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#### Abstract

We determine the ring homomorphism $H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ explicitly, where $G$ denotes the cyclic group of order $p^{\nu}$ and $\Gamma$ denotes the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ for a primitive $p^{\nu}$-th root of unity $\zeta$.
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## Introduction

We have investigated some kinds of cohomology rings of generalized quaternion groups in [H], [HS] and [S2]. These results depends on the fact that generalized quaternion groups have a periodic resolution of period 4 and so it is easy to compute the group cohomology. We also know that cyclic groups have a periodic resolution of period 2. So, it may be natural to ask a cyclic group analogy of [S2] and [HS]. Our objective in this paper is to determine a ring homomorphism between a group cohomology ring of a cyclic group with coefficients in an order and the Hochschild cohomology ring of the order.

Let $G=\langle x\rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order $p^{\nu}$ for any prime number $p$ and any positive integer $\nu \geqslant 1$. The rational group ring $\mathbb{Q} G$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$, where $\zeta_{d}$ denotes a primitive $d$-th root of 1 for $d$ dividing $p^{\nu}$, and there exist primitive idempotents $e_{i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu$ such that $\mathbb{Q} G e_{i} \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{i}}\right)$. Then we have a ring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G e_{\nu} ; x \mapsto x e_{\nu}$. Since $x e_{\nu}$ is a primitive $p^{\nu}$-th root of $e_{\nu}$, we identify $x e_{\nu}$ with $\zeta_{p^{\nu}}$ under the isomorphism stated above. We set $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z} G e_{\nu}(=$

[^0]$\left.\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{\nu}}\right]\right)$. In this paper, we explicitly determine the ring homomorphism $F^{*}$ : $H H^{*}(\Gamma):=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} H H^{n}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma):=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} H^{n}(G, \Gamma)$ induced by the ring homomorphism $\phi$. In the above, $\Gamma$ in the right hand side is regarded as a $G$-module by conjugation, so it is a trivial $G$-module.

In Section 1, as preliminaries, we describe the detail of defining ring homomorphism $F^{*}$ stated above.

In Section 2.1, we give a chain transformation lifting the identity map on $\mathbb{Z}$ between the well known periodic resolution of period 2 and the standard resolution for $G$ (Proposition 1). In Section 2.2, we give a pair of dual bases of $\Gamma$ as a Frobenius $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra (Lemma 2). Furthermore, we give initial parts of a chain transformation lifting the identity map on $\Gamma$ between a periodic resolution of period 2 (see [BF], [LL]) and the standard complex of $\Gamma$ (Proposition $3)$.

In Section 3, as a main result of this paper, we will determine the ring homomorphism $F^{*}: H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ by investigating the image of a generator of $H H^{*}(\Gamma)$ under $F^{2}$ (Theorem).

## §1. Preliminaries

Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $\Lambda$ an $R$-algebra which is a finitely generated projective $R$-module. If $M$ is a left $\Lambda^{\mathrm{e}}\left(=\Lambda \otimes_{R} \Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$-module, then the $n$-th Hochschild cohomology of $\Lambda$ with coefficients in $M$ is defined by

$$
H^{n}(\Lambda, M):=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{e}}}^{n}(\Lambda, M) .
$$

Suppose $M^{\prime}$ is another $\Lambda^{\mathrm{e}}$-module. Then for every pair of integers $p, q \geqslant 0$ there is a (Hochschild) cup product

$$
H^{p}(\Lambda, M) \otimes_{R} H^{q}\left(\Lambda, M^{\prime}\right) \leftrightharpoons H^{p+q}\left(\Lambda, M \otimes_{\Lambda} M^{\prime}\right) .
$$

If we put $M=M^{\prime}=\Lambda$, then the cup product gives $H H^{*}(\Lambda):=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} H H^{n}(\Lambda)$ the structure of a graded ring with identity $1 \in Z(\Lambda) \simeq H H^{0}(\Lambda)$, where $H H^{n}(\Lambda)$ denotes $H^{n}(\Lambda, \Lambda)$ and $Z(\Lambda)$ denotes the center of $\Lambda . H H^{*}(\Lambda)$ is called the Hochschild cohomology ring of $\Lambda$.

Let $G$ be a finite group and $e$ a central idempotent of the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q} G$. In the following, we set $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} G$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z} G e$, and we regard $\Lambda^{\prime}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. Then there is a ring homomorphism $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{\prime e} ; x \mapsto x e \otimes\left(x^{-1} e\right)^{\circ}$ for $x \in G$. Let $M$ be a left $\Lambda^{\prime e}$-module, which is regarded as a left $\Lambda$-module using $\psi$ above, hence we will denote it by ${ }_{\psi} M$. Then we have a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules (see [S2, Section 1] for example)

$$
F^{n}: H^{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}, M\right) \longrightarrow H^{n}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} M\right):=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n}\left(\mathbb{Z},{ }_{\psi} M\right) .
$$

In the above, $H^{n}(G, \psi M)$ denotes the ordinary $n$-th group cohomology. Let ( $X_{G}, d_{G}$ ) be the standard resolution of $G$, that is,

$$
\left(X_{G}\right)_{n}=\underbrace{\Lambda \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda}_{n+1 \text { times }} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 0
$$

and the boundaries are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{G}\right)_{1}([\sigma])= & \sigma[\cdot]-[\cdot], \\
\left(d_{G}\right)_{n}\left(\left[\sigma_{1}|\ldots| \sigma_{n}\right]\right)= & \sigma_{1}\left[\sigma_{2}|\ldots| \sigma_{n}\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i}\left[\sigma_{1}|\ldots| \sigma_{i-1}\left|\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}\right| \sigma_{i+2}|\ldots| \sigma_{n}\right] \\
& +(-1)^{n}\left[\sigma_{1}|\ldots| \sigma_{n-1}\right] \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma[\cdot]$ denotes $\sigma \in\left(X_{G}\right)_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}\left[\sigma_{1}|\ldots| \sigma_{n}\right]$ denotes $\sigma_{0} \otimes \sigma_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_{n} \in$ $\left(X_{G}\right)_{n}$ for $\sigma, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n} \in G$. Furthermore, let $\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}, d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ be the standard complex of $\Lambda^{\prime}$, that is,

$$
\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}=\underbrace{\Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{\prime}}_{n+2 \text { times }} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 0
$$

and the boundaries are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{1}\left(\left[\lambda^{\prime}\right]\right)= & \lambda^{\prime}[\cdot]-[\cdot] \lambda^{\prime}, \\
\left(d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}\left(\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right]\right)= & \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\left[\lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i}\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i+1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{i+2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right] \\
& +(-1)^{n}\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}^{\prime}\right] \lambda_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}[\cdot] \lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ denotes $\lambda_{0}^{\prime} \otimes \lambda_{1}^{\prime} \in\left(X_{A^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$ and $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}\left[\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right] \lambda_{n+1}^{\prime}$ denotes $\lambda_{0}^{\prime} \otimes$ $\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n+1}^{\prime} \in\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}$ for $\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda_{0}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}$. The homomorphism $F^{n}$ is induced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{F}^{n}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\left(\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}, M\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{n},{ }_{\psi} M\right), \\
& \tilde{F}^{n}(f)\left(x_{0}\left[x_{1}|\ldots| x_{n}\right]\right)=f\left(x_{0} e\left[x_{1} e, \ldots, x_{n} e\right]\left(x_{0} \cdots x_{n}\right)^{-1} e\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in G$.
Suppose $A$ and $B$ are $G$-modules. Then for every pair of integers $p, q \geqslant 0$ there exists a homomorphism called (ordinary) cup product

$$
H^{p}(G, A) \otimes H^{q}(G, B) \leftrightharpoons H^{p+q}(G, A \otimes B)
$$

Note that $F^{n}$ preserves cup products, that is, the following diagram is commutative:

where $M^{\prime}$ is another $\Lambda^{\prime e}$-module. In the above, $\smile_{\mu}$ denotes the map induced by the (ordinary) cup product and a left $\Lambda$-homomorphism $\mu:{ }_{\psi} M \otimes{ }_{\psi} M^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\psi\left(M \otimes_{\Lambda^{\prime}} M^{\prime}\right) ; m \otimes m^{\prime} \mapsto m \otimes_{\Lambda^{\prime}} m^{\prime}$. If we put $M=M^{\prime}=\Lambda^{\prime}$ and identify $\Lambda^{\prime}$ with $\Lambda^{\prime} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\prime}} \Lambda^{\prime}$ as a $\Lambda^{\prime e}$-module, then we have the following ring homomorphism:

$$
F^{*}: H H^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow H^{*}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right):=\bigoplus_{n \geqslant 0} H^{n}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

We treat the case $M=M^{\prime}=\Lambda^{\prime}$ only in the following. We make $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{e}}\left(\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{n}, \psi^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ into left $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-modules by putting $(z \cdot f)(x)=z \cdot f(x),(z \cdot g)(y)=z \cdot g(y)$ for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime e}}\left(\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right), x \in\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}$, $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{n},{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right), y \in\left(X_{G}\right)_{n}$ and $z \in Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $\left(d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n+1}^{\#}:$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{e}}\left(\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{e}}\left(\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n+1}, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is a $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-homomorphism, where $\left(d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n+1}^{\#}$ is induced by the differential $\left(d_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n+1}:\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n+1} \rightarrow\left(X_{\Lambda^{\prime}}\right)_{n}$. Similarly, $\left(d_{G}\right)_{n+1}^{\#}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{n},{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{n+1},{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is a $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-homomorphism, where $\left(d_{G}\right)_{n+1}^{\#}$ is induced by the differential $\left(d_{G}\right)_{n+1}:\left(X_{G}\right)_{n+1} \rightarrow$ $\left(X_{G}\right)_{n}$. Then $H H^{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{n}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ are also left $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-modules. Note that $\tilde{F}^{n}$ is a $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-homomorphism.

On the other hand, let $\alpha$ be the image of $z \in Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ under the isomorphism $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H H^{0}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. We make $H H^{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ into a left $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-module by putting $z \cdot \beta=\alpha \smile \beta$ for $\beta \in H H^{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, let $\alpha^{\prime}$ be the image of the above $z$ under the isomorphism $\left({ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)^{G}=Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(G, \Lambda^{\prime} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. We make $H^{n}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ into a left $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-module by putting $z \cdot \beta^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} \smile_{\mu} \beta^{\prime}$ for $\beta^{\prime} \in H^{n}\left(G,{ }_{\psi} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$. Note that $F^{0}(\alpha)=\alpha^{\prime}$ holds. Then it is easy to see that the $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-module structure of $H H^{n}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $H^{n}\left(G, \psi \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ by the cochain level operations corresponds to the one by the cup products, respectively. Since $F^{*}$ is a ring homomorphism, we have $F^{n}(z \cdot \beta)=F^{n}(\alpha \smile \beta)=F^{0}(\alpha) \smile_{\mu} F^{n}(\beta)=\alpha^{\prime} \smile_{\mu} F^{n}(\beta)=z \cdot F^{n}(\beta)$. Thus $F^{*}$ is a homomorphism of graded $Z\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$-algebras.

## §2. Resolutions and chain transformations

### 2.1. The cyclic group of order $m$

Let $G=\langle x\rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order $m$ for any positive integer $m \geqslant 2$. We set $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} G$. Then the following periodic $\Lambda$-free resolution for $\mathbb{Z}$ of period

2 is well known (see [CE, Chapter XII, Section 7] for example):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Y_{G}, \delta_{G}\right): & \cdots \longrightarrow \longrightarrow \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}(c)=c(x-1), \\
& \left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}(c)=c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following, we set $\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2 k+i}=\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{i}$ for any integer $k \geqslant 0$ and $i=1,2$ because $\left(Y_{G}, \delta_{G}\right)$ is a periodic resolution.
$\left(X_{G}, d_{G}\right)$ denotes the standard resolution of $G$ stated in Section 1. We introduce the notation $*$ for basis elements in $\left(X_{G}\right)_{i}(i \geqslant 0)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0}\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}[\cdot] & :=\sigma_{0}\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right]\left(\in\left(X_{G}\right)_{1}\right), \\
\sigma_{0}\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right] & :=\sigma_{0}\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\left|\sigma_{3}\right| \ldots \mid \sigma_{i}\right]\left(\in\left(X_{G}\right)_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i} \in G$. It is easy to see that the following equations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}[\cdot] } & =\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right] *[\cdot], \\
{\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right] } & =\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right] *\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right] ; \\
\left(d_{G}\right)_{1}\left(\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}[\cdot]\right) & =\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}[\cdot]-[\cdot], \\
\left(d_{G}\right)_{i-1}\left(\left[\sigma_{1}\right] * \sigma_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right]\right) & =\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right] \\
& -\left[\sigma_{1}\right] *\left(d_{G}\right)_{i-2}\left(\sigma_{2}\left[\sigma_{3}|\ldots| \sigma_{i}\right]\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i \geqslant 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 1. A chain transformation $u_{n}:\left(Y_{G}\right)_{n} \rightarrow\left(X_{G}\right)_{n} \quad(n \geqslant 0)$ lifting the identity map on $\mathbb{Z}$ is given inductively as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}(1)=[\cdot] \\
& u_{2 k+1}(1)=[x] * u_{2 k}(1) \quad \text { for } k \geqslant 0 \\
& u_{2 k+2}(1)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] * u_{2 k+1}(1) \quad \text { for } k \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where each $u_{n}$ is a left $\Lambda$-homomorphism.
Proof. It suffices to show that the equation $\left(d_{G}\right)_{n} \cdot u_{n}=u_{n-1} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{n}$ holds for $n \geqslant 1$. By induction on $k$. First we verify the case $k=0$, that is, $n=1,2$. In the case $n=1$, noting that $u_{1}(1)=[x]$, we have the following:

$$
\left(\left(d_{G}\right)_{1} \cdot u_{1}\right)(1)=\left(d_{G}\right)_{1}([x])=x[\cdot]-[\cdot]=u_{0}(x-1)=\left(u_{0} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}\right)(1)
$$

In the case $n=2$, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(d_{G}\right)_{2} \cdot u_{2}\right)(1) & =\left(d_{G}\right)_{2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] * u_{1}(1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i} u_{1}(1)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] *\left(d_{G}\right)_{1}\left(u_{1}(1)\right) \\
& =u_{1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] *(x-1) u_{0}(1) \\
& =\left(u_{1} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}\right)(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that the result holds for $k-1$. In the case $n=2 k+1$, using the assumption of induction, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k+1} \cdot u_{2 k+1}\right)(1) & =\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k+1}\left([x] * u_{2 k}(1)\right) \\
& =x u_{2 k}(1)-[x] *\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k}\left(u_{2 k}(1)\right) \\
& =x u_{2 k}(1)-[x] *\left(u_{2 k-1} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2 k}\right)(1) \\
& =x u_{2 k}(1)-[x] *\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i} u_{2 k-1}(1)\right) \\
& =x u_{2 k}(1)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i+1}\right] * u_{2 k-1}(1) \\
& =x u_{2 k}(1)-u_{2 k}(1) \\
& =\left(u_{2 k} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2 k+1}\right)(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $n=2 k+2$, using the above calculation, we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k+2} \cdot u_{2 k+2}\right)(1) & =\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k+2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] * u_{2 k+1}(1)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i} u_{2 k+1}(1)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] *\left(d_{G}\right)_{2 k+1}\left(u_{2 k+1}(1)\right) \\
& =u_{2 k+1}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{i}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left[x^{i}\right] *(x-1) u_{2 k}(1) \\
& =\left(u_{2 k+1} \cdot\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2 k+2}\right)(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
The chain transformation $u_{2}$ will be used in Section 3, in the case $m=p^{\nu}$ for a prime number $p$ and a positive integer $\nu$.

### 2.2. The ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$

Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $p^{\nu}$-th root of 1 . We consider the ring of integers $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. It is well-known that $\left\{\zeta^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Gamma$, where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler function, so $\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)=p^{\nu=1}(p-1)$ (see [W, Lemma 7-5-3]).

We take a matrix $P \in M_{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows:

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
P^{\prime} & \cdots & \cdots & P^{\prime} \\
\vdots & & \therefore & O \\
\vdots & \therefore & \therefore & \vdots \\
\underbrace{\prime}_{p-1} & O & \cdots & O
\end{array}\right) \text { where } P^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 \\
& \therefore & \\
1 & & 0
\end{array}\right) \in M_{p^{\nu-1}}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

Then it is easy to see that $P$ is an invertible matrix in $M_{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}(\mathbb{Z})$. We define a set of elements $\left\{\zeta^{[i]}\right\}_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}$ of $\Gamma$ by

$$
\left(\zeta^{[0]}, \quad \zeta^{[1]}, \ldots, \quad \zeta^{\left[\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1\right]}\right)=\left(\zeta^{0}, \quad \zeta^{1}, \ldots, \quad \zeta^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}\right) P
$$

Lemma 2. $\Gamma$ is a Frobenius $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra with a pair of $\mathbb{Z}$-bases $\left\{\zeta^{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}$, $\left\{\zeta^{[i]}\right\}_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}$ which satisfy the following equations:

$$
\gamma \zeta^{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{j} \alpha_{j i}(\gamma), \quad \zeta^{[j]} \gamma=\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \alpha_{j i}(\gamma) \zeta^{[i]}
$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for some $\alpha_{j i}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. It is clear that $\left\{\zeta^{[i]}\right\}_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Gamma$. The equations are verified for $\gamma=\zeta$ by direct computation, so they hold for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence, it follows that the homomorphism $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by $\chi\left(\zeta^{i}\right)\left(\zeta^{[j]}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ is an isomorphism of left $\Gamma$-modules. Therefore $\Gamma$ is a Frobenius $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra.

Remark. The norm $N_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is defined by

$$
N_{\Gamma}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{i} \gamma \zeta^{[i]}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{i} \zeta^{[i]}\right) \gamma
$$

(cf. [S1, Section 1.1]). It is easy to see that $\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{i} \zeta^{[i]}=\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)$, where $\Phi^{\prime}(x)$ denotes the derivative of the $p^{\nu}$-th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi(x)=x^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)}+$ $x^{p^{\nu-1}(p-2)}+\cdots+x^{p^{\nu-1}}+1$. The ideal of $\Gamma$ generated by $\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)$ coincides with
the different $\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-p^{\nu-1}} \Gamma$ of the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}$, where $\pi$ denotes $\zeta-1$, which generates the prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ lying above $p$ (see [W, Propositions $4-8-18$ and $7-4-1]$ ). Hence we have

$$
N_{\Gamma}(\Gamma)=\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-p^{\nu-1}} \Gamma .
$$

Then there exists a $\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}$-projective resolution $\left(Y_{\Gamma}, \delta_{\Gamma}\right)$ for $\Gamma$ of period 2 (see [BF], [LL]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(Y_{\Gamma}, \delta_{\Gamma}\right): & \cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}} \Gamma \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \Gamma \rightarrow 0 \\
& \left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}([\cdot])=\zeta[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta \\
& \left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}([\cdot])=\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[i]}[\cdot] \zeta^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above, [•] denotes $1 \otimes 1 \in \Gamma \otimes \Gamma$.
Proposition 3. An initial part of a chain transformation $v_{n}:\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)_{n} \rightarrow\left(Y_{\Gamma}\right)_{n}$ lifting the identitiy map on $\Gamma$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{0}([\cdot])=[\cdot] ; \\
& v_{1}\left(\left[\zeta^{i}\right]\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i=0, \\
{[\cdot] \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}[\cdot]} & \text { if } i \geqslant 1 ;\end{cases} \\
& v_{2}\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } 0 \leqslant i+j<\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right), \\
\zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}[\cdot] & \text { if } \varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right) \leqslant i+j<p^{\nu}, \\
\zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)[\cdot] & \text { if } p^{\nu} \leqslant i+j,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leqslant i, j<\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)$, where each $v_{n}$ is a left $\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}$-homomorphism.
Proof. It suffices to show that the equation $v_{n-1} \cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{n}=\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{n} \cdot v_{n}$ holds for $n=1,2$. In the case $n=1$, the left hand side is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{0} \cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}\right]\right) & =v_{0}\left(\zeta^{i}[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta^{i}\right) \\
& =\zeta^{i}[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta^{i} \quad \text { for } i \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side is divided into two cases:
Case $i=0$ :

$$
\left(\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1} \cdot v_{1}\right)([1])=0
$$

Case $i \geqslant 1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1} \cdot v_{1}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& \quad=(\zeta[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta) \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta(\zeta[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta) \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}(\zeta[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta) \\
& \quad=\zeta^{i}[\cdot]-[\cdot] \zeta^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $n=2$, the left hand side is divided into six cases:
Case $i j=0$ :

$$
\left(v_{1} \cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right)=0
$$

Case $0<i+j<\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right), i j \neq 0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1} \cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right)= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]-\left[\zeta^{i+j}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{j-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{j-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{j-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& -\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i+j-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& +\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $i+j=\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1}\right. & \left.\cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right) \\
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]-\left[\zeta^{i+j}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{p-2}\left[\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{j-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{j-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{j-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{p-2}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& +\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}[\cdot]\right) \zeta^{j} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)<i+j<p^{\nu}$ :
$\left(v_{1} \cdot\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]-\left[\zeta^{i+j}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]+\sum_{k=0}^{p-2}\left[\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}+i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{j-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{j-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{j-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p-2}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}[\cdot]\right) \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} \zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
& +\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i-1}[\cdot]\right) \zeta^{j} \\
= & \zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{k p^{\nu-1}-1}[\cdot]\right)\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $i+j=p^{\nu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1} \cdot\right. & \left.\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right) \\
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]-[1]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & {[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}-1}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)} } \\
& +\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-1}+\cdots+\zeta^{p^{\nu}-1}[\cdot] \\
= & -\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu-1}} \zeta^{k-1}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}-k}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-2)}+\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-3)}+\cdots+1\right) \\
& +\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-1}+\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}+1}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}[\cdot] \\
= & \sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\left(\zeta^{m p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{p^{\nu-1}} \zeta^{k-1}[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-m)-k}\right) \\
= & \left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)\left(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(m-1)}+\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(m-2)}+\cdots+1\right)\right. \\
= & \left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $i+j>p^{\nu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1} \cdot\right. & \left.\left(d_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right) \\
= & v_{1}\left(\zeta^{i}\left[\zeta^{j}\right]-\left[\zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\right]+\left[\zeta^{i}\right] \zeta^{j}\right) \\
= & {[\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i+j-1}[\cdot] } \\
& -\left([\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\left([\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu}-1}+\zeta[\cdot] \zeta^{p^{\nu}-2}+\cdots+\zeta^{p^{\nu}-1}[\cdot]\right) \\
= & \zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above last equality follows from the calculation in the case $i+j=p^{\nu}$. The right hand side is divided into three cases:
Case $0 \leqslant i+j<\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)$ :

$$
\left(\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2} \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right)=0
$$

Case $\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right) \leqslant i+j<p^{\nu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2} \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right) & =\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\left(\zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}[\cdot]\right) \\
& =\zeta^{i+j-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $i+j \geqslant p^{\nu}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2} \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\left[\zeta^{i}, \zeta^{j}\right]\right) & =\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}\left(\zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)[\cdot]\right) \\
& =\zeta^{i+j-p^{\nu}}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[k]}[\cdot] \zeta^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.

## §3. The ring homomorphism $H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$

Let $G=\langle x\rangle$ denote the cyclic group of order $p^{\nu}$ for any prime number $p$ and any positive integer $\nu \geqslant 1$ (we do not consider the case $p^{\nu}=2$ ). Then the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q} G$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)$, where $\zeta_{d}$ denotes a primitive $d$-th root of 1 for $d$ dividing $p^{\nu}$ :

$$
\mathbb{Q} G \simeq \bigoplus_{d \mid p^{\nu}} \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)
$$

There exist primitive idempotents $e_{i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \nu\left(e_{i}{ }^{2}=e_{i}, e_{i} e_{j}=0\right.$ for $i \neq$ $\left.j, 1=\sum_{i} e_{i}\right)$ such that $\mathbb{Q} G e_{i} \simeq \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{p^{i}}\right)$. Then we have a ring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G e_{\nu} ; x \mapsto x e_{\nu}$. Note that $x e_{\nu}$ is a primitive $p^{\nu}$-th root of $e_{\nu}$. Under the isomorphism stated above, we identify $x e_{\nu}$ with $\zeta_{p^{\nu}}$. In the following, we set $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} G$ and $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z} G e_{\nu}\left(=\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{p^{\nu}}\right]\right)$, and we regard $\Gamma$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. In the rest of this section, we write $\zeta$ in place of $\zeta_{p^{\nu}}$ for brevity. By Section 1 , the ring homomorphism $\phi$ induces the following $\Gamma$-algebra homomorphism between the cohomology rings:

$$
F^{*}: H H^{*}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)
$$

In the above, $\Gamma$ in the right hand side is regarded as a $G$-module using a ring homomorphism $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mathrm{e}} ; x \mapsto x e_{\nu} \otimes\left(x^{-1} e_{\nu}\right)^{\circ}=\zeta \otimes\left(\zeta^{-1}\right)^{\circ}$, so it is a trivial $G$-module. In this section, we will determine the ring homomorphism $F^{*}$ : $H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ by investigating the image of a generator of $H H^{*}(\Gamma)$ in degree 2 under $F^{2}$.

First, we state the cohomologies $H^{n}(G, \Gamma)$ and $H H^{n}(\Gamma)$.
Lemma 4. The cohomology $H^{n}(G, \Gamma)$ is as follows:

$$
H^{n}(G, \Gamma) \simeq \begin{cases}\Gamma & \text { for } n=0 \\ 0 & \text { for } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2, \\ \Gamma / \pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)} \Gamma & \text { for } n \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2, n \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the cohomology ring $H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ is isomorphic to

$$
\Gamma[X] /\left(\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)} X\right),
$$

where $\pi=\zeta-1$ and $\operatorname{deg} X=2$.
Proof. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Gamma)$ to the periodic resolution $\left(Y_{G}, \delta_{G}\right)$ in Section 2.1, we have the following complex which gives $H^{n}(G, \Gamma)$ where we identify $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ with $\Gamma$ as $\Gamma$-modules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(Y_{G}, \Gamma\right),\left(\delta_{G}\right)^{\#}\right): 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}^{\#}} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}^{\#}} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}^{\#}} \Gamma \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& \quad\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{1}^{\#}(\gamma)=(x-1) \gamma=0 \\
& \quad\left(\delta_{G}\right)_{2}^{\#}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{\nu}-1} x^{i} \gamma=p^{\nu} \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p^{\nu} \Gamma=(\zeta-1)^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)} \Gamma$ holds (see [W, Proposition 7-4-1]), we have the module structure of $H^{n}(G, \Gamma)$. Now we put $X=e_{\nu}$ which is a generator of $H^{2}(G, \Gamma)$. Note that $H^{2 n}(G, \Gamma)$ is generated by $X^{n}=e_{\nu}$ (see [CE, Chapter XII, Section 7]). This completes the proof.

Lemma 5. The Hochschild cohomology of $\Gamma$ is as follows:

$$
H H^{n}(\Gamma) \simeq \begin{cases}\Gamma & \text { for } n=0, \\ 0 & \text { for } n \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2, \\ \Gamma / \pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-p^{\nu-1}} \Gamma & \text { for } n \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2, n \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the Hochschild cohomology ring $H H^{*}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to

$$
\Gamma[Y] /\left(\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-p^{\nu-1}} Y\right)
$$

where $\pi=\zeta-1$ and $\operatorname{deg} Y=2$.
Proof. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}(-, \Gamma)$ to the periodic resolution $\left(Y_{\Gamma}, \delta_{\Gamma}\right)$ in Section 2.2, we have the following complex which gives $H H^{n}(\Gamma)$, where we identify $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}(\Gamma \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma)$ with $\Gamma$ as $\Gamma$-modules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}\left(Y_{\Gamma}, \Gamma\right),\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)^{\#}\right): 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}^{\#}} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}^{\#}} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}^{\#}} \Gamma \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& \quad\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{1}^{\#}(\gamma)=\zeta \gamma-\gamma \zeta=0 \\
& \quad\left(\delta_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}^{\#}(\gamma)=\sum_{i=0}^{\phi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1} \zeta^{[i]} \gamma \zeta^{i}=\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta) \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have the above $\Gamma$-module structure of $H H^{n}(\Gamma)$ by Remark in Section 2.2. Since $\Gamma$ is a Frobenius algebra, we can consider the complete cohomology $\hat{H}^{*}(\Gamma, \Gamma)=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{H}^{i}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$. This cohomology is periodic of period 2. So, $\hat{H}^{*}(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ has an invertible element $Y \in \hat{H}^{2}(\Gamma, \Gamma)\left(=H H^{2}(\Gamma)\right)$ (cf. [S1, Section 3]).

Next, we determine the ring homomorphism $F^{*}: H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ by calculating the image $F^{2}(Y)$ for the generator $Y$ of $H H^{*}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem. The ring homomorphism $F^{*}: H H^{*}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{*}(G, \Gamma)$ is induced by $F^{2}(Y)=\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right) X$.

Proof. It is easy to see that $F^{n}$ is an isomorphism for $n=0$ and the zero map for $n$ odd. Thus we calculate $F^{2}(Y)$. This is obtained by the composition of the following maps on the cochain level:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma \xrightarrow{\beta} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}\left(\left(Y_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right) \xrightarrow{v_{2}^{\#}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\mathrm{e}}}\left(\left(X_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}^{2}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(X_{G}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right) \xrightarrow{u_{2}^{\#}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(Y_{G}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above, $\alpha$ denotes the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\left(Y_{G}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right) \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\beta$ denotes the isomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{e}}\left(\left(Y_{\Gamma}\right)_{2}, \Gamma\right)$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\alpha \cdot u_{2}^{\#} \cdot \tilde{F}^{2} \cdot v_{2}^{\#} \cdot \beta\right)(\gamma) \\
& =\left(\tilde{F}^{2}\left(\beta(\gamma) \cdot v_{2}\right)\right)\left(u_{2}(1)\right) \\
& =\left(\tilde{F}^{2}\left(\beta(\gamma) \cdot v_{2}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p^{\nu}-1}\left[x^{k} \mid x\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\beta(\gamma) \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p^{\nu}-1}\left[\zeta^{k}, \zeta\right] \zeta^{-k-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\beta(\gamma) \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}\left[\zeta^{k}, \zeta\right] \zeta^{-k-1}+\sum_{l=0}^{p^{\nu-1}-1}\left[\zeta^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)+l}, \zeta\right] \zeta^{-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-l-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\beta(\gamma) \cdot v_{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-1}\left[\zeta^{k}, \zeta\right] \zeta^{-k-1}-\sum_{l=0}^{p^{\nu-1}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2}\left[\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}} k+l\right.\right. \\
& k] \\
& = \\
& =\beta(\gamma)\left([\cdot] \zeta^{-\varphi\left(p^{\nu}\right)-l-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}(p-1)}-[\cdot]\right) \\
& \left.p^{\nu-1}-1\right) \gamma .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Corollary. $F^{2 n}(n \geqslant 1)$ is a monomorphism if and only if $n=1$. Moreover, $F^{2 n}$ is the zero map if and only if $n \geqslant \nu(p-1)$.
Proof. Noting that $\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right) \Gamma=(\zeta-1)^{p^{\nu-1}} \Gamma=\pi^{p^{\nu-1}} \Gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{k} Y^{n} \in \operatorname{Ker} F^{2 n} & \Longleftrightarrow F^{2 n}\left(\pi^{k} Y^{n}\right)=0 \text { in } H^{2 n}(G, \Gamma) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\pi^{k}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)^{n}\right) X^{n} \subset\left(\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)}\right) X^{n} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\pi^{k}\left(\zeta^{p^{\nu-1}}-1\right)^{n}\right) \subset\left(\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left(\pi^{k+n p^{\nu-1}}\right) \subset\left(\pi^{\nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow k+n p^{\nu-1} \geqslant \nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow k \geqslant \nu p^{\nu-1}(p-1)-n p^{\nu-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, considering the case $k=0$, it follows that $F^{2 n}$ is the zero map if and only if $n \geqslant \nu(p-1)$. By Lemma 5 , it is easy to see that $F^{2 n}$ is a monomorphism if and only if $n=1$.
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