2 + (B^2 - 2A)x - 1$ and by (2), we have

$$\begin{cases} 3a^4 = (B+1)^2 - (A+1)^2 \\ 3a^2 = 2(B+1+A+1) - (A+1)^2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore in order to prove Lemma 2, we shall show that

$$\begin{cases} 3a^4 = c^2 - b^2\\ 3a^2 = 2(b+c) - b^2 \end{cases}$$
(3)

has the only integer solution (a, b, c) = (4, 4, 28) with a > 0. First we see that a^2 is divisible by b. Indeed, by (3),

$$b^4 - 4b^3 + 6a^2b^2 - 12a^2b - 3a^4 = 0, (4)$$

and $b \neq 0$. By dividing (4) by $3b^2$, we have

$$\frac{a^4}{b^2} + (4-2b)\frac{a^2}{b} + \frac{4b-b^2}{3} = 0.$$

Since $\frac{4b-b^2}{3}$, 4-2b are rational integers, we see $b \mid a^2$. Put $\frac{a^2}{b} = f$. Then we have

$$b^{2} + 6bf - 3f^{2} - 4b - 12f = 0.$$
 (5)

Now we show that b, f are divisible by 4. Suppose that f is an odd integer. Then b is also odd. Since $4 \mid b + 3f$ and by (5),

$$12f^2 = (b+3f-2)^2 - 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

This contradicts $12f^2 \equiv 12 \pmod{8}$. If $f \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $(b+3f-2)^2 - 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^5}$. Therefore we see that $4 \mid b, f$. Put b = 4g, f = 4h. By dividing $12f^2 = (b+3f-2)^2 - 4$ by 4,

$$48h^2 = (2g + 6h - 2)(2g + 6h).$$
(6)

By (6), the common divisors of 2g + 6h and 2g + 6h - 2 divide 2. Hence we have the following four cases. Namely

$$2g + 6h = \pm 2i^2, \ 2g + 6h - 2 = \pm 2^{2r+3} \cdot 3j^2, \tag{7}$$

$$2g + 6h = \pm 6i^2, \ 2g + 6h - 2 = \pm 2^{2r+3}j^2, \tag{8}$$

$$2g + 6h = \pm 2^{2r+3} \cdot 3i^2, \ 2g + 6h - 2 = \pm 2j^2, \tag{9}$$

$$2g + 6h = \pm 2^{2r+3}i^2, \ 2g + 6h - 2 = \pm 6j^2, \tag{10}$$

where $h = \pm 2^r i j$ and i, j are positive odd integers with gcd(i, j) = 1. According to (7) ~ (10), we see that

$$i^2 - 2^{2r+2} \cdot 3j^2 = \pm 1, \tag{7.1}$$

$$3i^2 - 2^{2r+2}j^2 = \pm 1, (8.1)$$

$$2^{2r+2} \cdot 3i^2 - j^2 = \pm 1, \tag{9.1}$$

$$2^{2r+2}i^2 - 3j^2 = \pm 1. \tag{10.1}$$

(7.1), (8.1), (9.1) and (10.1) are corresponding to (7), (8), (9), (10) respectively.- signs of (7.1), (10.1) and + signs of (8.1), (9.1) can be rejected.

Here we show that (10) has the only solution i = j = 1 and (7), (8) and (9) have no solution with $i \neq 0$ or $j \neq 0$.

The case (7): Since

$$gh = h(i^2 - 3h) = 2^r i j (i^2 - 3 \cdot 2^r i j) = 2^r i^2 j (i - 3 \cdot 2^r j)$$

and $gh = (a/4)^2$, we have r = 2s, $j = k^2$, $i - 3 \cdot 2^r j = l^2$ where s, k, l are rational integers. Hence by (7.1),

$$i^{2} - 2^{2r+2} \cdot 3j^{2} = i^{2} - 12(2^{s}k)^{4} = 1.$$
(7.2)

Moreover $i \equiv l^2 \pmod{12}$ and (7.2) give

$$i-1 = 3 \cdot 2^{4s+1}m^4, \ i+1 = 2n^4,$$

where k = mn, mn is odd and gcd(m, n) = 1. Therefore we obtain

$$n^4 - 3 \cdot (2^s m)^4 = 1. \tag{7.3}$$

However by Lemma 3, (7.3) has no integer solution except for m = 0, n = 1. Since m = 0 implies a = 0, this contradicts $a \neq 0$.

The case (8): Since $gh = 2^r i^2 j(3i-3 \cdot 2^r j)$, we have $j = k^2$ and by (8.1), r = 0 and $i = 2^r j + 3l^2 = k^2 + 3l^2$ where k, l are rational integers. Further by (8.1),

$$3(k^{2}+3l^{2})^{2}-4k^{4}=-(k^{2}-9l^{2})^{2}+4\cdot 27l^{4}=-1.$$
(8.2)

(8.2) gives

$$k^2 - 9l^2 - 1 = \pm 2 \cdot 27m^4, \ k^2 - 9l^2 + 1 = \pm 2n^4,$$

where l = mn, m is even, n is odd and gcd(m, n) = 1. Therefore

$$n^4 - 27m^4 = 1$$
 or $n^4 - 27m^4 = -1$.

The first case has no solution except for m = 0, and the second gives $27m^4 \equiv 1 + n^4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Therefore both of them imply a contradiction.

The case (9): The same as (7), we can take $j = k^2$ and hence $3 \cdot (2^{r+1}i)^2 = k^4 - 1$. This implies a = 0.

The case (10): We have $j = k^2$, r = 0 and $4i - 3j = l^2$ where k, l are rational integers. By (10.1),

$$2i - 1 = m^4, \ 2i + 1 = 3n^4,$$

where k = mn with gcd(m, n) = 1. Hence

$$2 = 3n^4 - m^4. (10.2)$$

By Lemma 4, (10.2) has at most one solution in positive integers m, n and (m,n) = (1,1) is a solution of (10.2). Therefore (10.2) has the only positive integer solution (m,n) = (1,1). If m = n = 1, then g = h = 1 and hence a = b = 4, c = 28. Consequently (3) has the only solution (a,b,c) = (4,4,28) and the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

Next, we shall consider the existence of the unit ε of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ with $\varepsilon^3 = \eta$.

Lemma 5. If a satisfies either $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ or $\sqrt{2}a^2 \ge 3r$, then there is no unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ with $\varepsilon^3 = \eta$.

Proof. We assume that there is $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ with $\varepsilon^3 = \eta$. We denote the minimal polynomial of ε by $x^3 - Ax^2 + Bx - 1$. Since the minimal polynomial of ε^3 is $x^3 - (A(A^2 - 3B) + 3)x^2 + (B(B^2 - 3A) + 3)x - 1$, we see

$$3a^4 = B^3 - A^3, (11)$$

$$3a^2 = 3AB - A^3. (12)$$

Obviously, we see $3 \mid A, B, a$ and $A \neq 0$. Put A = 3C, B = 3D and a = 3b. By dividing (11) and (12) by 27, we have

$$9b^4 = D^3 - C^3, (13)$$

$$b^2 = CD - C^3. \tag{14}$$

By $D = \frac{b^2 + C^3}{C}$ and (13),

$$\frac{b^6}{C^6} - 6b\frac{b^3}{C^3} + 3C^2\frac{b^2}{C^2} + C^3 - 1 = 0,$$

and hence $C \mid b$ and put b = Ce. Then $D = Ce^2 + C^2 = C(e^2 + C)$. Hence $x^3 - Ax^2 + Bx - 1 = x^3 - 3Cx^2 + 3C(e^2 + C)x - 1$. Since $e^6 - 6Ce^4 + 3C^2e^2 + C^3 - 1 = 0$, the minimal polynomial of $\varepsilon - C$ is

$$(x+C)^3 - 3C(x+C)^2 + 3C(e^2+C)(x+C) - 1$$

= $x^3 + 3Ce^2x + 6Ce^4 - e^6$. (15)

Dividing (15) by e^3 , we see that $\frac{\varepsilon - C}{e}$ is an algebraic integer. By $e^6 - 6Ce^4 + 3C^2e^2 + C^3 - 1 = 0$, the discriminant of $x^3 + 3Cx + 6Ce - e^3$ is

$$-27(4C^3 + (6Ce - e^3)^2)$$

= -27(-3e^6 + 12Ce^4 + 24C^2e^2 + 4).

130

Since $\mathbf{Q}(\frac{\varepsilon - C}{e}) = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$, $-3e^6 + 12Ce^4 + 24C^2e^2 + 4 > 0$ and $-3e^6 + 12Ce^4 + 24C^2e^2 + 4$ is divisible by $\frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2} = \frac{(3Ce)^6 + 4}{r^2}$. On the other hand, by the assumption $\sqrt{2}a^2 \ge 3r$,

$$\frac{a^{6}+4}{r^{2}} - (-3e^{6}+12Ce^{4}+24C^{2}e^{2}+4)$$

$$> \frac{(3Ce)^{6}+4}{18C^{4}e^{4}} - (-3e^{6}+12Ce^{4}+24C^{2}e^{2}+4)$$

$$> \frac{3^{4}C^{2}e^{2}}{2} - (-3(e^{3}-2Ce)^{2}+36C^{2}e^{2}+4)$$

$$= \frac{9C^{2}e^{2}}{2} - 4 + 3(e^{3}-2Ce)^{2} > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore Lemma 5 is proved.

By an immediate calculation, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 6. For all $a \geq 2$,

$$\frac{1}{3a^4} < \eta < \frac{1}{3a^4} + \frac{1}{3a^6}.$$

We use the following lemma which concerns the lower bound of the regulator of a non-totally real cubic field.

Lemma 7. ([1]) Let K be a non-totally real cubic field, and let D, R be the discriminant and the regulator of K respectively. Then

$$R \geq \frac{1}{3}\log(\frac{|D|}{27}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let R be the regulator of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. Note that

$$d = \begin{cases} \frac{a^{6} + 4}{r^{2}}, \text{ if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{a^{6} + 4}{9r^{2}}, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus by Lemma 7 and Lemma 1, $R \ge \frac{1}{3}\log d$. Let E and E_{η} be as defined in §1. We have

$$|E:E_{\eta}| = \frac{1}{R} \cdot (-\log(1-a^2-a\theta)) \le \frac{-3 \cdot \log(1-a^2-a\theta)}{\log d}.$$

By Lemma 6 and the assumption of Theorem 1 for $a \ge 2$,

$$\frac{-3 \cdot \log(1 - a^2 - a\theta)}{\log d} < \frac{3 \cdot \log 3a^4}{\log(\frac{a^6 + 4}{a^2})} < \frac{3 \cdot \log 3a^4}{\log a^4} = 3 + \frac{3 \cdot \log 3}{4 \cdot \log a} < 5.$$

For a = 1, we have $|E : E_{\eta}| < \frac{3 \cdot \log 4}{\log 5} < 3$. Therefore $|E : E_{\eta}|$ is equal to 1, 2, 3, 4. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 5, we see that $|E : E_{\eta}| = 1$. Thus we obtain Theorem 1.

§3. The 3-class group of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$

From now on, we shall consider whether the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is divisible by 3. The decomposition of 3 at $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is

$$\begin{cases} 3 = \mathfrak{p}^3 \text{ if } a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3} \\ 3 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2^2 \text{ if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

where \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{p}_1 , \mathfrak{p}_2 are prime ideals lying above 3 and \mathfrak{p}_1 , \mathfrak{p}_2 are distinct prime ideals. For the case $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$, we have the following.

Theorem 2. Assume that $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ and $a > \sqrt{7}r$. Then above \mathfrak{p} is a non-principal prime ideal. Namely the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is divisible by 3.

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{p} is a principal ideal. Since 3 is totally ramified in $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ and by Lemma 5, we see that

$$3(1 - a^2 - a\theta) = \gamma^3 \text{ or } 3(1 - a^2 - a\theta)^2 = \gamma^3$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. Let $x^3 - Ax^2 + Bx - 3$ be the minimal polynomial of γ . For the first case, we see

$$A(A^{2} - 3B) + 9 = -9(a^{2} - 1)$$
$$B(B^{2} - 9A) + 27 = 27(a^{4} - a^{2} + 1).$$

Further we see 3 |A, B| and 27 $|A(A^2 - 3B) = -9a^2$. This is impossible. For the second case, we see

$$A(A^{2} - 3B) + 9 = 9(1 - 4a^{2} + a^{4})$$
$$B(B^{2} - 9A) + 27 = 27(3a^{8} - 6a^{6} + 9a^{4} - 4a^{2} + 1)$$

and 3 |A, B|. Hence we put A = 3C, B = 3D. Now we have

$$\begin{cases} 3C^3 - 3CD = a^4 - 4a^2, \\ D^3 - 3CD = 3a^8 - 6a^6 + 9a^4 - 4a^2. \end{cases}$$
(16)

By equations (16), we have

$$C^{9} - (a^{4} - 4a^{2} + 3)C^{6} + a^{4}(\frac{-8a^{4} + 10a^{2} - 8}{3})C^{3} - \frac{a^{6}(a^{2} - 4)^{3}}{27} = 0.$$
 (17)

Some computations give the following inequalities for $a \ge 4$:

$$2a^4 \le C^3 < \frac{20}{9}a^4, \ -\frac{5}{4}a^4 < C^3 < -\frac{19}{16}a^4, -\frac{1}{71}a^4 < C^3 < -\frac{1}{160}a^4.$$
(18)

The minimal polynomial of $\gamma - C$ is $x^3 - 3(C^2 - D)x - 2C^3 + 3CD - 3$ and the discriminant of this polynomial is

$$27(3C^{6} - (2a^{2}(a^{2} - 4) + 6)C^{3} + a^{2}(-\frac{35}{3}a^{6} + \frac{64}{3}a^{4} - \frac{98}{3}a^{2} + 24) - 9).$$
(19)

Since $\mathbf{Q}(\gamma - C) = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$, we have

$$\frac{a^6+4}{r^2} \mid 3C^6 - (2a^2(a^2-4)+6)C^3 + a^2(-\frac{35}{3}a^6 + \frac{64}{3}a^4 - \frac{98}{3}a^2 + 24) - 9.$$

By dividing (17) by (19), we see that

$$(3a^8 - 6a^6 + 10a^4 - 8a^2 + 3)(3C^3) - 12a^{12} +72a^{10} - 169a^8 + 240a^6 - 203a^4 + 108a^2 - 27 \equiv (10a^4 - 20a^2 + 27)(3C^3) - 491a^4 + 784a^2 - 1179 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2}}.$$

And we have

$$(130a^4 + 140a^2 - 71)(10a^4 - 20a^2 + 27)(3C^3) + (130a^4 + 140a^2 - 71)(-491a^4 + 784a^2 - 1179)) \\ \equiv 3(31)^2(3C^3 - 3a^4 + 12a^2 - 17) \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2}}.$$

Since $gcd(31, \frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2}) = 1$, we see

$$3C^3 - 3a^4 + 12a^2 - 17 \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2}}.$$

By inequalities (18), we have

$$|3C^3 - 3a^4 + 12a^2 - 17| < \frac{27}{4}a^4 - 12a^2 + 17.$$

If
$$a > \sqrt{7}r$$
, we see $\frac{a^6 + 4}{r^2} > \frac{7(a^6 + 4)}{a^2} > 7a^4$. Hence
 $\frac{7(a^6 + 4)}{a^2} - (\frac{27}{4}a^4 - 12a^2 + 17) > \frac{a^4}{4} + 12(a^2 - 2) + 5 > 0.$

This is a contradiction.

Remark 2. When $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$, there exist only thirteen numbers $a (1 \le a \le 23000)$ which do not satisfy the condition $a > \sqrt{7}r$. They are 1, 2, 4, 10, 104, 278, 1088, 1808, 2146, 2468, 3859, 5170, 11671. If a = 1, 2, 4, 10, then the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is not divisible by 3. In this case, equations (16) of Theorem 2 have integer solutions C, D and these solutions are given by (a, C, D) = (1, 1, 2), (2, 0, 8), (4, 8, 56), (10, -5, 665). Note that, in case $a = 4, \eta$ is not a fundamental unit of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. For any other cases, the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is divisible by 3. The fundamental unit and the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ in the range $(1 \le a \le 23000)$ is calculated by KASH 2.1. And the number $a^6 + 4$ in the range $(1 \le a \le 23000)$ is calculated by Maple V.

§4. Further remark

Let k be a quadratic field such that the discriminant of k is divisible by 3. Assume that the class number of k is divisible by 3. Then there exists an unramified cyclic cubic extension L/k. Moreover it is known that L/\mathbf{Q} is a normal extension and the Galois group $Gal(L/\mathbf{Q})$ is isomorphic to a dihedral group of order 6. Therefore there exist three intermediate cubic fields K, K', K'' of L such that K, K', K'' are conjugate over \mathbf{Q} . Since the discriminant of k is divisible by 3, the decomposition of 3 at K is $3 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2^2$ where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$ are distinct prime ideals lying above 3.

In Yoshida [9], the following lemma is shown.

Lemma 8. Let k, K be as above. If there exists a unit ε in K such that

1. ε is not a cube of any unit of K and

2. $\varepsilon^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1^2 \mathfrak{p}_2^3}$,

then the length of the 3-class field tower of $k(\sqrt{-3})$ is greater than 1.

Let $x^3 + Ax^2 + Bx - 1$ be the minimal polynomial of a unit ε in K with norm 1. Then it is shown in [9] that

$$\varepsilon \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1^2 \mathfrak{p}_2^3} \iff 27 \mid A+3, \ 3^5 \mid A+B.$$

The case when $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3(a^6 + 4)})$, we see that the discriminant of k is divisible by 3. Assume that a is divisible by 3. Then since the discriminant of $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ is $\frac{-3(a^6 + 4)}{r^2}$ by Lemma 1, we have $k(\theta)/k$ is an unramified cyclic cubic extension.

Further by Yoshida [9] and Lemma 5, if a satisfies $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ or $\sqrt{2}a^2 > 3r$, then there exist no unit ε with $\varepsilon^3 = \eta$. Here we see that

27 |
$$3a^2 = 3(a^2 - 1) + 3$$
 and
 3^5 | $3a^4 = 3(a^2 - 1) + 3(a^4 - a^2 + 1)$

Thus by (2), we see that η can be taken as the ε which is described in Lemma 8.

Theorem 3. Assume that $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. If $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ or $\sqrt{2}a^2 > 3r$, then the length of the 3-class field tower of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a^6+4},\sqrt{-3})$ is greater than 1.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to the referee for many helpful comments.

References

- T.W. Cusick, Lower bounds for regulators, In Lecture Notes in Math. 1068, pages 63–73, Berlin–NewYork, 1984, Springer.
- [2] M. Ishida, Fundamental Units of certain Algebraic Number Fields, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 39 (1973), 245–250.
- [3] K. Kaneko, On the Cubic Fields $\mathbf{Q}(\theta)$ defined by $\theta^3 3\theta + b^3 = 0$, SUT J. of Math. 32, No. 2 (1996), 141–147.
- W. Ljunggren, Einige Eigenschaften der Einheiten reeller quadratischer und rein biquadratischer Zahlkörper, Oslo Videnskaps-Akademi Skrifter, 1 (1936), No. 12, 1–73.
- [5] L.J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations, Academic Press, London and New York (1969).
- [6] R. Morikawa, On Units of certain Cubic Nunber Fields, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 42 (1974), 72–77.
- [7] T. Nagell, Résultats nouveaux de l'analyse indéterminée 1, Norsk Math. Forenings Skrifter., Ser. 1 (1922), Nr. 8, 1–19.

E. YOSHIDA

- [8] A. Takaku and S.-I. Yoshimoto, Integral Bases and Fundamental Units of the Cubic Fields $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$ Defined by $\omega^3 + a\omega 1 = 0$, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 64 (1994), 235–247.
- [9] E. Yoshida, On the 3-class field tower of some biquadratic fields, Acta Arith., 107, No. 4 (2003), 327–336.

Eiji Yoshida Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan *E-mail*: m981111@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp