

## HOROSPHERIC FOLIATIONS AND RELATIVE PINCHING

BORIS HASSELBLATT

### Abstract

Relative curvature pinching in negative curvature provides regularity of the horospheric foliations up to  $C^{2-\epsilon}$ .

The horospheric foliations of a negatively curved Riemannian manifold are defined as the stable and unstable foliations of its geodesic flow, as explained below. There are two classical results about smoothness of horospheric foliations: Negatively curved surfaces have  $C^1$  horospheric foliations [4], and  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched Riemannian manifolds have  $C^1$  horospheric foliations [2]. The latter has been improved to give  $C^{2\sqrt{a}}$  foliations assuming  $a$ -pinching ( $a \in (0, 1)$ ). An open question, posed in [2], is whether these results hold assuming only relative pinching (e.g., does relative  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinching imply  $C^1$  foliations). We do not know the answer, but give sufficient relative pinching conditions for the same range of smoothness and indicate where improvements seem possible. See [1] for a brief survey of interesting related results.

**Definition 1.** The sectional curvature of a compact negatively curved Riemannian manifold  $N$  is *relatively  $a$ -pinched* if  $C \leq$  sectional curvature  $< aC$  for some  $C: N \rightarrow -\mathbb{R}_+$ . If  $C$  is constant, the curvature is said to be (absolutely)  $a$ -pinched.

**Theorem 2.** For  $a \in (0, 1)$  a compact relatively  $a$ -pinched Riemannian manifold has  $C^{2a}$  horospheric foliations.

This follows from Theorems 5 and 6. Theorem 5 is a regularity theorem for the stable and unstable foliations of an Anosov flow based on a “bunching” assumption of contraction and expansion rates sharpening the standard regularity theory in [1], which cannot be substantially improved. Theorem 6 establishes a connection between relative pinching and bunching which may not be optimal. Here are the needed properties

of the geodesic flow of a negatively curved Riemannian manifold.

**Definition 3.** A flow  $\varphi^t$  on a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called Anosov with Anosov splitting  $(E^u, E^s) := (E^{su} \oplus E^\varphi, E^{ss} \oplus E^\varphi)$  if  $TM = E^{su} \oplus E^{ss} \oplus E^\varphi$ ,  $E^\varphi = \text{span}\{\dot{\varphi}\} \neq \{0\}$ , and  $\exists \lambda < 1, C > 0$ ,  $\forall p \in M, t > 0$  such that

$$\|D\varphi^t(v)\| \leq C\lambda^t \|v\| \quad (v \in E^s(p))$$

and

$$\|D\varphi^{-t}(u)\| \leq C\lambda^{-t} \|u\| \quad (u \in E^u(p)).$$

Call  $\varphi^t$   $\alpha$ -bunched if there exist  $\mu_f \leq \mu_s < 1 < \nu_s \leq \nu_f: M \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in M} \mu_s(p, t) \nu_s(p, t)^{-1} \mu_f(p, t)^{-\alpha} = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in M} \mu_s(p, t) \nu_s(p, t)^{-1} \nu_f(p, t)^\alpha = 0$$

such that for all  $p \in M, v \in E^{ss}(p), u \in E^{su}(\varphi^t p), t > 0$ , we have

$$\mu_f(p, t) \|v\| \leq \|D\varphi^t(v)\| \leq \mu_s(p, t) \|v\|,$$

$$\nu_f(p, t)^{-1} \|u\| \leq \|D\varphi^{-t}(u)\| \leq \nu_s(p, t)^{-1} \|u\|.$$

This notion of bunching is weaker than the one used in [1]. For geodesic flows in negative curvature the terminology is clearer since  $\mu_i = \nu_i^{-1}$  ( $i = f, s$ ) by symplecticity, and hence  $\alpha$ -bunching means

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in M} \nu_s(p, t)^{-2/\alpha} \nu_f(p, t) = 0,$$

so  $\nu_s \leq \nu_f < \nu_s^{2/\alpha}$  uniformly for large  $t$ .

$E^u$  and  $E^s$  are tangent to foliations  $W^u$  and  $W^s$ , respectively (unstable/stable foliations), whose leaves are  $C^\infty$  injectively immersed cells depending continuously on the base point in the  $C^\infty$  topology [3]. In the case of a geodesic flow these are the horospheric foliations on the unit tangent bundle. The regularity of  $E^u, E^s$  in the  $C^\infty$ -topology is that of their representations in smooth local coordinates. Regularity of horospheric foliations is the regularity of their tangent distributions. For regularity  $C^1$  and higher this coincides with all alternative definitions.

**Definition 4.** A map  $f$  between metric spaces is called Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  if  $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \text{const} \cdot (d(x, y))^\alpha$  for nearby  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is  $C^{[\beta]}$  and  $f^{([\beta])}$  is  $\beta - [\beta]$ -Hölder, then we say  $f \in C^\beta$ . A distribution is  $C^\beta$  if it is  $C^\beta$  in a smooth chart.

**Theorem 5.** *The Anosov splitting of an  $\alpha$ -bunched Anosov flow is  $C^\alpha$  in the  $C^\infty$ -topology for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ .*

**Theorem 6.** *A geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle  $M = SN$  of a compact relatively  $a$ -pinched Riemannian manifold  $N$  is  $2a + \epsilon$ -bunched for some  $\epsilon$ .*

**Remark.**  $a$ -pinching implies  $2\sqrt{a} + \epsilon$ -bunching [6, Theorem 3.2.17], which is stronger. Ideally this would follow already from relative  $a$ -pinching.

*Proof of Theorem 5.* We only treat the case  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  to show how to modify [1]. The framework of the argument is the same as in [1] which in turn uses the formulation of [5]. For  $p \in M$ , take a hypersurface  $\mathcal{F}_p$  transversal to  $\dot{\phi}$  of uniform size depending  $C^\infty$  on  $p$ . For each  $p$ , let  $W^u := W^u(p) \cap \mathcal{F}_p$ ,  $W^s := W^s(p) \cap \mathcal{F}_p$ ,  $E^u := TW^u$ , and  $E^s := TW^s$ . Take coordinates  $\Xi: M \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^{k+l} \rightarrow M$  such that  $\Xi_p: [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^{k+l} \xrightarrow{C^\infty} \mathcal{F}_p$  is continuous in  $p$ ,  $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]^k \times \{0\} \rightarrow W^u$ ,  $\{0\} \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon]^l \rightarrow W^s$ , and if  $\phi^t: \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\phi^t p}$  is the induced map then

$$D\phi^t|_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & 0 \\ 0 & C_t \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\|A_t^{-1}\| < \nu_s(p, t)^{-1}$  and  $\|C_t\| < \mu_s(p, t)$ . Write the coordinates as  $(x, y)$  with  $\Xi_p(x, 0) \in W^u$  and  $\Xi_p(0, y) \in W^s$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Given  $p \in M$ ,  $q \sim (0, y) \in W^s$ ,  $(0, y)_t := \phi^t(0, y)$ , there exist  $C > 0$  and  $C_t > 0$  such that*

$$D\phi^t = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & 0 \\ B_t & C_t \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\|A_t^{-1}\| < C\nu_s(q, t)^{-1}$ ,  $\|C_t\| < C\mu_s(q, t)$ ,  $\|C_t^{-1}\| < C\mu_f(q, t)^{-1}$ ,  $\|B_t\| < C_t\|y\|$ ,  $C\|y_t\| \geq \mu_f(q, t)\|y\|$ .

*Proof.*  $\|A_t^{-1}\| < \nu_s(q, t)^{-1}$  in coordinates centered at  $q$ . But up to a distortion factor, uniformly bounded independently of  $t$ , the linear part of the coordinate change is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ D & I \end{pmatrix}$ , so that up to a bounded factor the representations  $A_t^{-1}$  agree in both systems, as do the ones for  $C_t$  and  $C_t^{-1}$ .  $\|B_t\| < C_t\|y\|$  since  $\phi^t$  is a diffeomorphism with  $B_t$  differentiable and vanishing at the origin of the coordinate system. For the remaining claim it is slightly easier and by boundedness of coordinate changes clearly sufficient to show  $\|y\| \leq C\mu_f(p, t)^{-1}\|\phi^t(y)\|$ . To this end let  $\gamma_t: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\phi^t p}$  be a geodesic with  $\gamma_t(0) = \phi^t(p)$ ,  $\gamma_t(1) = \phi^t(q)$ ,

where  $q \sim (0, y)$ . By standard hyperbolic theory  $\phi^{-t}\gamma_t$  converges to a smooth curve  $c(\cdot) \subset \mathcal{T}_p$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi^t(y)\|/\mu_f(p, t)\|y\| = 0$ , then by the intermediate value theorem this holds for all  $c(s)$ ,  $s \in (0, 1]$ . Using compactness of  $M$  (to control higher derivatives) yields uniformity in  $s$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D\phi^t(v)\|/\mu_f(p, t)\|v\| = 0$  for  $v = \dot{c}(0)$ , contrary to the choice of  $\mu_f$ . *q.e.d.*

In  $\Xi_p$ , represent elements

$$v \in V(\delta) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k+1\text{-dimensional distributions } v \text{ on } M \text{ such} \\ \text{that } v(p) \text{ contains } \dot{\phi}(p) \text{ and is } \delta\text{-close to } E^u(p) \end{array} \right\}$$

by identifying  $v(p)$  with  $v(p) \cap T\mathcal{T}_p$ ; likewise for  $v(q)$  in coordinates  $\Xi_p$  for  $q \in \mathcal{T}_p$ . Thus  $\delta$ -closeness is determined by representing  $v(p)$  as the graph of a linear map  $D: \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$  via  $\Xi_p$  and using the norm topology.  $\phi^t$  acts on  $V(\delta)$  via  $(\mathcal{P}_t v)(p) := D\phi^t(v(\phi^{-t}p))$ .  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta)) \subset V(\delta)$  for large  $t$  and  $\mathcal{P}_t v \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} E^u$  for  $v \in V(\delta)$ . Also one easily shows

**Lemma 8.** *For  $\delta, \epsilon_0 > 0$  there exists  $K = K(\delta, \epsilon_0) > 0$  such that  $V(\delta) \subset V(\delta, \epsilon_0, K) := \{E \in V(\delta) \mid \|E(z)\| \leq K\|z\|^\alpha \text{ when } \epsilon_0 \leq z \leq \epsilon\} \subset V(\delta)$ .*

This is useful since for all sufficiently large  $t$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta)) \subset V(\delta)$ .

**Proposition 9.** *If  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $\phi^t$  is  $\alpha$ -bunched, then  $E^u$  is  $C^\alpha$ .*

This follows from

**Lemma 10.** *For all  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  there exist  $K > 0$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that for all sufficiently large  $t$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta)) \subset V(\delta, \eta^t, K)$ .*

Namely  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_i(V(\delta)) \subset V(\delta, 0, K)$ , i.e., every  $E \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_i(V(\delta))$  is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  and constant  $K$ . But by construction we have  $E^u \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_i(V(\delta))$ .

To obtain Lemma 10 we show

**Lemma 11.** *There exist  $K, \epsilon > 0$  such that if  $v \in V(\delta(\epsilon))$  and  $\|y\| < \epsilon$ , then there is a  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $t \in [T, 2T]$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta(\epsilon))) \subset V(\delta(\epsilon))$  and, with  $(0, z) = \phi^t(0, y)$ ,*

$$\|v(0, y)\| < K\|y\|^\alpha \rightarrow \|(\mathcal{P}_t v)(0, z)\| < K\|z\|^\alpha.$$

Inductively this yields

**Corollary 12.** *There exist  $K, \epsilon > 0$  such that for  $v \in V(\delta(\epsilon))$  and  $\|y\| < \epsilon$  there is a  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for  $t > T$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta(\epsilon))) \subset V(\delta(\epsilon))$  and  $\|v(0, y)\| < K\|y\|^\alpha \Rightarrow \|(\mathcal{P}_t v)(0, z)\| < K\|z\|^\alpha$ .*

If we take  $\eta$  to exceed the slowest contraction rate, then Lemma 10 follows by Lemma 8 and we are done.

*Proof of Lemma 11.* Write  $v(y)$  instead of  $v(0, y)$ , etc. Then  $v(y)$  is the graph of a linear map  $D$  and hence the image of the map  $\begin{pmatrix} I \\ D \end{pmatrix}$  where  $I$  is the  $(k, k)$ -identity matrix. Thus

$$(D\phi^t|_y)(v(y)) = \begin{pmatrix} A_t & 0 \\ B_t & C_t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_t \\ B_t + C_t D \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} I \\ (B_t + C_t D)A_t^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where “ $\sim$ ” indicates that the two maps have the same image. If  $\|v(y)\| \leq K\|y\|^\alpha$ ,  $z = \phi^t y$ , and  $T$  is such that  $C^{2+\alpha} \left( \nu_s(q, t)^{-1} \mu_s(q, t) \mu_f(q, t)^{-\alpha} \right) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_t(V(\delta)) \subset V(\delta)$  for  $t > T$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(z)\| &= \|(B_t(y) + C_t(y)D(y))A_t^{-1}(y)\| \\ &\leq \|B_t(y)\| \|A_t^{-1}(y)\| + \|A_t^{-1}(y)\| \|C_t(y)\| \|D(y)\| \\ &\leq C_t \|y\| \cdot C\nu_s(q, t)^{-1} + C^2\nu_s(q, t)^{-1} \mu_s(q, t) K \|y\|^\alpha \\ &\leq C_t C^2 \mu_f(q, t)^{-1} \nu_s(q, t)^{-1} \|z\| \\ &\quad + C^{2+\alpha} \left( \nu_s(q, t)^{-1} \mu_s(q, t) \mu_f(q, t)^{-\alpha} \right) K \|z\|^\alpha \\ &< K \|z\|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [T, 2T]$  whenever  $K > \sup_{t \in [T, 2T]} 2C_t C^2 \mu_f(q, t)^{-1} \nu_s(q, t)^{-1}$ .  
q.e.d.

Theorem 5 now follows after similarly modifying [1] for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and getting the same regularity for  $E^s$  by reversing time. q.e.d.

For Theorem 6 we need a lemma from ordinary differential equations.

**Lemma 13.** (*Gronwall's inequality*). *If  $f, g \in C^0([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $f(t) \leq \alpha + \int_0^t f(s)g(s) ds$ , then  $f(t) \leq \alpha e^{\int_0^t g(s) ds}$ . The same holds with reversed inequalities, so  $0 < h(t) \leq f'(t)/f(t) \leq g(t)$  implies  $f(0)e^{\int_0^t h(s) ds} \leq f(t) \leq f(0)e^{\int_0^t g(s) ds}$ .*

*Proof.* Integrating  $f(t)g(t)/(\alpha + \int_0^t f(s)g(s) ds) \leq g(t)$  yields

$$\log \left( \alpha + \int_0^t f(s)g(s) ds \right) - \log \alpha \leq \int_0^t g(s) ds;$$

hence  $f(t) \leq \alpha + \int_0^t f(s)g(s) ds \leq \alpha e^{\int_0^t g(s) ds}$ . Same with “ $\geq$ ”. q.e.d.

*Proof of Theorem 6.* This is an adaptation of the arguments in [6, Theorem 3.2.17]. Fix  $\tau > 0$  and a continuous family of symmetric operators  $E$  from the horizontal subspace  $V_h$  in  $TSN$  to the vertical subspace  $V_v \simeq V_h$ . For  $p \in SN$ , take the geodesic with  $\dot{c}(0) = p$ , and let  $E_\tau(p) = (g^\tau)^*(E(\dot{c}(-\tau)))$  be the image of  $E(\dot{c}(-\tau))$  under the geodesic flow, whose action is given by the Riccati equation  $\dot{E}(t) + E^2(t) + K(t) = 0$

along  $c$ . So if  $K_1(t) := -\inf K_{c(t)}$  and  $K_2(t) := -\sup K_{c(t)}$ , both taken over all two-dimensional subspaces, then  $\beta(t) := \min_{v \in S_p M} \langle E_t(v), v \rangle > 0$  and  $\gamma(t) := \max_{v \in S_p M} \langle E_t(v), v \rangle > 0$  satisfy differential inequalities  $\dot{\beta} \geq K_2 - \beta^2$  and  $\dot{\gamma} \leq K_1 - \gamma^2$  along  $c$ . By relative  $a$ -pinching,  $K_2 > aK_1$ , so whenever  $\beta(t) \leq a\gamma(t)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\beta}\gamma - \beta\dot{\gamma} &\geq (K_2 - \beta^2)\gamma - \beta(K_1 - \gamma^2) > (a\gamma - \beta)K_1 + \gamma\beta(\gamma - \beta) \\ &> (a\gamma - \beta)(K_1 + \gamma\beta) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\beta}{\gamma}(t) > 0.$$

Thus  $\beta > a\gamma$  for all  $t$  as long as we take  $\beta(0) > a\gamma(0)$ . The spectrum of  $U := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E$  is thus in  $[\kappa_0(p), \kappa_1(p)]$  for Hölder continuous  $\kappa_i: SN \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $a\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_0$ . (Here one would like  $\sqrt{a}$  instead.)

$U$  represents the unstable distribution in the sense that every  $v \in E^u(p)$  can be written as  $(v_h, U(p)v_h)$  for some horizontal vector  $v_h \in T_p SN$ . In effect,  $v_h$  gives the initial value of an unstable Jacobi field along  $c$ , and  $Uv_h = \nabla_{\dot{c}(0)} v_h$  gives the initial derivative. Along  $c$  we write  $\kappa_i(t)$  for  $\kappa_i(\dot{c}(t))$  and  $U(t)$  for  $U_i(\dot{c}(t))$ . Then

$$(1) \quad 2\kappa_0(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{d}{dt}\|v_h(t)\|^2 = 2\langle v_h(t), U(t)v_h(t) \rangle \leq 2\kappa_1(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2,$$

$$(2) \quad \kappa_0^2(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \|\nabla v_h(t)\|^2 = \|U(t)v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \kappa_1^2(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2.$$

With  $x_i(t) := \int_0^t \kappa_i(s) ds$ , Lemma 13 and (1) give

$$\|v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_0(t)} \leq \|v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \|v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_1(t)},$$

which together with (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\kappa_0^2(t)}{\kappa_1^2(0)} \|\nabla v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_0(t)} &\leq \kappa_0^2(t)\|v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_0(t)} \leq \kappa_0^2(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \|\nabla v_h(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq \kappa_1^2(t)\|v_h(t)\|^2 \leq \kappa_1^2(t)\|v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_1(t)} \leq \frac{\kappa_1^2(t)}{\kappa_0^2(0)} \|\nabla v_h(0)\|^2 e^{2x_1(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $\kappa_i$  are bounded, the last two equations show that

$$\frac{1}{C}\|v(0)\|e^{x_0(t)} \leq \|v(t)\| \leq C\|v(0)\|e^{x_1(t)}.$$

So if  $p = \dot{c}(0)$  then  $\nu_s(p, t) \geq e^{x_0(t)}/C$ ,  $\nu_f(p, t) \leq Ce^{x_1(t)}$ , and

$$\nu_s(p, t)^{-2/2a} \nu_f(p, t) \leq C' e^{(1/a) \int_0^t a\kappa_1(s) - \kappa_0(s) ds}.$$

By compactness relative  $a$ -pinching implies relative  $(a + \epsilon)$ -pinching for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , so the integrand is bounded away from zero. This implies  $2a$ -bunching and also  $(2a + \epsilon)$ -bunching by the same token. q.e.d.

### References

- [1] B. Hasselblatt, *Regularity of the Anosov splitting and of horospheric foliations*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems, to appear.
- [2] M. Hirsch & C. Pugh, *Smoothness of horocycle foliations*, J. Differential Geometry **10** (1975) 225–238 .
- [3] ———, *Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets*, Global Analysis, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970, 133–163.
- [4] E. Hopf, *Statistik der geodätischen Linien in Mannigfaltigkeiten negativer Krümmung*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig **91** (1939) 261–304 ; *Statistik der Lösungen geodätischer Probleme vom unstabilen Typus. II*, Math. Ann. **117** (1940) 590–608 .
- [5] S. Hurder & A. Katok, *Differentiability, rigidity and Godbillon-Vey classes for Anosov flows*, Institut Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **72** (1990) 5–61 .
- [6] Wilhelm Klingenberg, *Riemannian geometry*, de Gruyter Stud. Math., 1982.

TUFTS UNIVERSITY

