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## 1. Introduction

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ be a (connected, oriented) minimal surface immersed in a Euclidean $m$-space $\mathbf{R}^{m}(m \geq 3)$. We denote the set of all oriented 2-planes in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ by $\Pi$. For each $P \in \Pi$ taking a positive orthonormal basis $(X, Y)$ of $P$ and setting $Z:=(X-i Y) / 2$ in a complex number $m$-space $\mathbf{C}^{m}$, we assign the point $\Phi(P):=\pi(Z)$, where $\pi$ denotes the canonical projection of $\mathbf{C}^{m}-\{0\}$ onto the complex projective space $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$. Then the map $\Phi: \Pi \rightarrow P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ maps $\Pi$ bijectively onto the quadric

$$
Q_{m-2}(\mathbf{C}):=\left\{\left(w_{1}: \cdots: w_{m}\right) ; w_{1}^{2}+\cdots+w_{m}^{2}=0\right\} .
$$

For a point $p \in M$ the tangent plane $T_{p}(M)$ of $M$ at $p$ is considered an oriented 2-plane in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$, where $T_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ is identified with $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ by the parallel translation which maps $p$ to the origin. By definition, the (generalized) Gauss map of $M$ is the map $G: M \rightarrow Q_{m-2}(\mathbf{C})\left(\subset P^{n}(\mathbf{C})\right)$ which maps each point $p \in M$ to the point $\Phi\left(T_{p}(M)\right)$, where $n=m-1$. The metric induced from $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ gives a conformal structure on $M$, and $M$ is considered a Riemann surface. By the assumption of minimality of $M, G$ is a holomorphic map of $M$ into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$. In the case $m=3, Q_{1}(\mathbf{C})$ can be identified with the Riemann sphere, and $G$ is considered a meromorphic function, whose conjugate is the classical Gauss map of $M$.
In 1981, F. Xavier showed that the Gauss map of a nonflat complete minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ could not omit 7 points of the sphere [13]. Afterwards, as a generalization of this, the author proved that, if the Gauss map $G$ of a complete minimal surface $M$ in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ is nondegenerate, namely, $G(M)$ is not contained in any hyperplane in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$, then it can omit at most $m^{2}$ hyperplanes in general position [4]. Moreover, in [5] and [6] he gave several improvements of this result. Recently, the author has improved F. Xavier's result by showing that the Gauss map of a nonflat complete
minimal surface can omit at most 4 points of the sphere [7]. Moreover, in the previous paper [8] he introduced some new types of modified defects for a meromorphic function on an open Riemann surface and gave a modified defect relation for the Gauss map of a minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ which is similar to the defect relation in Nevanlinna theory of value distribution of meromorphic functions.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize some results of [8] to complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{m}(m \geq 3)$. We shall give a modified defect relation for a holomorphic map of a Riemann surface into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ under some conditions, which will be stated in $\S 2$ and proved in $\S 5$ after giving some preliminary results in $\S \S 3$ and 4 . As a special case of it we shall give the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a complete minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ and assume that the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is nondegenerate. Then $G$ can omit at most $m(m+1) / 2$ hyperplanes in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position.

For the case $m=3$, the number $m(m+1) / 2=6$ in Theorem 1.1 is best-possible (cf. [4, p. 280]). It is an open problem whether the same is true for the case $m \geq 4$.

We shall give another application of the above-mentioned modified defect relation. Let $M$ be a Riemann surface holomorphically immersed in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$. The complex Gauss map is defined to be the map which maps each point $p \in M$ to the point in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ corresponding to the complex tangent line of $M$ at $p$. We shall show the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M$ be a Riemann surface holomorphically immersed in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ which is complete with respect to the metric induced from $\mathbf{C}^{m}$. If $M$ is not contained in any affine hyperplane in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$, then the complex Gauss map of $M$ can omit at most $m(m+1) / 2$ hyperplanes in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position.

This is an improvement of [6, Theorem 7.4] for a special case where $M$ is of dimension one. The number $m(m+1) / 2$ in Theorem 1.2 is bestpossible for arbitrary odd numbers $m$. It seems likely that the same is true for all even numbers. Some examples are given in $\S 6$.

## 2. Statement of Main Theorem

Let $M$ be an open Riemann surface, and $f$ a nondegenerate holomorphic map of $M$ into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$. For an arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinate system $\left(w_{0}: \cdots: w_{n}\right)$ we represent $f$ as $f=\left(f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right)$ with holomorphic functions $f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}$ on $M$ without common zeros. In
the following sections, such a representation of $f$ is referred to as a reduced representation of $f$. Now, set $\|f\|^{2}=\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}$ and, for a hyperplane $H: a_{0} w_{0}+\cdots+a_{n} w_{n}=0$ in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$, define the function $F(H):=a_{0} f_{0}+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}$.

As in the previous papers, we give
Definition 2.1. We define the $S$-defect of $H$ for $f$ as

$$
\delta_{f}^{s}(H):=1-\inf \left\{\eta \geq 0 ; \eta \text { satisfies condition }(*)_{S}\right\}
$$

Here, condition $(*)_{S}$ means that there exists a $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued continuous subharmonic function $u(\not \equiv-\infty)$ on $M$ satisfying the following conditions:
(D1) $e^{u} \leq\|f\|^{\eta}$,
(D2) for each $\zeta \in f^{-1}(H)$ there exists the limit

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta}\left(u(z)-\min \left(\nu_{F(H)}(\zeta), n\right) \log |z-\zeta|\right) \in[-\infty, \infty)
$$

where $z$ is a holomorphic local coordinate around $\zeta$, and $\nu_{F(H)}(\zeta)$ denotes the order of the holomorphic function $F(H)$ at $\zeta$.

Definition 2.2. The $H$-defect of $H$ for $f$ is defined by

$$
\delta_{f}^{H}(H):=1-\inf \left\{\eta \geq 0 ; \eta \text { satisfies condition }(*)_{H}\right\}
$$

Here, condition $(*)_{H}$ means that there exists a $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued continuous function $u$ on $M$ which is harmonic on $M-f^{-1}(H)$ and satisfies conditions (D1) and (D2).

These modified defects have the following properties.
Proposition 2.3 (cf. [8, §1]). (i) $0 \leq \delta_{f}^{H}(H) \leq \delta_{f}^{S}(H) \leq 1$.
(ii) If there exists a bounded nonzero holomorphic function $g$ such that $\nu_{g}=\min \left(\nu_{F(H)}, n\right)$, then $\delta_{f}^{H}(H)=\delta_{f}^{S}(H)=1$.
(iii) If $F(H)$ has no zero of order less than $m(>n)$, then $\delta_{f}^{H}(H) \geq$ $1-n / m$.

Assertion (i) is obvious because condition $(*)_{H}$ implies condition $(*)_{S}$. To see (ii) we may assume that $|g| \leq 1$. Then the function $u=\log |g|$ satisfies conditions (D1), (D2) for $\eta=0$. This gives (ii). Assertion (iii) is true because the function $u=\frac{n}{m} \log |F(H)|$ satisfies conditions (D1), (D2) for $\eta=n / m$.

Consider the case $M=\mathbf{C}$. By a coordinate change, we may assume $f(0) \notin H$. The order function of $f$, the counting function for $H$ and the
classical Nevanlinna defect (truncated by $n$ ) are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{f}(r):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left\|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\| d \theta-\log \|f(0)\| \\
& N_{H}^{f}(r):=\int_{0}^{r} \sum_{|z| \leq t} \min \left(\nu_{F(H)}(z), n\right) \frac{1}{t} d t \\
& \delta_{f}(H):=1-\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{H}^{f}(r)}{T^{f}(r)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily see

$$
0 \leq \delta_{f}^{S}(H) \leq \delta_{f}(H)
$$

(cf. [8, §1]). The classical defect relation in value distribution theory of meromorphic functions is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map of $\mathbf{C}$ into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{f}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq n+1
$$

for arbitrary hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ in general position.
To state our Main Theorem, we give
Definition 2.5. Let $M$ be an open Riemann surface with a conformal metric $d s^{2}$. For a number $\rho(>0)$, a nondegenerate holomorphic map $f: M \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ is said to satisfy condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\rho}^{*}\right)$ if there exist a harmonic function $h$ and a nowhere zero holomorphic one-form $\omega$ on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda e^{h} \leq\|f\|^{\rho} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a function on $M$ with $d s^{2}=\lambda^{2}|\omega|^{2}$.
Now, we state the
Main Theorem. Let $M$ be an open Riemann surface with a complete conformal metric ds ${ }^{2}$, and let $f: M \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map satisfying condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\rho}^{*}\right)$. Then, for arbitrary hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{f}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq n+1+\frac{\rho n(n+1)}{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. In the previous papers [5] and [6], under somewhat weaker conditions it was shown that

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{f}^{S}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq n+1+\rho n(n+1)
$$

We now consider a minimal surface $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right): M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$. By associating a holomorphic local coordinate $z=u+i v$ with each positive isothermal local coordinates $u, v$, we may consider $M$ as a Riemann surface. The Gauss map $G$ is given by $G=\pi \cdot(\partial x / \partial z)$ locally, where $\pi: \mathbf{C}^{m}-\{0\} \rightarrow P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ is the canonical projection. If we set $f_{i}=\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right) z$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$, then we have $G=\left(f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right)$. This is a reduced representation since $x$ is an immersion. On the other hand, the metric $d s^{2}$ on $M$ induced from the standard metric on $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=2\|f\|^{2}|d z|^{2}
$$

This shows that the map $G: M \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}^{*}\right)$. We can conclude from the Main Theorem the following:

Theorem 2.8. Let $M$ be a complete minimal surface in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$, and $G$ be the Gauss map of $M$. If $G$ is nondegenerate, then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{f}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq \frac{m(m+1)}{2}
$$

for arbitrary hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ in general position.
Theorem 1.1 stated in $\S 1$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.8 in view of Proposition 2.3(ii).

For the case $m=3, Q_{1}(\mathbf{C})$ is biholomorphic with $P^{1}(\mathbf{C})$ by the map which maps $\left(w_{1}: w_{2}: w_{3}\right)$ to $\left(w_{3}: w_{1}-i w_{2}\right)$ (cf. [11]). Instead of $G$ we consider the map $g:=\left(f_{3}: f_{1}-i f_{2}\right): M \rightarrow P^{1}(\mathbf{C})$. Take a reduced representation $g=\left(g_{1}: g_{2}\right)$. Then the metric of $M$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{\left(\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}|h|^{2}}{\left|g_{2}\right|^{2}}|d z|^{2}
$$

(cf. [5, §6]), where $h$ is a nonzero holomorphic function. This shows that $g$ satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}^{*}\right)$. Therefore, the Main Theorem implies the following result of the previous paper [8, Theorem I].

Theorem 2.9. Let $x: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{3}$ be a nonflat complete minimal surface, and let $g: M \rightarrow P^{1}(\mathbf{C})$ be the Gauss map. Then, for arbitrary distinct points $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{q}$ in $P^{1}(\mathbf{C})$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{g}^{H}\left(\alpha_{j}\right) \leq 4
$$

We consider next a Riemann surface immersed in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ by a holomorphic map $f=\left(f_{1}, \cdots, f_{m}\right): M \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$. To each point $p \in M$ we assign the complex tangent line $T_{p}(M)\left(\subset T_{p}\left(\mathbf{C}^{m}\right)\right)$ of $M$ at $p$. On the other hand, $T_{p}\left(\mathbf{C}^{m}\right)$ is identified with $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ by the parallel translation which maps $p$ to the origin, and the totality of all complex lines in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ constitutes the complex
projective space $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$. The complex Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is defined to be the map which maps each point $p \in M$ to the point in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ corresponding to $T_{p}(M)$. We can represent $G$ as

$$
G=\left(f_{1}^{\prime}: \cdots: f_{m}^{\prime}\right)
$$

locally, and the induced metric on $M$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\left|d w_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|d w_{m}\right|^{2}=\left(\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|f_{m}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)|d z|^{2}
$$

where $f_{i}^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative of $f_{i}$ with respect to a holomorphic local coordinate $z$. This shows that the map $G: M \rightarrow P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ satisfies condition ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{*}$ ). Moreover, it is easily seen that $G$ is nondegenerate if and only if $M$ is not contained in any affine hyperplane in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$. We can conclude from the Main Theorem the following.

Theorem 2.10. Let $M$ be a complete Riemann surface holomorphically immersed in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$, which is not contained in any affine hyperplane, and let $G$ be the complex Gauss map of $M$. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{G}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq \frac{m(m+1)}{2}
$$

for arbitrary hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ in general position.
Theorem 1.2 stated in $\S 1$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.10 by Proposition 2.3(ii).

## 3. Some properties of the derived curves

To prove the Main Theorem, we shall recall some known results on the derived curves of a holomorphic curve in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$.

Let $f$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map of $\Delta_{R}:=\{z ;|z|<R\}(C \mathbf{C})$ into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$, where $0<R \leq+\infty$. Take a reduced representation $f=$ $\left(f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right)$ and set $\|f\|=\left(\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n}\left|f_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, F=\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)$. We define $F^{(l)}=\left(f_{0}^{(l)}, \cdots, f_{n}^{(l)}\right)$ for each $l=0,1, \cdots$, and

$$
F_{k}:=F^{(0)} \wedge F^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge F^{(k)}: \Delta_{R} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{k+1} \mathbf{C}^{n+1} .
$$

Let $G(n, k)$ denote the set of all $(k+1)$-dimensional vector subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$. By Plücker imbedding $G(n, k)$ is regarded as a complex submanifold of $P^{N}(\mathbf{C})$, where $N=\binom{n+1}{k+1}-1$. Let $\pi: \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbf{C}^{n+1}-\{0\} \rightarrow P^{N}(\mathbf{C})$ denote the canonical projection map. The map $f_{k}:=\pi \circ F_{k}$ is called the $k$ th derived curve of $f$.

For holomorphic functions $f_{0}, \cdots, f_{k}$ we denote the Wronskian of $f_{0}, \cdots, f_{k}$ by $W\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{k}\right)$, namely

$$
W\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(f_{i}^{(j)} ; 0 \leq i, j \leq k\right)
$$

We define

$$
\left|F_{k}\right|:=\left(\sum_{0 \leq i_{0}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n}\left|W\left(f_{i_{0}}, \cdots, f_{i_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and set

$$
\Omega_{k}:=d d^{c} \log \left|F_{k}\right|^{2}
$$

where $d^{c}=(\sqrt{-1} /(4 \pi))(\bar{\partial}-\partial)$. For $k=n$, since $F_{n}$ is holomorphic, we have $\Omega_{n}=0$. For the sake of convenience, we set $\left|F_{-1}\right|=1$.

Lemma 3.1. Set $\Omega_{k}=h_{k} d z \wedge d^{c} z$. Then

$$
h_{k}=\frac{\left|F_{k-1}\right|^{2}\left|F_{k+1}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{k}\right|^{4}}
$$

For the proof, see [3, Lemma 4.16, p. 118] or [12, Lemma, p. 108].
Take a hyperplane $H$ in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$. Choosing a vector $a=\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ with $\|a\|=\left(\sum_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=1$, we represent $H$ as

$$
H: a_{0} w_{0}+\cdots+a_{n} w_{n}=0
$$

Set $F(H):=a_{0} f_{0}+\cdots+a_{n} f_{n}$ and

$$
\varphi_{k}(H)(z)=\frac{\left|F_{k}(H)(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{k}(z)\right|^{2}}
$$

where

$$
\left|F_{k}(H)\right|^{2}=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n}\left|\sum_{j \neq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} a_{j} W\left(f_{j}, f_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f_{i_{k}}\right)\right|^{2}
$$

For $k=0$ we have $\varphi_{0}(H)=|F(H)|^{2} /\|f\|^{2}$ and $\varphi_{n}(H)=1$.
Lemma 3.2. (i) $d \varphi_{k} \wedge d^{c} \varphi_{k}=\left(\varphi_{k+1}-\varphi_{k}\right)\left(\varphi_{k}-\varphi_{k-1}\right) \Omega_{k}$.
(ii) $d d^{c} \log \varphi_{k}=\frac{\varphi_{k-1} \varphi_{k+1}-\varphi_{k}^{2}}{\varphi_{k}^{2}} \Omega_{k}$.

For the proof, see [3, Lemma 5.16] for (i) and [3, Lemma 5.17] for (ii), or [12, pp. 116-120].

Lemma 3.3. For an arbitrarily given $\varepsilon>0$ there exists some $\mu_{0}(\varepsilon)(\geq 1)$ such that for every $\mu \geq \mu_{0}(\varepsilon)$ and a hyperplane $H$ in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$

$$
d d^{c} \log \frac{1}{\log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}(H)\right)} \geq \frac{2 \varphi_{k+1}(H)}{\varphi_{k}(H) \log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}(H)\right)} \Omega_{k}-\varepsilon \Omega_{k}
$$

For the proof, see [3, p. 129] or [12, p. 122].

We shall also need the following:
Lemma 3.4 (Sums into products). Let $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ be hyperplanes in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position and set

$$
\Phi_{j k}:=\frac{\varphi_{k+1}\left(H_{j}\right)}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right) \log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)}
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $c_{k}$ depending only on $k$ and $H_{j}(1 \leq$ $j \leq q)$ such that

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \Phi_{j k} \geq c_{k} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q} \Phi_{j k}^{1 /(n-k)}
$$

on $\Delta_{R}-\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left\{z ; \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)(z)=0\right\}$.
For the proof, see [3, p. 134] or [12, p. 124].
Now we give the following proposition, which is fundamental for the proof of the Main Theorem.

Proposition 3.5. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist some positive numbers $\mu$ (>1) and $C$ depending only on $\varepsilon$ and $H_{j}(1 \leq j \leq q)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d d^{c} \log \frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2 \varepsilon}\left|F_{1}\right|^{2 \varepsilon} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2 \varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)}\right)}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \quad \geq C\left(\frac{\|f\|^{2(q-n-1)}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{align*}
$$

For the proof, we use the following elementary inequality.
(3.7) For all positive numbers $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ and $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$,

$$
a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n} \geq\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}\right)\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}\right)^{1 /\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}\right)}
$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5. We denote the left-hand side of (3.6) by $A$. Then, by the definition of $\Omega_{k}$, it is rewritten as

$$
A=\varepsilon \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \Omega_{k}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} d d^{c} \log \left(\frac{1}{\log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)}\right)
$$

Choose a positive number $\mu_{0}(\varepsilon / q)$ with the property as in Lemma 3.3. For an arbitrarily fixed $\mu \geq \mu_{0}(\varepsilon / q)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \geq \varepsilon \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \Omega_{k}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\frac{2 \varphi_{k+1}\left(H_{j}\right)}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right) \log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)} \Omega_{k}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q} \Omega_{k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} 2\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j k}\right) \Omega_{k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j k}$ is the quantity defined in Lemma 3.4. By the help of Lemma 3.4, we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & \geq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} c_{k}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j k}^{1 /(n-k)}\right) \Omega_{k} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} c_{k}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q} \Phi_{j k} h_{k}^{n-k}\right)^{1 /(n-k)} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{k}$ are some positive constants, and $h_{k}$ are the quantities defined in Lemma 3.1. Now applying inequality (3.7) to $a_{k}:=n-k$ and $x_{k}:=$ $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j k} h_{k}^{n-k}$ yields

$$
A \geq C\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q} \Phi_{j k}\right) h_{k}^{n-k}\right)^{2 / n(n+1)} d z \wedge d^{c} z
$$

for some positive constant $C$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \Phi_{j k} & =\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{\varphi_{k+1}\left(H_{j}\right)}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)} \frac{1}{\log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)} \\
& =\frac{\|f\|^{2}}{\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}} \prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \frac{1}{\log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)}, \\
\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} h_{k}^{n-k}= & \prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\frac{\left|F_{k-1}\right|^{2}\left|F_{k+1}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{k}\right|^{4}}\right)^{n-k}=\frac{\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2(n+1)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\varphi_{0}\left(H_{j}\right)=\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2} /\|f\|^{2}, \varphi_{n}\left(H_{j}\right)=1$ and the products telescope. Therefore,

$$
A \geq C\left(\frac{\|f\|^{2(q-n-1)}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2} \mid}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log h^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right)}\right)^{2 / n(n+1)} d z \wedge d^{c} z
$$

which gives Proposition 3.5.
We shall prove here another proposition.
Proposition 3.8. Set $A_{n}:=n(n+1) / 2$ and $B_{n}:=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d d^{c} \log \left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\left|F_{1}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{B_{n}}{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2 A_{n+1}}}\right)^{1 / B_{n}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $d d^{c} \log \left|F_{k}\right|^{2}(0 \leq k \leq n-1)$ are nonnegative, by the aid of (3.7) we can conclude from Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n} d d^{c} \log \left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq\left(A_{n} \frac{\left|F_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{4}}+A_{n-1} \frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\left|F_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{1}\right|^{4}}+\cdots+A_{1} \frac{\left|F_{n-2}\right|^{2}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{4}}\right) d z \wedge d^{c} z \\
& \quad \geq B_{n}\left(\left(\frac{\left|F_{1}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{4}}\right)^{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\left|F_{2}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{1}\right|^{4}}\right)^{A_{n-1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\left|F_{n-2}\right|^{2}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{4}}\right)^{A_{1}}\right)^{B_{n}} d z \wedge d^{c} z \\
& \quad=B_{n}\left(\frac{\left|F_{1}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{n^{2}+3 n}}\right)^{B_{n}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies Proposition 3.8.

## 4. A result of the generalized Schwarz lemma

Let $f$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map of $\Delta_{R}$ into $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$, and let $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ be hyperplanes in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position. We use the same notation as in the previous section. Suppose that there exist nonnegative numbers $\eta_{1}, \cdots, \eta_{q}$ and $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued continuous subharmonic functions $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{q}$ such that
(C1) $\gamma:=q-\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}-\cdots-\eta_{q}-n-1>0$,
(C2) $e^{u_{j}} \leq\|f\|^{\eta_{j}}$ for $j=1, \cdots, q$,
(C3) for each $\zeta \in f^{-1}\left(H_{j}\right)(1 \leq j \leq q)$ the limit

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \zeta}\left(u_{j}(z)-\min \left(\nu_{F\left(H_{j}\right)}(\zeta), n\right) \log |z-\zeta|\right) \in[-\infty, \infty)
$$

exists, where $\|f\|=\left(\left|f_{0}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|f_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for a reduced representation $f=\left(f_{0}: \cdots: f_{n}\right)$.

Set $A_{n}=n(n+1) / 2$ and $B_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}$ as in Proposition 3.8.
Lemma 4.1. For positive constants $\varepsilon, C$ and $\mu(>1)$, define the function

$$
\eta_{\varepsilon}:=C \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma-A_{n+1}^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon} e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}}\left|F_{0}\right|^{\varepsilon} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{\varepsilon}\left|F_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log \left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right)}
$$

If we choose suitable $C$ and $\mu$ depending only on $\varepsilon$ and $H_{j}$, then

$$
d d^{c} \log \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2 /\left(A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon\right)} d z \wedge d^{c} z
$$

Proof. Since the functions $u_{j}$ and $\log \left|F_{k}\right|^{2}$ are subharmonic and $F_{n}$ is holomorphic, Proposition 3.5 implies that, for $\mu \geq \mu_{0}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2 q}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d d^{c} \log \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} d d^{c} \log \left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2} \\
&+d d^{c} \log \frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{\varepsilon}\left|F_{1}\right|^{\varepsilon} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} d d^{c} \log \left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2} \\
&+C_{0}\left(\frac{\|f\|^{2(q-n-1)}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}(H)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{0}$ is a constant depending only on $\varepsilon$ and $H_{j}$. Applying Proposition 3.8 to the first term of the right-hand side of the above inequality we obtain $d d^{c} \log \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon B_{n}}{2 A_{n}}\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2 A_{n+1}}}\right)^{1 / B_{n}} d z \wedge d^{c} z$

$$
+C_{0}\left(\frac{\|f\|^{2(q-n-1)}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}(H)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{n(n+1)}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
$$

Set $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varepsilon B_{n} / A_{n}$. It then follows from (3.7) that $d d^{c} \log \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq C_{1}\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2 A_{n+1}}}\right)^{\varepsilon / B_{n}\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2(q-n-1)}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{A_{n}\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}} d z \wedge d^{c} z \\
\leq & C_{2}\left(\frac{\left|F_{0}\right|^{2 \gamma^{\prime}}\left(\left|F_{0}\right|^{2} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left|F_{n}\right|^{2(1+\varepsilon)}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{A_{n}\left(1+\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)}} d z \wedge d^{c} z
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{i}$ are some constants and $\gamma^{\prime}=q-n-1-\left(A_{n+1} A_{n} / B_{n}\right) \varepsilon^{\prime}=q-$ $n-1-A_{n+1} \varepsilon$. By the assumption, since each $u_{j}$ satisfies condition (C2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^{\gamma^{\prime}} & =\|f\|^{q-n-1-\eta_{1}-\cdots-\eta_{q}-A_{n+1} \varepsilon} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\|f\|^{\eta_{j}} \\
& \geq\|f\|^{\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon} e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, for a positive constant $C$,

$$
d d^{c} \log \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \geq C \eta_{\varepsilon}^{2 /\left(A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon\right)} d z \wedge d^{c} z
$$

which concludes Lemma 4.1.
Lemma 4.2. Let $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ be a function defined as in Lemma 4.1. Set

$$
v:=C \eta_{\varepsilon}^{1 /\left(A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon\right)}
$$

on $\Delta_{R}-\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q} f^{-1}\left(H_{j}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n-1}\left\{\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)=0\right\}\right)\right)$ and $v:=0$ elsewhere. If we choose a suitable $C, v$ is continuous on $\Delta_{R}$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \log v \geq v^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in distribution sense.
Proof. It suffices to show that $v$ is continuous on $\Delta_{R}$. In fact, the inequality (4.3) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1. Obviously, $v$ is continuous on $\Delta_{R}-\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q} f^{-1}\left(H_{j}\right)$. Set

$$
\chi:=\frac{W\left(f_{0}, \cdots, f_{n}\right)}{F\left(H_{1}\right) \cdots F\left(H_{q}\right)} .
$$

Then, for every point $\zeta$ in $\Delta_{R}$, the order of poles of $\chi$ at $\zeta$ is not larger than $L:=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \min \left(\nu_{F\left(H_{j}\right)}(\zeta), n\right)$. In fact, for each $\zeta \in \Delta_{F}$, if we choose indices $i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}$ such that $F\left(H_{j}\right)(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $j \neq i_{0}, \cdots, i_{n}$, we can rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi & =C \frac{W\left(F\left(H_{i_{0}}\right), \cdots, F\left(H_{i_{n}}\right)\right)}{F\left(H_{i_{0}}\right) \cdots F\left(H_{i_{n}}\right)} h \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(F_{i_{m}}^{(l)} / F_{i_{m}} ; 0 \leq l, m \leq n\right) h,
\end{aligned}
$$

with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic function $h$, and so $\chi$ has no pole of order larger than $L$ at $\zeta$ (cf. [1]). On the other hand, since each $u_{j}$ satisfies condition (C3), if we take a holomorphic function $\varphi$ in a neighborhood $U$ of $\zeta$ such that

$$
\nu_{\varphi}(z)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \min \left(\nu_{f\left(H_{j}\right)}(z), n\right)
$$

on $U, \varphi \chi$ is holomorphic on $U$ and $w:=u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}-\log |\varphi|$ is continuous on $U$ as a $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued function. Therefore, the function

$$
e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}} \frac{\left|F_{n}\right|}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}=e^{w+\log |\varphi \chi|}
$$

is continuous. From this fact we can easily show that the function $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ is continuous. Hence Lemma 4.2 is proved.

We recall here the following generalized Schwarz lemma.
Lemma 4.4. Let $v$ be a nonnegative real-valued continuous subharmonic function on $\Delta_{R}$. If $v$ satisfies the inequality $\Delta \log v \geq v^{2}$ in distribution sense, then

$$
v(z) \leq \lambda_{R}(z):=\frac{2 R}{R^{2}-|\zeta|^{2}}
$$

For the proof, see [8, Lemma 2.5].
We now give the following.
Main Lemma. Let $f: \Delta_{R} \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ be a nondegenerate holomorphic map, and let $H_{j}(1 \leq j \leq q)$ be hyperplanes in general position. Suppose that there are positive numbers $\eta_{j}(1 \leq j \leq q)$ and $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued continuous subharmonic functions $u_{j}$ satisfying conditions $(\mathrm{C} 1),(\mathrm{C} 2)$ and $(\mathrm{C} 3)$. Then, for an arbitrarily given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists some positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon} e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}}\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right|\right)\right)^{\varepsilon / q}\left|F_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\frac{2 R}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{n}=n(n+1) / 2$ and $B_{n}=\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} A_{k}$.
Proof. By virtue of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|f\|^{\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon} e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}}\left|F_{0}\right|^{\varepsilon} \cdots\left|F_{n-1}\right|^{\varepsilon}\left|F_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|\left(\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \log \left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(\frac{2 R}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a suitable positive constant $C$. Set

$$
K:=\sup _{0<x \leq 1} x^{\varepsilon / q} \log ^{2} \frac{\mu}{x} \quad(<\infty)
$$

Since $\varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq 1$ for all $k$ and $j$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\log ^{2}\left(\mu / \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right)} \geq \frac{1}{K} \varphi_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)^{\varepsilon / q}=\frac{1}{K} \frac{\left|F_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{2 \varepsilon / q}}{\left|F_{k}\right|^{2 \varepsilon / q}}
$$

Substituting this in the above inequality, we obtain the desired conclusion.

## 5. Proof of the Main Theorem

As in the Main Theorem, let $M$ be an open Riemann surface with a complete conformal metric $d s^{2}$ and $f: M \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ a nondegenerate holomorphic map, and assume that $f$ satisfies condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\rho}^{*}\right)$. Take $q$ hyperplanes $H_{1}, \cdots, H_{q}$ in $P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position. The purpose of this section is to show inequality (2.7). Take the universal covering $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ of $M$. Then $\widetilde{M}$ has a complete conformal metric $\pi^{*} d s^{2}$, and $\tilde{f}:=f \cdot \pi$ satisfies condition ( $\mathrm{C}_{\rho}^{*}$ ). Moreover, we easily see $\delta_{f}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right) \leq \delta_{\tilde{f}}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right)$ for all $j=1,2, \cdots, q$. Therefore, it suffices to show (2.7) for the holomorphic
$\operatorname{map} \tilde{f}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow P^{n}(\mathbf{C})$. On the other hand, by Koebe's uniformization theorem $\widetilde{M}$ is biholomorphic with $\mathbf{C}$ or the unit disc $\Delta$. For the case $\widetilde{M}=\mathbf{C}$, the Main Theorem is true by Theorem 2.4. For our purpose it suffices to consider the case $\widetilde{M}=\Delta$. In the following, we assume that $M$ itself is equal to $\Delta$.

Now, suppose that (2.7) does not hold, namely,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \delta_{f}^{H}\left(H_{j}\right)>n+1+\frac{\rho n(n+1)}{2} .
$$

Then, by Definition 2.2, there exist positive numbers $\eta_{j}(1 \leq j \leq q)$ and $[-\infty, \infty)$-valued continuous subharmonic functions $u_{j}$ which are harmonic on $M-f^{-1}\left(H_{j}\right)$ such that they satisfy the condition
$(\mathrm{Cl})^{\prime}$

$$
\gamma=q-\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}-\cdots-\eta_{q}-n-1>\rho n(n+1) / 2
$$

and conditions (C2), (C3) in $\S 4$. Moreover, by Definition 2.5 , there exists a harmonic function $h$ on $M$ satisfying condition (2.6).

Let $f=\left(f_{0}: f_{1}: \cdots: f_{n}\right)$ be a reduced representation of $f$, and let $H_{j}$ be given by

$$
H_{j}: a_{j 0} w_{0}+\cdots+a_{j n} w_{n}=0 \quad(1 \leq j \leq q) .
$$

We use the same notation as in the previous sections. Since $f$ is nondegenerate, none of $F_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)(1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1)$ vanishes identically. We can find some $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}$ such that

$$
\psi_{j k}:=\sum_{l \neq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} a_{j l} W\left(f_{l}, f_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f_{i_{k}}\right)
$$

does not vanish identically, where we set $\psi_{j 0}=F\left(H_{j}\right)$ and $\psi_{j n}=F_{n}$ for the sake of convenience. As in the previous sections, we set $A_{n}=n(n+1) / 2$ and $B_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}$. Consider the numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{\rho\left(A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon\right)}{\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon}, \quad p^{*}=\frac{\rho}{(1-p)\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right)} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose some $\varepsilon$ with

$$
\frac{\gamma-\rho A_{n}}{A_{n+1}+\rho B_{n}}>\varepsilon>\frac{\gamma-\rho A_{n}}{\rho / q+A_{n+1}+\rho B_{n}},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<p<1, \quad \frac{\varepsilon p^{*}}{q}>1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the open subset $M^{\prime}=M-\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n}\left\{\psi_{j k}=0\right\}$ of $M$, and define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left(\frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}{e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}+\tilde{h}}\left|F_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|\psi_{j k}\right|^{\varepsilon / q}}\right)^{p^{*}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M^{\prime}$, where $\tilde{h}=\left(\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right) / \rho\right) h$. Let $\pi: \tilde{M}^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ be the universal covering of $M^{\prime}$. Since $\log v \cdot \pi$ is harmonic on $M^{\prime}$ by the assumption, we can take a holomorphic function $\varphi$ on $M^{\prime}$ such that $|\varphi|=v \cdot \pi$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $M^{\prime}$ contains the origin $o$ of $\mathbf{C}$. As in the previous papers [7] and [8], for each point $\tilde{p}$ of $\widetilde{M}^{\prime}$ we take a continuous curve $\gamma_{\tilde{p}}:[0,1] \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ with $\gamma_{\tilde{p}}(0)=o$ and $\gamma_{\tilde{p}}(1)=\pi(\tilde{p})$, which corresponds to the homotopy class of $\tilde{p}$. Let $\tilde{o}$ denote the point corresponding to the constant curve $o$. Set

$$
w=F(\tilde{p})=\int_{\gamma_{\tilde{p}}} \varphi(z) d z
$$

where $z$ denotes the holomorphic coordinate on $\widetilde{M}^{\prime}$ induced from the holomorphic global coordinate on $M^{\prime}$ by $\pi$. Then $F$ is a single-valued holomorphic function on $\widetilde{M}^{\prime}$ satisfying the conditions $F(\tilde{o})=0$ and $d F(\tilde{p}) \neq 0$ for every $\tilde{p} \in \widetilde{M}^{\prime}$. Choose the largest $R(\leq+\infty)$ such that $F$ maps an open neighborhood $U$ of $\tilde{o}$ biholomorphically onto an open disc $\Delta_{R}=$ $\{z ;|z|<R\}$ in $\mathbf{C}$, and consider the map $\Phi=\pi \cdot(F \mid U)^{-1}: \Delta_{F} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$. By the Liouville theorem it is impossible that $R=\infty$.

For each point $a \in \partial \Delta$ consider the curve

$$
L_{a}: w=t a, \quad 0 \leq t<1
$$

and the image $\Gamma_{a}$ of $L_{a}$ by $\Phi$. We shall show that there exists a point $a_{0}$ in $\partial \Delta_{R}$ such that $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ tends to the boundary of $M$. To this end, we assume the contrary. Then, for each $a \in \partial \Delta_{R}$, there is a sequence $\left\{t_{\nu} ; \nu=1,2, \cdot\right\}$ such that $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} t_{\nu}=1$, and $z_{0}=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Phi\left(t_{\nu} a\right)$ exists in $M$. Suppose that $z_{0} \notin M^{\prime}$. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 we can easily show that

$$
\liminf _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left|F_{n}\right|^{\varepsilon p^{*}}\left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq q \\ 1 \leq k \leq n-1}}\left|\psi_{j k}\right|^{\varepsilon p^{*} / q} v\right)>0
$$

Set $\delta_{0}:=\varepsilon p^{*} / q\left(\leq \varepsilon p^{*}\right)$. If $F_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ or $\psi_{j k}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then we can find a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
v \geq \frac{C}{\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{\delta_{0}}}
$$

in a neighborhood of $z_{0}$. By virtue of (5.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =\int_{L_{a}}|d w|=\int_{L_{a}}\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right||d z|=\int v(z)|d z| \\
& \geq C \int_{\Gamma_{a}} \frac{1}{\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{\delta_{0}}}|d z|=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this is a contradiction, we have $z_{0} \in M^{\prime}$.
Take a simply connected neighborhood $V$ of $z_{0}$, which is relatively compact in $M^{\prime}$. Set $C^{\prime}=\min _{z \in \bar{V}} v(z)>0$. Then $\Phi(t a) \in V\left(t_{0}<t<1\right)$ for some $t_{0}$. In fact, if not, $\Gamma_{a}$ goes and returns infinitely many times from $\partial V$ to a sufficiently small neighborhood of $z_{0}$, and so we get an absurd conclusion:

$$
R=\int_{L_{a}}|d w| \geq C^{\prime} \int_{\Gamma_{a}}|d z|=\infty
$$

By the same argument, we can easily see that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \Phi(t a)=z_{0}$. Since $\pi$ maps each connected component of $\pi^{-1}(V)$ biholomorphically onto $V$, there exists the limit

$$
\tilde{p}_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}(F \mid U)^{-1}(t a) \in \widetilde{M}^{\prime}
$$

Thus $(F \mid U)^{-1}$ has a biholomorphic extension to a neighborhood of $a$. Since $a$ is arbitrarily chosen, $F$ maps an open neighborhood of $\bar{U}$ biholomorphically onto an open neighborhood of $\bar{\Delta}_{R}$. This contradicts the property of $R$. In conclusion, there exists a point $a_{0} \in \partial \Delta_{R}$ such that $\Gamma_{a_{0}}$ tends to the boundary of $M$.

By the definition of $w=F(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right| & =|\varphi|^{1-p}\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right|^{p} \\
& =\left(\frac{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|F\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}{e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}+\tilde{h}}\left|F_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|\psi_{j k}\right|^{\varepsilon / q}}\right)^{\rho /\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right)}\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $g=f \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}, g_{0}=f_{0} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \cdots, g_{n}=f_{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}$, and abbreviate $u_{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ and $\tilde{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}$ to $u_{j}$ and $\tilde{h}$ respectively. Define also

$$
\begin{gathered}
G\left(H_{j}\right):=a_{j 0} g_{0}+\cdots+a_{j n} g_{n}, \\
G_{n}=W\left(g_{0}, \cdots, g_{n}\right) \\
\varphi_{j k}:=\sum_{l \neq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}} a_{j l} W\left(g_{l}, g_{i_{1}}, \cdots, g_{i_{k}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the Wronskians are given by differentiation with respect to $w$. Then

$$
G_{n}=\left(F_{n} \cdot \Phi\right)\left(\frac{d z}{d w}\right)^{A_{n}}, \quad \varphi_{j k}=\left(\psi_{j k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}\right)\left(\frac{d z}{d w}\right)^{A_{k}}
$$

Since $A_{n}(1+\varepsilon)+\sum_{j, k}(\varepsilon / q) A_{k}=A_{n}+B_{n} \varepsilon$, we have easily by (5.1)

$$
\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right|=\left(\frac{\Pi_{j=1}^{q}\left|G\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}{\left.e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}+\tilde{h}\left|G_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon} \Pi_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|\varphi_{j k}\right|^{\varepsilon / q}}\right)^{\rho /\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right)} . . . . ~ . ~ . ~}\right.
$$

On the other hand, the metric in $\Delta_{R}$ induced from $d s^{2}=\lambda^{2}|d z|^{2}$ through $\Phi$ is given by

$$
\Phi^{*} d s^{2}=(\lambda \cdot \Phi)^{2}\left|\frac{d z}{d w}\right|^{2}
$$

Let $G_{k}$ and $G_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)$ be the functions defined in the same manner as the definition of the functions $F_{k}$ and $F_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)$ for the map $g$. Since $\left|\varphi_{j k}\right| \leq$ $\left|G_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right|$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{*} d s & =\lambda\left(\frac{e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}+\tilde{h}}\left|G_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon} \prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|\varphi_{j k}\right|^{\varepsilon / q}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|G\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}\right)^{\rho /\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right)} \\
& \leq \lambda e^{h}\left(\frac{e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|G_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{\varepsilon / q}\right)\left|G_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|G\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}\right)^{\rho /\left(\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $\lambda e^{h} \leq\|g\|^{\rho}$ by the assumption. It then follows that

$$
\Phi^{*} d s \leq\left(\frac{\|g\|^{\gamma-A_{n+1}} e^{u_{1}+\cdots+u_{q}}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q, 0 \leq k \leq n-1}\left|G_{k}\left(H_{j}\right)\right|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}\right)\left|G_{n}\right|^{1+\varepsilon}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq q}\left|G\left(H_{j}\right)\right|}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma-A_{n+1} \varepsilon}}
$$

By the use of the Main Lemma we conclude

$$
\Phi^{*} d s \leq C\left(\frac{2 R}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{p}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant. Thus

$$
d(0) \leq \int_{\Gamma_{a_{0}}} d s=\int_{L_{a_{0}}} \Phi^{*} d s \leq C^{p} \int_{0}^{R}\left(\frac{2 R}{R^{2}-|z|^{2}}\right)^{p}|d w|<+\infty,
$$

which contradicts the assumption of completeness of $M$. Hence the proof of the Main Theorem is completed.

## 6. Some examples

We shall give in this section some examples of complete Riemann surfaces holomorphically immersed in $\mathbf{C}^{m}$, whose Gauss maps omit $m(m+1) / 2$ hyperplanes in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position.

Taking $m$ distinct numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ in $\mathbf{C}$, we set

$$
M:=\mathbf{C}-\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}\right\}
$$

and let $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of $M$. We consider the functions

$$
w_{i}(z):=\int_{z_{0}}^{z} \frac{d \zeta}{\zeta-a_{i}} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m)
$$

on $\widetilde{M}$, and define a holomorphic immersion $w:=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{m}\right)$ of $\widetilde{M}$ into $\mathbf{C}^{m}$, where $z_{0}$ is an arbitrarily fixed point in $\widetilde{M}$. Then, the Gauss map of $w: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$ is given by

$$
G=\left(\frac{1}{z-a_{1}}: \cdots: \frac{1}{z-a_{m}}\right)
$$

The map $G$ may be rewritten as $G=\left(f_{1}(z): \cdots: f_{m}(z)\right)$ with polynomials

$$
g_{i}(z)=\left(z-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{i-1}\right)\left(z-a_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(z-a_{m}\right) \quad(1 \leq i \leq m)
$$

Obviously, $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{m}$ are linearly independent, and so $w$ is nondegenerate. On the other hand, the metric on $M$ induced from $\mathbf{C}^{m}$ is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{\left|g_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|g_{m}\right|^{2}}{\left(\left|z-a_{1}\right|\left|z-a_{2}\right| \cdots\left|z-a_{m}\right|\right)^{2}}|d z|^{2}
$$

and by

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m}\left(\left|1-a_{1} \zeta\right| \cdots\left|1-a_{i-1} \zeta\right|\left|1-a_{i+1} \zeta\right| \cdots\left|1-a_{m} \zeta\right|\right)^{2}}{\left(\left|1-a_{1} \zeta\right|\left|1-a_{2} \zeta\right| \cdots\left|1-a_{m} \zeta\right|\right)^{2}} \frac{|d \zeta|^{2}}{|\zeta|^{2}}
$$

around the point $\infty$ if we take a holomorphic local coordinate $\zeta=1 / z$. The Riemann surface with this metric is complete. In fact, if there is a piecewise smooth curve $\gamma(t)(0 \leq t<1)$ in $\widetilde{M}$ with finite length, which tends to the boundary of $\widetilde{M}$, then the curve $\tilde{\gamma}:=\pi \gamma$ in $M$ tends to one of the points $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{m}$ and $\infty$. This is impossible as is easily seen by the above representations of $d s^{2}$.

We now prove the following.
Proposition 6.1. The complex Gauss map $G$ of the above surface $w: \widetilde{M}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m}$ omits $m(m+1) / 2$ hyperplanes in $P^{m-1}(\mathbf{C})$ located in general position for each odd number $m$.

To this end, we show first
Lemma 6.2. For an arbitrarily given odd number $m(\geq 3)$ set $n:=m-1$ and $t_{0}:=n / 2$, and consider $m(m+1) / 2$ polynomials

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}(z):=\left(z-a_{0}\right)^{n-i} & (0 \leq i \leq n) \\
f_{n+1+i}(z):=\left(z-a_{1}\right)^{n-i}\left(z-b_{1}\right)^{i} & (0 \leq i \leq n) \\
f_{t_{0}(n+1)+i}(z):=\left(z-a_{t_{0}}\right)^{n-i}\left(z-b_{t_{0}}\right)^{i} & (0 \leq i \leq n),
\end{array}
$$

where $a_{\sigma}, b_{\tau}$ are distinct complex numbers. If we take $a_{\sigma}$ and $b_{\tau}\left(0 \leq \sigma \leq t_{0}\right.$, $1 \leq \tau \leq t_{0}$ ) suitably, then arbitrarily chosen $m$ polynomials among them are linearly independent.

Proof. We shall show that arbitrarily chosen $m$ polynomials among $f_{0}, \cdots, f_{t(n+1)+n}$ are linearly independent by induction on $t$, where $t \leq t_{0}$. It is trivial for the case $t=0$. Suppose that Lemma 6.2 is true in the case where $t$ is replaced by a number $\leq t-1$ for suitably chosen $a_{\sigma}, b_{\tau}$ ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq t-1,1 \leq \tau \leq t-1$ ). We shall show that $m$ polynomials $f_{i_{0}}, f_{i_{1}}, \cdots, f_{i_{n}}$ among $f_{j}(0 \leq j \leq t(n+1)+n)$ are linearly independent. We may assume

$$
i_{0}<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq t(n+1)-1<i_{k+1}<\cdots<i_{n}
$$

where it may be supposed that $k<n$ because of the induction hypothesis. For brevity, set $g_{r}:=f_{i_{r}}(0 \leq r \leq n)$. Then the Wronskian $W\left(g_{0}, \cdots, g_{k}\right)$ does not vanish identically by the induction hypothesis. We can choose a point $c$ with $W\left(f_{j_{0}}, \cdots, f_{j_{l}}\right)(c) \neq 0$ whenever $1 \leq j_{0}<\cdots<j_{l} \leq t(n+1)+$ $n(1 \leq l \leq n)$. Replacing the coordinate $z$ by $z+c$, we may assume that $c=0$. Set

$$
g_{r}(z)=\sum_{0 \leq s \leq n} A_{r s} z^{s} \quad(0 \leq r \leq n)
$$

where $A_{r s}$ may be considered as polynomials in $a_{\sigma}$ and $b_{\tau}(0 \leq \sigma, \tau \leq t)$. It suffices to show that $F:=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{r s} ; 0 \leq r, s \leq n\right)$ does not vanish identically as a function of $a_{\sigma}$ and $b_{\tau}$. Let $b_{t}=0$. Then $g_{k+1}, \cdots, g_{n}$ can be written as

$$
g_{r}(z)=\left(z-a_{t}\right)^{l_{r}} z^{n-l_{r}} \quad(k+1 \leq r \leq n-k),
$$

and so $A_{r s}=\binom{l_{r}}{s-n+l_{r}}\left(-a_{t}\right)^{n-s}$ for $k+1 \leq r \leq n$ and $0 \leq s \leq n$, where $\binom{l}{s}$ denotes the number of combinations of $l$ elements taken $s$ at a time, and we set $\binom{l}{s}=0$ if $s<0$. On the other hand, the $A_{r s}$ are independent of $a_{t}$ for $0 \leq r \leq k$. We apply the Laplace expansion theorem on the determinant to the first $k+1$ columns and the last $n-k$ columns of $\left(A_{r s} ; 0 \leq r, s \leq n\right)$. As is easily seen, $F$ has no nonzero term of degree $<(n-k)(n-k-1) / 2$, and the coefficient of the term of degree $(n-k)(n-k-1) / 2$ of $F$ with respect to $a_{t}$ is given by

$$
B:=\operatorname{det}\left(A_{r s} ; 0 \leq r \leq k, 0 \leq s \leq k\right) \times \operatorname{det}\left(\binom{l_{r}}{s-n+l_{r}} ; k+1 \leq r, s \leq n\right) .
$$

The first term equals $W\left(g_{0}, g_{1}, \cdots, g_{k}\right)(0)$, and the second term equals ( $l_{r}^{n-s} ; k+1 \leq r, s \leq n$ ) up to a nonzero constant multiple. Therefore, we conclude $B \neq 0$, and the proof of Lemma 6.2 is complete.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Take polynomials $f_{i-1}(z)(1 \leq i \leq q:=$ $m(m+1) / 2)$ given in Lemma 6.2. Since $g_{1}(z), \cdots, g_{m}(z)$ are linearly independent and so give a basis of the vector space of all polynomials of degree $\leq m-1$, we can find some constants $c_{i j}$ such that

$$
f_{i-1}(z)=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} c_{i j} g_{j}(z) \quad(1 \leq i \leq q)
$$

Now consider $q$ hyperplanes

$$
H_{i}: c_{i 0} w_{0}+c_{i 1} w_{1}+\cdots+c_{i n} w_{n}=0 \quad(1 \leq i \leq q)
$$

which are located in general position by Lemma 6.2. Moreover, we see $f^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)=\varnothing$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$ because $F\left(H_{i}\right)(z)=f_{i-1}(z)$ vanish nowhere on $\widetilde{M}$. Hence the proof of Proposition 6.1 is complete.

For the case where $m$ is an even number, we give the following.
Conjecture. For an arbitrarily given even number $m(\geq 2)$ set $t:=m / 2$ and consider $3 t$ polynomials

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}(z):=z^{i-1} & (1 \leq i \leq t) \\
f_{i}(z):=(z-1)^{i-1} & (t+1 \leq i \leq 2 t) \\
f_{i}(z):=z^{i-t-1}(z-1)^{m-i+t} & (2 t+1 \leq i \leq 3 t)
\end{array}
$$

Then $m$ arbitrarily chosen polynomials among them are linearly independent.

If the above conjecture is true for an even number $m$, then we can find $m$ distinct constants $a_{i}:=0, b_{1}:=1, a_{2}, b_{2}, \cdots, a_{t}, b_{t}$ such that for the above polynomials $f_{i}(z)(1 \leq i \leq 3 t)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{3 t+i}(z):=\left(z-a_{2}\right)^{m-i}\left(z-b_{2}\right)^{i-1} \quad(1 \leq i \leq m), \\
& f_{3 t+2 t(t-2)+i}(z):=\left(z-a_{t}\right)^{m-i}\left(z-b_{t}\right)^{i-1}(1 \leq i \leq m),
\end{aligned}
$$

any $m$ polynomials among them are linearly independent, which we can prove in the same manner as in the proof of Lemma 6.1 by induction on $t$. So, the same conclusion as in Proposition 6.1 holds for this number $m$. The author could verify the above conjecture for the case $m \leq 16$ by the help of a computer. Concludingly, the number $m(m+1) / 2$ in Theorem 1.2 is best-possible for all odd numbers $m$ and for even numbers with $2 \leq m \leq 16$.
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