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## 1. Introduction

Let $X_{0}$ be a closed, oriented, $C^{\infty}$ four-manifold and let $M_{X_{0}, P}\left(g_{0}\right)$ be the moduli space of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections on a principal $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$. The subspace $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$, obtained by excluding the reducible connections is then a finite-dimensional, usually non-compact, $C^{\infty}$ manifold. The moduli space $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is naturally endowed with a metric $\mathbf{g}$ of Weil-Petersson type, called the $L^{2}$ metric, and our purpose in this article is to study the geometry of the moduli space ends.
(a) Main results. It has been conjectured by D. Groisser and T. Parker in [13], [14] and by S. K. Donaldson in [5] that the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections, endowed with the $L^{2}$ metric, has finite volume and diameter. The goal of this article is to prove this conjecture under the hypotheses described below.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X_{0}$ be a closed, connected, oriented, simplyconnected, $C^{\infty}$ four-manifold with generic metric $g_{0}$ and let $P$ be a principal $G$ bundle over $X_{0}$ such that either (1) $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $b^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)=0$, or (2) $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $w_{2}(P) \neq 0$, where $w_{2}(P)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $P$. Then the moduli space $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ of irreducible $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections on $P$ has finite volume and diameter with respect to the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$ defined by $g_{0}$.

We plan to discuss the case of $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $b^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)>0$ in a subsequent article. Note that when $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $w_{2}(P) \neq 0$, the trivial (product) connection $\Theta$ does not appear in the Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{M}_{X, P}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$. By 'diameter' we mean the sum of the diameters

[^0]of the connected components of $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$; the hypotheses imply that $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has finitely many path components. In [5] Donaldson conjectured that the $L^{2}$-metric completion of the moduli space coincides with the Uhlenbeck compactification [3], [7]. We announce here the following result whose proof is included in [9].

Theorem 1.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, the completion of $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ with respect to the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$ is homeomorphic to the Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, P}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

The requirement that $X_{0}$ be simply-connected implies that the moduli space of flat connections consists of a single point representing the product connection over $X_{0}$. This assumption simplifies the description of the ends of the moduli spaces $M_{X_{0}, P}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$, but is not important in the derivation of bounds for the components of $\mathbf{g}$. We assume $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ in order to appeal to the generic metric theorems of Freed and Uhlenbeck which ensure that the moduli space is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold; otherwise, the bounds for $g$ obtained in Chapter 5 hold for any compact Lie group. For the sake of clarity, we assume $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ for the remainder of the article and denote $M_{X_{0}, P}\left(g_{0}\right)$ by $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$, where $c_{2}(P)=k \geq 0$ is the second Chern class.
(b) History. The properties of the $L^{2}$ metric have been investigated by many authors in recent years, but most extensively by Groisser and Parker. In particular, they have conducted detailed studies of its behaviour at the boundary of certain $k=1$ moduli spaces. Explicit formulas for the components of $\mathbf{g}$ have been found by Doi, Matsumoto, and Matumoto [2], Groisser and Parker [13], and Habermann [15] when $k=1$ and $X_{0}$ is the four-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with its standard round metric $g_{1}$. Groisser conducted a similar study when $X_{0}$ is the complex projective space $\overline{\mathbb{C P}}^{2}$, equipped with the Fubini-Study metric $g_{F S}$ [11]. Their formulas imply that these $k=1$ moduli spaces have finite $g$-volume and g-diameter. More generally, Groisser and Parker have established Theorem 1.1 in the special case $k=1$ [14]. They also obtained $C^{0}$ bounds for $\mathbf{g}$ in neighbourhoods of the reducible connections, the 'conical ends', for any $k \geq 1$. In [12], Groisser refined some of the $k=1$ results obtained in [14]. It is worth recalling that the $L^{2}$ metric is not invariant with respect to conformal changes in the metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$.

The approach of [14] does not appear to readily generalise to the case $k>1$, since their method relies on Donaldson's collar map which gives a
diffeomorphism from the 'bubbling end' of $M_{X_{0}, 1}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ to the collar $X_{0} \times$ $\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$. For this reason we adopt a quite different method which uses the gluing techniques of Taubes and Donaldson to construct a system of local coordinate charts covering the 'ends' of the moduli space. We then estimate the components of $\mathbf{g}$ with respect to these coordinates. In the case of the Weil-Petersson metric on Teichmüller space, estimates of this type have been obtained by Masur [16]. In [8], the author proved Theorem 1.1, when $X_{0}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and $k=2$, using the ADHM correspondence [7]. After the present work was submitted, a preprint was received from Peng giving $L^{2}$ estimates for the derivatives with respect to moduli parameters of the family of anti-self-dual connections $A$ on the connected sum $X_{0} \#{ }_{\lambda} \mathbb{S}^{4}$ constructed in $\S 7.2 .2$ of [7], with $H_{A_{i}}^{2}=0$ [18]. His $L^{2}$ estimates are defined with respect to a family of metrics $g_{\lambda}$ which are conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ and which pinch the neck of the connected sum as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$; away from the neck $g_{\lambda}$ coincides with $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$ and it converges in $C^{\infty}$ to the standard round metric on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}$.
(c) Outline and strategy. It remains to summarise the methods used in the proofs of our main results. Let us first recall the definition of the $L^{2}$ metric. The tangent space $T_{A} M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is identified with the cohomology group $H_{A}^{1}=\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{+, g_{0}} / \operatorname{im} d_{A}^{*, g_{0}}$. Given tangent vectors [a], [b], the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{[A]}([a],[b])=\left(\pi_{A} a, \pi_{A} b\right)_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{A}=1-d_{A}\left(d_{A}^{*, g_{0}} d_{A}\right)^{-1} d_{A}^{*, g_{0}}$ is the $L^{2}$ orthogonal projection from $L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$ to the subspace $\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*, g_{0}}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{g}([a],[b])$ is bounded above by $\|a\|_{L^{2}}\|b\|_{L^{2}}$, and so a reasonable strategy is to seek upper bounds for $\mathbf{g}$ over the moduli space ends. This will suffice for our present application.
(i) Moduli space ends and the bubble tree compactification. Our first task is to describe useful models for the ends of the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections. Let $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ be a point in the stratum $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right) \cap\left(M_{X_{0}, k_{0}}\left(g_{0}\right) \times s^{k-k_{0}}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$ of the Uhlenbeck compactification (see §4.1) which lies away from the diagonals of the symmetric product, so that $m_{0}=k-k_{0}$ and each point $x_{i}$ has multiplicity 1 . Then every point $[A] \in M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ which is close enough to $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ in the Uhlenbeck topology can be shown to lie in a neighbourhood constructible by gluing or 'gluing neighbourhood' [3],
[7]. Thus, suppose [ $A_{\alpha}$ ] is a sequence in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ which converges weakly to $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$. As described in $\S 4.2$, the sequence of connections $\left[A_{\alpha}\right.$ ] produces sequences of local mass centres $x_{i \alpha}$ converging to the points $x_{i}$ and sequences of local scales $\lambda_{i \alpha}$ converging to zero. Using the scales $\lambda_{i \alpha}$, one now dilates the metric $g_{0}$ around the points $x_{i \alpha}$ and produces a sequence of conformally equivalent, $C^{\infty}$ metrics $g_{\alpha}$ on a connected sum $X \equiv X_{0} \#_{i=1}^{m_{0}} \mathbb{S}^{4}$. As the scales $\lambda_{i \alpha}$ tend to zero, the corresponding neck is pinched and the connected-sum metrics $g_{\alpha}$ converge in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets away from the neck regions to the metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$ and the standard round metric $g_{1}$ (of radius 1) on each copy of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. This 'conformal blow-up' procedure gives a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections $\left[\check{A}_{\alpha}\right.$ ] which converges strongly (in the sense of [7]) to a limit $\left(A_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{m_{0}}\right)$ over the join $X_{0} \vee_{i=1}^{m_{0}} \mathbb{S}^{4}$, where the $I_{i}$ are the standard one-instantons over $X_{i}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with centre at the north pole $n$ and scale 1 . Here, strong convergence means $C^{\infty}$ convergence on compact sets away from the necks and such that $c_{2}\left(A_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m_{0}} c_{2}\left(I_{i}\right)=k$; there are no singular points and there is no curvature loss over the necks. One obtains an open neighbourhood in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k_{0}}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ of the boundary point $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ by gluing up the $\operatorname{limit}\left(A_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{m_{0}}\right)$.

On the other hand, if the set $Z_{0} \equiv\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ lies in the diagonal of the symmetric product $s^{k-k_{0}}\left(X_{0}\right)$, the limiting behaviour of the sequence $\left[A_{\alpha}\right]$ may be rather more complicated. Suppose $\left[\check{A}_{\alpha}\right]$ is the corresponding sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X=X_{0} \#_{i=1}^{m_{0}} \mathbb{S}^{4}$ produced by conformal blow-ups. The sequence $\breve{A}_{\alpha}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{0} \backslash Z_{0}$ to a $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $A_{0}$ over $X_{0}$, but in general only converges weakly to an Uhlenbeck limit $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$ over the four-spheres $X_{i} \equiv \mathbb{S}^{4}$, where $Z_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i m_{i}}\right)$ is contained in $X_{i} \backslash\{s\}$ and $s$ is the south pole. If the connection $A_{i}, i>0$, is not flat, then the conformal blow-ups may be chosen so that its curvature density is centred in the sense of [23]; its mass centre lies at the north pole and has scale (essentially its 'standard deviation') equal to 1 (see §4.2).

Unless all the singular sets $Z_{i}$ are empty, one can no longer produce an open subset of the moduli space $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ simply by gluing up the connections $\left(A_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{m_{0}}$; because of the nature of the convergence process, some of the required moduli parameters have been lost in the limit.

Instead, the above conformal blow-up process must be iterated. The
idea of iterating conformal blow-ups has been suggested by Sacks and Uhlenbeck in the context of harmonic maps of $\mathbb{S}^{2}[19]$. Taubes described an iterative scheme of this type which is used to analyse the limiting behaviour of sequences of connections with uniformly bounded YangMills functional and functional gradient tending to zero [23]. Parker and Wolfson described a bubble tree compactification for pseudoholomorphic maps of Riemann surfaces into symplectic manifolds and noted that their method should apply to the case of Yang-Mills connections over four-manifolds [17].

For the problem at hand, by repeatedly applying conformal blowups, we obtain a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ over a large connected sum $X \equiv \#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$. Here, $\mathcal{I}$ is a set of multi-indices $I$ obtained when the conformal blow-up process is iterated. Thus, $\mathcal{I}$ records the tree structure and if $I=0$, then $X_{I}$ is the four-manifold $X_{0}$, while if $I \neq 0$, then $X_{I}$ is a copy of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. The construction of the 'conformal blow-up maps' $f_{I \alpha}$ ensures that the blow-up process must be repeated at most $k$ times in order to produce a sequence of connections [ $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ ] which converge strongly to a limit $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ over a join $\vee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$, where $A_{0}$ is a $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{0}$ and each $A_{I}$, for $I \neq 0$, is a $g_{1}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{I}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$. The sequence of metrics $g_{\alpha}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets away from the neck regions to the metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$ and the standard round metric $g_{1}$ on each sphere $X_{I}$. This convergence scheme produces the 'bubble tree compactification' $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k_{0}}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$ and is described in §4.3.

In particular, bubble tree degeneration and gluing are inverse to one another in a natural way. Using the techniques of [7] one can now glue up the bubble tree limits $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ to form $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A$ over a connected sum $X \equiv \#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$, and construct open subsets of the moduli space $M_{X, k}(g)$ by small deformations of the limit data. The gluing procedure gives a collection of conformal maps $f_{I}$ (from a small ball in a lower level summand $X_{I_{-}}$to the complement in the sphere $X_{I}$ of a small ball around the south pole) defined in exactly the same way as the above conformal blow-up maps $f_{I \alpha}$. Here, $g$ is a $C^{\infty}$ metric on $X$, which is conformally equivalent to the old metric $g_{0}$ (via the maps $f_{I}$ ) and depends on the choice of gluing sites, frames in the principle $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ frame bundle $F X_{0}$, scales, and the metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$; its construction and properties are discussed in §3.5. Similar metrics over connected sums are described in [3] and [24]. Pulling back via the blow-up maps
then gives $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}$ over $X_{0}$ and hence, produces open subsets of the moduli space $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Generalising the arguments in [3] and [7] and employing the compactness results of $\S 4.3$, one then shows that $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has a finite cover consisting of gluing neighbourhoods $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Of course, any precompact open subset of $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is covered by finitely many Kuranishi charts, and these comprise the 'gluing charts' in this case. Moreover, the $L^{2}$ metric geometry near the reducible connections, the conical ends, has already been analysed by Groisser and Parker [14], so we may confine our attention to the more troublesome bubbling ends.
(ii) Upper bounds for the components of the $L^{2}$ metric. We now outline a method of computing estimates for the $L^{2}$ metric $g$ over the ends of the moduli space. In $\S \S 3.3$ and 3.4 we apply the techniques of [3] and [7] to first construct approximate gluing maps $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}, t \rightarrow\left[A^{\prime}(t)\right]$. Here, $X$ is the connected sum $\#_{I \in I} X_{I}$ with $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$, and $\mathcal{T} / \Gamma$ is a certain parameter space. If the $g$-self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is sufficiently small, one can then solve the $g$-anti-self-dual equation, $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}+a\right)=0$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} a+(a \wedge a)^{+, g}=-F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$. This gives a $C^{\infty}$ family of $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A \equiv A^{\prime}+a$ and thus a gluing map $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$, $t \rightarrow[A(t)]$. The solutions $a$ to Eq. (1.2) are expressed in the form $a=P \xi$, where $\xi \in \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ and $P$ is a right inverse to the operator $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$ constructed (as in [7]) by patching together right inverses $P_{I}$ for the operators $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ over the summands $X_{I}$. Therefore, Eq. (1.2) takes the shape

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi+(P \xi \wedge P \xi)^{+, g}=-F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [7], we assemble the framework required for solving Eq. (1.2) in §5.1.

Now the $L^{2}$ metric $g$ depends on the choice of metric $g_{0}$, not just the conformal class $\left[g_{0}\right]$. So, using the conformal maps $f_{I}$, we pull back the family of $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A(t)=A^{\prime}(t)+a(t)$ over $X$ to an equivalent $C^{\infty}$ family of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}(t)=\hat{A}^{\prime}(t)+\hat{a}(t)$ over $X_{0}$. Hence, we obtain gluing maps $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right), t \rightarrow$
$[\hat{A}(t)]$ analogous to those constructed by Taubes. The properties of the gluing maps $\mathcal{J}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ are discussed in §5.2.

The problem then is to estimate the differentials $D \hat{\mathcal{J}}$ and this task is comprised of two parts. The first part is to bound the derivatives $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t$; this local calculation is the subject of $\S \S 3.7$ to 3.9 and the main results are summarised in $\S 3.10$. The more difficult part is to bound the derivatives of the correction terms, $\partial \hat{a} / \partial t$; this involves bounding the derivatives of global operators such as $P$ and is described in $\S \S 5.3$ to 5.5. The problem of expressing bounds for derivatives of $\hat{a}(t)$ in terms of bounds for derivatives of $a(t)$ is the subject of $\S 3.5$. Some care is required here, since the conformal maps $f_{I}$ vary with the scale and centre parameters, as does the metric $g$ in Eq. (1.2). The required estimates for the derivatives $\partial a / \partial t$ are then computed in $\S \S 5.3$ to 5.5 in terms of bounds for $\partial P / \partial t$ and $\partial \xi / \partial t$; the estimates for $\partial \xi / \partial t$ are obtained implicitly from Eq. (1.2). For the special case of a neighbourhood of a point $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ (with $\left.H_{A_{0}}^{2}=0\right), L^{2}$ estimates for the derivatives $\partial A / \partial t$ were later obtained independently by Peng using similar methods [18].
It is the estimates for derivatives with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ which require the most care. For example, difficulties arise when bounding the derivatives $\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ because of the dependence on $\lambda_{I}$ of the conformal maps $f_{I}$ and the cutoff functions required to patch the connections $A_{I}$ together over the connected sum. These derivatives are ill-behaved as $\lambda_{I} \rightarrow 0$, and the necks of the connected sum $X$ are pinched. Problems also occur when one attempts to bound $\partial a / \partial \lambda_{I}$, since $a=P \xi$ and the construction of $P$ involves cutoff functions with badly behaved derivatives with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ as $\lambda_{I} \rightarrow 0$. The final estimates for the differentials $D \hat{\mathcal{J}}$ and the corresponding bounds for the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$ are sumarised in $\S 5.6$. The constants appearing in the bounds for $\mathbf{g}$ depend only on the gluing neighbourhood. Theorem 1.1 then follows immediately from these estimates.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this Chapter we establish our notation and define the $L^{2}$ metric. Unless stated otherwise, we adhere to the standard conventions of [7]. For further details concerning gauge theory, we refer to [7] or [10] and the references therein, while for details concerning the $L^{2}$ metric, we
refer to [13], [14].
Let $X$ be a closed, connected, oriented, $C^{\infty}$ four-manifold with Riemannian metric $g$ and let $P$ be a principal $G$ bundle over $X$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. As noted in the Introduction, we will generally confine our attention in this article to the case $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ for the sake of clarity. We let $\Omega^{l}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ denote the space of $C^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}$-valued $l$-forms, let ad $P=P \times_{\text {Ad }} \mathfrak{g}$ be the adjoint bundle, and let $\Omega^{l}(X$, ad $P)$ be the space of $C^{\infty}$ ad $P$ valued $l$-forms on $X$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{P}$ be the affine subspace in $\Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ of $C^{\infty}$ connection 1-forms on $P$. For a connection $A$ on $P$, we let $\nabla_{A}$ be the corresponding covariant derivative, let $d_{A}$ be the exterior covariant derivative, and let $F_{A} \in \Omega^{2}(X$, ad $P)$ denote the curvature.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{P}$ be the group of $C^{\infty}$ bundle automorphisms or gauge transformations. Recall that the isotropy group $\Gamma_{A} \subset \mathcal{G}_{P}$ of a connection $A$ on $P$ is isomorphic to the centraliser of the holonomy group of $A$ in $G$, and the centre $Z$ of the bundle structure group $G$ is isomorphic to the centre of $\mathcal{G}_{P}$. Thus $\Gamma_{A} \supset Z$ and we let $\mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}$ be the dense open subset of connections $A \in \mathcal{A}_{P}$ with $\Gamma_{A}=Z$, so that $\mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}$ is the space of irreducible connections on $P$ when $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$.

The bundles $\Lambda^{l} T^{*} X \otimes \operatorname{ad} P$ have fibre metrics $\langle$,$\rangle induced by the$ Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$ and the inner product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ given by -1 times the Cartan-Killing form; if $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\left\langle\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle=-\operatorname{tr}\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2}\right)$. In particular, we may define Sobolev spaces $L_{n}^{p} \Omega^{l}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ in the usual way and consider the action of the $L_{n-1}^{2}$ gauge transformations $\mathcal{G}$ on the space of $L_{n}^{2}$ connections $\mathcal{A}_{P}$ (for $n>2$ ) with quotient $\mathcal{B}_{P}=\mathcal{A}_{P} / \mathcal{G}_{P}$, omitting the explicit Sobolev notation when no confusion can arise.

The tangent space $T_{A} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}$ is equal to $\Omega^{1}(X$, ad $P)$ while the tangent space to the $\mathcal{G}$-orbit through $A \in \mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}$ is $\operatorname{im} d_{A} \subset \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$. This induces an $L^{2}$-orthogonal decomposition $T_{A} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}=\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*} \oplus \operatorname{im} d_{A}$, where $\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*} \subset \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$. There is an associated horizontal projection operator $\pi_{A}: T_{A} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*}$, with $\pi_{A}=1-d_{A} G_{A}^{0} d_{A}^{*}$, where $G_{A}^{0}$ is the Green's operator for the Laplacian $\Delta_{A}^{0}=d_{A}^{*} d_{A}$. To identify the tangent space $T_{[A]} \mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$, introduce $C^{\infty}$ paths $A(t)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{P}^{*}$ and $u(t)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{P}$, $u(0)=1$. If $A^{u}(t) \equiv u_{t}\left(A_{t}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A^{u}}{d t}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(u^{-1}\right) \frac{d A}{d t}+d_{A^{u}}\left(u^{-1} \frac{d u}{d t}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $d A / d t(0)$ defines an element of $\Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) / \operatorname{im} d_{A}$ and there-
fore the tangent space $T_{[A]} \mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$ is given by $\Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) / \operatorname{im} d_{A} \simeq \operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*}$.
Let $M_{P}(g)$ be the moduli space of $g$-anti-self-dual connections on the $G$ bundle $P$ over $X$, that is $\left\{[A] \in \mathcal{B}_{P}: F^{+, g}(A)=0\right\}$, and let $M_{P}^{*}(g)$ be the dense open subset $M_{P}(g) \cap \mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$. If $A(t)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ path in $\mathcal{A}_{P}$ satisfying $F^{+, g}(A(t))=0$, then $d A / d t(0)$ defines an element of $\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*} / \operatorname{im} d_{A}^{+, g}$. The $g$-anti-self-dual condition $F^{+, g}(A)=0$ is equivalent to $d_{A}^{+, g} \circ d_{A}=0$, and so we have the elliptic deformation complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{0}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \xrightarrow{d_{A}} \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \xrightarrow{\substack{d_{A}^{+, g}}} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with associated cohomology groups $H_{A}^{*}$, where $H_{A}^{0}$ is the Lie algebra of $\Gamma_{A}$, the group $H_{A}^{1}=\operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{+, g} / \operatorname{im} d_{A}$ is just the tangent space $T_{[A]} M_{P}(g)$, and $H_{A}^{2}=$ coker $d_{A}^{+, g}$. By Hodge theory there are natural isomorphisms $H_{A}^{0} \simeq \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A}^{0}, H_{A}^{1} \simeq \operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{*} \cap \operatorname{ker} d_{A}^{+, g}$, and $H_{A}^{2} \simeq \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{A}^{+, g}$, where the Laplacian $\Delta_{A}^{+, g}$ is equal to $d_{A}^{+, g}\left(d_{A}^{+, g}\right)^{*}$.

If $[A]$ is an irreducible point of $M_{P}(g)$, then $H_{A}^{0}=0$, and an irreducible point $[A]$ is regular if $H_{A}^{2}=0$. The moduli space $M_{P}(g)$ is regular if all its irreducible points are regular points, and in that case, $M_{P}^{*}(g)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold of dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} M_{P}(g)=8 k(P)-3\left(1-b_{1}(X)+b^{+}(X)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with tangent space $T_{[A]} M_{P}^{*}(g)=H_{A}^{1}$ at the point $[A]$.
According to the Freed-Uhlenbeck theorems, the anti-self-dual moduli spaces $M_{P}^{*}(g)$ are smooth manifolds when $g$ is generic. More precisely, if $b^{+}(X)>0, P$ is any $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ bundle $P$ over $X$, and the metric $g$ on $X$ is generic, then the following hold: (1) $M_{P}^{*}(g)$ contains no points $[A]$ with $H_{A}^{2} \neq 0$. (2) If $b^{+}(X)>0$ and $l>0$, then $M_{P}(g)$ contains no points $[A]$ with $H_{A}^{0} \neq 0$ for any bundle $P$ with $0<k(P) \leq l$. (3) If $b^{+}(X)=0$ and $P$ is non-trivial, then the cohomology groups $H_{A}^{2}$ are zero for all the reducible $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A$ on $P$, and a neighbourhood of point $[A] \in M_{P}(g)$ with $H_{A}^{0} \neq 0$ is homeomorphic to a cone over $\mathbb{C} P^{4 k-2}$ and diffeomorphic away from the cone point $[A]$.

It remains to define the $L^{2}$ metric. The quotient space $\mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$ inherits a (weak) Riemannian $L^{2}$ metric $g$ by requiring that the projection map for the principal $\mathcal{G}_{P} / Z$ bundle $\mathcal{A}_{P}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$ be a Riemannian submersion: if $[a],[b]$ are tangent vectors in $T_{[A]} \mathcal{B}_{P}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{[A]}([a],[b]) \equiv \int_{X}\left\langle\pi_{A} a, \pi_{A} b\right\rangle d V_{g} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this restricts to give a $C^{\infty}$ Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ on the moduli space $M_{P}^{*}(g)$.

## 3. Differentials of the approximate gluing maps

Our purpose in this Chapter is to construct the approximate gluing maps $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$, and to estimate the differentials $D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}$, and especially $D \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$. The construction of $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ uses the method employed by Donaldson in [3], [7]. The induced maps $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$ are essentially the approximate gluing maps described by Taubes in [20], [21], [23]. In the former case, we obtain an almost $g$-anti-self-dual connection $A^{\prime}$ over a connected sum $X=X_{0} \#_{I \in I} \mathbb{S}^{4}$ with metric $g$ conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$, while in the latter case we obtain an almost $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ over $X_{0}$ with its fixed metric $g_{0}$. In Chapter 5, we obtain a system of coordinate charts $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow$ $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ covering the moduli space by perturbing the maps $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$ using the techniques of [7] for solving the anti-self-dual equation.
3.1. Preliminary estimates for connections and curvature. We describe some pointwise estimates for local connection one-forms and curvature two-forms. We first consider estimates for connection one-forms in radial gauge on a $C^{\infty}$ manifold $X$ with $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$. Suppose $P \rightarrow X$ is a principal $G$ bundle, $A$ is a $C^{\infty}$ connection on $P$, and $B$ is an open geodesic ball centred at $x_{0} \in X$ with radius $\varrho / 2$, where $\varrho$ is the injectivity radius of $(X, g)$. Define a $C^{\infty}$ local section $\sigma: B \rightarrow P$ by parallel transport of a point in the fibre $\left.P\right|_{x_{0}}$ along radial geodesics through $x_{0}$. If $\gamma$ is a radial geodesic in $B$ with $\gamma(0)=x_{0}$ and $\dot{\gamma}(t)=\xi_{t}$, then $\sigma^{*} A\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $\iota_{\xi_{t}} \sigma^{*} A(\gamma(t))=0, t>0$. If $\phi^{-1}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ is a geodesic normal coordinate system centred at $x_{0}$, and we define a geodesic $\gamma$ by $\gamma(t)=\phi(t x), x \in B, t \in[0,1]$, then $\gamma^{\mu}(t)=t x^{\mu}, \dot{\gamma}=\mathbf{x}$, and $\iota_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma^{*} A=x^{\mu}\left(\sigma^{*} A\right)_{\mu}$. We recall the following estimates for local connection one-forms in radial gauge.

Lemma 3.1. [25 (p. 14)] Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a principal $G$ bundle $P \rightarrow X$, where $X$ is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold with $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$, and let $B$ be a geodesic ball of radius $\varrho / 2$ centred at $x_{0} \in X, \sigma: B \rightarrow P$ be a local section such that $\sigma^{*} A$ is in radial gauge centred at $x_{0}$, and $\phi^{-1}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a geodesic normal coordinate system centred at $x_{0}$. If $K=\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(B, g)}$, then $\left|\phi^{*} \sigma^{*} A\right|_{g}(x) \leq K|x|, \quad$ for $\quad|x|<\varrho / 2$.

Let $\mathbb{H} P^{1}$ be the right quaternionic projective space, with the standard identifications $\mathbb{H} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $\mathbb{H} P^{1} \simeq \mathbb{S}^{4}$. Coordinate patches for $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ may then be defined by $U_{n}=\{[x, y]: y \neq 0\}=\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}$ and $U_{s}=\{[x, y]: x \neq 0\}=\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{n\}$ covering the north pole $n=[0,1]$ and south pole $s=[1,0]$, respectively. We let $\phi_{n}^{-1}: U_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4},[x, y] \mapsto x y^{-1}$ and $\phi_{s}^{-1}: U_{s} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4},[x, y] \mapsto y x^{-1}$ denote the standard local coordinate charts. If $g_{1}$ is the standard round metric of radius 1 on $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{\alpha}^{*} g_{1}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x)=h_{1}^{2}(x) \delta_{\mu \nu}=\frac{4}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{2}} \delta_{\mu \nu}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha=n, s$, where the standard flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is denoted by $\delta$.
Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a principal $G$ bundle $P \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ has its standard metric $g_{1}$. We define a system of local sections $\sigma_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow P, \alpha=n, s$, by parallel transport of points in the fibres $\left.P\right|_{\alpha}$ along radial geodesics through the north or south poles. The estimates below follow easily since $A$ is smooth over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with metric $g_{1}$ :

Lemma 3.2. Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a principal $G$ bundle $P \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ has metric $g_{1}$ and $K=\left\|F_{A}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)}$. Then, for $\alpha, \beta \in\{n, s\}$,

$$
\left|\phi_{\beta}^{*} F\left(\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} A\right)\right|_{\delta}(x) \leq 4 K \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \begin{cases}x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} & \text { if } \alpha=\beta \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \backslash\{0\} & \text { if } \alpha \neq \beta\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Given the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2, if the local connection one-forms $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} A$ are in radial gauge, then $\left|\phi_{\alpha}^{*} \sigma_{\alpha}^{*} A\right|_{g_{1}}(x) \leq K|x|$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and $\alpha=n$, .
3.2. Connections over the four-sphere and conformal diffeomorphisms. Recall that the group of conformal diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ acts on the space $\mathcal{A}_{P}$ of $C^{\infty}$ connections on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. The group $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{T}$ of dilations and translations of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ may be identified with a subgroup of the conformal group of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. Hence, in this section we discuss some aspects of the induced action of $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$ on the space $\mathcal{A}_{P}$. For related material we refer to [5], [10], [13], [14], and [23].

Let $P$ be a $G$ bundle with $C^{\infty}$ connection $A \in \Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ over a $C^{\infty}$ manifold $X$ and suppose $\varphi_{t}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $X$ generating a vector field $\xi \in C^{\infty}(T X)$. Let $\tilde{\xi} \in C^{\infty}(T P)$ be the horizontal vector field covering $\xi$ and let $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}$ be the one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $P$ generated by $\tilde{\xi}$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}$ commutes with
right $G$ multiplication and covers $\varphi$. Fixing $\Omega \in \Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$, we obtain a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of $C^{\infty}$ one-forms $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} \Omega$ on $P$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} \Omega}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}} \Omega \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} \Omega \in \Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ denotes the Lie derivative of $\Omega$ with respect to $\tilde{\xi}$; in particular, $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} A$ is a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of $C^{\infty}$ connection one-forms on $P$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $P$ be a $G$ bundle with connection $A \in \Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ over a manifold $X$. Given a vector field $\xi \in C^{\infty}(T X)$, let $\tilde{\xi} \in C^{\infty}(T P)$ be its horizontal lift. If $F_{A} \in \Omega^{2}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ is the curvature of $A$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}} A=\iota_{\xi} F_{A}$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\xi}$ is horizontal, $A(\tilde{\xi})=0$ and so for any vector field $\eta \in$ $T P$, we have $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}} A\right)(\eta)=\left(\iota_{\tilde{\xi}} d A+d \iota_{\tilde{\xi}} A\right)(\eta)=d A(\eta, \tilde{\xi})$. But $F_{A}(\eta, \tilde{\xi})=$ $d A(\eta, \tilde{\xi})+\frac{1}{2}[A(\eta), A(\tilde{\xi})]$ and so the result follows.

We also need to consider Lie derivatives of ad $P$-valued one-forms. Recall that if $\pi: P \rightarrow X$ is the bundle projection, there is an injective map $\pi^{*}: \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \hookrightarrow \Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$. The one-forms $\Omega$ in the image of $\pi^{*}$ are characterised by the properties (a) $R_{u}^{*} \Omega=\operatorname{Ad}\left(u^{-1}\right) \Omega$, for all $u \in G$, and (b) $\Omega(\eta)=0$ if $\eta \in T P$ is vertical. Hence, the action of $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}$ on $\Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$ induces an action on $\Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)=\Gamma\left(T^{*} X \otimes \operatorname{ad} P\right)$. Thus, if $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X$, ad $P)$, we obtain a $C^{\infty}$ one-parameter family of $C^{\infty}$ $\operatorname{ad} P$-valued one-forms $\tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} \omega$ on $X$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} \omega}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}} \omega \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\xi}} \omega \in \Omega^{1}(X$, ad $P)$ denotes the Lie derivative of $\omega$ with respect to $\tilde{\xi}$.

For the purposes of calculation, it is useful to phrase the preceding discussion in terms of local one-forms on $X$. It is convenient to choose a system of local sections $\sigma_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow P$ which are parallel with respect to the connection $A$ and vector field $\xi$, in the sense that $A\left(\sigma_{\alpha *} \xi\right)=0$. For example, one can try to construct $\sigma_{\alpha}$ by first choosing a section $\left.\sigma_{\alpha}\right|_{V_{\alpha}}$, where $V_{\alpha}$ is a submanifold of $U_{\alpha}$ transverse to the vector field $\xi$, and then extend by parallel translation along integral curves of $\xi$ to construct a section $\sigma_{\alpha}$ over a tubular neighbourhood $U_{\alpha}$ of $V_{\alpha}$. Local sections of this type are described in [10 (pp. 146-147)] and [25 (pp. 14-15)].

Given a system of $(A, \xi)$-parallel local sections $\sigma_{\alpha}$, we have $\tilde{\xi}=\sigma_{\alpha *} \xi$ and $\varphi_{t}=\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} \tilde{\varphi}_{t}$ over $U_{\alpha}$. Hence, for $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ we see that $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} \tilde{\varphi}_{t}^{*} \omega=\varphi_{t}^{*} \sigma_{\alpha}^{*} \omega$ and $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \omega=\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \sigma_{\alpha}^{*} \omega$ on $U_{\alpha}$, and similarly for $A \in$ $\Omega^{1}(P, \mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, one can see that the transition functions $\left\{u_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ are constant along the vector field $\xi$. For if $\sigma_{\beta}=\sigma_{\alpha} u_{\alpha \beta}$, then $\sigma_{\beta *} \xi=$ $\sigma_{\alpha *} \xi \cdot u_{\alpha \beta}+\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot u_{\alpha \beta *} \xi$, which gives $A\left(\sigma_{\beta *} \xi\right)=\operatorname{Ad}\left(u_{\alpha \beta}^{-1}\right) A\left(\sigma_{\alpha *} \xi\right)+A\left(\sigma_{\alpha}\right.$. $\left.u_{\alpha \beta *} \xi\right)$, and thus $d u_{\alpha \beta}(\xi)=0$, since $A\left(\sigma_{\alpha *} \xi\right)=A\left(\sigma_{\beta *} \xi\right)=0$ and $A\left(\sigma_{\alpha}\right.$. $\left.u_{\alpha \beta *} \xi\right)=u_{\alpha \beta *} \xi$. Here, $\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot u_{\alpha \beta *} \xi$ is the vector field on $\left.P\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$ obtained by differentiating the maps $G \rightarrow P$ given by $u \mapsto \sigma_{\alpha}(x) u$. When computing Lie derivatives of local connection one-forms or ad $P$-valued one-forms with respect to a vector field $\xi$, we shall always require that the local sections $\sigma_{\alpha}$ be $(A, \xi)$-parallel.
It is often useful to express $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} \omega$ in terms of covariant derivatives. Suppose $X$ has a $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$. We have $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \omega=\iota_{\xi} d \omega+d \iota_{\xi} \omega$, or in local coordinates, $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \omega\right)_{\mu}=\xi^{\nu} \partial \omega_{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu}+\omega_{\nu} \partial \xi^{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu}$. We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\xi}} \omega=\nabla_{\xi}^{A, g} \omega+\omega\left(\nabla^{g} \xi\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

using normal geodesic coordinates $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ and ( $A, \xi$ )-parallel local sections $\left\{\sigma_{\alpha}\right\}$. In the sequel, we omit the "tildes" to indicate lifts of vector fields or diffeomorphisms on the base to the total space of a principal bundle, this being understood from the context. Note that if $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ is a diffeomorphism and $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \mathrm{ad} P)$, then we have $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \Phi^{*} \omega=\Phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\Phi . \xi} \omega$.

Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and let $\omega \in$ $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, a d P\right)$. For any $t \in(-\infty, \infty)$, let $\delta_{t}$ be the dilation of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ given by $x \mapsto e^{t} x$, and for any $p \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ let $\tau_{p}$ be the translation of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ defined by $\tau_{p}: x \mapsto x-p$. If $\delta_{t}$ and $\tau_{p}$ again denote the conformal diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ induced by the chart $x=\phi_{n}^{-1}$, then the group $\mathbf{C}=\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{T}$ of rotations, dilations, and translations of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is identified with the subgroup in $\operatorname{Conf}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)$ of diffeomorphisms which fix the south pole $s \in \mathbb{S}^{4}$. Setting $\varphi_{t}=\delta_{t}$ or $\tau_{t p}$, we see that these diffeomorphisms are generated by the vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r} \equiv x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \quad \text { and } \quad-\mathbf{p} \equiv-p^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We always choose $p \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ with $|p| \leq 1$. We next describe the construction of $(A, \xi)$-parallel local sections $\sigma_{\alpha}$ for $\xi=\mathbf{r}$ or $\mathbf{p}$.

Considering the group of dilations $\mathbf{D}$, let $\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{s}$ be the local sections formed by choosing points in the fibres $\left.P\right|_{n},\left.P\right|_{s}$ and then parallel translating along radial directions from the poles. The transition function $u$ will be constant along the radial directions, $d u(\mathbf{r})=0$, and the local connection one-forms $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} A$ are in radial gauge. On the other hand, considering the group of translations $\mathbf{T}$, suppose first that $\mathbf{p}=\partial / \partial x^{4}$ and let $\left.\sigma_{n}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{3}},\left.\sigma_{s}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{3}}$ be the local sections formed by parallel translation from the north and south poles of the three-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{3} \subset \mathbb{S}^{4}$ defined by the image of the $x^{1} x^{2} x^{3}$-plane under the map $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}$. We obtain local sections $\sigma_{n}, \sigma_{s}$ by parallel translation along the $x^{4}$-axis. The transition function $u$ will now be constant along the $x^{4}$-axis, so $d u(\mathbf{p})=0$, and the local connection one-forms $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*} A$ are in a transverse gauge. By a linear change of coordinates, the same argument applies to arbitrary translations.

For the dilations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \delta_{t}^{*} \omega}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega=\iota_{\mathbf{r}} d \omega+\omega \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \omega=\iota_{\xi} d \omega+d \iota_{\xi} \omega$, or in local coordinates, $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \omega\right)_{\mu}=\xi^{\nu} \partial \omega_{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu}+$ $\omega_{\nu} \partial \xi^{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu}$. Similarly, for the translations we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \tau_{t p}^{*} \omega}{d t}\right|_{t=0}=-\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega=-\iota_{\mathbf{p}} d \omega \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}=p^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$.
For any $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$, let $c_{\lambda}$ be the diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ defined by the chart $x=\phi_{n}^{-1}$ and the dilation $c_{\lambda}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ given by $x \mapsto x / \lambda$. Then $c_{\lambda}=\delta_{t}$ with $t=-\log \lambda$, and so from Eq. (3.6) we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} c_{\lambda}^{*} \omega=-\frac{1}{\lambda} c_{\lambda}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega$. Similarly, for the translations $\tau_{q}, q \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$, we see that Eq. (3.7) gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \tau_{q}^{*} \omega=-\tau_{q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{p}} \omega$, where $\partial / \partial p \equiv p^{\mu} \partial / \partial q^{\mu}$ on the left-hand side and using $\tau_{q+t p}=\varphi_{t p} \circ \tau_{q}$ on the right. Combining these actions, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \omega=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \omega=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, considering the action of the dilations $c_{\lambda}$ and translations $\tau_{q}$ on connection one-forms, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} A=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F_{A} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} A=-\frac{1}{\lambda} \tau_{q}^{*} c_{\lambda}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{p}} F_{A} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These derivative formulas play a significant role in the sequel.
It is convenient at this point to recall Taubes' definition of a centred connection over the four-sphere [23 (p. 343)]. Let $A$ be a $g_{1}$-anti-selfdual connection on a $G$ bundle $P$ with $c_{2}(P)=k$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, where $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ has its standard metric $g_{1}$. Pulling back via the chart $x=\phi_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, we obtain a $\delta$-anti-self-dual connection $A$ on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ with its standard metric $\delta$. Let $\Theta$ denote the flat connection on the product bundle. Suppose $A \neq \Theta$; then the mass centre $q$ and scale $\lambda$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=\operatorname{Centre}[A]  \tag{3.10}\\
& \equiv \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} x\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d^{4} x \\
& \lambda^{2}=\operatorname{Scale}^{2}[A] \equiv \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}|x-q|^{2}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d^{4} x
\end{align*}
$$

If $A=\Theta$, we set Centre $[A]=0$ and $\operatorname{Scale}[A]=0$. The connection $A$ is called centred if Centre $[A]=0$ and $\operatorname{Scale}[A]=1$. Eq. (3.10) leads to the following Tchebychev ineqality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x-q| \geq R \lambda}\left|F_{A}\right|^{2} d^{4} x \leq 8 \pi^{2} k R^{-2}, \quad R \geq 1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the ball $B(q, R \lambda)$ contains $A$-energy greater than or equal to $8 \pi^{2} k\left(1-R^{-2}\right)$.

Setting $f_{\lambda, q}=c_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{q}$, we see that Centre $\left[\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}\right)^{*} A\right]=0$ and Scale $\left[\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}\right)^{*} A\right]=1$. Let $M_{k}$ denote the moduli space of $g_{1}$-anti-selfdual connections on the bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and let $M_{k}^{0}$ denote the moduli space of centred $g_{1}$-anti-self-dual connections. Note that $M_{1}^{0}$ consists of a single point representing the standard one-instanton over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. More generally, the relationship between $M_{k}$ and $M_{k}^{0}$ is explained below.

Proposition 3.5. For any $k>0$, the space $M_{k}^{0}$ is a smooth submanifold of $M_{k}$. Moreover, $M_{k}$ is diffeomorphic to $M_{k}^{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{4} \times(0, \infty)$.

Proof. One argues as in [23 (pp. 343-344)] and [22 (pp. 365-367)]. Given $[A] \in M_{k}$ with Centre $[A]=q$ and Scale $[A]=\lambda$, set $f_{\lambda, q}=c_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{q}$. The map $[A] \rightarrow\left(\left[\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}\right)^{*} A\right], q, \lambda\right)$ then gives the required diffeomorphism.
3.3. Gluing construction of approximately anti-self-dual connections. We describe the approximate gluing constructions of Donaldson [3], [7], and Taubes [20], [21], [23], adapted to the case of
'bubble trees'. For clarity, we first discuss the construction of approximately anti-self-dual connections over single connected sums. Let $X_{0}$ be our closed, smooth four-manifold with metric $g_{0}$ and injectivity radius $\varrho_{0}$, and let $X_{1}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with its standard round metric $g_{1}$ of radius 1. Let $x_{1}$ be a point in $X_{0}$ and let $x_{1 n}, x_{1 s}$ denote the north and south poles of $X_{1}$. Let $P_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$ be principal $G$ bundles with $c_{2}\left(P_{i}\right)=k_{i}, i=0,1$. Let $F X_{0}$ be the principle $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ bundle of oriented, orthonormal frames over $X_{0}$.

A choice of frame $\left.v_{1} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{1}}$ defines a geodesic normal coordinate system $\phi_{1}^{-1}=\exp _{v_{1}}^{-1}: B_{1}\left(\varrho_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Denote $\phi_{1 \alpha}=\phi_{\alpha}, \alpha=s, n$, where $\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}: U_{\alpha}=\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{\alpha\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ are the standard coordinate charts on the four-sphere. Let $B_{1}(r)=B\left(x_{1}, r\right)$ be the open geodesic ball in $X_{0}$ with centre $x_{1}$ and radius $r$, and let $B_{1 s}(r)=\phi_{1 s}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:|x|<r\right\}\right)$, an open ball in $X_{1}$ with centre $x_{1 s}$. Let $\Omega_{1}(r, R)=\Omega\left(x_{1}, r, R\right)$ be the open annulus $B_{1}(R) \backslash \bar{B}_{1}(r)$ centred at $x_{1} \in X_{0}$, with inner radius $r$ and outer radius $R$; similarly, let $\Omega_{1 s}(r, R)=\Omega\left(x_{1 s}, r, R\right)$ be the open annulus $B_{1 s}(R) \backslash \bar{B}_{1 s}(r)$ in $X_{1}$.

Let $N>4$ be a large parameter, to be fixed later, and let $\lambda_{1}>0$ be a small scale parameter such that $\lambda_{1}^{1 / 2} N \ll 1$. We define open sets $X_{0}^{\prime}=$ $X_{0} \backslash \bar{B}_{1}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right), X_{0}^{\prime \prime}=X_{0} \backslash \bar{B}_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$, and $X_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}=X_{0} \backslash \bar{B}_{1}\left(2 N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$ - the complements in $X_{0}$ of small balls around the point $x_{1}$. Likewise, define open sets $X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, and $X_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in the sphere $X_{1}$. Let $\Omega_{1}$ denote the annulus $\Omega_{1}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{0}$ and let $\Omega_{1 s}=\Omega_{1 s}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$ be the corresponding annulus in $X_{1}$. Let $c_{1}$ be the dilation map on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ defined by $x \mapsto x / \lambda_{1}$. Define balls $B_{1}^{\prime}=B_{1}\left(N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $B_{1}^{\prime \prime}=B_{1}\left(2 \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$ centred at $x_{1}$ in $X_{0}$ and a diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\phi_{1 n} \circ c_{1} \circ \phi_{1}^{-1}: B_{1}^{\prime} \longrightarrow X_{1}^{\prime} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $f_{1}$ identifies the small balls $B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ in $X_{0}$ with the open sets $X_{1}^{\prime}$ and $X_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ in $X_{1}$, and restricts to a diffeomorphism $f_{1}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{1 s}$.

We let $X$ be the connected sum $X_{0} \#_{f_{1}} X_{1}$. In $\S 3.5$ we define a smooth metric $g$ on $X$ which closely approximates the metrics $g_{i}$ on each summand $X_{i}^{\prime}$ and such that the map $f_{1}: B_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{1}^{\prime}$ is conformal. Thus, $(X, g)$ is conformally equivalent to $\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)$.

Let $A_{i}$ be $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual connections on the bundles $P_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$, $i=0,1$. The connections $A_{0}, A_{1}$, together with a choice of points in the fibres $\left.P_{0}\right|_{x_{1}},\left.P_{1}\right|_{x_{1 s}}$, define local sections $\sigma_{1}: B_{1}\left(\varrho_{0}\right) \rightarrow P_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1 s}: X_{1} \backslash\left\{x_{1 n}\right\} \rightarrow P_{1}$ by parallel transport along radial geodesics
through $x_{1}, x_{1 s}$. Hence, we obtain local trivialisations $\left.P_{0}\right|_{B_{1}} \simeq B_{1} \times G$ and $\left.P_{1}\right|_{B_{1}} \simeq B_{1 s} \times G$.

Let $b_{1} \geq 4 N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}$ be a small parameter, $b_{1}<\frac{1}{4} \min \left\{1, \varrho_{0}\right\}$; we will eventually set $b_{1}=4 N \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}$. Choose cutoff functions $\psi_{i}$ on $X_{i}$ such that $0 \leq \psi_{i} \leq 1$, with $\psi_{0}=1$ on $X_{0} \backslash B_{1}\left(b_{1}\right), \psi_{0}=0$ on $B_{1}\left(b_{1} / 2\right)$, and similarly for $\psi_{1}$ on $X_{1}$. We let $A_{0}^{\prime}=\psi_{0} A_{0}$ be the $C^{\infty}$ connection on the bundle $\pi_{0}: P_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ defined by

$$
A_{0}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}A_{0} & \text { on }\left.P_{0}\right|_{X_{0} \backslash B_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.13}\\ \pi_{0}^{*}\left(\psi_{0} \sigma_{1}^{*} A_{0}\right) & \text { on }\left.P_{1}\right|_{B_{1}\left(b_{1}\right)}\end{cases}
$$

Of course, we have the analogous definition for the $C^{\infty}$ connection $A_{1}^{\prime}$ over $X_{1}$, and we obtain almost anti-self-dual connections which are flat on the balls $B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{1 s}^{\prime}$.

To construct the cutoff functions $\psi_{i}$, choose a $C^{\infty}$ bump function $\zeta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ such that $\zeta(t)=1$ for $t \geq 1$ and $\zeta(t)=0$ for $t \leq 1 / 2$. Define a $C^{\infty}$ cutoff function $\psi_{b}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by $\psi_{b}(x)=\zeta(|x| / b)$, for any $b>0$. Set $\psi_{0}=\left(\phi_{1}^{-1}\right)^{*} \psi_{b_{1}}$ and extend by 1 on $X_{0} \backslash B\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ and by zero on $B\left(x_{1}, b_{1} / 2\right)$ to give $\psi_{0} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{0}\right)$; likewise, set $\psi_{1}=\left(\phi_{1 s}^{-1}\right)^{*} \psi_{b_{1}}$ and extend to give $\varphi_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{1}\right)$. Each $\varphi_{i}$ extends by zero to give a $C^{\infty}$ cutoff function on the connected sum $X$.

Choose a $G$-equivariant isomorphism $\rho_{1} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{1}}$, where $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{1}} \equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\left.P_{0}\right|_{x_{1}},\left.P_{1}\right|_{x_{1 s}}\right) \simeq G$ is the space of 'gluing parameters'. Using the connections $A_{i}$ over the small $\frac{1}{2} b_{1}$-balls, spread out the fibre isomorphism $\rho_{1}$ to give a bundle isomorphism $\tilde{\rho}_{1}:\left.\left.P_{0}\right|_{\Omega_{1}} \rightarrow P_{1}\right|_{\Omega_{1}}$ covering the diffeomorphism $f_{1}: \Omega_{0} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$. Thus, $\sigma_{1} \tilde{\rho}_{1}=f_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1 s}$ on $\Omega_{1}$. We define the smooth connected-sum bundle $P \rightarrow X$ with second Chern class $c_{2}(P)=k=k_{0}+k_{1}$ by setting $\left.P\right|_{X_{0}^{\prime}}=\left.P_{0}\right|_{X_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $\left.P\right|_{X_{1}^{\prime}}=\left.P_{1}\right|_{X_{1}^{\prime}}$. Note that the bundle $P$ is defined by transition functions independent of the scale $\lambda_{1}$. We define a smooth connection $A^{\prime}=A_{0}^{\prime} \# A_{1}^{\prime}$ on $P \rightarrow X$ by setting $A^{\prime}=A_{i}^{\prime}$ on each summand $X_{i}^{\prime}$.

If $\Gamma_{A_{i}}$ are the isotropy groups of the connections $A_{i}$, and $\Gamma=\Gamma_{A_{0}} \times$ $\Gamma_{A_{1}}$, then we recall that the gluing construction gives a bijection between the gauge equivalence classes $\left[A^{\prime}\left(\rho_{1}\right)\right]$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X, k}$ and $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{1}} / \Gamma$ [7 (p. 286)].

Using the diffeomorphism $f_{1}: B_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{1}^{\prime}$, we pull back the bundle $P$ over $X$ to a bundle $\hat{P}$ over $X_{0}$, given by $\left.\hat{P}\right|_{X_{0}^{\prime}}=\left.P_{0}\right|_{X_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $\left.\hat{P}\right|_{B_{1}^{\prime}}=$ $\left.f_{1}^{*} P_{1}\right|_{B_{1}^{\prime}}$. We have an induced system of local sections of $\left.\hat{P}\right|_{B_{0}^{\prime}}$ given
near $x_{1}$ by $\hat{\sigma}_{1 n}=f_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1 n}: B_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow P, \hat{\sigma}_{1 s}=f_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1 s}: B_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\} \rightarrow P$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{1}=\sigma_{1}: \Omega_{1}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}, \varrho_{0}\right) \rightarrow P$. The corresponding transition functions $\hat{u}_{1}=f_{1}^{*} u_{1}: B_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\} \rightarrow G$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{1}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow G$ are determined by $\hat{\sigma}_{1 s}=\hat{\sigma}_{1 n} \hat{u}_{1}$ on $B_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ and $\sigma_{1} \tilde{\rho}_{1}=f_{1}^{*} \hat{\sigma}_{1 s}$ on $\Omega_{1}$.

On the pull-back bundle $\hat{P} \rightarrow X_{0}$ we define the corresponding smooth pull-back connection $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ by setting $\hat{A}^{\prime}=A_{0}^{\prime}$ on $\left.\hat{P}\right|_{X_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{1}^{*} A_{1}^{\prime}$ on $\left.\hat{P}\right|_{B_{1}^{\prime}}$. We obtain local connection 1-forms for $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ over $X_{0}$ given by $\hat{\sigma}_{1 n}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1 n}^{*} A_{1}^{\prime}$ on the ball $B_{1}^{\prime}, \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}=\sigma_{1}^{*} A_{0}^{\prime}$ on the annulus $\Omega_{1}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}, \varrho_{0}\right)$, and $\hat{\sigma}_{1 s}^{*} A^{\prime}=f_{1}^{*} \sigma_{1 s}^{*} A_{1}^{\prime}$ on the punctured ball $B_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}$.

On the annulus $\Omega_{1}$ we have $\hat{\sigma}_{1 s}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}=\sigma_{1}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}=0$, and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{1 s}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}=\tilde{\rho}_{1}^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{*} \hat{A}_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{\rho}_{1}+\tilde{\rho}_{1}^{-1} d \tilde{\rho}_{1} \quad \text { on } \Omega_{1}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that $d \tilde{\rho}_{1}=0$ on $\Omega_{1}$ and so $\tilde{\rho}_{1}$ is constant on $\Omega_{1}$. The transition function $\hat{u}_{1}$ on $B_{1}^{\prime} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ is independent of $\lambda_{1}$, since $u_{1}$ on $X_{1} \backslash\left\{x_{1 n}, x_{1 s}\right\}$ is constant along geodesics connecting the north and south poles. Thus, the bundle $\hat{P}$ is defined by transition functions which are constant with respect to $\lambda_{1}$.

We now generalise the preceding discussion to give a construction of approximately anti-self-dual connections over multiple connected sums. The description we give here is closely related to Taubes' iterated gluing construction [23 (§4)]. The construction parallels the description of the ends of the bubble tree compactification $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ described in Chapter 4.

It is convenient at this point to introduce some terminology. Let $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ denote a multi-index of positive integers. The length of $I$ is $r$; we regard 0 as a multi-index of length zero. Given $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$, we let $I_{-}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r-1}\right)$; we will often denote a multi-index of the form $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r+1}\right)$ by $I_{+}$or if we wish to be more specific, by $I j$, where $j=i_{r+1}>0$ or $s, n$ (indicating north or south poles of $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ ), with a slight abuse of notation. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an oriented tree with a finite set of vertices $\{I\}$, including a base vertex 0 , and a set of edges $\left\{\left(I, I_{+}\right)\right\}$. If $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ and $I=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right)$, then we say $I<J$ if $r<t$ and $J=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}, j_{r+1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right)$. The valence of each vertex $I$ is the number of edges emanating from that vertex. The height of the tree $\mathcal{I}$ is the number of levels - the length of the longest multi-index minus one. With respect to a given vertex $I$, the edge ( $I_{-}, I$ ) is called incoming, and the edge ( $I, I_{+}$) outgoing.

The construction of a $C^{\infty}$, approximately $g$-anti-self-dual connection $A^{\prime}$ of second Chern class $k \geq 1$, associated with a tree $\mathcal{I}$, requires the following data:

Data 3.6. Gluing data for approximately anti-self-dual connections.
(1) To each vertex $I$, we associate a $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connection $A_{I}$ on a $G$ bundle $P_{I} \rightarrow X_{I}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{I}\right)=k_{I} \geq 0$. If $I=0$, then $X_{0}$ is the base four-manifold with metric $g_{0}$, while if $I>0$, then $X_{I}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with its standard round metric $g_{I} \equiv g_{1}$ of radius 1.
(2) To each edge ( $\left.I_{-}, I\right)$, we associate the data $\left(b_{I}, \lambda_{I}, \rho_{I}, x_{I}, v_{I}\right)$ given by the
(i) Connection cutoff parameter $b_{I}$.
(ii) Scale parameter $\lambda_{I}$.
(iii) Bundle gluing parameter $\rho_{I} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}$, where $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{x_{I}},\left.P_{I}\right|_{x_{I s}}\right)$.
(iv) Centre or gluing site $x_{I} \in X_{I_{-}}$.
(v) Frame $\left.v_{I} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{I}}$ if $I_{-}=0$.
(3) Constants $b_{0}, d_{0}, \lambda_{0}, N$.

For convenience, if $I_{+}=I s$, we denote $b_{I s}=b_{I}, \lambda_{I s}=\lambda_{I}, N_{I s}=N$, and $\rho_{I s}=\rho_{I}$. We let $x_{I n}, x_{I s}$ denote the north and south poles of the spheres $X_{I}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$. If $I_{-}>0$, then $x_{I} \equiv \phi_{I_{-} n}\left(q_{I}\right) \in X_{I}$, where $q_{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{b}=\max _{I \in \mathcal{I}} b_{I} \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{\lambda}=\max _{I \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{I} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gluing data should satisfy the following constraints:
Condition 3.7. Gluing data constraints.
(1) Scales: $4 N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2} \leq b_{I}<\frac{1}{4} \min \left\{1, \varrho_{0}, d_{0}\right\}, 4<N_{0} \leq N$, and $0<$ $\lambda_{I} \leq \lambda_{0}$.
(2) Separation of centres: Suppose $x_{I}, x_{I^{\prime}} \in X_{I_{-}}$.
(i) If $I_{-}=0$, then $\operatorname{dist}_{g_{0}}\left(x_{I}, x_{I^{\prime}}\right)>4\left(b_{I}+b_{I^{\prime}}\right)$.
(ii) If $I_{-}>0$, then $\left|q_{I}-q_{I^{\prime}}\right|>4\left(b_{I}+b_{I^{\prime}}\right)$.
(3) Topology: $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} k_{I}=k$ and $k_{I}>0$ for some $I>0$.

Remark 3.8. Definition 3.6, together with the constraints of Condition 3.7 should be compared with the definition of 'bubble tree ideal' connections in $\S 4.3$. The requirements on the scales and separation of
centres are in place simply to ensure that the different gluing regions do not interfere with one another.

The gluing procedure now generalises to give a $C^{\infty}$ family of approximately $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A^{\prime}=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} A_{I}^{\prime}$ on a bundle $P$ over a multiple connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}^{\prime}$. First, consider the definition of coordinate charts, open balls, and annuli in $X_{0}$. If $I_{-}=0$, let $\phi_{I}^{-1}=\exp _{v_{I}}^{-1}: B\left(x_{I}, \varrho_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be a geodesic normal coordinate chart defined by a point $v_{I}$ in the oriented frame bundle fibre $\left.F X_{0}\right|_{x_{I}}$. Let $B_{I}(r)=B\left(x_{I}, r\right)$ be the open geodesic ball in $X_{0}$ with centre $x_{I}$ and radius $r$.

Turning to the four-spheres $X_{I}$, for any $I>0$, let $\phi_{I \alpha}=\phi_{\alpha}, \alpha=$ $s, n$ be the standard inverse coordinate charts on $X_{I}$. Define open neighbourhoods in $X_{I}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{I s}(r)=B\left(x_{I s}, r\right)=\phi_{I s}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:|x|<r\right\}\right), \\
& B_{I_{+}}(r)=B\left(x_{I_{+}}, r\right)=\phi_{I n}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:\left|x-q_{I_{+}}\right|<r\right\}\right) . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{I}(r, R)=\Omega\left(x_{I}, r, R\right)$ be the open annulus $B_{I}(R) \backslash \bar{B}_{I}(r)$ centred at $x_{I} \in X_{I_{-}}$, with inner radius $r$ and outer radius $R$.

Define small balls $B_{I}^{\prime}=B\left(x_{I}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and annuli $\Omega_{I}=$ $\Omega\left(x_{I}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{I_{-}}, I>0$. The open subset $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$ is the complement in $X_{I_{-}}$of the balls $\bar{B}_{I}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$, the open subset $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime \prime}$ is the complement in $X_{I_{-}}$of the balls $\bar{B}_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$, and the open subset $X_{I_{-}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ is the complement in $X_{I_{-}}$of the balls $\bar{B}_{I}\left(2 N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$.

We define identification maps $f_{I}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{I}=\phi_{I n} \circ c_{I} \circ \phi_{I}^{-1}: B_{I}^{\prime} \longrightarrow X_{I}^{\prime} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{I}$ is the dilation $x \rightarrow x / \lambda_{I}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The above maps $\phi_{I}$ are local coordinate charts on $X_{I_{-}}$given by

$$
\phi_{I}^{-1}= \begin{cases}\exp _{v_{I}}^{-1} & \text { if } I_{-}=0  \tag{3.18}\\ \tau_{I} \circ \phi_{I_{-} n}^{-1} & \text { if } I_{-}>0\end{cases}
$$

where $\tau_{I}$ is the translation $x \rightarrow x-q_{I}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The charts $\phi_{I}^{-1}=$ $\exp _{v_{I}}^{-1}$ may be replaced by $\bar{\phi}_{I}^{-1}=\tau_{p_{I}} \circ \exp _{v_{I}}^{-1},\left|p_{I}\right| \ll \varrho_{0}$, if we wish to compute derivatives with respect to the centres $x_{I}$ in $X_{0}$. For notational consistency, we let $f_{0}$ denote the identity map on $X_{0}$.

Using the diffeomorphisms $f_{I}: \Omega_{I} \rightarrow \Omega_{I s}$ we obtain a connected $\operatorname{sum} X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}^{\prime}$. We again defer to $\S 3.5$ for the precise definition of a metric $g$ on $X$ closely approximating the metrics $g_{I}$ on the summands $X_{I}^{\prime}$ and such that the maps $f_{I}: B_{I}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{I}^{\prime}$ are conformal. With this choice of metric, the connected sum $(X, g)$ is conformally equivalent to $\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)$.

We have a local section $\sigma_{I}$ of $P_{I_{-}}$defined by a choice of point in the fibre $\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{x_{I}}$ and $A_{I_{-}-}$parallel translation from $x_{I}$; similarly, we have local sections $\sigma_{I n}, \sigma_{I s}$ of $P_{I}$ defined by a choice of points in the fibres $\left.P_{I}\right|_{x_{I n}},\left.P_{I}\right|_{x_{I s}}$ and $A_{I}$-parallel translation from $x_{I n}, x_{I s}$. These sections provide local trivialisations $\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{B_{I}\left(\varrho_{0}\right)} \simeq B_{I}\left(\varrho_{0}\right) \times G$ and $\left.P_{I}\right|_{X_{I} \backslash\left\{x_{I n}\right\}} \simeq$ $X_{I} \backslash\left\{x_{I n}\right\} \times G$. Define $C^{\infty}$ cutoff functions $\psi_{I}$ on each summand $X_{I}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{I} \equiv\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*} \psi_{b_{I}} \prod_{I_{+}}\left(\phi_{I_{+}}^{-1}\right)^{*} \psi_{I_{+}} \quad \text { on } X_{I} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*} \psi_{b_{I}}$ is omitted when $I=0$. Note that $\psi_{I}=0$ on the balls $B_{I s}\left(b_{I} / 2\right)$ and $B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}} / 2\right)$ in $X_{I}$ and smoothly extends by 1 on the complement of the balls $B_{I s}\left(b_{I}\right)$ and $B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}}\right)$in $X_{I}$. Lastly, extend each $\varphi_{I}$ by zero to give a $C^{\infty}$ cutoff function on the connected sum $X$. Setting $A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}=\psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}, A_{I}^{\prime}=\psi_{I} A_{I}$, we obtain $C^{\infty}$ almost anti-self-dual connections $A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, A_{I}^{\prime}$ which are flat on the balls $B_{I}\left(b_{I} / 2\right), B_{I s}\left(b_{I} / 2\right)$.

The gluing parameter $\rho_{I}$ provides an isomorphism of the fibres : $\left.\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{x_{I}} \simeq P_{I}\right|_{x_{I}}$. Using the connections $A_{I_{-}}, A_{I}$, this identification is extended to give a bundle isomorphism $\tilde{\rho}_{I}:\left.\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{\Omega_{I}} \rightarrow P_{I}\right|_{\Omega_{I_{s}}}$ covering $f_{I}$. By these identification maps we obtain a connected-sum $G$ bundle $P \rightarrow X$ with $c_{2}(P)=k$ and transition functions which are constant with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$. The cutoff connections $A_{I}^{\prime}$ on $P_{I}$ patch together to give a $C^{\infty}$ connection $A^{\prime}$ on $P$. As before, the connection $A^{\prime}$ on the connected-sum bundle $P$ over $X$ pull back via the maps $f_{I}$ to give a connection $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ on a bundle $\hat{P}$ over $X_{0}$.

Lastly, we record some estimates for the connections $A^{\prime}$ when restricted to a summand $X_{I}^{\prime}$. For this and later purposes, we define the following Sobolev norms: Let $\nabla^{g_{I}}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on $T X_{I}$ defined by the metric $g_{I}$, so that if $f \in C^{\infty}\left(X_{I}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{n}^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\|\left(\nabla^{g_{I}}\right)^{i} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and integer $n \geq 0$. Similarly, if $\alpha \in \Omega^{l}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\alpha\|_{L_{n}^{p}\left(X_{I}, A_{I}, g_{I}\right)}=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\|\left(\nabla^{A_{I}, g_{I}}\right)^{i} \alpha\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to note that these norms will depend only on a set of fixed connections, $\left\{A_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, and a set of fixed metrics $\left\{g_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Recalling that $A_{I}^{\prime}=\psi_{I} A_{I}$, define one-forms $a_{I} \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$ by setting $A_{I}=A_{I}^{\prime}+a_{I}$. Thus

$$
a_{I}= \begin{cases}\left(1-\psi_{I}\right) \sigma_{I_{+}}^{*} A_{I} & \text { on } B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}}\right) \\ 0 & \text { on } X_{I} \backslash \bigcup_{I_{+}} B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}}\right)\end{cases}
$$

With the aid of bounds for the derivatives of the cutoff functions $\psi_{J}$ for $C=C\left(g_{J}\right)$ and $J=I_{-}$or $I$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|d \psi_{J}\right|_{g_{J}} \leq C b_{J}^{-1} \quad \text { on } \Omega_{J}\left(b_{J} / 2, b_{J}\right), \\
& \left\|d \psi_{J}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Standard arguments then give the following estimates.
Lemma 3.9. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C=$ $C\left(A_{I}, g_{I}, p\right)$ such that
(a) $\left\|a_{I}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \bar{b}$ and $\left\|a_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \bar{b}^{-4 / p+1}$,
(b) $\left\|F\left(A_{I}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C$ and $\left\|F^{+, g_{I}}\left(A_{I}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \bar{b}^{-4 / p}$.
3.4. Approximate gluing maps. Adopting a more global perspective, the construction of $\S 3.3$ yields a family of 'approximate gluing maps', $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$, which we describe in this section. We first recall that the standard Kuranishi models give the required parametrisations for neighbourhoods of points $\left[A_{I}\right]$ in $M_{X_{I}, k_{I}}\left(g_{I}\right)$. Let $A_{I}$ be a $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{I}$, with isotropy group $\Gamma_{A_{I}}$ and $H_{A_{I}}^{2}=0$. For a small enough open neighbourhood $T_{A_{I}}$ of $0 \in H_{A_{I}}^{1}$, we have smooth $\Gamma_{A_{I}}$-equivariant maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{I}: T_{A_{I}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{ker} d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}} \subset \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

solving the $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual equation $F^{+, g_{I}}\left(A_{I}+\alpha_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right)=0, t_{I} \in T_{A_{I}}$. Setting $A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)=A_{I}+\alpha_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{I}: T_{A_{I}} / \Gamma_{A_{I}} \longrightarrow U_{A_{I}}, \quad t_{I} \longmapsto\left[A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

onto an open neighbourhood $U_{A_{I}}$ of $\left[A_{I}\right] \in M_{X_{I}, k_{I}}$. If $A_{I}$ is the product connection, $\Theta$, then $\Gamma_{A_{I}}=\operatorname{SU}(2)$ and so $H_{A_{I}}^{0} \neq 0$, while $H_{A_{I}}^{1}=0$. If $A_{I}$ is a non-trivial reducible connection, then $\Gamma_{A_{I}}=\mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $H_{A_{I}}^{0} \neq 0$; we have a homeomorphism $\vartheta_{I}: T_{A_{I}} / \Gamma_{A_{I}} \rightarrow U_{A_{I}}$ and a diffeomorphism $\vartheta_{I}:\left(T_{A_{I}} \backslash\{0\}\right) / \Gamma_{A_{I}} \rightarrow U_{A_{I}} \backslash\left[A_{I}\right]$. Finally, if $A_{I}$ is irreducible, then $\Gamma_{A_{I}}=( \pm 1)$ and $H_{A_{I}}^{0}=0$; in this case we have a diffeomorphism $\vartheta_{I}: T_{A_{I}} / \Gamma_{A_{I}} \rightarrow U_{A_{I}}$.

We now dispose of the construction of neighbourhoods of reducible connections in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Recall that the reducible connections in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs $\{ \pm c\}$, where $c \in H^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ satisfies $c^{2}=k$. In particular, there are only finitely many and so to describe a neighbourhood of any such reducible connection $[A] \in M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$, we may employ the Kuranishi model $\vartheta_{A}$ : $T_{A} / \Gamma_{A} \rightarrow U_{A}$.

We now describe the approximate gluing maps $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$, beginning with the parameter spaces $\mathcal{T} / \Gamma$. First, with the centres $\left\{x_{I}\right\}$ and scales $\left\{\lambda_{I}\right\}$ held fixed, the parameter spaces $T_{A_{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}$ combine to give a $C^{\infty}$ manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \equiv T_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left(T_{A_{I}} \times \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

parametrising a 'small' family of approximately anti-self-dual connections. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \Gamma_{A_{I}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

acts freely on $T$ and $T / \Gamma$ is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold. If we allow the centres, now denoted $y_{I}$, to move over disjoint balls $B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right) \subset X_{I_{-}}$and allow the scales $\lambda_{I}$ to vary in the interval $\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$, the parameter space of Eq. (3.25) is augmented to give a $C^{\infty}$ manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T} \equiv T_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left(T_{A_{I}} \times \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}} \times B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right) \times\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

parametrising a 'large' family of approximately $g$-anti-self-dual connections. Again, $\Gamma$ acts freely on $\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{T} / \Gamma$ is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold. We fix local trivialisations of the frame bundle $F X_{0}$ over the balls $B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right)$, and these provide smooth families of geodesic normal coordinate charts on $X_{0}$.

We note that the almost anti-self-dual connections $A^{\prime}$ produced by $\S 3.3$ are indeed irreducible:

Lemma 3.10. Let $A^{\prime}$ be a connection on the $G$ bundle $P$ over $X$ defined by Data 3.6 and Condition 3.7. Then $A^{\prime}$ is irreducible, that is, $H_{A^{\prime}}^{0}=0$, for small enough $b_{0}$ and large enough $N_{0}$.

The Lemma follows from Aronszajn's unique continuation principle for solutions to $\Delta_{A^{\prime}} \eta=0$ via standard methods, so the proof is omitted. Hence, the approximate gluing construction of $\S 3.3$ gives a $C^{\infty}$ map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}, \quad t \longmapsto\left[A^{\prime}(t)\right] \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ has the structure of an $L_{n}^{2}$ Hilbert manifold, $n \geq 3$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ submersion onto its image; see $\S 5.2$. We refer to $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ as an approximate gluing map over $X$ and its image $\mathcal{U}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ as an approximate gluing neighbourhood.

The dimension of the parameter space $\mathcal{T} / \Gamma$ is given by
(3.29) $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{T} / \Gamma$

$$
=\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{0}}^{1}-\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{0}}^{0}+\sum_{I>0}\left(\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{I}}^{1}-\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{0}}^{0}+8\right)
$$

since each factor $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}} \times B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right) \times\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ has dimension $8, \operatorname{dim} H_{A_{I}}^{0}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma_{A_{I}}$, and $H_{A_{I}}^{2}=0$ for all $I \geq 0$ by hypothesis. Families of centred $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connections $A_{I} \in M_{X_{I}, k_{I}}^{0}\left(g_{I}\right)$ are parametrised by small balls $T_{A_{I}}^{0}$, and thus we obtain a $C^{\infty}$ parameter space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{0} \equiv T_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left(T_{A_{I}}^{0} \times \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}} \times B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right) \times\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C^{\infty}$ quotient $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$ of dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} M_{X, k}(g)$. The map $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ embedding; see $\S 5.2$.

Lastly, using the conformal diffeomorphisms $f_{I}$, the bundle $P$ over $X$ pulls back to a bundle $\hat{P}$ over $X_{0}$. The gluing construction now produces an approximately $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$. The $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ of Eq. (3.28) pulls back to a $C^{\infty}$ map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}, \quad t \longmapsto\left[\hat{A}^{\prime}(t)\right] \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ submersion onto its image and is a $C^{\infty}$ embedding when the parameter space $\mathcal{T} / \Gamma$ is replaced by the smaller parameter
space $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$; see $\S 5.2$. As before, the image $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ is called an approximate gluing neighbourhood.
3.5. Metrics on connected sums. In this section we define a conformal structure $[g]$ on the connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$. This is accomplished by replacing the standard round metric $g_{I}$ on each spherical summand $X_{I}^{\prime}$ by a quasi-conformally equivalent metric $\tilde{g}_{I}$ so that the identification maps $f_{I}: B_{I}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{I}^{\prime}$ are conformal. We then construct a $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ on $X$ in the conformal class $[g]=\left[g_{0}\right]$ and compare the resulting $L^{p}$ norms for the different possible metrics on each summand $X_{I}^{\prime}$. Our construction is modelled on the constructions of Donaldson and Taubes for metrics on connected sums - see [3 (p. 322)], [ 7 (p. 293)], and [24]. The metric $g$ depends on the choice of fixed base metric $g_{0}$, fixed neck width parameter $N$, scales $\lambda_{I}$, centres $x_{I}$, and frames $v_{I}$. We also obtain bounds for the derivatives of $g$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$.

With respect to a geodesic normal coordinate system $x=\phi_{i_{1}}^{-1}$ on $B_{i_{1}}\left(\varrho_{0}\right) \subset X_{0}$, the covariant components of $g_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\phi_{i_{1}}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}(0)=\delta_{\mu \nu} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial\left(\phi_{\phi_{1}}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}(0)=0, \\
& \left|\left(\phi_{i_{1}}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}-\delta_{\mu \nu}\right|(x) \leq c|x|^{2} \quad \text { and }  \tag{3.32}\\
& \left|\frac{\partial\left(\phi_{i_{1}}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right|(x) \leq c|x|, \quad|x|<\varrho_{0} / 2,
\end{align*}
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(g_{0}\right)$. The analogous relations hold for the contravariant components of $g_{0}$. We now define a conformal structure [g] on $X$ :

Definition 3.11. The conformal structure $[g]$ on $X$ is defined by the $C^{\infty}$ metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}^{\prime}$ and a choice of $C^{\infty}$ metric $\tilde{g}_{I}$ on each summand $X_{I}^{\prime}, I>0$, given by

$$
\left(\phi_{I n}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x) \equiv \begin{cases}h_{1}^{2}(x)\left(\phi_{I}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}\left(\lambda_{I} x\right) & \text { if } I_{-}=0, \\ h_{1}^{2}(x) h_{1}^{-2}\left(\lambda_{I} x+q_{I}\right)\left(\phi_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I_{-}}\right)_{\mu \nu}\left(\lambda_{I} x\right) & \text { if } I_{-}>0,\end{cases}
$$

where $|x|<N \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}$. For convenience, we let $g_{I} \equiv g_{1}$ denote the standard metric on $X_{I}$ and let $\tilde{g}_{0} \equiv g_{0}$ denote the metric on $X_{0}$.
Definition 3.11 provides the following expression for $\tilde{g}_{I}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{I n}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x)=h_{1}^{2}(x)\left(\phi_{i_{1}}^{*} g_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}(y(x)), \quad|x|<N \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x) & =\phi_{i_{1}}^{-1} \circ f_{i_{1}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1} \circ \phi_{I n}(x) \\
& =\lambda_{i_{1}}\left(\lambda_{i_{1} i_{2}}\left(\cdots\left(\lambda_{I_{-}}\left(\lambda_{I} x+q_{I}\right)+q_{I_{-}}\right) \cdots\right)+q_{i_{1} i_{2}}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

The map $f_{I}: B_{I}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{I}^{\prime}$ is now conformal with respect to the metrics $\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$on $B_{I}^{\prime} \subset X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{g}_{I}$ on $X_{I}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\left(\phi_{I}^{*} f_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x)= \begin{cases}\lambda_{I}^{-2} h_{1}^{2}\left(x / \lambda_{I}\right)\left(\phi_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x) & \text { if } I_{-}=0 \\ \lambda_{I}^{-2} h_{1}^{2}\left(x / \lambda_{I}\right) h_{1}^{-2}\left(x+q_{I}\right)\left(\phi_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I_{-}}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x) & \text { if } I_{-}>0\end{cases}
$$

where $|x|<N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$. Thus, $f_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}$ is conformally equivalent to the metric $g_{I_{-}}$on $\Omega_{I}$ and so we obtain a conformal structure $[g]$ on $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$.

We must verify that $\tilde{g}_{I}$ is a good approximation to the standard round metric $g_{I}$ on $X_{I}^{\prime}$ for small $\lambda_{i_{1}}$.

Lemma 3.12. For any $I>0$, the metric $\tilde{g}_{I}$ converges to $g_{I}$ in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{I} \backslash\left\{x_{I s}\right\}$ as $\lambda_{i_{1}} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have the following bounds:
(a) For any integer $l \geq 0$, there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}, l\right)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{l}\left(\phi_{I n}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial x^{\alpha_{l}}}-\frac{\partial^{l}\left(\phi_{I n}^{*} g_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial x^{\alpha_{l}}}\right| \leq c N^{2} \lambda_{i_{1}} h_{1}^{2}(x), \quad|x|<N \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}
$$

The analogous bounds hold for the contravariant components $\left(\phi_{\text {In }}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)^{\mu \nu}$, provided $h_{1}^{2}(x)$ is replaced by $h_{1}^{-2}(x)$.
(b) Let $*_{\tilde{g}_{I}}$ denote the Hodge star operator for $\tilde{g}_{I}$. Then there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|*_{\tilde{g}_{I}} \zeta-*_{g_{I}} \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq c N^{2} \lambda_{i_{1}}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega^{2}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)
$$

Proof. (a) This follows easily from Eq. (3.32) and Definition 3.11. (b) This follows immediately from (a) and the definition of the Hodge star operator.

We will also require bounds for the derivatives of $\tilde{g}_{J}$ with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$. The following estimates will suffice for our application.

Lemma 3.13. If $0<I \leq J$, there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}, J\right)$ such that the following bounds hold:
(a) For any $|x|<N \lambda_{J}^{-1 / 2}$,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial\left(\phi_{J n}^{*} \tilde{g}_{J}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|(x) \leq \begin{cases}c \lambda_{i_{1}} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I<J \\ & \text { and }|I|=1 \\ c \lambda_{i_{1}}^{2} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I<J \\ & \text { and }|J| \geq 2 \\ c N^{2} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I=J \\ & \text { and }|J|=1 \\ c \lambda_{i_{1}}^{2}|x| h_{1}^{2}(x) & \\ \leq c N \lambda_{i_{1}}^{2} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I=J \\ & \text { and }|J| \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

(b) If $\partial / \partial p_{I} \equiv p_{I}^{\alpha} \partial / \partial q_{I}^{\alpha}$, then for any $|x|<N \lambda_{J}^{-1 / 2}$,

$$
\left|\frac{\partial\left(\phi_{J n}^{*} \tilde{g}_{J}\right)_{\mu \nu}}{\partial p_{I}}\right|(x) \leq \begin{cases}c N \lambda_{i_{1}}^{1 / 2} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I=J \quad \text { and }|J|=1 \\ c \lambda_{i_{1}}^{2} h_{1}^{2}(x) & \text { if } I \leq J \quad \text { and }|J| \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

The analogous bounds in (a) and (b) hold for the contravariant components of $\tilde{g}_{J}$, if $h_{1}^{2}(x)$ is replaced by $h_{1}^{-2}(x)$.
(c) For any $\zeta \in \Omega^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{J}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial *_{\tilde{g}_{J}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} \leq \begin{cases}c N \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} & \text { if } I=J \\
c N^{2}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} & \text { and }|J| \geq 2 \\
\| \frac{\text { otherwise }}{}\end{cases} \\
& \left\|{\pi_{\tilde{g}_{J}}}_{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} \leq c N \lambda_{i_{1}}^{1 / 2}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (a) The inequalities follow from Eq. (3.32) and Definition 3.11.
(b) The proof is similar. When $|I|=1$, we recall that the normal geodesic chart $\phi_{i_{1}} \equiv \exp _{v_{i_{1}}}$ is replaced by $\bar{\phi}_{i_{1}} \equiv \exp _{v_{i_{1}}} \circ \tau_{q_{i_{1}}}$ in order to compute the required derivative at $q_{i_{1}}=0$ (corresponding to $x_{i_{1}}=$ $\left.\phi_{i_{1}}(0)\right)$. The estimates follow immediately from (a) and (b).

We next define an honest $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ on $X$. Consider a neck $\Omega_{I}=$ $f_{I}^{-1}\left(\Omega_{I s}\right)$ labelled by the multi-index $I$. We replace the metric $\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$
on the annulus $\Omega_{I}$ and replace the metric $\tilde{g}_{I}$ on the annulus $\Omega_{I s}$ by conformally equivalent metrics $m_{I_{-}} \tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$and $m_{I} \tilde{g}_{I}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{I_{-}} g_{I_{-}}=f_{I}^{*}\left(m_{I} g_{I}\right) \quad \text { on } \Omega_{I} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the metrics $m_{I_{-}} \tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$and $m_{I} \tilde{g}_{I}$ agree on the neck and patch together to give a $C^{\infty}$ metric, say $g$, on a neighbourhood of the neck in the connected sum $X_{I_{-}} \# X_{I}$. On the annulus $\Omega_{I}=\phi_{I}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}:\right.\right.$ $\left.N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}<|x|<N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right\}$ ) we have
$\left(\phi_{I}^{*} f_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x)= \begin{cases}4 \lambda_{I}^{2}\left(\lambda_{I}^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{-2}\left(\phi_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{0}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x) & \text { if } I_{-}=0, \\ 4 \lambda_{I}^{2}\left(\lambda_{I}^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{-2} h_{1}^{-2}\left(x+q_{I}\right)\left(\phi_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I_{-}}\right)_{\mu \nu}(x) & \text { if } I_{-}>0 .\end{cases}$
By comparing $f_{I}^{*} \tilde{g}_{I}$ and $g_{I_{-}}$on $\Omega_{I}$, a little experimentation reveals that the $C^{\infty}$ conformal factors $m_{I_{-}}$and $m_{I}$ can be chosen so that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa^{-1} \leq m_{I_{-}} \leq \kappa N^{4} & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right) \\
\kappa^{-1} \leq m_{I_{-}} \leq \kappa & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)  \tag{3.37}\\
m_{I_{-}}=1 & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(2 \lambda_{I}^{I / 2}, 4 N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

and likewise for $m_{I}$ on $\Omega_{I s}$, and some constant $\kappa=\kappa\left(g_{0}\right)$. For each summand $X_{I}$, we smoothly extend the $m_{I}$ to $X_{I}^{\prime}$ by setting $m_{I} \equiv 1$ away from the neck regions. This gives a $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ on $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \equiv m_{I} \tilde{g}_{I} \quad \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime}, \quad \text { for all } I \in \mathcal{I} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The construction ensures that each $m_{I}$ obeys

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa^{-1} \leq m_{I} \leq \kappa N^{4} \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime}, \kappa^{-1} \leq m_{I} \leq \kappa \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, \text { and }  \tag{3.39}\\
m_{I}=1 \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, the metrics $\tilde{g}_{I}$ and $g$ are equivalent on $X_{I}^{\prime \prime}$ with constants independent of $N$, and equivalent over $X_{I}^{\prime}$ with constants now depending on $N$.

The Hodge star operator $*_{g}: \Omega^{2}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ only depends on the conformal class $[g]$ of $g$ and so over each summand $X_{I}^{\prime}$ of $X$ we have $*_{g}=*_{m_{I} \tilde{g}_{I}}=*_{\tilde{g}_{I}}$. From Lemma 3.13, we obtain:

Lemma 3.14. There is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}\right)$ such that for any $\zeta \in \Omega^{2}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$, we have
(a) $\left\|\left(\partial *_{g} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X, g)} \leq c N \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(X, g)}$,
(b) $\left\|\left(\partial *_{g} / \partial p_{I}\right) \zeta\right\|_{L^{\infty}(X, g)} \leq c N \lambda_{i_{1}}^{1 / 2}\|\zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(X, g)}$.

We will often need to compare $L^{p}$ norms defined by the different metrics $g_{I}, \tilde{g}_{I}$, and $g$ over $X_{I}^{\prime} \subset X$. The required 'comparison estimates' given below follow in a straightforward way from Lemma 3.12 and Eq. (3.39), and similar inequalities may be found in [7 (p. 294)].

Lemma 3.15. For any $I \geq 0$, the following holds.
(a) If $2 \leq p<\infty$ and $4 \leq q<\infty$, there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}, k, p, q\right)$, $1 \leq c<\infty$, such that for any $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$ and $\zeta \in$ $\Omega^{2}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\|\omega\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g\right)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)} & \text { and }\|\zeta\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g\right)} \leq c\|\zeta\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)} \\
\|\omega\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq c^{-1}\|\omega\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g\right)} & \text { and }\|\zeta\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq c^{-1}\|\zeta\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g\right)}
\end{array}
$$

(b) If $1 \leq p<\infty, n \geq 1$, and $b_{0}$ is sufficiently small, there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}, k, n, N, p\right), 1 \leq c<\infty$, such that for any $\alpha \in \Omega^{n}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$, we have

$$
c^{-1}\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \tilde{g}_{I}\right)}, \quad\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g\right)} \leq c\|\alpha\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)}
$$

Lastly, having defined the conformal structure $[g]$ of $X$, we apply the estimates for $d \psi_{I}$ in Eq. (3.22), the estimates for $A_{I}^{\prime}$ and $F^{+, g_{I}}\left(A_{I}^{\prime}\right)$ in Lemma 3.9, and the estimates for $*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}$ in Lemma 3.12 to obtain a bound for the $L^{p}$-norm of the $g$-self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(1+$ $\left.*_{g}\right) F\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ of the connection $A^{\prime}$ on the connected sum bundle $P$ over $X$. Similar estimates have been given by Taubes and Donaldson.

Proposition 3.16. For $1 \leq p<\infty$ and sufficently small $b_{0}$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ one has $\left\|F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C b^{-4 / p}$.
3.6. Estimates over connected sums and conformal vector fields. The goal of this section is to obtain $L^{2}$ estimates for the derivatives with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$ of ad $\hat{P}$-valued one-forms $\hat{\omega}$ over the base manifold $X_{0}$ obtained by pulling back ad $P$ valued one-forms $\omega$ over the connected sum $X$.

Following Taubes [22], [23], let us begin by defining some useful Sobolev norms on $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right.$, ad $\left.P\right)$ and examine their behaviour under conformal diffeomorphisms. Suppose $A$ is a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a $G$ bundle
$P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. Let $g_{1}$ be the standard round metric on $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and let $\delta$ be the flat metric on $\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}$ obtained via the conformal identification $\phi_{n}^{-1}: \mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let $\nabla^{A, g_{1}}$ denote the covariant derivative on $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$ defined by the connection $A$ and metric $g_{1}$, while $\nabla^{A, \delta}$ denotes the covariant derivative on $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}\right) \otimes \operatorname{ad} P$ defined by $A$ and $\delta$. Define an $L_{1}^{2}$ norm on $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)} \equiv\|\omega\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)}+\left\|\nabla^{A, g_{1}} \omega\right\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $\omega$ has compact support in $\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
|\omega|_{A} & \equiv\left\|\nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \delta\right)}  \tag{3.41}\\
\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, \delta\right)} & \equiv\|\omega\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \delta\right)}+\left\|\nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \delta\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The properties of $|\cdot|_{A}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, \delta\right)}$ are described by the following result of [22]. Recall that $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{S O}(4)$ is identified, using $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash\{s\}$, with the subgroup of conformal diffeomorphisms of $\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)$ which fix the south pole.

Lemma 3.17. [22 (Proposition 2.4)] Given an $L_{1}^{2}$ connection $A$ on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, then the following holds:
(a) $|\cdot|_{A}$ extends to a continuous norm on $L_{1}^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$.
(b) The norm $|\cdot|_{A}$ is $\mathbf{C}$-invariant: for any $f \in \mathbf{C},\left|f^{*} \omega\right|_{f^{*} A}=|\omega|_{A}$.
(c) There exists a constant $1 \leq z<\infty$, which is independent of $P$, $A, f$, and $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{-1}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)} \leq|\omega|_{A} \leq z\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)} \\
& z^{-1}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)} \leq\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, \delta\right)} \leq z\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.18. [23 (Lemma 3.1)] Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with its standard metric $g_{1}$ and let $f: \mathbb{S}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ be a conformal diffeomorphism. Then there exists a constant $1 \leq z<$ $\infty$, which is independent of $P, A, f$, and $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$, with the following significance:

$$
z^{-1}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)} \leq\left\|f^{*} \omega\right\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, f^{*} A, g_{1}\right)} \leq z\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, A, g_{1}\right)}
$$

Recall that $c_{\lambda}$ denotes both the dilation $x \mapsto x / \lambda$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and the conformal diffeomorphism of $\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, g_{1}\right)$ induced by $\phi_{n}$. A straightforward application of Hölder's inequality yields the following 'transfer estimates' for the maps $c_{\lambda}$.

Lemma 3.19. Let $2 \leq p \leq p_{1} \leq 4$ and let $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash B\left(s, N \lambda^{1 / 2}\right)$ and let $P$ be a $G$ bundle over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. Then there is a constant $C=C(N)$ such that the following holds.
(a) If $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(U, \operatorname{ad} P)$, then

$$
\left\|c_{\lambda}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{p}\left(c_{\lambda}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{2 / p-2 / p_{1}}\|\omega\|_{L^{p_{1}}\left(U, g_{1}\right)}
$$

(b) If $\zeta \in \Omega^{2}(U, \operatorname{ad} P)$, then $\left\|c_{\lambda}^{*} \zeta\right\|_{L^{2}\left(c_{\lambda}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \leq C\|\zeta\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{1}\right)}$.

We next consider the action of the conformal group on $\Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$. Let $f_{\lambda, q}$ denote the lift to $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, via the chart $\phi_{n}$, of the conformal diffeomorphism $c_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{q}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. Let $P$ be a $G$ bundle over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$ and suppose $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}, \operatorname{ad} P\right)$. Then Eq. (3.8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega}{\partial \lambda}=-\frac{1}{\lambda} f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega}{\partial p}=-\frac{1}{\lambda} f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial p \equiv p^{\mu} \partial / \partial q^{\mu}$. It will be convenient to express the above Lie derivatives in terms of covariant derivatives. If $A$ is a $C^{\infty}$ connection on $P$, then Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega=\omega+\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^{A, \delta} \omega \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega=\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}^{A, \delta} \omega \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to the following estimates for the derivatives of $f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega$ with respect to $\lambda$ and $q$.

Lemma 3.20. Let $A$ be a $C^{\infty}$ connection on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$, let $U \subset \mathbb{S}^{4} \backslash B\left(s, N \lambda^{1 / 2}\right)$ be an open subset, $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(U, \operatorname{ad} P)$, where $\omega$ has compact support in $U$, and $\partial / \partial p=p^{\mu} \partial / \partial q^{\mu},|p| \leq 1$. Then there is a constant $C=C(q, N)$ such that the following bounds hold.
(a) $\left\|\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega / \partial \lambda\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{-1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(U, A, g_{1}\right)}$;
(b) $\left\|\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega / \partial p\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(U, A, g_{1}\right)}$.

Proof. (a) Observe that $U=\phi_{n}\left(B\left(0, N \lambda^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)$ and $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)=$ $\phi_{n}\left(B\left(q, N \lambda^{1 / 2}\right)\right)$. From Eqs. (3.42) and (3.43), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega}{\partial \lambda} & =-\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega \\
f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega & \left.=f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{r}\right\lrcorner f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \nabla^{A, \delta} \omega+f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega \quad \text { on } \quad f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}=y^{\mu} \partial / \partial y^{\mu}$ and $f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{r}=x^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ with respect to the coordinates $y=\phi_{n}^{-1}$ on $U$ and $x=\tau_{q} \circ \phi_{n}^{-1}$ on $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)$. Since $\left|f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{r}\right|_{g_{1}} \leq$
$C \lambda^{1 / 2}$ on $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)$, Lemma 3.19 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{r}} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \leq & \left\|f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \\
& +C \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \\
\leq & C \lambda^{1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{L^{4}\left(U, g_{1}\right)}+C \lambda^{1 / 2}\left\|\nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{1}\right)} \\
= & C \lambda^{1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}(U, A, \delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

the last step following by conformal invariance. Lemma 3.17 then gives (a).
(b) From Eqs. (3.42) and (3.43), we have
$\frac{\partial f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \omega}{\partial p_{I}}=-\lambda^{-1} f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega$ and $\left.f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega=f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{p}\right\lrcorner f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \nabla^{A, \delta} \omega$ on $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)$,
where $\mathbf{p}=p^{\mu} \partial / \partial y^{\mu}$ on $U$ and $f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{p}=\lambda p^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ on $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)$. Since $\left|f_{\lambda, q, *}^{-1} \mathbf{p}\right|_{g_{1}} \leq C \lambda$ on $f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U)$, Lemma 3.19 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{p}} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} & \leq C \lambda\left\|f_{\lambda, q}^{*} \nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{\lambda, q}^{-1}(U), g_{1}\right)} \\
& \leq C \lambda\left\|\nabla^{A, \delta} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}(U, \delta)} \leq C \lambda\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}(U, A, \delta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (b) follows from Lemma 3.17.
We will frequently need to compute estimates for families of oneforms $\omega$ over connected sums $X$, and to this end, it will be useful to define suitable Sobolev norms which depend only on the fixed connections $A_{I}$ and, in particular, the fixed metrics $g_{I}$ on each summand $X_{I}$ rather than varying metric $g$ on $X$. Let $P$ be the $G$ bundle over the connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$ defined in $\S 3.3$. Then we may view any $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ as a collection of $\omega_{I} \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$ which agree over the necks $\Omega_{I}=f_{I}^{-1}\left(\Omega_{I s}\right)$ connecting each pair $X_{I_{-}}$and $X_{I}$ :

$$
\sigma_{I}^{*} \omega_{I_{-}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(\rho_{I}^{-1}\right) f_{I}^{*} \sigma_{I s}^{*} \omega_{I} \quad \text { on } \Omega_{I}
$$

where $f_{I}: \Omega_{I} \rightarrow \Omega_{I s}$ is the identification map.
From $\S 3.5$, we recall that there is a $C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ on $X$ which agrees, modulo the conformal factors $m_{I}$, with the metrics $g_{0}$ on the base $X_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{g}_{I} \simeq g_{1}$ on the four-spheres $X_{I}^{\prime}$. Moreover, the $L^{q}$ norms on $\Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right), 4 \leq q<\infty$, and $L^{p}$ norms on $\Omega^{2}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right), 2 \leq p<\infty$, compare uniformly when defined with the metrics $g_{I}, \tilde{g}_{I}$, or $g=m_{I} \tilde{g}_{I}$ on
$X_{I}^{\prime}$. The constants involved in these norm comparisons are independent of the scale parameters $\lambda_{J}$ for forms supported on $X_{I}^{\prime}$ and independent of both the $\lambda_{J}$ and $N$ for forms supported on $X_{I}^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, we may conveniently define $L^{q}$ norms on $\Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P), 4 \leq q<\infty$, and $L^{p}$ norms on $\Omega^{2}(X, \operatorname{ad} P), 2 \leq p<\infty$, using the metric $g$ on $X$.

In Chapter 5, we will need to bound the $L_{1}^{2}$ norms of solutions $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ to the $g$-anti-self-dual equation $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}+\omega\right)=0$ over $X$. Unfortunately, since the conformal factors $m_{I}$ have badly behaved derivatives over the neck regions, the norm comparisons described above do not hold for $L_{n}^{2}$ Sobolev norms if $n \geq 1$. Of course, problems of this type are encountered in [3], [7], and [24]. So, given such an $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$, with $\omega=\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ as above, and $1 \leq p<\infty$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1}^{p}(X)} \equiv \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left\|\omega_{I}\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}\left(X_{I}, A_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

by analogy with Eq. (6.25) in [24].
Recall that a one-form $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ pulls back to a one-form $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}\right.$, ad $\left.\hat{P}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega \quad \text { on } f_{0}^{-1} \cdots f_{J}^{-1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $J \in \mathcal{I}$. We will need estimates for the derivatives of $\hat{\omega}$ with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$. To begin, we need suitable expressions for these derivatives:

Lemma 3.21. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{J}\right), 0<I \leq J$, and $\partial / \partial p_{I}=$ $p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial q_{I}^{\mu}$. Then:
(a) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}$, for $J<I$;
(b) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \omega=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{r} \omega$, for $J=I$;
(c) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{r} f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega$, for $J>I$;
(d) $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p_{I}}$, for $J<I$;
(e) $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \omega=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{p} \omega$, for $J=I$;
(f) $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{p} f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega$, for $J>I$.

Remark 3.22. When $I_{-}=0$, then $\partial / \partial p_{I}=p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial p_{I}^{\mu}$ and $f_{I}=$ $\phi_{I n} \circ c_{I} \circ \phi_{I}^{-1}$ is replaced by $\bar{f}_{I}=\phi_{I n} \circ c_{I} \circ \tau_{p_{I}} \circ \phi_{I}^{-1}$ in order to compute the derivative at $p_{I}=0$.

These expressions lead to the following bounds for the derivatives with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$ of the pull-backs $f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega$.

Lemma 3.23. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, \operatorname{ad} P_{J}\right)$, where $\omega$ has compact support in $X_{J}^{\prime}, U=f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{J}^{-1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}, 0<I \leq J$, and $\partial / \partial p_{I}=p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial q_{I}^{\mu}$ with $\left|p_{I}\right| \leq 1$. Then there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, N\right)$ such that the following hold.
(a) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)}$, for $J<I$;
(b) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, A_{J}, g_{J}\right)}$, for $J \geq I$;
(c) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)}$, for $J<I$;
(d) $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, A_{J}, g_{J}\right)}$, for $J \geq I$.

Proof. (a) By repeatedly applying Lemma 3.19, we find that

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)}=\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)}
$$

as required for (a). For $J=I$ and $U=f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$, Lemmas 3.19 and 3.20 show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} & =\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right), g_{I}\right)} \\
& \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, A_{I}, g_{I}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $V=f_{I_{+}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{J}^{-1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{I}^{\prime}$, so that $U=f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}(V) \subset X_{0}$. Then for $J>I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} & =\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{I}^{-1}(V), g_{I_{-}}\right)} \\
& \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\left\|f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \omega\right\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(V, f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} A_{J}, g_{I}\right)} \\
& \leq C\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, A_{J}, g_{J}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by repeatedly applying Lemma 3.18 in the last step. This gives (b); the proofs of (c) and (d) are similar.

Finally, we obtain our estimate for the derivatives of $\hat{\omega}$ with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$.

Proposition 3.24. There is a constant $C=\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the following bounds hold.
(a) $\left\|\partial \hat{\omega} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|\partial \omega / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|\omega\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1}^{2}(X)}\right)$;
(b) $\left\|\partial \hat{\omega} / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|\partial \omega / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}(X)}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.23 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{\omega}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \sum_{J<I}\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} \\
&+C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} \sum_{J \geq I}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, A_{J}, g_{J}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so (a) follows from Lemma 3.15. Similarly, Lemma 3.23 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{\omega}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq & C \sum_{J<I}\left\|\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} \\
& +C \sum_{J \geq I}\|\omega\|_{L_{1}^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, A_{J}, g_{J}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and likewise, (b) follows from Lemma 3.15.
3.7. Derivatives with respect to scales and centres. We obtain $L^{p}$ estimates for the derivatives of the connections $A^{\prime}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ and of the $g$-self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$.

Throughout this section we require that $b_{J}=4 N \lambda_{J}^{1 / 2}$ for all $J$. Let us first record the following bounds for the derivatives of the cutoff functions $\psi_{J}$ for $J=I_{-}$or $I$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\partial \psi_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{J}} \leq C N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{-1}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial d \psi_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{J}} \leq C N^{-2} \lambda_{I}^{-3 / 2} \quad \text { on } X_{J}^{\prime}  \tag{3.46}\\
& \left|\frac{\partial \psi_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right|_{g_{J}} \leq C N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial d \psi_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right|_{g_{J}} \leq C N^{-2} \lambda_{I}^{-1} \quad \text { on } X_{J}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial p_{I} \equiv p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial q_{I}^{\mu}$ and $\left|p_{I}\right| \leq 1$. The constant $C$ depends only on $g_{J}$. We now begin with the $L^{p}$ estimates for derivatives of the connections $A^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.25. Suppose $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $I>0$. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p}$.

Proof. (a) Observe that $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ is non-zero only on the supports of $\partial \psi_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ and $\partial \psi_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}$, given by the annuli $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ in $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$ and $\Omega_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ in $X_{I}^{\prime}$.

Step 1. Estimate of $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ over $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$. Recall that $\psi_{I_{-}}=1$ on the complement of the balls $B_{I}\left(b_{I}\right)$ in $X_{I_{-}}$, while $0<\psi_{I_{-}}<1$ on $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$, and $\psi_{I_{-}}=0$ on $B_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}\right)$. We have $\sigma_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}=\psi_{I_{-}} \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}}$on $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ and thus $\sigma_{I}^{*}\left(\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)=\left(\partial \psi_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}}$on $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$. Since $\left|\partial \psi_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right| \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1}$ by Eq. (3.46) and $\left|\sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}}\right|_{g_{I_{-}}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$ on $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we obtain the pointwise bound

$$
\left|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{-}} \leq \begin{cases}C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right), \\ 0 & \text { on } X_{I_{-}}^{\prime} \backslash \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we get the integral estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}}\left|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{p} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2-p / 2} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

noting that $g=\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$on $X_{I_{-}} \backslash B_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}\right)$ and appealing to Lemma 3.12.
Step 2. Estimate of $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ over $X_{I}^{\prime}$. A similar argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X_{I}^{\prime}}\left|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{p} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2-p / 2} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and combining the integral bounds from Steps 1 and 2 gives (a). For (b) we use the pointwise estimates $\left|\partial \psi_{J} / \partial p_{I}\right| \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}, J=I_{-}, I$. The same argument as in (a) then gives the required bound.

Our next task is to obtain a $L^{p}$ estimates for the derivatives of the $g$-self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Proposition 3.26. Suppose $1 \leq p<4$ and $I>0$. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C\left(\lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2}+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2 p}\right)$.

Proof. (a) We note that $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=F^{+, \tilde{g}_{J}}\left(\psi_{J} A_{J}\right)$ on $X_{J}^{\prime}$ and so $\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial \lambda_{I}$ is supported on $\cup_{J \geq I_{-}} X_{J}^{\prime}$. It is convenient to obtain estimates separately over the regions $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, X_{I}^{\prime}$, and $X_{J}^{\prime}, J>I$.

Step 1. Estimate of $\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial \lambda_{I}$ over $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$. On the annulus $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ we have $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+*_{\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}}\right) F\left(\psi_{I_{-}} \bar{A}_{I_{-}}\right)$and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(\psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}\right)=\psi_{I_{-}} F\left(A_{I_{-}}\right) & +d \psi_{I_{-}} \wedge \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}} \\
& +\left(\psi_{I_{-}}^{2}-\psi_{I_{-}}\right) \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}} \wedge \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+*_{\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}}\right) \frac{\partial F\left(\psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \\
\frac{\partial F\left(\psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}= & \frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} F\left(A_{I_{-}}\right)+\frac{\partial d \psi_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I_{-}}} \wedge \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}} \\
& +\left(2 \psi_{I_{-}}-1\right) \frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}} \wedge \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

on $X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}$. The metric $\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$is independent of $\lambda_{I}$, and so applying the pointwise estimates of Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, and Eq. (3.46), we find that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{-}} \leq \begin{cases}C \lambda_{I}^{-1} & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right) \\ 0 & \text { on } X_{I_{-}} \backslash \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}}\left|\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{p} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2-p} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we observe that $g=\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$on $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right)$.
Step 2. Estimate of $\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial \lambda_{I}$ over $X_{I}^{\prime}$. We have $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+*_{\bar{g}_{I}}\right) F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)$ and $F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)=\psi_{I} F\left(A_{I}\right)+d \psi_{I} \wedge \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I}+\left(\psi_{I}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\psi_{I}\right) \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I} \wedge \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I}$ on $X_{I}^{\prime}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial *_{\tilde{g}_{I}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+*_{\tilde{g}_{I}}\right) \frac{\partial F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \\
\frac{\partial F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}= & \frac{\partial \psi_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} F\left(A_{I}\right)+\frac{\partial d \psi_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \wedge \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I} \\
& +\left(2 \psi_{I}-1\right) \frac{\partial \psi_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I} \wedge \sigma_{I s}^{*} A_{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

on $X_{I}^{\prime}$. Applying the pointwise estimates of Lemmas 3.3, 3.12, 3.13, and Eq. (3.46), we find that

$$
\left|\phi_{I n}^{*} \frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{I}}(x) \leq \begin{cases}0 & \text { on } B_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}\right) \\ C \lambda_{I}^{-1} & \text { on } \Omega_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right) \\ C|x| & \text { on } X_{I} \backslash B_{I s}\left(b_{I}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Now $g=\tilde{g}_{I}$ on $X_{I} \backslash B_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}\right)$, and so applying the above estimates and Hölder's inequality gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X_{I}^{\prime}}\left|\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{p} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2-p} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

completing Step 2.
Step 3. Estimate of $\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial \lambda_{I}$ over $X_{J}^{\prime}, J>I$. We have

$$
\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(\psi_{J} A_{J}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial *_{\tilde{g}_{J}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} F\left(\psi_{J} A_{J}\right) \quad \text { on } X_{J}^{\prime}
$$

since $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+*_{\tilde{g}_{J}}\right) F\left(\psi_{J} A_{J}\right)$. The pointwise estimates of Lemmas $3.9,3.12$, and 3.13 show that

$$
\left|\phi_{J n}^{*} \frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{J}}(x) \leq \begin{cases}0 & \text { on } B_{J s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{J}\right) \\ C|x| & \text { on } X_{J} \backslash B_{J s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{J}\right)\end{cases}
$$

Again, $g=\tilde{g}_{J}$ on $X_{J} \backslash B_{J s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{J}\right)$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X_{J}^{\prime}}\left|\frac{\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{p} d V_{g} \leq C \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the integral estimates of Steps 1 to 3 then gives (a).
(b) The argument is the same, except that we now use the cutoff function estimates $\left|\partial \psi_{J} / \partial p_{I}\right| \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2},\left|\partial d \psi_{J} / \partial p_{I}\right| \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1}, J=I_{-}, I$, and metric estimates $\left|\partial \tilde{g}_{J} / \partial p_{I}\right| \leq C N \bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2}, J \geq I$.

Lastly, we have $L^{2}$ estimates of the derivatives of $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$.

Proposition 3.27. Suppose $I>0$. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$,
(b) $\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$.

Proof. (a) The connection one-forms over $X_{0}$ having non-zero derivatives with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ are given by

$$
\hat{A}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{2}}^{*} \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}} & \text {over } f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I_{-}}^{-1}\left(X_{I_{-}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}, \\ f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime} & \text { over } X_{I} \backslash B_{I s}\left(N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}$ is the $C^{\infty}$ connection over $X_{I}, I>0$, defined by
$\hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \psi_{J} A_{J} & \text { over the regions } f_{I_{+}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{J}^{-1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{I} \\ \psi_{I} A_{I} & \text { over the complement of these regions in } X_{I} .\end{cases}$
It is convenient to consider the estimates over these different regions of $X$ separately.

Step 1. Estimate of $\partial f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$. We have $\hat{A}^{\prime}=$ $f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}$, which is supported on $U_{1} \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I_{-}}^{-1}\left(X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$, and therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}$on $U_{1}$. Lemma 3.19 implies that

$$
\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{1}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, g_{I_{-}}\right)}
$$

We have $\sigma_{I}^{*} \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}=\psi_{I_{-}} \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I_{-}}$, where the section $\sigma_{I}$ is chosen so that $\sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I-}$ is in radial gauge, and so the pointwise estimates of Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, and Eq. (3.46) yield

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{-}} \leq \begin{cases}C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} & \text { on } \Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}, b_{I}\right), \\ 0 & \text { on } X_{I_{-}} \backslash B_{I}\left(b_{I}\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Noting that $g=\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$on $X_{I_{-}} \backslash B_{I}\left(b_{I_{I}}\right)$, we obtain the integral bound

$$
\int_{X_{I_{-}^{\prime}}}\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{I_{-}} A_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{2} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I},
$$

and combining the preceding integral estimates gives $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{1}, g_{0}\right)} \leq$ $C \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$, completing Step 1.

Step 2. Estimate of $\partial f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}$. We denote $\hat{A}^{\prime}=$ $f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}$, which is supported on $U_{2} \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$,
and so $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I-}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}$ on $U_{2}$. Repeated application of Lemma 3.19 then gives the integral bound

$$
\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{2}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, g_{-}\right)} .
$$

Recall that Eq. (3.9) implies $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{I}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)$ on $B_{I}^{\prime}$. The curvature $F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)$ is supported on $X_{I} \backslash B_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{I}\right)$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}$ is supported on $B_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Then,

$$
\left|\phi_{I n}^{*} \iota_{\mathrm{r}} F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)\right|_{\delta_{I}}(x) \leq K \frac{|x|}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{2}},
$$

and since $\phi_{I}^{*} f_{I}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)(x)=\lambda_{I}^{-1} \phi_{I n}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)\left(x / \lambda_{I}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left|\phi_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{\delta_{I_{-}}}(x) \leq \begin{cases}4 K \frac{\lambda_{I}^{2}|x|}{\left(\lambda_{I}^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{2}} & \text { if }|x|<\frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, \\ 0 & \text { if }|x| \geq \frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\end{cases}
$$

where $K \equiv\left\|F\left(\psi_{I} A_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}$ is bounded by a constant $C$ independent of $\lambda_{I}$ by Lemma 3.9. But $g=\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}$on $B_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right) \subset X_{I_{-}^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}$, and moreover, the metrics $\tilde{g}_{I_{-}}, g_{I_{-}}$, and $\delta_{I_{-}}$are equivalent over the ball $B_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$, with constants depending at most on $x_{I}$. Thus, we obtain the integral estimate

$$
\int_{X_{I_{-}^{\prime}}}\left|\frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g}^{2} d V_{g} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2}
$$

and so, combining these bounds, we have $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{2}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}$, completing Step 2.

Step 3. Estimate of $\partial f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$. We have $\hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}=$ $f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \psi_{J} A_{J}$ over $V_{3} \equiv f_{I_{+}}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{J}^{-1}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}\right) \subset B_{I_{+}}^{\prime} \subset X_{I}$, with $J>I$. We denote $\hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}$ and observe that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{+}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} f_{I}^{*} \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}$ over $U_{3} \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}\left(V_{3}\right) \subset X_{0}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f_{I}^{*} \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} & =-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{I}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F\left(\hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}\right)=-\lambda_{I}^{-1} f_{I}^{*} \iota_{\mathbf{r}} F\left(f_{I_{+}}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} \psi_{J} A_{J}\right) \\
& =-\lambda_{I}^{-1} \iota_{f_{I}}^{1} f_{I}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} F\left(\psi_{J} A_{J}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\partial \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ is supported on $f_{I}^{-1}\left(B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}\right) \subset B_{I}^{\prime}$.
As $\mathbf{r}=y^{\mu} \partial / \partial y^{\mu}$ with respect to $y=\phi_{I n}^{-1}$ on $X_{I} \backslash\left\{x_{I s}\right\}$, we have $f_{I *}^{-1} \mathbf{r}=x^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ with respect to $x=\phi_{I}^{-1}$ on $B_{I}^{\prime}$. If $|y| \leq R_{0}$ on $B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}$, for some constant $0<R_{0}<\infty$ depending at most on $x_{I}$, then $|x| \leq R_{0} \lambda_{I}$ on $f_{I}^{-1}\left(B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $\left|f_{I_{*}}^{-1} \mathbf{r}\right|_{g_{I_{-}}} \leq R_{0} \lambda_{I}$ on $f_{I}^{-1}\left(B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}\right)$ and so we have the pointwise bound

$$
\left|\frac{\partial \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{g_{I_{-}}} \leq R_{0}\left|f_{I}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} F\left(A_{J}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{g_{-}} \quad \text { on } f_{I}^{-1}\left(B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore, with the aid of repeated applications of Lemma 3.19, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I_{-}}^{*} \frac{\partial \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{3}, g_{0}\right)} & \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{A}_{I}^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{I}^{-1}\left(V_{3}\right), g_{I_{-}}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|f_{I}^{*} \cdots f_{J}^{*} F\left(A_{J}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(f_{I}^{1}\left(V_{3}\right), g_{-}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|F\left(A_{J}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and since $\left\|F\left(A_{J}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{J}^{\prime}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C$, this gives $\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U_{3}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$, completing Step 3. Combining the results from Steps 1 to 3 then yields (a). For (b) we use the cutoff function estimate $\left|\partial \psi_{J} / \partial p_{I}\right| \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}$, $J=I_{-}, I$. The vector field $\mathbf{r}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{p}=p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial y^{\mu}$, with respect to the coordinates $y=\phi_{I n}^{-1}$. Then, $f_{I *}^{-1} \mathbf{p}=\lambda_{I} p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ with respect to the coordinates $x=\phi_{I}^{-1}$ and we have the vector field estimate $\left|f_{I_{*}}^{-1} \mathbf{p}\right| \leq R_{0} \lambda_{I}$ on $f_{I}^{-1}\left(B_{I_{+}}^{\prime}\right)$. The required bound hence follows by an argument similar to that of (a).
3.8. Derivatives with respect to bundle gluing parameters. The purpose of this section is to obtain estimates for the derivatives of the almost ASD connections $A^{\prime}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ with respect to the bundle gluing parameters $\rho_{I} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{I}, I>0$. These estimates may be extracted from $[7$ (§7.2)] and we include them here for completeness.

Since we wish to differentiate a family of connections $A^{\prime}\left(\rho_{I}\right)$ on a family of $G$-bundles $P\left(\rho_{I}\right)$ with respect to the gluing parameters $\rho_{I} \in$ $\mathrm{Gl}_{I}$, we first pull this family back to an equivalent family on a fixed bundle, say $P\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$, as described in [7 (p. 296)]. Let $\bar{\rho}_{I} \in \mathrm{Gl}$ be a given gluing parameter; then points $\rho_{I}$ in a small neighbourhood of $\bar{\rho}_{I}$ in $\mathrm{Gl}_{I}$ can be written in the form $\rho=\bar{\rho}_{I} \exp (v)$, where $\left.v \in V_{I} \equiv \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right|_{x_{I}} \simeq \mathfrak{g}$.

One regards the fibres of $P_{I_{-}}$and $P_{I}$ as being identified by $\bar{\rho}_{I}$ and so $v$ may be considered as a local section of both $P_{I_{-}}$and $P_{I}$, covariantly constant with respect to the connections $A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, A_{I^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.

We digress in order to construct a set of cutoff functions $\left\{\gamma_{I}\right\}$ on $X$ such that $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \gamma_{I}=1$. These cutoffs will be needed here and again in $\S 5.1$ for patching together certain integral operators over the $X_{I}$ to give an integral operator over $X$. Choose a bump function $\gamma \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ such that $\gamma(t)=1$ if $t \geq 2$ and $\gamma(t)=0$ if $t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Define a cutoff function $\gamma_{\lambda} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\lambda}(x) \equiv \gamma\left(|x| / \lambda^{1 / 2}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{4} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define $C^{\infty}$ cutoff functions $\gamma_{I}$ on each summand $X_{I}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{I} \equiv\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(1-\gamma_{\lambda_{I}}\right) \prod_{I_{+}}\left(\phi_{I_{+}}^{-1}\right)^{*} \gamma_{\lambda_{I_{+}}} \quad \text { on } X_{I} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(1-\gamma_{\lambda_{I}}\right)$ is omitted when $I=0$. Note that $\gamma_{I}=0$ on the balls $B_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $B_{I+}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I_{+}}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{I}$. We extend $\gamma_{I}$ to a $C^{\infty}$ cutoff function on $X_{I}$ by zero on these balls and by 1 on the complement of the larger balls $B_{I s}\left(2 \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $B_{I+}\left(2 \lambda_{I_{+}}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{I}$; then extend by zero outside $X_{I}^{\prime \prime} \subset X$ to give $\gamma_{I} \in C^{\infty}(X)$. By construction, we have $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \gamma_{I}=1$ on $X$, with a slight abuse of notation. Indeed, note that $f_{I}$ maps the annulus $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}, 2 \lambda_{I}\right)$ around the point $x_{I}$ in $X_{I_{-}}$ onto the annulus $\Omega_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}, 2 \lambda_{I}\right)$ around the south pole $x_{I s}$ in $X_{I}$. Thus, $f_{I}^{*} \gamma_{I}+\gamma_{I_{-}}=1$ on each annulus $\Omega_{I}$. Lastly, note that there is a constant $C$, depending at most on the metric $g_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d \gamma_{I}\right|_{g_{I}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { on } \Omega_{I}, \Omega_{I s}, \quad\left\|d \gamma_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{-2 / p-1 / 2} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $1 \leq p<\infty$. Define gauge transformations $u_{I_{-}}(v)$ on Aut $\left.P_{I_{-}}\right|_{X_{I}^{\prime}}$ and $u_{I}(v)$ on Aut $\left.P_{I}\right|_{X_{I}^{\prime}}$ by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{I_{-}}(v)= \begin{cases}\exp \left(\gamma_{I} v\right) & \text { on } \Omega_{I}, \\
1 & \text { on } X_{I_{-}}^{\prime} \backslash \Omega_{I},\end{cases}  \tag{3.55}\\
& u_{I}(v)= \begin{cases}\exp \left(-\gamma_{I_{-}} v\right) & \text { on } \Omega_{I s}, \\
1 & \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime} \backslash \Omega_{I s} .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $u_{I}$ has a natural extension to a gauge transformation of $P_{I}$ over all of $X_{I}$ - equal to $\exp (-v)$ on $B_{I s}\left(N_{I}^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$, the ball enclosed by the annulus $\Omega_{I s}$. Similarly for the gauge transformation $u_{I_{-}}$. After identifying the bundles and base manifolds over $\Omega=\Omega_{I}=\Omega_{I s}$, we have $u_{I_{-}} u_{I}^{-1}=\exp \left(\left(\gamma_{I_{-}}+\gamma_{I}\right) v\right)=\exp (v)$. Hence, relative to the flat connections $A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}, A_{I}^{\prime}$, the gauge transformations $u_{I}$ differ by a constant bundle automorphism over $\Omega$, and so their action on the connection $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$ is the same: $\left.u_{I_{-}}\left(A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)\right)\right|_{\Omega}=\left.u_{I}\left(A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)\right)\right|_{\Omega}$. Therefore, while the automorphisms $u_{I}$ do not patch together to give a global automorphism of $P\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$, their actions on the connection $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$ do. Indeed, we can define a connection $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ on $P\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$ by

$$
A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)= \begin{cases}u_{I_{-}}\left(A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)\right) & \text { on } X_{I_{-}}^{\prime}  \tag{3.56}\\ u_{I}\left(A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)\right) & \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

If $\rho_{I}=\bar{\rho}_{I} \exp (v)$, the connections $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ and $A^{\prime}\left(\rho_{I}\right)$ are gauge equivalent [7 (p. 296)]. Thus, as desired, we have an equivalent family of connections $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ on the fixed connected sum bundle $P=P\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$. Let $L_{I} \subset \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$ be a coordinate neighbourhood and suppose $\bar{\rho}_{I} \in L_{I}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g} \supset B_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow L_{I} \subset \mathrm{Gl}_{I}, \quad v \longmapsto \rho_{I}(v) \equiv \bar{\rho}_{I} \exp (v) \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a coordinate chart centred at $\bar{\rho}_{I}$, where $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the unit ball in $\mathfrak{g}$, and there is a $C^{\infty}$ embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g} \supset B_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X, P}^{*}, \quad v \longmapsto A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right) \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to consider the derivative of the family $A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ with respect to $v$.

Recall that if $u=u(s)$ is a one-parameter family of gauge transformations, $B$ is a fixed connection, and $B^{u}(s)$ is the induced oneparameter family of gauge transformed connections, then $d B^{u} / d s(0)=$ $d_{B^{u}}\left(u^{-1} \dot{u}(0)\right)$, where $u^{-1} \dot{u}(0) \in \Omega^{0}(X$, ad $P)$. Although the $u_{I_{-}}, u_{I}$ are not globally defined gauge transformations, this differentiation formula still applies to the one-parameter families $u_{I_{-}}(s)=u_{I_{-}}(s v)$ and $u_{I}(s)=u_{I}(s v)$. Therefore, we have

$$
\left.\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial v} \bar{\rho}_{I}\right)\left.\equiv \frac{d}{d s} A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, s v\right)\right|_{s=0}= \begin{cases}d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I} v\right) & \text { on } X_{I_{-}}^{\prime} \cap \Omega  \tag{3.59}\\ -d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I_{-}} v\right) & \text { on } X_{I}^{\prime} \cap \Omega \\ 0 & \text { on } X \backslash \Omega\end{cases}
$$

This leads to the following estimate for the derivative of the family $A^{\prime}\left(\rho_{I}\right)$ with respect to the gluing parameters $\rho_{I}$; a related and more general estimate is given by Lemma 7.2.49 in [7].

Proposition 3.28. Let $2 \leq p<4$ and suppose that $4 \leq q<\infty$ is determined by $1 / 4+1 / q=1 / p$. Then there is a constant $c=c\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that
(a) $c|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1} \leq\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial v\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq c^{-1}|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1}$,
(b) $c|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2} \leq\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial v\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq c^{-1}|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2}$.

Proof. Note that $\gamma_{I_{-}}+\gamma_{I}=1$ on $\Omega$ and so $d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I} v\right)=-d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I_{-}} v\right)$ on $\Omega$. Moreover, $d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I} v\right)=d \gamma_{I} \otimes v$ on $\Omega$, and so we have $\left\|d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I} v\right)\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)}$ $=|v| \cdot\left\|d \gamma_{I}\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)}$. From Eq. (3.54) there is a constant $c>0$ independent of $\lambda_{I}$ such that

$$
c|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1 / 2} \leq\left\|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq c^{-1}|v| \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1 / 2},
$$

since $\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial v\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)}=\left\|d_{A^{\prime}}\left(\gamma_{I} v\right)\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)}$. Then (a) follows since $2 / q-$ $1 / 2=2 / p-1$, and likewise for (b).

Using the conformal maps $f_{J}$, we pull back the family $A^{\prime}=A^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ on the fixed bundle $P$ over $X$ to a family $\hat{A}^{\prime}\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right)$ on the fixed bundle $\hat{P}$ over $X_{0}$.

Proposition 3.29. If $2 \leq p<4$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T},\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial v\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2}$.

Proof. Since $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial v=0$ outside the annulus $\Omega_{I s} \subset X_{I}^{\prime}$, Proposition 3.28 gives

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2}
$$

But $\hat{A}^{\prime}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}$ on $U \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$, and so Lemma 3.19 yields

$$
\left\|f_{0} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)}
$$

Combining these estimates gives the desired bound.
3.9. Derivatives with respect to lower moduli. In this section we obtain $L^{p}$ estimates for the derivatives of the connections $A^{\prime}, \hat{A}^{\prime}$, and the self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the 'lower moduli parameters' $t_{I}$. The bundle $P_{I}$ carrying the family of connections
$\left\{A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right\}_{t_{I} \in T_{A_{I}}}$ can be assumed to be fixed with respect to the parameters $t \in T_{A_{I}}$ since the space $T_{A_{I}}$ - an open ball in $H_{A_{I}}^{1}$ centred at $0-$ is contractible. However, the local sections $\sigma_{I+}\left(t_{I}\right)$ are defined by the connections $A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ (together a choice of point in $\left.P_{I}\right|_{x_{I_{+}}}$) and will vary with $t_{I}$. Thus, the bundle gluing maps for the connected sum bundle $P$, defined by $\sigma_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right) \mapsto \sigma_{I_{+} s} \tilde{\rho}_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)$ (suppressing the identification map $\left.f_{I}: \Omega_{I_{+}} \rightarrow \Omega_{I_{+} s}\right)$, will in general vary with $t_{I}$. We may suppose that the remaining parameters are fixed and thus we obtain a family of connections $A^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)$ on a family of bundles $P\left(t_{I}\right)$. The difficulty, of course, is that unless we have a family of connections defined on a fixed bundle, we cannot define the derivative $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}$. Problems such as these are discussed in [4 (p. 423)]. For our purposes, we note the bundles are all isomorphic and as $T_{A_{I}}$ is contractible, the connections $A^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)$ could be pulled back by bundle isomorphisms $h_{I} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P(0), P\left(t_{I}\right)\right)$ to an equivalent family $h_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)$ on the fixed bundle $P(0)$, and then we could define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial t_{I}} \equiv \frac{\partial h_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}}{\partial t_{I}} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since any two such families $h_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ of bundle isomorphisms would differ by a family of automorphisms of the fixed bundle $P(0)$, by using (3.60), $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}$ would give a well-defined tangent vector to $\mathcal{B}_{P(0)}^{*}$ at $\left[A^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)\right]$. Naturally, the analogous remarks apply to the family of connections $\hat{A}^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)$ on the bundles $\hat{P}\left(t_{I}\right)$.

In our case, a family of isomorphisms $h_{J}\left(t_{I}\right): P_{J}(0) \rightarrow P_{J}\left(t_{I}\right)$ may be described quite explicitly, in a manner similar to that of $\S 3.8$, and these will give a gauge equivalent family of connections $h_{I}^{*} A^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right), \hat{h}_{I}^{*} \hat{A}^{\prime}\left(t_{I}\right)$ on fixed bundles $P(0), \hat{P}(0)$ respectively, although just as in $\S 3.8$, the isomorphisms $h_{J}\left(t_{I}\right)$ will not patch together to give a global isomorphism of $P(0)$ with $P\left(t_{I}\right)$ or $\hat{P}(0)$ with $\hat{P}\left(t_{I}\right)$. Nonetheless Eq. (3.60) still makes sense and this allows us to estimate the length of the tangent vector $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}$ in terms of derivatives of the local connection one-forms, as desired. Let $h_{I}\left(t_{I}\right): P_{I}(0) \rightarrow P_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ be a family of bundle isomorphisms represented locally by $\sigma_{I_{+}}(0) \mapsto \sigma_{I_{+}}(t) \theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)$. Then $h_{I}^{*} A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ is an equivalent family on the fixed bundle $P_{I}(0)$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{I_{+}}(0)^{*} h_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)^{*} A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)= & \theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)^{-1} \sigma_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)^{*} A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right) \theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right) \\
& +\theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)^{-1} d \theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that while the local connection one-forms $\sigma_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)^{*} A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ are in radial gauge, this will not in general be the case for the one-forms $\sigma_{I_{+}}(0)^{*} h_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)^{*} A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$. We next consider the variation in the bundle gluing maps $\tilde{\rho}_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)$ induced by the variation in $\sigma_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)$ with $t_{I}$. Over $X_{I}$, we replace $\theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)$ above by $\theta_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right) \exp \left(\gamma_{I_{+}} v_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right)$ and over $X_{I_{+}}$ define $h_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ by right multiplication with $\exp \left(\gamma_{I} v_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right)$. Recalling the notation of $\S 3.8, v_{I}: T_{A_{I}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a smooth map with $v(0)=0$ defined (for small enough $T_{A_{I}}$ ) by the identity $\rho_{I_{+}}\left(t_{I}\right)=\rho_{I_{+}}(0) \exp \left(v_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)\right)$. Lastly, for $J \neq I, I_{+}$, we set $h_{J}\left(t_{I}\right)=1$. Then, for the remainder of this article, we require that the derivatives $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}$ be defined by (3.60).

This understood, we obtain the following estimates for the derivatives with respect to the parameters $t_{I}$ of the connections $A^{\prime}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ and for the $g$-self-dual curvature $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. The proofs are straightforward, following the pattern in $\S 3.7$, and so are omitted.

Proposition 3.30. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. For sufficiently small $b_{0}$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}-\partial A_{I} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial A^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C$.

Proposition 3.31. Let $1 \leq p<\infty$. For sufficiently small $b_{0}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$
\left\|\partial F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p-1 / 2}
$$

Proposition 3.32. For sufficiently small $b_{0}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, N, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T},\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$.

Proof. Let $U \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \cdots f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$ and note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{I}} \hat{A}^{\prime}=$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{I}} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \psi_{I} A_{I}$, which is equal to $f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{I}} \psi_{I} A_{I}$ on $U$ and is zero elsewhere. Now

$$
\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial \psi_{I} A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \psi_{I} A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)}
$$

by Lemma 3.19 and so the result follows.
3.10. Differentials of the approximate gluing maps. We close this Chapter by summarising the results of the preceding sections and record our bounds for the differentials of the approximate gluing maps $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ (which follow by combining Propositions 3.25, 3.30, and 3.28)) and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}$ (which follow by combining Propositions 3.27, 3.32, and 3.29).

Theorem 3.33. Let $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ be the approximate gluing map $t \mapsto\left[A^{\prime}(t)\right]$. Assume $b_{I}=4 N_{I} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$ for all $I$. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}$ and any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that the following estimates hold.
(a) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C$,
(b) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$,
(c) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C$,
(d) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C$.

Theorem 3.34. Let $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ be the approximate gluing map $t \mapsto\left[\hat{A}^{\prime}(t)\right]$. Let $b_{I}=4 N_{I} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$ for all $I$. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}$ and any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that the following estimates hold.
(a) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$,
(b) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$,
(c) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$,
(d) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}\left(\partial / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$.

## 4. Bubble tree compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections

In order to describe the ends of the moduli space $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ one customarily appeals to the Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$. This allows one to give quite explicit descriptions of the parts of the ends away from the diagonals in the symmetric products $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right) \times s^{l}\left(X_{0}\right)$ appearing in the compactification, as for example in $[3(\S \mathrm{~V})]$ and $[7(\S 8.2)]$. These examples consider ideal boundary points of the form $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$, where the $x_{i}$ are distinct points with multiplicity 1 , and $A_{0}$ is a $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{0}$. Open neighbourhoods of $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ are then constructed by gluing standard one-instantons onto $A_{0}$.

In order to construct open neighbourhoods of ideal boundary points corresponding to the diagonals of $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ we must employ the iterated gluing construction of Chapters 3 and 5 . This strategy is mentioned briefly in $[7(\S 8.2)]$. The construction gives a homeomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ is an open neighbourhood of a boundary point
in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ - a 'gluing neighbourhood'. In order to use this procedure to describe the ends of $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, we need to show that $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is covered by finitely many such gluing neighborhoods. In particular, we need to show that any point in $M_{X_{0, k}}\left(g_{0}\right)$ which is sufficiently close to the ideal boundary (with respect to the Uhlenbeck topology) lies in the image of a gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$. This is accomplished in two steps:

Step 1. We show that any sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X_{0}$ converging weakly to a limit $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ determines a sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}$ and a sequence $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over a connected sum $X \equiv \#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I \alpha}^{\prime}$ which converges strongly to a limit $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, in the sense of [7(§7.3)]. Here, $\left(X, g_{\alpha}\right)$ is conformally equivalent to $\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)$ for all $\alpha$, and is defined exactly as in $\S 3.3$ and $\S 3.5$.

Step 2. We apply an analogue of Theorem 7.3.2 [7] to show that the new sequence $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ is $D_{q}$-convergent, $q \geq 4$, in the sense of [7 (§7.3)]. The appropriate analogue of Theorem 7.2.62 [7] then shows that the points $\left[A_{\alpha}\right] \in M_{X, k}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ lie in the image of some $\mathcal{J}$ for sufficiently large $\alpha$. Consequently, the points $\left[A_{\alpha}\right] \in M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ lie in the image of the corresponding map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$, for some parameter space $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$. The choice of parameter space $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$ is essentially determined by $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, which we call the strong or bubble tree limit of the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$. . In this Chapter we discuss Step 1 and describe the bubble tree compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ connections - the extension to the general case of compact, semi-simple Lie groups being straightforward. Step 2 is discussed in $\S \S 5.1$ and 5.2 after the necessary analytical framework has been established. Throughout this Chapter, we suppose only that $X_{0}$ is a closed, oriented, simply-connected $C^{\infty}$ four-manifold, $g_{0}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ metric, and $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$.
4.1. Uhlenbeck compactification. We recall the definition of the Uhlenbeck compactification [7] and describe some of the related convergence results we will need for our description of the bubble tree compactification.

Definition 4.1. An Uhlenbeck ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}(P)=k \geq 0$ is a pair $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$, where $A_{0}$ is a $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection on a $G$ bundle $P_{0}$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{0}\right)=k_{0} \geq 0$ and $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ is a (possibly empty) set of points in $X_{0}$ with multiplicities $k_{i} \geq 1$, for $i=1, \ldots, m_{0}$, such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m_{0}} k_{i}=k$.

The curvature density of $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ is defined to be the Borel measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)=\left|F\left(A_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}+8 \pi^{2} \delta_{Z_{0}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{Z_{0}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m_{0}} k_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}$, so that the total mass of $\mu\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ is $8 \pi^{2} k$. Setting $l=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{m}$ and repeating points according to their multiplicity, one obtains an element ( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}$ ) of the symmetric product $s^{l}\left(X_{0}\right)$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, be a sequence of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}(P)=k \geq 0$ and let ( $A_{0}, Z_{0}$ ) be an ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection on $P$. Then the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges weakly to $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ if the following hold:
(a) The sequence $\left\{\mu_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\mu\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ in the weak-* topology on measures.
(b) There is a sequence of $C^{\infty}$ bundle maps $\gamma_{\alpha}:\left.\left.P_{0}\right|_{X_{0} \backslash Z_{0}} \rightarrow P\right|_{X_{0} \backslash Z_{0}}$ such that $\gamma_{\alpha}^{*} A_{\alpha}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{0} \backslash Z_{0}$ to the connection $A_{0}$. Equivalently, require that for any integer $n \geq 1$, there is a sequence of $L_{n+1}^{2}$ bundle maps $\gamma_{\alpha}$ such that $\gamma_{\alpha}^{*} A_{\alpha}$ converges in $L_{n, \text { loc }}^{2}$ on $X_{0} \backslash Z_{0}$ to $A_{0}$.
Via the natural extension of Definition 4.2 to sequences of ideal connections, the set of all Uhlenbeck ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections of fixed second Chern class $k$,

$$
I M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right) \equiv \coprod_{l=0}^{k}\left(M_{X_{0}, k-l}\left(g_{0}\right) \times s^{l}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)
$$

is endowed with a metrisable topology. Let $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ be the closure of $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ in $I M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$. According to [7 (Theorem 4.4.4)], any infinite sequence in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has a weakly convergent subsequence with limit point in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, and in particular, the latter space is compact [7 (Theorem 4.4.3)].

For our description of the bubble tree compactification, we will need the following minor extension of the convergence result in Theorem 4.4.4 [7] and its cousin, Proposition 9.4.2 [7], which allows for a sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}$ converging to $g_{0}$ in $C^{\infty}$. The proof employs standard arguments well described in $[7(\S 4.4)]$ and is left to the reader.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be an exhaustion of the punctured manifold $X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$ by an increasing sequence $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ of precompact
open sets, so that $U_{1} \Subset U_{2} \Subset \cdots \Subset X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$ and $\cup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} U_{\alpha}=X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$. Let $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of metrics on the subsets $U_{\alpha}$ converging in $C^{r}(r \geq 3)$ on compact subsets of $X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$ to a $C^{r}$ metric $g_{0}$ on $X_{0}$. Let $P$ be a $G$ bundle over $X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$ and let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections on the restrictions $\left.P\right|_{U_{\alpha}}$. If there is a constant $M<\infty$ such that

$$
\int_{U_{\alpha}}\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{\alpha}}^{2} d V_{g_{\alpha}} \leq M \quad \text { for all } \alpha
$$

then there is a set of points $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}} \subset X_{0}$ and a $g_{0}$-anti-selfdual connection $A_{0}$ on a $G$ bundle $P_{0}$ over $X_{0}$ such that a subsequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$.

The mass of the Uhlenbeck limit $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ in Proposition 4.3 is $8 \pi^{2}$ times an integer and may be computed from the weakly convergent sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{V_{n}}\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{\alpha}}^{2} d V_{g_{\alpha}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{V_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any exhaustion of $X_{0} \backslash\{p\}$ by an increasing sequence of precompact open subsets.
4.2. Conformal blow-ups. Given a sequence of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$ with curvature densities concentrating near a set of 'singular points' in $X_{0}$, we define associated sequences of mass centres and scales. In a manner analogous to Chapter 3 , we then obtain sequences of 'conformal blow-up maps' $f_{I \alpha}$ (defined exactly as in §3.3) which resolve these singularities in a sense that will be made precise below and in $\S 4.3$. As will become evident, the process of applying conformal blow-ups may need to be iterated before the singularities are completely 'resolved'.

Let us commence by defining the first level conformal blow-ups. Suppose $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X_{0}$ with weak limit $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$. Let us consider the behaviour of the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ near the singular set $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ in more detail. If the point $x_{i}$ has multiplicity $k_{i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B\left(x_{i}, r\right)}\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2} d V_{g_{0}}=8 \pi^{2} k_{i} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose constants $d_{0}, r_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<d_{0} \leq \min _{i \neq j} \operatorname{dist}_{g_{0}}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right), \quad 0<r_{0}<\frac{1}{4} \min \left\{1, \varrho_{0}, d_{0}\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next define mass centres and scales of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections restricted to the fixed ball $B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right) \subset X_{0}$ by appropriately modifying the previous definitions of mass centres and scales of $\S 3.2$ for $g_{1}$-anti-self-dual connections over $\mathbb{S}^{4}$. First, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\left(\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}-\left|F\left(A_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}\right) d V_{g_{0}}=8 \pi^{2} k_{i} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a frame $v_{i}$ in $\left.F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i}}$ and let $q=\phi_{x_{i}}^{-1}$ be the associated geodesic normal coordinate chart. For each $i$, define a sequence of mass centres $\left\{x_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ in $B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)$ by $x_{i \alpha} \equiv \phi_{x_{i}}\left(q_{i \alpha}\right)$, where $q_{i \alpha}=$ Centre $\left[\left.A_{\alpha}\right|_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\right]$ $\in \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Centre }\left[\left.A_{\alpha}\right|_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\right] \\
& \qquad \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} k_{i}} \int_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)} q\left(\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}-\left|F\left(A_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}\right) d V_{g_{0}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a sequence of scales $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ in $(0, \infty)$ by setting $\lambda_{i \alpha}=\operatorname{Scale}\left[\left.A_{\alpha}\right|_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Scale }^{2}\left[\left.A_{\alpha}\right|_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad \equiv \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2} k_{i}} \int_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right)}\left|q-q_{i \alpha}\right|^{2}\left(\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}-\left|F\left(A_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}\right) d V_{g_{0}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

As in §3.2., Eq. (4.7) leads to a Tchebychev inequality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{B\left(x_{i}, r_{0}\right) \backslash B\left(x_{i \alpha}, R \lambda_{i \alpha}\right)}\left(\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}-\left|F\left(A_{0}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}\right) d V_{g_{0}}  \tag{4.8}\\
\leq 8 \pi^{2} k_{i} R^{-2}, \quad R \geq 1
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, if $R \gg 1$ and $\alpha$ is sufficiently large, the balls $B\left(x_{i \alpha}, R \lambda_{i \alpha}\right)$ contain most of the $8 \pi^{2} k_{i}$ quantity of $A_{\alpha}$-energy bubbling off at $x_{i \alpha}$.

Remark 4.4. Other choices of scale function are possible. For example, we might have chosen $\lambda_{i \alpha}$ to be the radius of the ball centred
at $x_{i \alpha}$ containing $A_{\alpha}$-energy $8 \pi^{2}\left(k_{i}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$. A cutoff function is required in order to regularise this definition.

Thus, we obtain a sequence of scales $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ associated to the sequences of mass centres $\left\{x_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ and connections $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$. Moreover, Eq. (4.3) implies that the sequence $x_{i \alpha}$ converges to $x_{i}$ and that the sequence of scales $\lambda_{i \alpha}$ converges to zero. Choose a sequence of frames $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i \alpha}}$ converging to the frame $\left.v_{i} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i}}$ and let $\phi_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$ be the corresponding geodesic normal coordinate charts. Let $f_{x_{i \alpha}} \equiv \phi_{i n} \circ c_{\lambda_{i \alpha}} \circ \phi_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$, where $c_{\lambda_{i \alpha}}$ is the dilation of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ given by $x \mapsto x / \lambda_{i \alpha}$, and let $\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}$ be the approximately round metric on $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ defined as in §3.5. Let $P_{i \alpha}=\left(f_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} P$ be the induced $G$ bundle over $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ and $A_{i \alpha}=\left(f_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{\alpha}$ be the induced $\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}$-anti-self-dual connection on $P_{i \alpha}$. We call the maps $f_{x_{i \alpha}}$ conformal blow-ups.

We obtain a sequence of open subsets $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ which exhaust $X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$, a sequence of metrics $\left\{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, and a sequence of $\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ over the $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$. The sequence $\left\{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$ to the standard round metric $g_{i}$ on $X_{i} \equiv \mathbb{S}^{4}$. Let $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of $C^{\infty}$ metrics, defined as in $\S 3.5$, on the connected sum $X \equiv \#_{i=0}^{m_{0}} X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$, defined as in $\S 3.3$, and let $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be the induced sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X$. We call the connected sums $\left(X, g_{\alpha}\right)$ conformal blow-ups of $\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)$.

There is a uniform upper bound on the $L^{2}$ norms $\left\|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}, \tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\right)}$ since

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}}\left|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right)\right|_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}}^{2} d V_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}} & =\int_{B\left(x_{i \alpha}, N \lambda_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)}\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2} d V_{g_{0}}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq 8 \pi^{2}\left(k_{i}+1 / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for sufficiently large $\alpha$ by Eq. (4.3), while Eqs. (4.5) and (4.8) give a lower bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}}\left|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right)\right|_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}}^{2} d V_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}} & =\int_{B\left(x_{i \alpha}, N \lambda_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)}\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2} d V_{g_{0}}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \geq 8 \pi^{2}\left(k_{i}-1 / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 4.3 provides a subsequence $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ which converges weakly to an ideal $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual connection $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$ over $X_{i}$, where $Z_{i}=$ $\left\{x_{i j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{i}}$. The energy bound of Eq. (4.8) ensures that $Z_{i} \subset X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$.

Let $\mu_{i}=\mu\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$ be the associated singular measure on $X_{i}$, and note that its mass may be computed by

$$
\int_{X_{i}} d \mu_{i}=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B\left(x_{i n}, R\right)}\left|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right)\right|_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}}^{2} d V_{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}}
$$

Since this must be $8 \pi^{2}$ times an integer, Eqs. (4.9) and (4.10) imply that $\mu_{i}$ has mass $8 \pi^{2} k_{i}$, where $k_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}} k_{i j}, A_{i}$ is a $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual connection on a bundle $P_{i}$ over $X_{i}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{i}\right)=k_{i 0}$, and each point $x_{i j}$ has multiplicity $k_{i j}$.

Remark 4.5. It is not strictly necessary that we construct a sequence of honest metrics $g_{\alpha}$ over the connected sums $X=\#_{i=0}^{m_{0}} X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ above; a sequence of conformal structures $\left[g_{\alpha}\right.$ ] constructed as in $\S 3.5$ would suffice and this would eliminate the need for the choice of conformal factors over the necks. In any case, the actual limits obtained are independent of such choices.

The above conformal blow-up construction produces a sequence of $\tilde{g}_{x_{i \alpha}}$-anti-self-dual connections $A_{x_{i \alpha}}$ on increasing subsets $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ of the four-sphere $X_{i}$ with weak $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual limit $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$. With the inverse process of gluing in mind, we describe a modified choice of conformal blow-ups which yield centred limits $\left(\tilde{A}_{i}, \tilde{Z}_{i}\right)$. First, a technical lemma concerning the variation of geodesic normal coordinate charts with their coordinate centres is required. The proof uses Taylor's theorem and is left to the reader.

Lemma 4.6. Let $X_{0}$ be a closed $C^{\infty} n$-manifold with metric $g_{0}$ and injectivity radius $\varrho_{0}$. Let $x_{0} \in X$ and $x=\exp _{v_{0}}^{-1}$ be the geodesic normal coordinate chart on $B\left(x_{0}, \varrho_{0}\right)$ defined by a choice of frame $\left.v_{0} \in F X\right|_{x_{0}}$. Suppose $x_{1} \in B\left(x_{0}, \varrho_{0} / 4\right)$ and $p=\exp _{v_{0}}^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right)$, so that $\operatorname{dist}_{g_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=$ $|p|$. We now define two coordinate charts on $B\left(x_{1}, \varrho_{0} / 2\right)$ :
(a) Let $\left.v_{1} \in F X\right|_{x_{1}}$ be the frame obtained by parallel translating $v_{0}$ along the geodesic joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$, and let $w=\exp _{v_{1}}^{-1}$ on $B\left(x_{1}, \varrho_{0} / 2\right)$.
(b) Let $\tau_{p}$ be the translation on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ given by $q \mapsto q-p$, and let $\bar{w}=\tau_{p} \circ$ $\exp _{v_{0}}^{-1}$ on $B\left(x_{1}, \varrho_{0} / 2\right)$. Then the coordinates $\bar{w}$ converge to $w$ in $C^{\infty}$ on $B\left(x_{0}, \varrho_{0} / 4\right)$ as $p \rightarrow 0:\left|\bar{w}^{\mu}-w^{\mu}\right|=O(|w||p|), \mid \partial \bar{w}^{\mu} / \partial w^{\alpha}-$ $\delta_{\alpha}^{\mu} \mid=O(p)$, and for all $m \geq 2, \partial^{m} \bar{w}^{\mu} / \partial w^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial w^{\alpha_{m}}=O(p)$.
Next, we define the mass centre and scale of a positive Borel measure
$\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\operatorname{Centre}[\mu] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} x d \mu  \tag{4.11}\\
\lambda^{2} & =\operatorname{Scale}^{2}[\mu] \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}|x-p|^{2} d \mu
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Theta$ be the product connection over $X_{i}$. The proof of the following lemma describes how to choose conformal blow-ups which produce centred limits.

Lemma 4.7. Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a sequence of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X_{0}$ with weak limit $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$, where $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ is nonempty. Choose $r_{0}$ as in Eq. (4.4). Then for each $x_{i} \in Z_{0}$, the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ determines a sequence of points $\left\{w_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converging to $x_{i}$, a sequence of frames $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X_{0}\right|_{w_{i \alpha}}$ converging to a frame $\left.v_{i} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i}}$, and a sequence of scales $\left\{\kappa_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converging to zero such that the following holds. Fix $N>4$, let $f_{w_{i \alpha}}$ be the corresponding sequences of conformal blow-ups, and let $A_{w_{i \alpha}}$ be the induced sequence of $\tilde{g}_{w_{i \alpha}}$-anti-self-dual connections with weak $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual limit $\left(\tilde{A}_{i}, \tilde{Z}_{i}\right)$ over the four-sphere $X_{i}$. The limit $\left(\tilde{A}_{i}, \tilde{Z}_{i}\right)$ has the following properties:
(a) If $\tilde{A}_{i} \neq \Theta$, then $\tilde{A}_{i}$ is centred;
(b) If $\tilde{A}_{i}=\Theta$, then the corresponding singular measure $\tilde{\mu}_{i}$ is centred.

Proof. (a) We begin by defining, exactly as before, a sequence of points $\left\{x_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converging to $x_{i}$, a sequence of frames $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i \alpha}}$ converging to a frame $\left.v_{i} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i}}$, and a sequence of scales $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converging to zero. Let $f_{x_{i \alpha}}$ be the corresponding sequences of conformal blow-ups and let $A_{x_{i \alpha}}$ be the induced sequence of $\tilde{g}_{x_{i \alpha}}$-anti-self-dual connections with weak $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual limit ( $A_{i}, Z_{i}$ ) over $X_{i}$. Suppose Center $\left[A_{i}\right]=p_{i}$ and Scale $\left[A_{i}\right]=\nu_{i}$.

Case 1. $Z_{i}$ is empty. Recall that $f_{x_{i \alpha}}=\phi_{i n} \circ c_{\lambda_{i \alpha}} \circ \phi_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}, A_{x_{i \alpha}}=$ $\left(f_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{\alpha}$, and $\tilde{g}_{x_{i \alpha}}=\lambda_{i \alpha}^{-2}\left(f_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{0}$. Define $h_{i}=\phi_{i n} \circ c_{\nu_{i}} \circ \tau_{p_{i}} \circ \phi_{i n}^{-1}$ and set $f_{w_{i \alpha}}=h_{i} \circ f_{x_{i \alpha}}$. Then

$$
\bar{f}_{w_{i \alpha} \alpha}=\phi_{i n} \circ c_{\lambda_{i \alpha} \nu_{i}} \circ \tau_{p_{i} \lambda_{i \alpha}} \circ \phi_{x_{i \alpha}}^{-1}=\phi_{i n} \circ c_{\kappa_{i \alpha}} \circ \bar{\phi}_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1},
$$

where $w_{i \alpha} \equiv \phi_{x_{i \alpha}}\left(p_{i} \lambda_{i \alpha}\right), \kappa_{i \alpha} \equiv \lambda_{i \alpha} \nu_{i}$, and $\bar{\phi}_{w_{i \alpha}} \equiv \phi_{x_{i_{\alpha}}} \circ \tau_{p_{i} \lambda_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$. Thus, $\bar{f}_{w_{i \alpha}}$ provides a diffeomorphism from the small ball $B\left(w_{i \alpha}, N \kappa_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{0}$ to the open subset $B\left(x_{i n}, N \kappa_{i \alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ of $X_{i}$. The sequence of points $\left\{w_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converges to $x_{i}$ and the sequence of scales $\left\{\kappa_{i \alpha}\right\}$ converges to
zero. As in $\S 3.5$, define a sequence of metrics on the increasing subsets $B\left(x_{i n}, N \kappa_{i \alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ by $\bar{g}_{w_{i \alpha}} \equiv \kappa_{i \alpha}^{-2} h_{1}^{2}\left(\bar{f}_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{0}$. Then $\bar{g}_{w_{i \alpha}}$ converges to the standard metric $g_{i}$ in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$. Define a sequence of $\bar{g}_{w_{i \alpha}-}$ anti-self-dual connections over the balls $B\left(x_{i n}, N \kappa_{i \alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ by $\bar{A}_{w_{i \alpha}} \equiv\left(\bar{f}_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{\alpha}$, and observe that $\bar{A}_{w_{i \alpha}}=\left(h_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{x_{i \alpha}}$. The sequence $\left\{\bar{A}_{w_{i \alpha}}\right\}$ converges to the centred connection $\left(h_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{i}$ in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$.

It remains to replace the chart $\bar{w} \equiv \bar{\phi}_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$ on $B\left(w_{i \alpha}, \varrho_{0} / 2\right)$ by a geodesic normal coordinate chart $w \equiv \phi_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$. Choose a frame $v_{i \alpha}^{\prime} \in$ $\left.F X_{0}\right|_{w_{i \alpha}}$ by parallel translating the frame $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i \alpha}}$ along the geodesic connecting $x_{i \alpha}$ and $w_{i \alpha}$, noting that $\operatorname{dist}_{g_{0}}\left(x_{i \alpha}, w_{i \alpha}\right)=\left|p_{i}\right| \lambda_{i \alpha}$. Thus, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the coordinate chart $\bar{w}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on $B\left(x_{i}, \varrho / 4\right)$ to the geodesic normal coordinate chart $w$ in the sense of Lemma 4.6. Define a new sequence of conformal blow-up maps by setting $f_{w_{i \alpha}}=$ $\phi_{i n} \circ c_{\kappa_{i \alpha}} \circ \phi_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}$, and define corresponding sequences of connections and metrics on the balls $B\left(x_{i n}, N \kappa_{i \alpha}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ by $A_{w_{i \alpha}}=\left(f_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{g}_{w_{i \alpha}}=\kappa_{i \alpha}^{-2} h_{1}^{2}\left(f_{w_{i \alpha}}^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{0}$. Lemma 4.6 implies that the sequences $\left\{\tilde{g}_{w_{i \alpha}}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{w_{i \alpha}}\right\}$ converge in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{i} \backslash\left\{x_{i s}\right\}$ to the metric $g_{i}$ and centred $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual connection $\tilde{A}_{i} \equiv\left(h_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{i}$. This completes the proof of (a) in Case 1.

Case 2. $Z_{i}$ is non-empty. The proof is similar to that of Case 1. Let $\tilde{Z}_{i}=h_{i}^{-1}\left(Z_{i}\right)$. Then the sequences $\left\{\bar{A}_{w_{i \alpha}}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{w_{i \alpha}}\right\}$ converge in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{i} \backslash\left(\tilde{Z}_{i} \cup\left\{x_{i s}\right\}\right)$ to the centred connection $\left(h_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} A_{i}$.
(b) One sets Centre $\left[\mu_{i}\right]=p_{i}, \operatorname{Scale}\left[\mu_{i}\right]=\nu_{i}$, and essentially repeats the proof of Part (a) for the sequence of measures $\mu_{x_{i \alpha}} \equiv\left|F\left(A_{x_{i \alpha}}\right)\right|_{g_{0}}^{2}$.

Remark 4.8. In the sequel, we require that the conformal blow-up maps be chosen as in Lemma 4.7. However, to conserve notation, we will relabel the points $w_{i \alpha}$ and scales $\kappa_{i \alpha}$ by $x_{i \alpha}$ and $\lambda_{i \alpha}$, respectively, and the limit $\left(\tilde{A}_{i}, \tilde{Z}_{i}\right)$ by $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$.

A technical point that we have not addressed above is that, just as in [17], the weak limit of the sequence $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\right\}$ apparently depends on certain choices of parameters in the conformal blow-up construction:
(1) Neck width parameter $N$. This was only included in this Chapter for the sake of consistency with the gluing construction of Chapters 3 and 5: we could just as well have set $N=2$, say.
(2) Radius $r_{0}$. Following [17], the dependency is removed by letting
$r_{0} \rightarrow 0$. The conformal blow-up process gives a sequence of points $\left\{x_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$, scales $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$, blow-up maps $\left\{f_{x_{i \alpha}}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$, metrics $\left\{\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$, and connections $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$. The sequence of connections $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$ converges to an ideal $g_{i}$-anti-self-dual limit $\left(A_{i}\left(r_{0}\right), Z_{i}\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$, for any fixed $r_{0}>0$. We now let $r_{0} \rightarrow 0$ and by a standard diagonal argument, we obtain a weakly convergent subsequence $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\left(r_{0}\right)\right\}$ with weak limit $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$, say.
(3) Frames $v_{i \alpha}$ and $v_{i}$. The construction is $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ equivariant: Rotating the frames $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X\right|_{x_{i \alpha}}$ and $v_{i} \in F X_{x_{i}}$ by elements of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ induces an $S O(4)$ action on the connections $A_{i \alpha}$ and $A_{i}$ as described in §3.2.

There is one final issue which will be important in our later discussion of alternative modes of convergence for sequences of anti-self-dual connections: We must exclude the possibilty that curvature is lost over the necks $\Omega_{i}$ arising in the conformal blow-up process described above. Of course, the curvature can only bubble off with masses equal to an integer multiple of $8 \pi^{2}$, so it suffices to show that we can choose the neck parameters to ensure that the curvature masses over the necks are strictly less than $8 \pi^{2}$. So, consider again the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X_{0}$ with weak limit $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$, where $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$, and let $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding sequences of $\tilde{g}_{i \alpha^{-}}$ anti-self-dual connections over $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ having weak limits $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$, where $Z_{i}=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{j}}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of scales associated to the sequence of connections $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ and the singular point $x_{i} \in Z_{0}$. Given this situation, standard arguments yield the following curvature estimates near $x_{i}$ :

Lemma 4.9. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist positive constants $R_{0}, r_{1}$, and $\alpha_{0}$ with the following significance. For large enough $R_{0}$, small enough $r_{1}$ and large enough $\alpha_{0}$, then $R_{0} \lambda_{i \alpha}<r_{1}$ for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$ and the following hold.
(a) $\left|\left|\left|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right) \|_{L^{2}\left(B\left(x_{i}, R_{0}\right), \tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\right)}^{2}-8 \pi^{2} k_{i}\right|<\varepsilon^{2}\right.\right.$,
(b) $\left|\left|\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right) \|_{L^{2}\left(B\left(x_{i}, R_{0} \lambda_{i \alpha}\right), g_{0}\right)}^{2}-8 \pi^{2} k_{i}\right|<\varepsilon^{2}\right.\right.$,
(c) $\left\|F\left(A_{i \alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega\left(x_{i}, R_{0}, r_{1} \lambda_{i \alpha}^{-1}\right), \tilde{g}_{i \alpha}\right)}<\varepsilon$,
(d) $\left\|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega\left(x_{i}, R_{0} \lambda_{i \alpha}, r_{1}\right), g_{0}\right)}<\varepsilon$.

Thus, we have the following curvature estimate which ensures that in the limit there is no 'curvature loss' over the necks $\Omega_{i}$. (In particular, if $A_{i \alpha}$ converges weakly to $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$, then the singular set $Z_{i} \subset X_{i}$ does
not contain the south pole $x_{i s}$.)
Corollary 4.10. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and $N>4$, there is an $\alpha_{0}>0$ with the following significance. If $\Omega_{i \alpha} \equiv \Omega\left(x_{i \alpha}, N^{-1} \lambda_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and, $B_{i \alpha}^{\prime} \equiv B\left(x_{i \alpha}, N \lambda_{i \alpha}^{1 / 2}\right)$, then for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$, we have
(a) $\left\|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{i \alpha}, g_{0}\right)}<\varepsilon$, and
(b) $\left|\left|\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right) \|_{L^{2}\left(B_{i \alpha}^{\prime}, g_{0}\right)}^{2}-8 \pi^{2} k_{i}\right|<\varepsilon\right.\right.$.

Lastly, we note that the conformal blow-up process may of course be iterated if the singular sets $Z_{i}$ are non-empty. In the next section we show that after repeating the conformal blow-up process at most $k$ times, we obtain a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ which is strongly convergent. Indeed, given the weakly convergent sequence $\left\{A_{i \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ over the $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$ near a point $x_{i j}$ with multiplicity $k_{i j}$ in the singular set $Z_{i} \subset X_{i}$, the second-level process differs from the firstlevel only in minor technical details: We define sequences of centres $x_{i j \alpha}=\phi_{x_{i j}}\left(q_{i j \alpha}\right)$ converging to $x_{i j}$ and scales $\lambda_{i j \alpha}$ converging to zero, now using the metrics $\tilde{g}_{i \alpha}$ and a coordinate chart $\phi_{x_{i j}}$ on $X_{i}$ given by $\phi_{x_{i j}}=\phi_{i n} \circ \tau_{q_{i j}}^{-1}$ where $\phi_{i n}\left(q_{i j}\right)=x_{i j}$. The blow-up maps are defined using coordinate charts on $X_{i}$ given by $\phi_{x_{i j \alpha}}=\phi_{i n} \circ \tau_{q_{i j \alpha}}^{-1}$ and setting $f_{x_{i j \alpha}}=\phi_{i j n} \circ c_{\lambda_{i j \alpha}} \circ \phi_{x_{i j \alpha}}^{-1}$. We then proceed exactly as before and similarly for all higher-level blow-ups.
4.3. Bubble tree compactification. By analogy with the arguments of [23(§5)] and [17], we define a bubble tree compactification for the moduli space $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ of anti-self-dual connections. First, we need an appropriate notion of an 'ideal connection':

Definition 4.11. A bubble tree ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $A$ of second Chern class $k$ over $X_{0}$ is determined by the following data.
(a) An oriented tree $\mathcal{I}$ with a finite set of vertices $\{I\}$, including a base vertex 0 , and a set of edges $\left\{\left(I_{-}, I\right)\right\}$. Each vertex $I$ is labelled with an integer $k_{I} \geq 0$ such that:
(i) $\quad \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} k_{I}=k$,
(ii) if $I>0$ is a terminal vertex, then $k_{I}>0$,
(iii) there are at most $k$ terminal vertices, excluding the base vertex.
(b) A $(2 m-1)$-tuple $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, where $m$ is the number of vertices in $\mathcal{I}$.
(c) If $I=0$, then $A_{0}$ is a $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection on a $G$ bundle
$P_{0}$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{0}\right)=k_{0} \geq 0$.
(d) If $I>0$, then
(i) $A_{I}$ is either the product connection $\Theta$ or a centred $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connection on a $G$ bundle $P_{I}$ over the sphere $X_{I} \equiv \mathbb{S}^{4}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{I}\right)=k_{I}$, where $g_{I}$ is the standard round metric,
(ii) $x_{I}$ is a point in $X_{0}$ if $I_{-}=0$ and a point in $X_{I_{-}} \backslash\left\{x_{I s}\right\}$ if $I_{-}>0$.
(e) If $I>0$ and $A_{I}=\Theta$, then there are at least 2 outgoing edges emanating from that vertex.
Definition 4.11 should be compared with the construction of approximately anti-self-dual connections in $\S 3.3$. The ideal connection $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is often written as $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. Heuristically, we may view an ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $A=\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ as a 'connection' over the join $\vee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$, where each sphere $X_{I}$ is attached to the lower level $X_{I_{-}}$by identifying the south pole $x_{I_{s}}$ with the point $x_{I} \in X_{I_{-}}$. Let $Z_{I_{-}} \subset X_{I_{-}}$denote the set of 'attachment points' $x_{I}$ in $X_{0}$, if $I_{-}=0$, or points $x_{I}$ in $X_{I_{-}} \backslash\left\{x_{I_{s}}\right\}$, if $I_{-}>0$. Let $m_{I}$ be the number of points in $Z_{I}$, i.e., the number of outgoing edges emanating from vertex $I$.

Second, we need an appropriate notion of convergence. Let $X \equiv$ $\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$ be the connected sum defined in $\S 3.3$ by a set of scales $\left\{\lambda_{I \alpha}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, with $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and a fixed neck parameter $N$. Similarly, if $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the corresponding sequence of $C^{\infty}$ metrics on $X$ defined in $\S 3.5$, then $g_{\alpha}$ converges to $g_{I}$ in $C^{\infty}$ on compact subsets of $X_{I} \backslash\left(Z_{I} \cup\left\{x_{I s}\right\}\right)$ for each $I \geq 0$. As in [7 (§7.3.1)], we consider the following modes of convergence for sequences of anti-self-dual connections over $X$.

Definition 4.12. Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections on a fixed bundle $P$ with $c_{2}(P)=k$ over the connected $\operatorname{sum} X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$.
(a) Let $Y \in s^{k}(X)$ be a multiset in $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I} \backslash\left(Z_{I} \cup\left\{x_{I s}\right\}\right)$. The sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges weakly to $\left(\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}, Y\right)$, if the gauge equivalence classes $\left[A_{\alpha}\right]$ converge in $C^{\infty}$ to $\left(\left[A_{I}\right]\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ over compact subsets of $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I} \backslash\left(Z_{I} \cup\left\{x_{I s}\right\} \cup Y\right)$, and if the curvature densities converge, then

$$
\left|F\left(A_{\alpha}\right)\right|_{g_{\alpha}}^{2} \longrightarrow \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left|F\left(A_{I}\right)\right|_{g_{I}}^{2}+8 \pi^{2} \delta_{Y}
$$

over compact subsets of $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I} \backslash\left(Z_{I} \cup\left\{x_{I s}\right\}\right)$.
(b) The sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges strongly to the limit $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ if it converges weakly to $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ (with no singular set $Y$ ) and $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} c_{2}\left(P_{I}\right)=c_{2}(P)$. Here, the $A_{I}$ are $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connections on $G$ bundles $P_{I}$ over $X_{I}$ with $c_{2}\left(P_{I}\right)=k_{I}$.
We let $B M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ denote the set bubble tree ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{0}$ of total second Chern class $k$. Thus, each point of $B M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is represented by a $(2 m-1)$-tuple $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, with $m$ being the total number of vertices of the tree $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 4.13. We say that a sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ of $g_{0}$-anti-selfdual connections on a $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}(P)=k$ converges strongly to a bubble tree ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $\left(x_{I}, A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ in $B M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ if there exist sequences of conformal blow-ups $\left\{f_{I \alpha}\right\}_{I \in \mathcal{J}}$ with the following property. Let $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}$ be the induced sequence of $C^{\infty}$ metrics in the conformal class $\left[g_{0}\right]$ on the connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$, and let $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ denote the induced sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X$. Then we require that the sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges in $C^{\infty}$ on compact sets of $X_{I} \backslash\left(Z_{I} \cup\left\{x_{I s}\right\}\right)$ to the metric $g_{I}$, $I \geq 0$, and that the sequence of connections $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges strongly to the ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$.

This definition of convergence extends to the space of bubble tree ideal connections $B M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$, which is then endowed with a second countable Haussdorf topology. Define the bubble tree compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$ to be the closure of $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ in $B M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 4.14 The space $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is compact.
The result follows from the special case below.
Theorem 4.15. Any infinite sequence in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has a strongly convergent subsequence with limit point in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Proof. The argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [23]. Fix a $G$ bundle $P$ over $X_{0}$ with $c_{2}(P)=k>0$ and let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections on $P$. The main point is to repeatedly apply conformal blow-ups $f_{I \alpha}$ until we obtain a sequence of induced metrics $g_{\alpha}$ over a connected sum $X$, with $\left(X, g_{\alpha}\right)$ conformally equivalent to ( $X_{0}, g_{0}$ ), and a sequence of induced $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over $X$, denoted by $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$, which is strongly convergent. We adopt the convention below that subsequences are immediately relabelled.

Step 1. There is a subsequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ which converges weakly to an ideal $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection $\left(A_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$, with $Z_{0}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ corresponding to a point in the symmetric product $s^{k}\left(X_{0}\right)$. If $Z_{0}$ is empty then we are done, so assume that $m_{0} \geq 1$. Let $k_{i}$ be the multiplicity of $x_{i}$ and note that $0<k_{i} \leq k$. For each $i$ and large enough $\alpha$, the connection $A_{\alpha}$ determines a set of mass centers $\left\{x_{i \alpha}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$, with $x_{i \alpha} \rightarrow x_{i}$, and a set of scales $\left\{\lambda_{i \alpha}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ with $\lambda_{i \alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Fix a neck width parameter $N>4$, choose a sequence of frames $\left.v_{i \alpha} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i \alpha}}$ converging to a frame $\left.v_{i} \in F X_{0}\right|_{x_{i}}$, and let $\left\{f_{i \alpha}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{0}}$ be the conformal blow-up maps defined by these centres, frames, scales, and parameter $N$. If $X=\#_{i=0}^{m_{0}} X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$, then $\left(X, g_{\alpha}\right)$ is the conformal blow-up of $\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)$ determined by the maps $f_{i \alpha}$. Let $P$ now denote the induced $G$ bundle over $X$, let $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ denote the induced $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X$, and let $A_{i \alpha}$ be the restriction of $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ to the open subset $X_{i \alpha}^{\prime}$.

The sequence $\left[A_{i \alpha}\right]$ has a weakly convergent subsequence, again denoted $\left[A_{i \alpha}\right]$, with weak limit $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$, where $Z_{i}$ corresponds to a point in $s^{k_{i}}\left(X_{i}\right)$. Corollary 4.10 implies that no mass is lost over the neck $\Omega_{i}$. Hence, if $Z_{i}$ is empty for $i=1 \ldots m_{i}$, then we have $\sum_{i=0}^{m_{i}} k_{i}=k$, the sequence $\left[A_{i \alpha}\right]$ converges strongly to $\left[A_{i}\right]$, and we proceed to the Final Step. Otherwise, $Z_{i}$ is non-empty for some $i>0$ and we proceed to Step 2.

Step 2. For some $i>0$, Step 1 produces a non-empty singular set $Z_{i}=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{i}}$. Let $k_{i j}$ be the multiplicity of the point $x_{i j}$, let $c_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)=$ $k_{i 0}$, and note that $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}} k_{i j}=k_{i}>0$. Let $\mu_{i}$ be the singular measure associated with $\left(A_{i}, Z_{i}\right)$. We now consider two cases, depending on whether or not $A_{i}$ is the flat product connection $\Theta$ over $X_{i}$.

Case (a). $\quad A_{i}=\Theta$. Since Scale $\left[\mu_{i}\right]=1$, the diameter of the set $Z_{i}$ must be positive and so this case can only occur if $m_{i}>1$. Let $k_{i j}$ be the multiplicity of the point $x_{i j}$ and note that as $m_{i}>1$ we must have $\max _{j} k_{i j} \leq k-1$.

Case (b). $A_{i} \neq \Theta$. Therefore, $k_{i 0}=c_{2}\left(A_{i}\right)>0$ and so we again must have $\max _{j} k_{i j} \leq k-1$, since $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}} k_{i j}=k_{i} \leq k$.

For large enough $\alpha$, the connection $A_{i \alpha}$ determines a set of mass centres $\left\{x_{i j \alpha}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{i}}$, with $x_{i j \alpha} \rightarrow x_{i j}$, and a set of scales $\left\{\lambda_{i j \alpha}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{i}}$, with $\lambda_{i j \alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left\{f_{i j \alpha}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{i}}$ be the conformal blow-up maps defined by these centres, scales, and parameter $N$. Let $P$ denote the induced $G$ bundle over the new connected sum $X=\#_{i=0}^{m_{0}} X_{i \alpha}^{\prime} \#_{j=1}^{m_{i}} X_{i j \alpha}^{\prime}$,
let $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ denote the induced $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X$, and let $\left\{A_{i j \alpha}\right\}$ be the induced sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections over the open subsets $X_{i j \alpha}^{\prime}$ of the spheres $X_{i j}$.

The sequence $\left[A_{i j \alpha}\right]$ has a weakly convergent subsequence with weak limit $\left(A_{i j}, Z_{i j}\right)$ and no loss of mass over the necks $\Omega_{i j \alpha}$. If $Z_{i j}$ is empty for $j=1, \ldots, m_{i}$, then we have $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{i}} k_{i j}=k_{i}$, the sequence $\left[A_{i j \alpha}\right.$ ] converges strongly to $\left[A_{i j}\right]$, and the blow-up process terminates at the vertices $A_{i j}$. Otherwise, $Z_{i j}$ is non-empty for some $j$ and we proceed to Step 3.

Step l. $3 \leq l \leq k$. For some multi-index $I$ of length $|I|=l-1$, Step $l-1$ produces a non-empty singular set $Z_{I}=\left\{x_{I j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{I}}$ contained in the sphere $X_{I}$. The sequence $\left[A_{I \alpha}\right]$ has a weak limit $\left(A_{I}, Z_{I}\right)$, where $Z_{I}$ corresponds to a point in $s^{k_{I}}\left(X_{I}\right)$. Let $k_{I j}$ be the multiplicity of the point $x_{I j}$, let $c_{2}\left(A_{I}\right)=k_{I 0}$, and note that $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{I}} k_{I j}=k_{I}>0$. Let $\mu_{I}$ be the singular measure associated with $\left(A_{I}, Z_{I}\right)$.

Case (a). $\quad A_{I}=\Theta$. Since Scale $\left[\mu_{I}\right]=1$, the diameter of the set $Z_{I}$ must be positive. Hence, $m_{I}>1$ and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{j} k_{I j} \leq k-l+1, \quad|I j|=l, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (b). $\quad A_{I} \neq \Theta$. Therefore, $k_{I 0}=c_{2}\left(A_{I}\right)>0$, and Eq. (4.12) again holds, since $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{I}} k_{I j}=k_{I} \leq k$.

Eq. (4.12) implies that the conformal blow-up process terminates completely after at most $k$ steps.

For large enough $\alpha$, the connection $A_{I \alpha}$ determines a set of mass centres $\left\{x_{I j \alpha}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{I}}$ in $X_{I} \backslash\left\{x_{I s}\right\}$, with $x_{I j \alpha} \rightarrow x_{I j}$, and a set of scales $\left\{\lambda_{I j \alpha}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{I}}$, with $\lambda_{I j \alpha} \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left\{f_{I j \alpha}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{I}}$ be the conformal blow-up maps defined by these centres, scales, and parameter $N$. Let $P$ denote the induced $G$ bundle over the connected sum $X=\#_{I} X_{I \alpha}^{\prime} \#_{j=1}^{m_{i}} X_{I j \alpha}^{\prime}$, let $\check{A}_{\alpha}$ denote the induced $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X$, and let $\left\{A_{I j \alpha}\right\}$ be the induced sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-selfdual connections over the open subsets $X_{I j \alpha}^{\prime}$ of the spheres $X_{I j}$.

The sequence $\left[A_{I j \alpha}\right]$ has a weakly convergent subsequence with weak limit $\left(A_{I j}, Z_{I j}\right)$ and no loss of mass over the necks $\Omega_{I j \alpha}$. If $Z_{I j}$ is empty for $j=1, \ldots, m_{I}$, then we have $\sum_{j=0}^{m_{I}} k_{I j}=k_{I}$, the sequence [ $A_{I j \alpha}$ ] converges strongly to $\left[A_{I j}\right]$, the blow-up process terminates at the vertices $A_{I j}$, and we proceed to $\operatorname{Step} l+1$.

Final Step. After performing at most $k$ conformal blow-ups, we
obtain a sequence of $g_{\alpha}$-anti-self-dual connections $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ over a connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I \alpha}^{\prime}$. The sequence $\left\{\check{A}_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges strongly to a bubble tree limit $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, since the singular points have all been blown up and there has been no mass loss over the necks $\Omega_{I \alpha}$.

Plainly, the compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is 'larger' than the Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Indeed, there is an obvious surjective map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by sending a bubble tree ideal connection $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ to the corresponding Uhlenbeck ideal connection $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$. The multiplicity of $x_{i} \in X_{0}$ is the sum of the second Chern classes of the anti-self-dual connections $A_{I}$ attached to the subtree lying above the vertex $i$.

Corollary 4.16. The map $\pi: \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is continuous.
4.4. $D_{q}$ convergence and strong convergence. We will need one further notion of convergence in order to show that every point of the moduli space $M_{X, k}(g)$ lies in the image of the gluing map $\mathcal{J}$ constructed in Chapter 5. Let $P$ be a $G$ bundle over a closed manifold $X$ with metric $g$. Following [7(§7.2.4)], fix $4 \leq q<\infty$ and let $D_{q}$ be the distance function on the space $\mathcal{B}_{X, P}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}([A],[B])=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{G}}\|A-u(B)\|_{L_{q}(X, g)} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the following definition of Donaldson and Kronheimer.
Definition 4.17. [7 (p. 308)] Let $\left\{\lambda_{I \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, for each $I>0$, be sequences of scales satisfying $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$, where $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}=\max _{I} \lambda_{I \alpha}$, and let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of connections on a fixed $G$ bundle $P \rightarrow X$, where $X \equiv \#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}^{\prime}$ and $X_{I}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ if $I>0$. The connected sum $X$ has a sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ defined by the sequence of scales $\left\{\lambda_{I \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, a sequence of points $\left\{x_{I \alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$, where the $x_{I \alpha}$ converge with respect to the fixed metric $g_{I}$ to a point $x_{I} \in X_{I_{-}}$, and a neck width parameter $N$. Assume that the connections $A_{\alpha}$ are $g_{\alpha}-A S D$ with respect to the sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ on $X$. Then the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ is $D_{q^{-}}$ convergent to $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ if $D_{q}\left(\left[\left.A_{\alpha}\right|_{X_{I}^{\prime \prime}}\right],\left[\left.A_{I}\right|_{X_{I}^{\prime \prime}}\right]\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.
$D_{q}$ convergence is called ' $L^{q}$ convergence' in [7]. The result below explains the relationship between strong convergence and $D_{q}$ convergence.

Theorem 4.18. [7 (p. 309)] Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of connections on a bundle $P \rightarrow X$ which are ASD with respect to the sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ determined by the sequences of scales $\left\{\lambda_{I \alpha}\right\}$, where $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$. Then the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ is strongly convergent if and only if it is $D_{q}$-convergent.

## 5. Differentials of the gluing maps

In this Chapter we obtain $L^{2}$ estimates for the differentials of the gluing maps $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$. These give $C^{0}$ bounds for the components of the $L^{2}$ metric $g$ on the bubbling ends of $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ and allow us to complete the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In particular, for the remainder of the article, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are assumed to be in effect.
5.1. Construction of the gluing maps. In this section we construct the gluing maps $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$, and set up the analytical framework required for the later sections. Our first task is to construct a right inverse to the linear operator $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$ and so we choose suitable Sobolev spaces $L^{q}, L_{1}^{p}$ and for the remainder of this Chapter, we fix

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \leq p<4 \text { and } 4 \leq q<\infty \text { so that } 1 / 4+1 / q=1 / p \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis, $H_{A_{I}}^{2}=0$ for all $I$ and thus the operators $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ have right inverses $P_{I}$. More explicitly, if $\Delta_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ is the Laplacian $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}\left(d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}\right)^{*}$ and $G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ is the corresponding Green's operator, we may set $P_{I}=$ $\left(d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}\right)^{*} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$. A standard application of the Calderon-Zygmund theory and the Sobolev inequalities gives the following bounds.

Lemma 5.1. Assume $H_{A_{I}}^{2}=0$. Then the operators $P_{I}: L^{p} \rightarrow$ $L_{1}^{p}$ and $P_{I}: L^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ are bounded and there are constants $C_{i}=$ $C_{i}\left(A_{I}, g_{I}, p\right), i=1,2$, such that for all $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{2}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$,

$$
\left\|P_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}, A_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C_{1}\left\|P_{I} \xi\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C_{2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}
$$

We next define the $C^{\infty}$ cutoff functions to be used in the construction of a right parametrix $Q$ for $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$ by patching together the operators $P_{I}$ over $X$.

Lemma 5.2. [7 (Lemma 7.2.10)] For any $\lambda>0$ and $N>4$, there exist a $C^{\infty}$ function $\beta_{\lambda, N}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and a constant $K$ independent of $\lambda, N$, such that $\beta_{\lambda, N}(x)=1$ for $|x| \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{1 / 2}, \beta_{\lambda, N}(x)=0$ for $|x| \leq N^{-1} \lambda^{1 / 2}$, and $\left\|d \beta_{\lambda, N}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, \delta\right)} \leq K(\log N)^{-3 / 4}$.

Define $C^{\infty}$ cutoff functions $\beta_{I}$ on each $X_{I}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{I} \equiv\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*} \beta_{\lambda_{I}, N} \prod_{I_{+}}\left(\phi_{I_{+}}^{-1}\right)^{*} \beta_{\lambda_{I_{+}}, N} \quad \text { on } X_{I}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the factor $\left(\phi_{I s}^{-1}\right)^{*} \beta_{\lambda_{I}, N}$ is omitted when $I=0$. Here, the cutoff functions comprising $\beta_{I}$ have been extended so that $\beta_{I}=1$ on the complement in $X_{I}$ of the balls $B_{I s}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $B_{I+}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I_{+}}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Also, $\beta_{I}=0$ on the balls $B_{I s}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $B_{I+}\left(N^{-1} \lambda_{I_{+}}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{I}$; thus, we may extend $\beta_{I}$ by zero to give $\beta_{I} \in C^{\infty}(X)$. The $L^{4}$ estimate of Lemma 5.2 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d \beta_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq c K(\log N)^{-3 / 4} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c=c\left(g_{0}, k\right)$. For the cutoff functions $\left\{\gamma_{I}\right\}$ defined by Eqs. (3.52) and (3.53), we recall that $\sum_{I} \gamma_{I}=1$ on $X$. Note also that $\beta_{I}=1$ on the support of $\gamma_{I}$.

Define operators $Q_{I}: L_{1}^{p} \Omega^{+, g_{I}}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right) \rightarrow L^{p} \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$ by setting $Q_{I}=\beta_{I} P_{I} \gamma_{I}$. Define a right parametrix $Q: \mathcal{L}_{1}^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \rightarrow$ $L^{p} \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ for the operator $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$ by $Q=\sum_{I} Q_{I}$. The error operator $R: L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \rightarrow L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} Q=1+R . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemmas 3.15 and 5.1 thus yield the following estimates for the operators $Q_{I}$ and $Q$.

Lemma 5.3. There are constants $C_{i}=C_{i}\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right), i=1,2$, such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the following bounds hold.
(a) For any $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g_{I}}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$,

$$
\left\|Q_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C_{1}\left\|Q_{I} \xi\right\|_{L_{1}^{p}\left(X_{I}, A_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C_{2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}
$$

(b) For any $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$,

$$
\|Q \xi\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq C_{1}\|Q \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}_{1}^{p}(X)} \leq C_{2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}
$$

Next, there is an analogue of Lemma 7.2.14 [7] (see also [7 (p. 294)]), giving an $L^{p}$ bound for the operator $R$. The proof follows easily from Lemmas 3.9, 3.12, and 5.1, and Eq. (5.3). In [7] it is assumed that the metrics $g_{I}$ are flat in small neighbourhoods of the points $x_{I}$, but this restriction is easily removed by using Lemma 3.12 .

Lemma 5.4. There is a constant $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(\bar{b}, N, p)$, with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\bar{b} \rightarrow 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$, $\|R \xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq \varepsilon\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$.

Thus, for the remainder of this article, we choose $N_{0}>4$ large enough and $b_{0} \leq 1$ small enough so that $\varepsilon(\bar{b}, N, p) \leq 2 / 3$ for all $\bar{b} \leq$ $b_{0}$ and $N \geq N_{0}$, and fix $N=N_{0}$ and $b_{I}=4 N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. We now construct a right inverse $P$ for $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$. Lemma 5.4 yields the $\left(L^{p}, L^{p}\right)$ operator norm bounds $\|R\| \leq 2 / 3$ and $\left\|(1+R)^{-1}\right\| \leq 3$. Since $Q_{I}=\beta_{I} P_{I} \gamma_{I}$, we have the ( $L^{p}, L^{q}$ ) operator norm bound $\left\|Q_{I}\right\| \leq C_{I}$, say, giving the ( $L^{p}, L^{q}$ ) operator norm bound $\|Q\| \leq C \equiv \sum_{I} C_{I}$. In summary, there is the following version of Proposition 7.2 .35 [7].

Proposition 5.5. There are constants $N_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ such that for any $N \geq N_{0}, \bar{b} \leq b_{0}$, and $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the operator $P \equiv Q(1+R)^{-1}$ : $\mathcal{L}_{1}^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \rightarrow L^{p} \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$ is a right inverse to $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g}$ and there are constants $C_{i}=C_{i}\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right), i=1,2$ such that for any $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$,

$$
\|P \xi\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq C_{1}\|P \xi\|_{L_{1}^{p}(X)} \leq C_{2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} .
$$

We next construct families of solutions to the full non-linear anti-self-dual equation over connected sums. For each $t \in \mathcal{T}$ we seek a solution $A(t)=A^{\prime}(t)+a(t)$ to $F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}+a\right)=0$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} a+(a \wedge a)^{+, g}=-F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$. If $a=P \xi$, with $\xi(t) \in \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$, then this equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi+(P \xi \wedge P \xi)^{+, g}=-F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the aid of Lemma 7.2.23 [7 (p. 290)] (an application of the Contraction Mapping Theorem to Eq. (5.6)) and Proposition 5.5, one easily obtains the following version of Theorem 7.2.24 [7].

Theorem 5.6. For sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}<1$, sufficiently large $N_{0}>$ 4, and sufficiently small $T_{A_{I}}, I \in \mathcal{I}$, the following holds. For any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists an $L_{1}^{p} g$-anti-self-dual connection $A(t)=A^{\prime}(t)+a(t)$ over $X$, with $a(t)=P \xi(t)$. There are positive constants $C_{i}=C_{i}\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$, $i=1,2,3$, such that

$$
\|a\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq C_{1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C_{2}\left\|F^{+, g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C_{3} b^{-4 / p}
$$

We pull back the $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A$ on $P \rightarrow X$ via the conformal maps $f_{I}$ to give $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}=\hat{A}^{\prime}+\hat{a}$ on $\hat{P} \rightarrow X_{0}$, where $\hat{A}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} A \quad \text { over } f_{0}^{-1} \cdots f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $\hat{A}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{a}$. In particular, $\hat{A}=\hat{A}^{\prime}+\hat{a}$ is a solution to the $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual equation $F^{+, g_{0}}\left(\hat{A}^{\prime}+\hat{a}\right)=0$ over $X_{0}$, or explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\hat{A}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \hat{a}+(\hat{a} \wedge \hat{a})^{+, g_{0}}=-F^{+, g_{0}}\left(\hat{A}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{a} \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{ad} \hat{P}\right)$. Standard arguments show that the anti-selfdual connections $A$ and $\hat{A}$ are actually $C^{\infty}$ and that they are smooth points of the moduli spaces $M_{X, k}(g)$ and $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ [7]:

Lemma 5.7. Let $A$ be the $g$-anti-self-dual connection over $X$ produced by Theorem 5.6 and let $\hat{A}$ be the corresponding $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connection over $X_{0}$. Then the following hold:
(a) The connections $A$ and $\hat{A}$ are $C^{\infty}$,
(b) $H_{A}^{0}=0$ and $H_{\hat{A}}^{0}=0$, for small enough $b_{0}$ and large enough $N_{0}$,
(c) $H_{A}^{2}=0$ and $H_{\hat{A}}^{2}=0$.

From $\S 4.4$, we recall that $D_{q}$ is the distance function on $\mathcal{B}_{X, k}$ given by $D_{q}([A],[B])=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{G}}\|A-u(B)\|_{L_{q}(X, g)}$. In particular, we have the following version of Theorem 7.2.62 [7] (compare also Theorem 4.53 [3]).

Theorem 5.8. Let $A_{I}$ be $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connections on $G$ bundles $P_{I}$ over manifolds $X_{I}, I \in \mathcal{I}$. If $I=0$, then $X_{0}$ is a closed, oriented, $C^{\infty}$ four-manifold with generic $C^{\infty}$ metric $g_{0}$ and negative definite intersection form. If $I>0$, then $X_{I}=\mathbb{S}^{4}$ with standard round metric $g_{1}$ of radius 1. Let $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$, the connected sum four-manifold with
$C^{\infty}$ metric $g$ (conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ ) determined by the choice of points $\left\{x_{I}\right\}$, frames $\left\{v_{I}\right\}$, scales $\{\lambda\}$, and neck width parameter $N$. Let $P$ be the connected sum bundle over $X$, where $c_{2}(P)=k \geq 1$. Let $\bar{\lambda}=\max _{I \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_{I}$. Let $T_{A_{1}}$ be open balls centred at $0 \in H_{A_{I}}^{1}, I \in \mathcal{I}$ $\Gamma=\prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \Gamma_{A_{I}}$ and $T=T_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left(T_{A_{I}} \times \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}\right)$, as in Eqs. (3.25) and (3.26). Then, for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}<1$, sufficiently large $N_{0}>4$, and sufficiently small $T_{A_{I}}, I \in \mathcal{I}$, the following holds. There is a $C^{\infty}$ homeomorphism onto an open subset:

$$
\mathcal{J}: T / \Gamma \longrightarrow U \subset M_{X, P}^{*}(g), \quad t \longmapsto[A(t)]
$$

where $A(t)=A^{\prime}(t)+a(t), a(t)=P \xi(t)$, and $\xi(t)$ are as in Theorem 5.6. For any $\nu>0$ and $4 \leq q<\infty$, the manifold $T$ and constant $\lambda_{0}(\nu)$ can be chosen so that, for all $\bar{\lambda}<\lambda_{0}(\nu), U=\left\{[A] \in M_{X, P}^{*}(g):\right.$ $\left.D_{q}\left(\left[\left.A\right|_{X_{I}^{\prime \prime}}\right],\left[A_{I}\right]\right)<\nu\right\}$, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. This is a straightforward generalisation of Theorem 7.2.62 [7] to the case of multiple connected sums (see [7(§7.2.8)]) and a restriction to the case where $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $b^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)=0$. The metric $g_{0}$ is not required to be flat in small neighourhoods of the gluing sites $x_{I} \in X_{0}$. Lemma 5.7 implies that the image of $\mathcal{J}$ lies in the dense open subset $M_{X, P}^{*}(g) \subset M_{X, P}(g)$. The fact that $\mathcal{J}$ is $C^{\infty}$ is a calculation of the type that appears many times in $\S \S 5.3,5.4$, and 5.5. See also Appendix A [22] and Remark 4.24 [3].

We refer to $\mathcal{J}$ as a gluing map over the connected sum and its image $U \subset M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ as a gluing neighbourhood. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}$ extends to a $C^{\infty}$ gluing map on the larger parameter spaces $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{0}$ of Eqs. (3.27) and (3.30). Further properties of these maps are described in the next section. Lastly, for the original metric $g_{0}$ on the base four-manifold $X_{0}$, Theorem 5.8 takes the following form.

Corollary 5.9. Given the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8, there is a homeomorphism onto an open subset

$$
\hat{\mathcal{J}}: T / \Gamma \longrightarrow V \subset M_{X_{0}, \hat{P}}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right), \quad t \longmapsto[\hat{A}(t)]
$$

where $V \subset M_{X_{0}, \hat{P}}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is obtained by pulling back the subset $U \subset$ $M_{X, P}^{*}(g)$ of Theorem 5.8.

Again, $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ extends to a $C^{\infty}$ map on the larger parameter spaces $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{0}$, and additional properties of $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ are discussed in the next section.
5.2. Structure of the compactified moduli spaces. The bubbling ends of $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ away from the diagonals are described in [7 (§8.2)]. We extend this description to neighbourhoods of points in the diagonals of the Uhlenbeck compactification. For related constructions and some further details, we refer to the papers of Taubes and Donaldson.

The proposition below is the basic result we require in order to parametrise neighbourhoods covering the ends of $M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ away from the reducible connections. See also [3 (§IV)], and [22 (p. 529)] for various special cases of the following statements. The following proof is similar to the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.53 [3 (p. $316 \&$ p. 325)].

Proposition 5.10. Given the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8, the following hold:
(a) The approximate gluing map $\mathcal{J}^{\prime}: T / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X, k}^{*}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ embedding.
(b) The gluing map $\mathcal{J}: T / \Gamma \rightarrow U \subset M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset.
(c) The extended gluing map $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \subset M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ submersion onto an open subset.
(d) The extended gluing map $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{0} \subset M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset.
Proof. (a) The proof is essentially the same as the argument required for (b) and so is omitted. (b) From Theorem 5.8, $\mathcal{J}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ homeomorphism, and so it is enough to show that $\mathcal{J}$ is also an immersion, since $T / \Gamma$ has dimension equal to that of $M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$. From the proof of Theorem 5.8, there is a $C^{\infty} \Gamma$-equivariant gluing map $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}: T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X, k}^{*}$, $t \mapsto A(t)$. So, we first show that $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is an immersion and then conclude that the induced map on quotients is a diffeomorphism. The constant $\lambda_{0}$ may be chosen as small as desired and in (a) and (b), the $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$ may be held fixed.

Step 1. Definition of restriction maps. Choose cutoff functions $\psi_{I}$, as in $\S 3.3$, which are zero on the balls $B_{I s}\left(b_{I} / 2\right), B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}} / 2\right)$ and equal to 1 on the complement in $X_{I}$ of the slightly larger balls $B_{I s}\left(b_{I}\right)$, $B_{I_{+}}\left(b_{I_{+}}\right)$. Define a map $\pi_{X_{I}}: L^{2} \Omega^{1}(X$, ad $P) \rightarrow L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$ by left
multiplication with $\psi_{I}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega-\pi_{X_{I}} \omega\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}=O(\bar{\lambda}), \quad \omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right), \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\psi_{I}$ is equal to 1 on the complement of a set in $X_{I}$ of $g_{I}$-volume $O\left(\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right)$. Next, for $I>0$, choose a cutoff function, which is zero outside the annulus $\Omega_{I s}=\Omega\left(x_{I s}, N^{-1} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, N \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ in $X_{I}$, and is equal to 1 on the slightly smaller annulus $\Omega\left(x_{I s}, \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, 2 \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$ containing the supports of the derivatives of the cutoff functions $\gamma_{I_{-}}, \gamma_{I}$. Define a map $\pi_{\Omega_{I}}: L^{2} \Omega^{1}(X, \mathrm{ad} P) \rightarrow L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(\Omega_{I s}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$ by left multiplication with this cutoff function. Lastly, let $\Pi=\pi_{0} \oplus_{I>0}\left(\pi_{X_{I}} \oplus \pi_{\Omega_{I}}\right)$ be the induced map

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{2} \Omega^{1}(X, \operatorname{ad} P) \longrightarrow & L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(X_{0}, \operatorname{ad} P_{0}\right) \\
& \oplus_{I>0}\left(L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right) \oplus L^{2} \Omega^{1}\left(\Omega_{I s}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Partial derivatives with respect to lower moduli parameters. We have $C^{\infty} \Gamma_{A_{I}}$-equivariant maps $\tilde{\vartheta}_{I}: T_{A_{I}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X_{I}, P_{I}}^{*}, t_{I} \mapsto A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ given by the Kuranishi model. Let $v$ be a tangent vector to $T_{A_{I}}$, i.e., suppose $[v] \in H_{A_{I}}^{1}$. Then Eq. (5.9) and the estimates of $\S 5.4$ give the following bounds for the differentials with respect to the lower moduli parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{X_{I}} D \mathcal{J}(v)-D \tilde{\vartheta}_{I}(v)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}=O\left(\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2}\right) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $\tilde{\vartheta}_{I}$ is an immersion and so the range of $D \tilde{\vartheta}_{I}$ has dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{I}}^{1}$. For small enough $\bar{\lambda}$, Eq. (5.10) implies that the range of $\pi_{X_{I}} D \mathcal{J}$ also has dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{I}}^{1}$

Step 3. Partial derivatives with respect to gluing parameters. Let $v$ be a tangent vector to $\mathrm{Gl}_{I}$. The estimates of $\S 5.5$ give the following bounds for the differentials with respect to the gluing parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\pi_{\Omega_{I}} D \mathcal{J}(v)-D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}(v)\right\|_{L^{4}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}=O\left(\bar{\lambda}^{2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

recalling that $D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}(v)$ is supported on $\Omega_{I}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}, 2 \lambda_{I}^{1 / 2}\right)$. But from Proposition 3.28 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}(v)\right\|_{L^{4}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \geq c|v|, \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c>0$ independent of $\bar{\lambda}$. In particular, the range of $\pi_{\Omega_{I}} D \mathcal{J}^{\prime}$ has dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$. So, for sufficiently small
$\bar{\lambda}$, Eqs. (5.11) and (5.12) imply that the range of $\pi_{\Omega_{I}} D \mathcal{I}$ also has dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$.

Step 4. The quotient map. Combining these observations, we find that the range of $\Pi D \mathcal{J}$ has dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{0}}+\sum_{I>0}\left(\operatorname{dim} H_{A_{I}}^{1}\right.$ $\left.+\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Gl}_{I}\right)=\operatorname{dim} T$, so that $\operatorname{ker} \Pi D \mathcal{J}=0$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is an immersion. From Theorem 5.8, the open subset $\tilde{U} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(T)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{X, k}^{*}$ projects to an open subset $U \equiv \mathcal{J}(T)$ in $M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ and composing $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ with the projection $\mathcal{A}_{X, k}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{X, k}^{*} / \mathcal{G}$, we obtain a submersion $\mathcal{I}: T \rightarrow M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$. The group $\Gamma$ acts freely on $T, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ is $\Gamma$-equivariant, $\operatorname{dim} T / \Gamma=\operatorname{dim} M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$, and the gluing map descends to a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{I}: T / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$, as required. (c) This follows from (b). For the derivatives with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ or $x_{I}$, the cutoff functions required to define $\Pi$ should be replaced by cutoffs with similar supports and which are fixed with respect to small variations in the scales and centres. (d) This is similar to the proof of (c) and uses Proposition 3.5.

In order to parametrise neighbourhoods of boundary points in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, we use the following corollary to Proposition 5.10.

Corollary 5.11. Given the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8, the following hold:
(a) The approximate gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{\prime}: T / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ is a $C^{\infty}$ embedding,
(b) The gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: T / \Gamma \rightarrow V \subset M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset,
(c) The extended gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \subset M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ submersion onto an open subset,
(d) The extended gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{0} \subset M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset.
Taken together, Theorems 7.3.2 and 7.2.62 in [7] imply that if $A$ is any $g$-anti-self-dual connection on a fixed $G$ bundle $P$ over the connected sum $X$ and the necks $\Omega$ are all sufficiently pinched (so that $\bar{\lambda}$ is small), then $[A]$ lies in the image of the gluing map. The corresponding statement in our application is given below.

Theorem 5.12. Given the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8, then the following holds. Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of connections on a $G$ bundle $P$ over the connected sum $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$ which are anti-self-dual with respect to the sequence of metrics $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ determined by the sequences of scales $\left\{\lambda_{I \alpha}\right\}$ with $\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$, a fixed neck width parameter $N$, sequences
of points $\left\{x_{I \alpha}\right\}$ converging to $\left\{x_{I}\right\}$, and frames in $\left.F X_{0}\right|_{x_{I \alpha}}$ converging to frames in $\left.F X_{0}\right|_{x_{I}}$. Suppose the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ is strongly convergent to $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, where $A_{I}$ is a $g_{I}$-anti-self-dual connection over each summand $X_{I}$. For $\alpha_{0}$ sufficiently large, there exists a gluing neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\left[A_{\alpha}\right] \in \mathcal{U}$, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$.

Proof. See [7 (§7.3.1)]. Theorem 4.18 implies that the sequence $\left\{A_{\alpha}\right\}$ is $D_{q}$ convergent (for any $\left.4 \leq q<\infty\right)$ to $\left(A_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. So, Theorem 5.8 implies that the points $\left[A_{\alpha}\right]$ are contained in a gluing neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$, for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$ if $\alpha_{0}$ is sufficiently large.

Recall that $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}=\mathrm{SU}(2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{3}$, a copy of the standard three-sphere, and let $\overline{\mathrm{Gl}}_{x_{I}}$ be the closure of $\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}} \times\left(0, \lambda_{0}\right)$ in the cone $\left(\mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}} \times\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right)\right) / \sim$, where $(\rho, 0) \sim\left(\rho^{\prime}, 0\right)$ if $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{x_{I}}$. Then, by analogy with [7 (§8.2)] and $[3(\S \mathrm{~V})]$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\overline{\mathcal{T}} \equiv T_{A_{0}} \times \prod_{I \in \mathcal{I}}\left(T_{A_{I}} \times B\left(x_{I}, r_{0}\right) \times \overline{\mathrm{Gl}}_{x_{I}}\right)\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likewise, define $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{0}$. It is also convenient to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \mathcal{T} \equiv\left\{t_{\infty}=\left(t_{I}, y_{I}, \rho_{I}, \lambda_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \in \overline{\mathcal{T}}: \lambda_{I}=0 \text { for some } I\right\} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 4 -tuple ( $t_{I}, y_{I}, \rho_{I}, \lambda_{I}$ ) above is replaced by $t^{0}$, if $I=0$. The space $\partial \mathcal{T}^{0}$ is defined similarly. Moreover, the gluing map $\mathcal{J}$ has a natural definition on the boundary $\partial \mathcal{T}$. Suppose $t_{\infty} \in \partial \mathcal{T}$ and let $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{c}\right)$ denote the corresponding scales in Eq. (5.14) which have been set equal to zero. By cutting the edges with $\lambda_{i}=0$, we may view the tree $\mathcal{I}$ as a union of subtrees $\cup_{i=1}^{c} \mathcal{I}^{i}$. If $t_{\infty} \in \partial \mathcal{T}$, we write $t_{\infty}=\left(t^{1}, \ldots, t^{c}\right)$, with $t^{i} \in \mathcal{T}^{i}$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left(t_{\infty}\right) \equiv\left(\mathcal{J}\left(t^{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{J}\left(t^{c}\right)\right), \quad t_{\infty} \in \mathcal{T} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each $\mathcal{J}\left(t^{i}\right)$ is an anti-self-dual connection over a connected sum $Y_{i}=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}^{i}} X_{I}$, say, and $X=\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}=\#_{i=1}^{c} Y_{i}$. The relationship between the gluing maps $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{i}$ is explained by the continuity result below, which we just state in the special cases $X=X_{0} \# X_{1} \# X_{2}$, for the sake of clarity. The argument required for this case carries over with no significant change to the more general cases just described.

Proposition 5.13. Let $X=X_{0} \# X_{1} \# X_{2}$, let $Y=X_{0} \# X_{1}$, and let $Y^{\prime \prime}=Y \backslash B\left(x_{1}, \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)$. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8
hold and let $\mathcal{J}_{X}, \mathcal{J}_{Y}$ be the gluing maps over the connected sums $X$ and $Y$, respectively. Then there is an $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(q)>0$ and a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, q, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that $\left\|\left.\mathcal{J}_{X}(t)\right|_{Y^{\prime \prime}}-\mathcal{J}_{Y}\right\|_{L^{q}(Y, g)} \leq C \lambda_{1}^{\varepsilon_{0}}$.

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 7.2.64 [7] and the arguments in $\S 5.3$, and so is omitted. It now follows that $\mathcal{J}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.

Proposition 5.14. Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8 hold. Let $\left\{t_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{T}$ which converges to $t_{\infty} \in \partial \mathcal{T}$. Then the sequence $\left\{\mathcal{J}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to $\mathcal{J}\left(t_{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{c}$ denote the scales, determined by $t_{\infty}$, which have been set equal to zero in Eq. (5.14). The points $t_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}$ are then naturally written as $t_{\alpha}=\left(t_{\alpha}^{1}, \ldots, t_{\alpha}^{c}\right)$, with the sequences $t_{\alpha}^{i}$ converging to $t^{i} \in \mathcal{T}^{i}$, say. According to Proposition 5.13, the sequence $\mathcal{J}\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ is then $D_{q}$ convergent to $\left(\mathcal{J}\left(t^{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{J}\left(t^{c}\right)\right)$ and hence, strongly convergent by Theorem 5.18.

It remains to show that $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has a finite cover consisting of gluing neighbourhoods. Of course, away from the bubbling ends, the moduli space is covered by the standard Kuranishi charts. In addition, the geometry of these charts around the reducible connections has already been analysed in [14], so our focus here is on the bubbling ends. Given any Uhlenbeck boundary point $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right) \in \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, where $c_{2}\left(A_{0}\right)=k-l$ and each $x_{i}$ has multiplicity 1 , Theorem 8.2.3 [7] provides an open neighbourhood $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, a parameter space $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$, and a gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ giving a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma$ with $\mathcal{V}=\overline{\mathcal{V}} \cap M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Theorem 8.2 .4 in [7] states that this gluing map extends to a homeomorphism $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \overline{\mathcal{T}}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}$. Thus, away from the diagonals, the ends of $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ are covered by gluing neighbourhoods. The generalisations below provide a covering of the ends of $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ which includes the diagonals.

Theorem 5.15. Let $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ be a boundary point in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.8, there exist neighbourhoods $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ of $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ and a parameter space $\mathcal{T}^{0}$ such that if $\mathcal{V}=\overline{\mathcal{V}} \cap M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$, then the gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. Suppose $\left\{\left[A_{\alpha}\right]\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$, converging weakly to the Uhlenbeck limit $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$. Let $\left\{\left[\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}\right]\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding strongly convergent sequence in $M_{X, k}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ with the bub-
ble tree limit $\left(A_{I}, x_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. Then Theorem 5.12 produces a gluing neighbourhood $\mathcal{J}\left(\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma\right)=\mathcal{U} \subset M_{X, k}\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ and an $\alpha_{0}$ such that $\left[\check{A}_{\alpha}\right] \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the corresponding neighbourhood in $M_{X_{0}, k}\left(g_{0}\right)$. Then the conclusions follow from Corollary 5.11.

Theorem 5.16. Given the hypotheses of Theorem 5.15, the gluing map $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ extends to a homeomorphism of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}^{0} / \Gamma$ with a neighbourhood $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ of $\left(A_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m_{0}}\right)$ in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5.14 and Theorem 5.16.
Remark 5.17. So, every boundary point in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has a neighbourhood constructible by gluing. Plainly, the same statement holds for boundary points in $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{\tau}\left(g_{0}\right)$.
5.3. Derivatives with respect to scales and centres. The main purpose of this section is to obtain $L^{2}$ estimates for the partial derivatives of the family of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}$ with respect to the scales $\lambda_{I}$ and centres $x_{I}$.

Unless noted otherwise, throughout this section and for the remainder of this article, we assume that $p$ and $q$ are Sobolev exponents satisfying the strict inequalities $2<p<4$ and $4<q<\infty$, where $q$ is determined by $1 / p=1 / 4+1 / q$. The constant $\lambda_{0}>0$ is assumed small and may be decreased as needed. We use $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ to denote constants which are independent of the points $t=\left(t_{I}, \rho_{I}, x_{I}, \lambda_{I}\right) \in \mathcal{T}$. As usual, we abbreviate the derivative with respect to the centre parameters, $p_{I}^{\mu} \partial / \partial q_{I}^{\mu}$ (where $\left|p_{I}\right| \leq 1$ ) by $\partial / \partial p_{I}$.

Denoting $\eta \equiv-F^{+g}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ in Eq. (5.6), we have the following preliminary estimate for the derivatives of $\hat{a}$ with respect to the parameters $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$.

Lemma 5.18. Let $\xi$ and $a=P \xi$ be as in Theorem 5.8, and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>$ 0 , there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|\frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\bar{\lambda} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|\frac{\partial P}{\partial p_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\left\|\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+\bar{\lambda}\right)$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.24, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial a}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}+C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\|a\|_{L_{1}^{2}(X)}
$$

where $a=P \xi$ and $\partial(P \xi) / \partial \lambda_{I}=\left(\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \xi+P\left(\partial \xi / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)$. The esti-
mates of Proposition 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 then give (a). The proof of (b) is similar.

We now differentiate the $g$-anti-self-dual equation and obtain a priori estimates for the partial derivatives of $\xi$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$.

Lemma 5.19. Let $\xi$ be as in Theorem 5.8 and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{2} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}+\bar{\lambda}\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial p_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)}\right)$.

Proof. Differentiating Eq. (5.6) with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}}= & \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \lambda_{I}}-\frac{\partial *_{g}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}(P \xi \wedge P \xi) \\
& -\left(\frac{\partial P \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \wedge P \xi\right)^{+, g}-\left(P \xi \wedge \frac{\partial P \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right)^{+, g}
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimates of Lemma 3.14 and Proposition 5.5 imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq & \left\|\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\|\xi\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} \\
& +C\|\xi\|_{L^{2}}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{4}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.26 and Theorem 5.6 yield $\left\|\partial \eta / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C$, and $\|\xi\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $C \bar{\lambda}$ respectively. Thus, for $\lambda_{0}$ small enough, we may assume $C\|\xi\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $1 / 2$. Part (a) then follows by combining the above estimates and rearrangment, and the proof of (b) is similar.

To complete our task, we need an estimate for the derivatives of $P$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$. Before proceeding, we first record some bounds for the derivatives of the cutoff functions $\beta_{I}$ and $\gamma_{I}$. Suppose $1 \leq p<\infty$. From the definition of $\beta_{I}$ there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{I}, N, p\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|d \beta_{I}\right|_{g_{I}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2} \text { on } \Omega_{I}, \Omega_{I s}  \tag{5.16}\\
& \left\|d \beta_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \lambda^{2 / p-1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Second, for the derivatives of $\beta_{J}$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial \beta_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} & \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1}, \quad\left|\frac{\partial d \beta_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-3 / 2}  \tag{5.17}\\
\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} & \leq \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1}, \quad\left\|\frac{\partial d \beta_{J}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-3 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $J=I_{-}$or $I$, these derivatives being zero otherwise. Third, for the derivatives of $\beta_{J}$ with respect to $x_{I}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} & \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2},\left\|\frac{\partial d \beta_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1}  \tag{5.18}\\
\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} & \leq \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1 / 2},\left\|\frac{\partial d \beta_{J}}{\partial p_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{J}, g_{J}\right)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / p-1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $J=I_{-}$or $I$, these derivatives being zero otherwise. The cutoff functions $\gamma_{J}$ also satisfy the bounds of Eqs. (5.16), (5.17) and (5.18).

Proposition 5.20. For any $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$ and $2<p<4$ defined by $p=4 /(1+2 \delta)$, and small enough $\lambda_{0}$, there is a constant $C=C\left(\delta, g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$,
(a) $\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$,
(b) $\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial p_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$.

Proof. (a) As $P=Q(1+R)^{-1}$, we first obtain operator bounds for $\partial Q / \partial \lambda_{I}, \partial R / \partial \lambda_{I}$, and then deduce an operator bound for $\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}$.

Step 1. Estimate for $\partial Q / \partial \lambda_{I}$. Recall that $Q \xi=\sum_{J} Q_{J} \xi$, where $Q_{J}=\beta_{J} P_{J} \gamma_{J}$ is independent of $\lambda_{I}$ for $J \neq I_{-}, I$, and so

$$
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}}=\frac{\partial Q_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}+\frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}
$$

where

$$
\frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}=\frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} P_{I} \gamma_{I}+\beta_{I} P_{I} \frac{\partial c_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}
$$

with the analogous expression for $\partial Q_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$. Choose $4<q, q_{1}<\infty$ and $2<p, p_{1}<4$ by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
p=4 /(1+2 \delta) \quad \text { and } \quad q=4 /(1-2 \delta)  \tag{5.19}\\
1 / p=1 / 4+1 / q_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad 1 / 2=1 / p_{1}+1 / q_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

and observe that $1 / 4=1 / q+1 / q_{1}$ and $1 / 2=1 / p+1 / q$, while $2 / p=$ $1 / 2+\delta$ and $2 / q=1 / 2-\delta$. Applying Hölder's inequality, the operator bounds for $P_{I}$ of Lemma 5.1, and the fact that $\left\|\partial \beta_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{q}}$ and $\left\|\partial \gamma_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{q}}$ are bounded by $C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}$ from Eq. (5.17), we find

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}+C\left\|\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}
$$

Combining the above estimate with the analogous bound for the $\partial Q_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ term, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

completing Step 1.
Step 2. Estimate for $\partial R / \partial \lambda_{I}$. We have $R=d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} Q-1$ on $X$, and so differentiating with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi=\frac{\partial *_{g}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} d_{A^{\prime}} Q \xi+\left[\frac{\partial A^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}, Q \xi\right]^{+, g}+d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi
$$

Using our $L^{\infty}$ bound for $\partial *_{g} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ of Lemma 3.14, the $L^{4}$ bound for $\partial A^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ of Proposition 3.25, and the operator norm bounds for $Q$ of Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\left\|d_{A^{\prime}} Q \xi\right\|_{L^{2}}+C\|\xi\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $d_{A^{\prime}} Q$ term above, noting that $d_{A^{\prime}} Q \xi=\sum_{J} d_{A_{J}^{\prime}} Q_{I} \xi$ and writing $A_{J}^{\prime}=A_{J}+a_{J}$ over $X_{J}^{\prime}$ lead to

$$
d_{A_{J}^{\prime}} Q_{J} \xi=d \beta_{J} \wedge P_{J} \gamma_{J} \xi+\beta_{J} d_{A_{J}} P_{J} \gamma_{J} \xi+\beta_{J}\left[a_{J}, P_{J} \gamma_{J} \xi\right]
$$

By the bounds $\left\|d \beta_{J}\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq C$ of Eq. (5.16), $\left\|a_{J}\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq C \bar{\lambda}$ of Lemma 3.9, Hölder's inequality, and the operator bounds for $P_{J}$ of Lemma 5.1, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d_{A^{\prime}} Q \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\|\xi\|_{L^{2}} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} \partial Q / \partial \lambda_{I}$ term, note that

$$
d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}}=d_{A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q_{I_{-}}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}+d_{A_{I}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}
$$

We use $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} P_{I}=1$ and $\beta_{I}=1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \gamma_{I}$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{A_{I}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi=( & \left.d \frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \wedge P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right)^{+, g}+\frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\left[a_{I}, P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]^{+, g} \\
& +\left(d \beta_{I} \wedge P_{I} \frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right)^{+, g}+\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi+\beta_{I}\left[a_{I}, P_{I} \frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right]^{+, g} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\left(*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}\right) d_{A_{I}} P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \beta_{I}\left(*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}\right) d_{A_{I}} P_{I} \frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

with the analogous expression for $d_{A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \partial Q_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$. From Lemmas 3.14 and 5.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d_{A_{I}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq & C\left\|d \frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p_{1}}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}+C\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|\left\|_{L^{q}}\right\| a_{I}\left\|_{L^{4}}\right\| \xi \|_{L^{p}} \\
& +C\left\|d \beta_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +C\left\|a_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +C \bar{\lambda}\left\|\frac{\partial \beta_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}+C \bar{\lambda}\left\|\frac{\partial \gamma_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\left\|a_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}} \leq C \bar{\lambda}$ by Lemma 3.9, and because of Eq. (5.17), $\left\|\partial \beta_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{q}}$ and $\left\|\partial \gamma_{I} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{q}}$ are bounded by $C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}$. Hence,

$$
\left\|d_{A_{I}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q_{I}}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}
$$

with the analogous bound for the $d_{A_{I_{-}}^{\prime}}^{+, g} \partial Q_{I_{-}} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ term. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the above inequalities and noting that $\|\xi\|_{L^{2}(X, g)}$ $\leq C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$, yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes Step 2.

Step 3. Estimate for $\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}$. Differentiating $P=Q(1+R)^{-1}$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ gives

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda_{I}}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \lambda_{I}}(1+R)^{-1}-Q(1+R)^{-1} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \lambda_{I}}(1+R)^{-1}
$$

and thus applying the bounds from Steps 1 and 2, we have

$$
\left.\| \frac{\partial P}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right] \xi\left\|_{L^{4}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1}\right\| \xi \|_{L^{p}(X, g)}
$$

which yields (a) since $2 / q-1=-1 / 2-\delta$. For (b), the strategy of (a) shows that $\left\|\left(\partial Q / \partial p_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}}$ and $\left\|\left(\partial R / \partial p_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)}$ are bounded by $C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1 / 2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}}$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial P}{\partial p_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)} \leq C \lambda_{I}^{2 / q-1 / 2}\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (b) follows.
As is readily verified, Lemma 5.19 and Proposition 5.20 then provide the following estimates for the derivatives of $\xi$ and $a$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$ :

Corollary 5.21. Let $\xi$ and $a=P \xi$ be as in Theorem 5.8 and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>$ 0 , there is a constant $C=C\left(\delta, g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{3 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{-3 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$,
(c) $\left\|\partial a / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$,
(d) $\left\|\partial a / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$.

With bounds for the derivatives of $\xi$ and $P$ with respect to $\lambda_{I}$ and $x_{I}$ at hand, we obtain our final estimates for the derivatives of the anti-self-dual connections $A$ and $\hat{A}$. Since $A=A^{\prime}+a$, combining Proposition 3.25 and Corollary 5.21 gives

Corollary 5.22. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then, for any $0<\delta<1 / 2$ and small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(\delta, g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the following bounds hold:
(a) $\left\|\partial A / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\partial A / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$.

Theorem 5.23. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then, for any $0<\delta<1 / 2$ and small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(\delta, g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the following bounds hold:
(a) $\left\|\partial \hat{a} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\partial \hat{A} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$,
(c) $\left\|\partial \hat{a} / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$,
(d) $\left\|\partial \hat{A} / \partial p_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$.

Proof. Using the bound $\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p}$ of Theorem 5.6 , the equality $2 / p=1 / 2+\delta$, the $L^{2}$ estimate for $\partial \hat{a} / \partial \lambda_{I}$ in Lemma 5.18 , the $L^{2}$ estimate for $\partial \xi / \partial \lambda_{I}$ in Corollary 5.21 , and the operator estimate for $\partial P / \partial \lambda_{I}$ in Proposition 5.20, we obtain

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial \lambda_{I}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}+1+\bar{\lambda}^{3 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

which yields (a). Then (b) follows from (a) and the estimate $\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial \lambda_{I}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$ of Proposition 3.27. The proofs of (c) and (d) are similar.
5.4. Derivatives with respect to lower moduli. In this section we obtain estimates for the derivatives of the family of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}$ with respect to the lower moduli parameters $t_{I} \in T_{A_{I}}$. Just as in $\S 5.3$, the strategy is to use the $g$-anti-self-dual equation of Eq. (5.6), together with its derivatives with respect to the $t_{I}$ parameters, to first obtain estimates for the derivatives of $a$ and $\xi$, and then the required derivatives of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{A}^{\prime}$. The Sobolev exponents $p, q$ are fixed so that $2 \leq p<4$ and $4 \leq q<\infty$, where $q$ is determined by $1 / p=1 / 4+1 / q$. We have the following preliminary estimates for the derivatives of $\xi$ and $a$.

Lemma 5.24. Let $\xi$ and $a=P \xi$ be as in Theorem 5.8, and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>$ 0 , there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial a / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C\left\|\partial \xi / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}+\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial t_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C\left(\bar{\lambda}^{2 / p-1 / 2}+\bar{\lambda}^{2 / p}\left\|\left(\partial P / \partial t_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{4}(X, g)}\right)$.

Proof. Differentiating Eq. (5.6) with respect to $t_{I}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_{I}} & =\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t_{I}}-\left(\frac{\partial P \xi}{\partial t_{I}} \wedge P \xi\right)^{+, g}-\left(P \xi \wedge \frac{\partial P \xi}{\partial t_{I}}\right)^{+, g} \\
\frac{\partial P \xi}{\partial t_{I}} & =\frac{\partial P}{\partial t_{I}} \xi+P \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t_{I}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proofs of (a) and (b) are then similar to those of Lemmas 5.18 and 5.19.

Thus, an operator estimate for $\partial P / \partial t_{I}$ is required. As $P=$ $Q(1+R)^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t_{I}}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t_{I}}(1+R)^{-1}-Q(1+R)^{-1} \frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{I}}(1+R)^{-1} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $P_{I}=d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$. Differentiating with respect to $t_{I}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}=\frac{\partial d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}}}{\partial t_{I}} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}-d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \frac{\partial \Delta_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}}{\partial t_{I}} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}
$$

The derivatives of $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ and $d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}}$ with respect to $t_{I}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}}{\partial t_{I}} \omega & =\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \omega\right]^{+, g_{I}}=\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{+, g_{I}} \omega, \\
\frac{\partial d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}}}{\partial t_{I}} \xi & =-*\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, * \xi\right]=\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{*} \xi,
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\omega \in \Omega^{1}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$ and $\xi \in \Omega^{+, g_{I}}\left(X_{I}\right.$, ad $\left.P_{I}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{\partial \Delta_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}}{\partial t_{I}}=\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{+, g_{I}} d_{A_{I}}^{*, g_{I}}+d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{*}
$$

and so we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}=\left(1-P_{I} d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}\right)\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{*} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}-P_{I}\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, \cdot\right]^{+, g_{I}} P_{I} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $1-P_{I} d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ is a bounded $\left(L^{q}, L^{q}\right)$ operator on $\Omega^{+, g_{I}}\left(X_{I}, \operatorname{ad} P_{I}\right)$ by the Calderon-Zygmund theory.

Lemma 5.25. There is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\left(\partial P_{I} / \partial t_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{q}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)}, \quad$ for $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g_{I}}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)$,
(b) $\left\|\left(\partial Q / \partial t_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}, \quad$ for $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, g)$.

Proof. Since $1-P_{I} d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ is bounded on $L^{q}\left(X_{I}, g_{I}\right)$, Eq. (5.27) and the Hölder inequalities show that

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}} \leq\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}}+C\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|P_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}} .
$$

But $G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ and $P_{I}$ are bounded ( $L^{p}, L^{q}$ ) operators and noting that the family $A_{I}\left(t_{I}\right)$ is smoothly parametrised by $t_{I} \in T_{A_{I}}$, we obtain (a). Since $Q_{I}=\beta_{I} P_{I} \gamma_{I}$ and $Q=\sum_{I} Q_{I}$, inequality (b) follows.

It remains to estimate the derivative of $R$ with respect to $t_{I}$.
Lemma 5.26. There is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, g)$, we have $\left\|\left(\partial R / \partial t_{I}\right) \xi\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq$ $C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$.

Proof. We recall that $R=d_{A^{\prime}}^{+, g} Q-1$ over $X$ and $R=d_{A_{I}^{\prime}}^{+, g} Q_{I}-1$ over $X_{I}$. Writing $A_{I}^{\prime}=A_{I}+a_{I}$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R= & d \beta_{I} \wedge P_{I} \gamma_{I}+\beta_{I} d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} P_{I} \gamma_{I}+\beta_{I}\left[a_{I}, \cdot\right]^{+, g} P_{I} \gamma_{I} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}\right) \beta_{I} d_{A_{I}} P_{I}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} P_{I}=1$ and differentiating with respect to $t_{I}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{I}} \xi= & d \beta_{I} \wedge \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi+\beta_{I}\left[\frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]^{+, g} \\
& +\beta_{I}\left[a_{I}, \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]^{+, g}  \tag{5.28}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}\right) \beta_{I}\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(*_{g}-*_{g_{I}}\right) \beta_{I} d_{A_{I}} \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi,
\end{align*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{\partial R}{\partial t_{I}} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq & C\left\|d \beta_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}}+C\left\|\frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}} \\
& +C\left\|a_{I}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|\frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}}+C \bar{\lambda}\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}}  \tag{5.29}\\
& +C \bar{\lambda}\left\|d_{A_{I}} \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{I}=\left(\psi_{I}-1\right) \sigma_{I}^{*} A_{I}$ and $\partial a_{I} / \partial t_{I}=\left(\psi_{I}-1\right) \sigma_{I}^{*} \partial A_{I} / \partial t_{I}$. Aside from the self-dual projection and factor $\beta_{I}$, the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5.28) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{A_{I}} \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi= & -d_{A_{I}} *\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]+d_{A_{I}} P_{I} d_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} *\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, G_{A_{I}}^{+,, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right] \\
& -d_{A_{I}} P_{I}\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]^{+, g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{I}$ is a bounded operator from $L^{p}$ to $L_{1}^{p}$, using the bounded inclusion $L_{1}^{p} \rightarrow L^{q}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|d_{A_{I}} \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq & C\left\|d_{A_{I}} *\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]\right\|_{L^{p}}+\left\|\left[\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}, P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right]\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
\leq & C\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +\left\|\nabla^{A_{I}} \frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +C\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|\nabla^{A_{I}} G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \\
& +\left\|\frac{\partial A_{I}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{4}}\left\|P_{I} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the family $A_{I}(t)$ is smoothly parametrised by $t_{I} \in T_{A_{I}}$, and $G_{A_{I}}^{+, g_{I}}$ is a bounded operator from $L^{p}$ to $L_{2}^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d_{A_{I}} \frac{\partial P_{I}}{\partial t_{I}} \gamma_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{p}} \leq C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (5.29), (5.30) and Lemma 5.25 then yield the required bound for $\partial R / \partial t_{I}$.

Thus, Eq. (5.26), together with Lemmas 5.25 and 5.26 , provides an estimate for the derivative of $P$ with respect to $t_{I}$ :

Proposition 5.27. There is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in L^{p} \Omega^{+, g}(X, \operatorname{ad} P)$, we have $\left\|\partial P / \partial t_{I} \xi\right\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq$ $C\|\xi\|_{L^{p}(X, g)}$.

This leads to our final estimates for the derivatives of $\xi$ and $a$ with respect to $t_{I}$.

Corollary 5.28. Let $\xi$ and $a=P \xi$ be as in Theorem 5.8, and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial \xi / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{-2 / p-1 / 2}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial a / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p-1 / 2}$.

Proof. Inequality (a) follows from Lemma 5.24 and Proposition 5.27 , since $\|\xi\|_{L^{p}} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{-2 / p}$ by Theorem 5.6. Inequality (b) then follows from (a) and Lemma 5.24.

By combining Proposition 3.30 and Corollary 5.28 we obtain an estimate for the derivatives of the connections $A=A^{\prime}+a$ over $X$ :

Corollary 5.29. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then, for any $2 \leq p<4$ and sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial A / \partial t_{I}-\partial A_{I} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{I}^{\prime \prime}, g_{I}\right)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{-2 / p-1 / 2}$,
(b) $\left\|\partial A / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C$.

We now come to the main result of this section.
Theorem 5.30. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then, for any $2 \leq p<4$ and sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\left\|\partial \hat{a} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{-2 / p-1 / 2}$
(b) $\left\|\partial \hat{A} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$.

Proof. Let $U \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \cdots f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}$ and note that $\partial \hat{a} / \partial t_{I}=$ $\sum_{I} f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \partial a / \partial t_{I}$ on $U$. Lemma 3.19 gives

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial \hat{a}}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \sum_{I}\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial a}{\partial t_{I}}\right\|_{L^{p}(X, g)},
$$

and so Part (a) follows from Corollary 5.28. Part (b) follows from (a), and the estimate $\left\|\partial \hat{A}^{\prime} / \partial t_{I}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$ of Proposition 3.32.
5.5. Derivatives with respect to bundle gluing parameters. We obtain estimates for the partial derivatives of the family of $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}(t)$ with respect to the bundle gluing parameters $\rho_{I} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$. The Sobolev exponents $p, q$ are fixed so that $2 \leq p<4$, with $4 \leq q<\infty$ determined by $1 / 4+1 / q=1 / p$. We first recall the estimate of Donaldson and Kronheimer for the derivative of $a=P \xi$ with respect
to the gluing parameters $\rho_{I}$. As described in $\S 3.8$, we work with an equivalent family of $g$-anti-self-dual connections $A=A^{\prime}+a$ on a fixed bundle $P$. Thus, considering only the gluing parameters, we have a diffeomorphism $B_{\mathfrak{g}} \ni v \rightarrow A\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}, v\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{X, P}^{*}$ (where $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the unit ball in $\mathfrak{g}$ ), giving a family of $C^{\infty}$ connections on a fixed bundle $P=P\left(\bar{\rho}_{I}\right)$, as in Eq. (3.58). Here, $B_{\mathfrak{g}} \ni v \rightarrow \rho_{I}(v)=\bar{\rho}_{I} \exp (v) \in \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$ is a coordinate chart centred at $\bar{\rho}_{I} \in \mathrm{Gl}_{I}$, as in Eq. (3.57). This understood, one has the following bounds.
Proposition 5.31. [7 (p. 303)] Let a be as in Theorem 5.8, and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\|\partial a / \partial v\|_{L^{q}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p+1}$

Proof. The proof in [7] deals only with single connected sums $X=$ $X_{0} \# X_{1}$, but the argument adapts without significant change to the general case of multiple connected sums $\#_{I \in \mathcal{I}} X_{I}$. Likewise, the assumptions in [7] that $\Gamma_{I}=1$ and $H_{A_{I}}^{0}=H_{A_{I}}^{1}=0$, for all $I$, do not affect the relevant estimates.

Corollary 5.32. Let $A$ be as in Theorem 5.8, and assume that the conditions of that theorem hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T},\|\partial A / \partial v\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq$ $C \lambda^{-2 / p-1 / 2}$.

Proof. Combine Propositions 3.28 and 5.31 .
Moreover, we have the following estimates for the derivatives of the $g_{0}$-anti-self-dual connections $\hat{A}=\hat{A}^{\prime}+\hat{a}$ on the fixed bundle $\hat{P}$ over $X_{0}$.

Theorem 5.33. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then, for small enough $\lambda_{0}>0$, there is a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, p, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$,
(a) $\|\partial \hat{a} / \partial v\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p+1}$,
(b) $\|\partial \hat{A} / \partial v\|_{L^{p}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{2 / p-1 / 2}$.

Proof. Since $\hat{a}=f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} a$ on $U \equiv f_{0}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ f_{I}^{-1}\left(X_{I}^{\prime}\right)$, Lemma 3.19 gives

$$
\left\|f_{0}^{*} \cdots f_{I}^{*} \frac{\partial a}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(U, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left\|\frac{\partial a}{\partial v}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}, g_{I}\right)},
$$

and Proposition 5.31 gives (a). Similarly, (b) follows from (a) and Proposition 3.29.
5.6. Differentials of the gluing maps and final arguments. We summarise the results of the preceding sections and record our bounds for the differentials of the approximate gluing maps $\mathcal{J}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$. The estimates for $D \hat{\mathcal{J}}$ then give bounds for the diagonal (and so all) components of the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$ and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Combining these metric bounds with results of Donaldson in [5] then completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. The following two theorems summarise the estimates obtained in $\S \S 5.3$ to 5.5 , the first following from Corollaries 5.22, 5.29, and 5.32 and the second from Theorems 5.23, 5.30, and 5.33.

Theorem 5.34. Let $\mathcal{J}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X, k}^{*}(g)$ be a gluing map and assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then for sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}>0$ and any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that the following bounds hold:
(a) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}\left(\partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C$,
(b) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}\left(\partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2}$,
(c) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}\left(\partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$,
(d) $\left\|D \mathcal{J}\left(\partial / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(X, g)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$.

Theorem 5.35. Let $\hat{\mathcal{J}}: \mathcal{T} / \Gamma \rightarrow M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ be a gluing map and assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Then for any $0<\delta<$ $1 / 2$, sufficiently small $\lambda_{0}>0$ and any $t \in \mathcal{T}$, there exists a constant $C=C\left(\delta, g_{0}, \mathcal{T}\right)$ such that the following bounds hold:
(a) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}\left(\partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C$,
(b) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}\left(\partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C \bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2}$,
(c) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}\left(\partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-\delta}\right)$,
(d) $\left\|D \hat{\mathcal{J}}\left(\partial / \partial \lambda_{I}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1 / 2+\delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1 / 2-\delta}\right)$.

It remains to reinterpret the bounds of Theorem 5.35 in terms of the corresponding bounds for the diagonal components of the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$.

Corollary 5.36. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.35, the following bounds hold:
(a) $\mathbf{g}\left(\partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}, \partial / \partial t_{I}^{\alpha}\right) \leq C$,
(b) $\mathbf{g}\left(\partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}, \partial / \partial \rho_{I}^{\beta}\right) \leq C \bar{\lambda}$,
(c) $\mathbf{g}\left(\partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}, \partial / \partial x_{I}^{\mu}\right) \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1+2 \delta} \lambda_{I}^{-2 \delta}\right)$,
(d) $\mathbf{g}\left(\partial / \partial \lambda_{I}, \partial / \partial \lambda_{I}\right) \leq C\left(1+\bar{\lambda}^{1+2 \delta} \lambda_{I}^{-1-2 \delta}\right)$.

Recall that the $\mathbf{g}$-length of a path $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right) \ni s \rightarrow A(s) \in M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is computed by

$$
\int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}} \sqrt{\mathbf{g}}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right) d s \leq \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{1}}\left\|\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)} d s
$$

The proofs of our main results are now essentially complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $0<\delta<1 / 2$, the bounds of Theorem imply that the gluing neighbourhoods $\mathcal{V}=\hat{\mathcal{J}}\left(\mathcal{T}^{0} / \Gamma\right)$ have finite g -volume and g-diameter. Therefore, the bubbling ends of $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ have finite $g$-volume and $g$-diameter since the entire moduli space is covered by finitely many such neighbourhoods. Away from the Uhlenbeck boundary, gluing neighbourhoods consist simply of $C^{\infty}$ Kuranishi charts. The conical ends corresponding to Kuranishi charts around the reducible connections have finite $\mathbf{g}$-volume and $\mathbf{g}$-diameter by Theorem 1 [14].

Next we consider the relationship between the metric completion and the Uhlenbeck compactification of the anti-self-dual moduli space. Let $d_{2}$ be the distance function on $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ defined by the $L^{2}$ metric $\mathbf{g}$. Thus, if $[A],[B]$ are two points in $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$, then $d_{2}([A],[B])$ is the infimum over all g -lengths of paths in $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ joining $[A],[B]$. If the two points lie in different path components of the moduli space, then set $d_{2}([A],[B])=\infty$. Since $b^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)=0$, the moduli space has at most finitely many path components; we say that $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ has finite $\mathbf{g}$-diameter if the sum of the $\mathbf{g}$-diameters of the connected components is finite. In [5], Donaldson constructs two other distance functions, $D_{2}$ and $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}$, for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$. First, given points $[A],[B]$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$, set

$$
D_{2}([A],[B]) \equiv \inf _{u \in \mathcal{G}}\left\|A-u^{*} B\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)}
$$

Lemma 2 [5] (or Lemma 4.2.4 [7]) shows that $D_{2}$ is a well-defined distance function on $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$. Moreover, Lemma $1[5]$ implies that $D_{2}([A],[B])$ is equal to the distance function defined in the usual way by the $L^{2}$ metric on $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ as the infimum over $g$-lengths of paths in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ joining $[A]$ and $[B]$. One then obtains a second distance function on $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ by restriction. Define an $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*, \varepsilon} \equiv\left\{[A] \in \mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*}:\left\|F_{A}^{+, g_{0}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(X_{0}, g_{0}\right)}<\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Then $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}([A],[B])$ is defined as infimum of the $g$-lengths of paths in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*, \varepsilon}$ joining two points $[A]$ and $[B]$ in $\mathcal{B}_{X_{0}, k}^{*, \varepsilon}$. One now obtains a third distance function on $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ by restriction. The three distance functions $d_{2}, D_{2}$, and $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ on $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}([A],[B]) \leq D_{2}^{\varepsilon}([A],[B]) \leq d_{2}([A],[B]) \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $[A],[B] \in M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$. To show that the $d_{2}$-completion of $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$, it is enough to prove that a sequence $\left[A^{\alpha}\right]$ in $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is $d_{2}$-Cauchy if and only if it is convergent in the Uhlenbeck topology. For the metric $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}$, one has

Theorem 5.37. [5 (Theorem 4)] For any $\varepsilon>0$, the $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}$-completion of $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is homeomorphic to $\bar{M}_{X_{0}, k}^{u}\left(g_{0}\right)$.

Thus Donaldson's result gives part of the proof of Theorem 1.2: Suppose a sequence $\left[A^{\alpha}\right]$ in $M_{X_{0}, k}^{*}\left(g_{0}\right)$ is $d_{2}$-Cauchy. According to Eq. (5.31), it must also be $D_{2}^{\varepsilon}$-Cauchy and so is convergent in the Uhlenbeck topology by Theorem 5.37 or simply by Proposition 6 [5]. The proof of the reverse direction, namely that a sequence $\left[A^{\alpha}\right]$ which is convergent in the Uhlenbeck topology is also $d_{2}$-Cauchy, is included in [9].
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