# A CONSTRUCTION OF STABLE BUNDLES ON AN ALGEBRAIC SURFACE 

DAVID GIESEKER

1. Let $X$ be a smooth projective algebraic surface over $\mathbf{C}$ and let $H$ be an ample divisor on $X$. We recall that a bundle $\mathscr{E}$ of rank two and $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ is $H$-stable (in the sense of Mumford-Takemoto) if whenever $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle on $X$ which admits a nonzero map to $\mathscr{E}$, then we have $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{L}) \cdot H\right)<0$. In this paper, we will consider the problem of constructing stable bundles $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ of rank two with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$ a prescribed number. From work of Donaldson [1], this question is a special case of the following: When does a principal $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ bundle on a four dimensional Riemannian manifold admit an irreducible self dual connection? In this guise, the problem has been studied by Taubes [4]. There has also been some work on higher dimensional manifolds by Uhlenbeck and Yau. The basic goal is to give conditions on the topology of $X$ so that stable bundles $\mathscr{E}$ of the type considered exist with $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$ a given integer. The topological invariant of interest here is $h^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(K))$, the number of holomorphic two forms on $X$. Throughout the paper, we will use $h^{0}$ as an abbreviation for $h^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(K))$. $[r]$ is the greatest integer in $r$.

Theorem 1.1. If $n \geqslant 4\left(\left[h^{0} / 2\right]+1\right)$, then there is an $H$-stable bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ of rank two with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=n$.

Theorem 1.2. If $h^{0}>1000$ and $n>(3 / 2) h^{0}+6$, then there is an $H$-stable bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ of rank two with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=n$.

We note that Taubes constructs bundles of the above type for $n \geqslant(8 / 3) h^{0}$ +2 . Our methods are modeled on Taubes' methods, namely both methods are degeneration theoretic. My main motivation for this paper was to see Taubes' argument is an algebro-geometric setting. Actually, the argument we will use is somewhat different than Taubes'.

One's first idea in attacking this problem is to construct a torsion free coherent $H$-stable sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$ and to prove that $\mathscr{F}$ can be deformed to a locally free sheaf. However, we have adopted a different but related approach
which we now describe. Let $C$ be a smooth curve which will function as a parameter space for our deformation and let $P \in C$. Let $Z_{1}=X \times C$. Pick $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} \in X$ and blow up $x_{i} \times P$ in $Z_{1}$ to obtain a threefold $Z$. $D$ will denote the proper transform of $X \times P$ and $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{k}$ will be the new exceptional divisors introduced by blowing up. Each $D_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $\tilde{D}=D+\sum D_{i}$ and choose $v_{i}=\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}-\{(0,0)\}$. We assume that $v_{i}$ span $\mathbf{C}^{2}$. For each $i$, we define a map

$$
\phi_{i}: \mathcal{O}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}
$$

by

$$
\phi_{i}(a, b)=a \alpha_{i}+b \beta_{i} .
$$

Let $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \oplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}$ be $\oplus_{i} \phi_{i}$. Let $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ker} \phi$. Thus $(a, b)$ is a section of $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ over an open $V$ if $a \alpha_{i}+b \beta_{i}$ vanishes on each $D_{i} \cap V$. Note that on some neighborhood $U_{i}$ of $D_{i}, \mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ is a direct sum $\left(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-D_{i}\right)\right)_{U_{i}}$. In particular, $\mathscr{E}_{D_{i}}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}(1)$, since the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}_{D_{i}}$ of $D_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{D_{i}}(1)$ when restricted to $D_{i}$.

Here is our basic strategy: Let $\mathscr{E}_{2}=\mathscr{E}_{2 D}^{\prime}$. (Here $2 D$ is the scheme defined by $\mathscr{I}_{D}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{2 D}^{\prime}=\mathscr{E}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{7}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z} / \mathscr{I}_{D}^{2}\right.$.) Thus $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ is a sheaf of locally free modules over $\mathcal{O}_{Z} / \mathscr{I}_{D}^{2}$.) We will analyze the obstructions to extending $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to a sheaf of locally free modules over $3 D$, then to $2 D+\tilde{D}$ and then to $2 D+2 \tilde{D}, 2 D+3 \tilde{D}$, etc.

We first study how to extend $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to a sheaf of modules $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ locally free on $3 D . D_{j}$ is just $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $D \cap D_{j}$ is a line $L_{j}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}, 3 D \cap D_{j}$ is just the scheme $3 L_{j} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{2}$.

Definition 1.3. A sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ of locally free $\mathscr{O}_{3 L}$ modules is nondegenerate if $\mathscr{F}$ satisfies the following conditions
a) $\wedge^{2} \mathscr{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{3 L}(1)$.
b) There is not a quotient $\mathscr{F} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ so that $Q$ is an invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{3 L}$ modules and $Q_{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{L}$.

The existence of nondegenerate $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ is studied by deformation theory in $\S 2$. Assume that $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ satisfies our nondegeneracy condition on $3 L_{j}$. We show that $\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)_{3 L}$, can be extended to a stable vector bundle $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2}=D_{j}$ with $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)=1$ and $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)=2$. The construction of the $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ 's given in $\S 6$ is the following: Take lines $L$ given by $x=0$ and $L^{\prime}$ given by $y=0$, where $x$ and $y$ are affine coordinates on $\mathbf{A}^{2} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Construct a surjective map $\Phi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}}(2)$ by

$$
\Phi(a, b)=a+b y^{2}
$$

and let $\mathscr{F}^{\vee}$ be the kernel of $\Phi$. Then $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=1$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=2$. Using the nondegeneracy condition on $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ we show that if $L=D \cap D_{j} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{2}$, then we can choose the line $L^{\prime}$ so that the above construction gives a suitable extension.

By gluing $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ together, we can construct a bundle $\mathscr{G}$ on $2 D+\tilde{D}$. Let $\mathscr{G}_{0}=\mathscr{G}_{\tilde{D}}$. Next we study the problem of extending $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ to a bundle on $2 D+2 \tilde{D}$, and then to $2 D+3 \tilde{D}$, etc. in $\S 2$. In each case, the obstruction to making such an extension is in

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(\tilde{D}, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{2 D}\right) . \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})$ is the sheaf of endomorphisms of $\mathscr{E}$ with trace zero. We suppose we have chosen the $x_{i}$ 's and $v_{i}$ 's so that (1.3.1) is zero. We can use Grothendieck's Quot scheme [3] in $\S 5$ to show that $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ can be extended to a bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $Z$. (A minor technical point: We may have to base extend $C$.) We then can show using a standard semicontinuity argument that for generic $s \in C$, the bundle $\mathscr{E}_{s}$ is $H$-stable, $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}_{s}\right)=2 n$ and $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{s}\right)=0$.

We are thus left with the problem of finding conditions on the $x_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ and $n$ so that nondegenerate extensions $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ exist and so that $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ can be lifted back to larger and larger infinitesimal neighborhoods of $\tilde{D}$. Let us consider the problem of showing that (1.3.1) is zero. Let $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{G}_{0} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D}$. We wish to first establish conditons under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2 D)\right)=0 \tag{1.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E \subseteq D$ be the divisor $\sum E_{i}$, where $E_{i}=D \cap D_{i}$. The $E_{i}$ are exceptional curves of the first kind on $D$. By Serre duality we need to show that

$$
V=H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K_{X}-E\right)\right)
$$

is zero. Now $\mathscr{E}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathscr{O}_{D}^{2}$, and it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{2}$ away from the $E_{i}$ 's. It follows easily from Hartog's theorem that any $s \in V$ can be represented by a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are holomorphic two forms on $X$. Further, the condition $s \in V$ implies linear relations between the values of these two forms and their derivatives at $x_{i}$. For instance, if $v_{i}=(1,0)$, then $d$ must vanish at $x_{i}$ and $b$ must vanish twice at $x_{i}$, i.e., $b \in H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}(K) \otimes m_{x_{i}}^{2}\right)$. At each $x_{i}$, the condition $s \in V$ should impose four conditions, one for the vanishing of $d$ and three for the vanishing of $b$ and its two partials. (Locally, we can think of $b$ as a function.) However, these $4 k$ conditions may not be independent conditions. To see the problem, let $W$ be a subspace of $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(K))$ and let $W_{x}$ be the subspace consisting of points $b \in W$ so that $b$ and its two partial derivatives
vanish at $x$. Assuming $\operatorname{dim} W \geqslant 4$, we can easily see $d_{x}=\operatorname{codim}_{W} W_{x} \geqslant 2$. However if $(z, w)$ are local coordinates at $x$, all the sections in $W$ could be locally functions of $z$, in which case, $d_{x}=2$ for $x$ generic. The weak estimate $d_{x} \geqslant 2$ is all that is needed to establish Theorem 1.1. This situation can actually occur for elliptic surfaces. Specifically, if $C$ is a curve of genus $g$ and $E$ is an elliptic curve, then $d_{x}=2$ for $X=C \times E$ and $W=H^{0}\left(K_{X}\right)$.

To establish Theorem 1.2, we note that if $d_{x}=2$ for $x$ generic, then the linear system defined by $W$ must map $X$ to a curve $C \subseteq \mathbf{P}(W)$. (Of course, there may be base points.) If the dimension of $W$ is large, we can find a hyperplane $H_{1}$ on $\mathbf{P}(W)$ which has high order contact with $C$ at some generic point. The inverse image of $H_{1}$ in $X$ is contained in an effective canonical divisor $E$ which has a component of high multiplicity. $\S 4$ gives a construction of stable bundles whenever there are many canonical curves $C$ on the surface which contain components of high order. This construction enables us to establish the existence of stable bundles with small $c_{2}$ if $d_{x}=2$ for $x$ generic if we begin with a large $h^{0}\left(K_{X}\right)$. Our construction also shows that for each $\varepsilon>0$, then if $d \gg 0$, there are stable bundles $\mathscr{E}$ on hypersurfaces $X$ of degree $d$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{E}) \leqslant \varepsilon h^{0}\left(K_{X}\right)$. This stands in contrast to a result in [1] that for a generic Riemannian metric on $X$, the existence of a self dual connection on a principal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle $P \rightarrow M$ requires $c_{2}(P) \geqslant$ $3 / 8\left(b-+1-\operatorname{dim} H_{D R}^{1}\right)$. Evidently, the Kähler class on a hypersurface is not generic in the above sense. (If $Q$ is the intersection matrix on $H_{2}$, $b_{-}=1 / 2($ rank signature $Q)$ ) $\S 7$ contains the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
2. Let $Z$ be a smooth threefold, $D$ a divisor with components $D_{0}, \cdots, D_{n}$ which are smooth. We assume $D_{i}$ intersect transversally and that there are no triple intersections. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a locally free sheaf of rank two on $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$, i.e., $\mathscr{E}$ is a sheaf of locally free $\mathscr{O}_{z} /\left(\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)$ modules. We assume there is a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $Z$ so that the restriction of $\mathscr{L}$ to $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$ is $\Lambda^{2} \mathscr{E}$. Choose a $k$ and let

$$
m_{i}= \begin{cases}n_{i}+1 & \text { for } i \leqslant k, \\ n_{i} & \text { for } i>k .\end{cases}
$$

We suppose $n_{i}>0$ if $i \leqslant k$. We wish to study conditions under which $\mathscr{E}$ can be extended to a sheaf of locally free modules over $\sum m_{i} D_{i}$. Let $D^{\prime}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} D_{i}$.

Proposition 2.1. Suppose

$$
H^{2}\left(D^{\prime}, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{D^{\prime}}\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)=0
$$

where $\operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})$ is the sheaf of endomorphisms of trace zero. Then $\mathscr{E}$ can be extended to a bundle $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ on $\left(\sum n_{i} D_{i}+D^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\mathscr{L}$ restricts to $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The proof uses standard ideas on deformation theory which we review. Find affine opens $U_{\alpha} \subseteq Z$ which cover $D$ so that on each $U_{\alpha}$, we can find a free bundle of rank two $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}$ on $\left(\sum n_{i} D_{i}+D^{\prime}\right) \cap U_{\alpha}$ which restricts to $\mathscr{E}$
on $\left(\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right) \cap U_{\alpha}$. Let $\phi_{\alpha \beta}$ be isomorphisms of $\mathscr{E}_{\beta}$ with $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}$ over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ which extend the identity map on $\mathscr{E}$ when restricted to $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap\left(\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\operatorname{Id}-\phi_{\alpha \gamma} \circ \phi_{\gamma \beta} \circ \phi_{\beta \alpha} .
$$

Now $\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}$ over $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}=U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$. Actually $\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ is a map of $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}$ to $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)=\mathscr{E}_{D^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z}\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)$ on $U_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$. So we can regard $\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ as a section of $\operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D^{\prime}}$. We claim $\left\{\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}\right\}=\psi$ is a cocycle and so defines an element

$$
\bar{\psi} \in H^{2}\left(D^{\prime}, \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

It suffices to check $d \psi=0$ locally. Let $U$ be an open so that $\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}, \mathscr{E}_{\beta}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{\gamma}$ are all restrictions of a bundle $\mathscr{F}$ on $\sum m_{i} D_{i} \cap U$. Then we can write $\phi_{\alpha \beta}=$ Id $+\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha \beta}$, where $\tilde{\phi}_{\alpha \beta}$ are sections of $\mathscr{F}_{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(\mathscr{E}\left(-n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)$ over $U$. One checks that $d \tilde{\phi}=\psi$, and hence $d \psi=0$.

We next claim that $\bar{\psi}=0$. Indeed, let us look first at

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \bar{\psi} \in H^{2}\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{D^{\prime}}\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)
$$

$\operatorname{Tr} \bar{\psi}$ is just the obstruction to extending det $\mathscr{E}$ to a line bundle on $\sum m_{i} D_{i}$. But we are given that such an extension is possible, so the obstruction is zero. More precisely, we can assume that we have $\xi_{\alpha}: \operatorname{det} \underset{\mathscr{E}_{\alpha}}{\sim} \mathscr{L}$ on $U_{\alpha}$ so that $\xi_{\alpha}$ is the identity on $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$ :

$$
\xi_{\alpha} \circ \operatorname{det} \phi_{\alpha \beta} \circ \xi_{\beta}^{-1}=\mathrm{Id}+\lambda_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime} .
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{det} \phi_{\alpha \beta}=k_{\alpha \beta}+\lambda_{\alpha \beta},
$$

where $k_{\alpha \beta}=\xi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \xi_{\beta}$ is a coboundary and $\lambda_{\alpha \beta}$ is zero on $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$.

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=2-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{\alpha \gamma} \phi_{\gamma \beta} \phi_{\beta \alpha}\right) .
$$

But a local computation shows that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi_{\alpha \gamma} \phi_{\gamma \beta} \phi_{\beta \alpha}\right)=1+\operatorname{det} \phi_{\alpha \gamma} \operatorname{det} \phi_{\gamma \beta} \operatorname{det} \phi_{\beta \alpha}=2+\left(\lambda_{\alpha \gamma}+\lambda_{\gamma \beta}+\lambda_{\beta \alpha}\right) .
$$

So

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \psi=d \lambda
$$

So since the kernel of

$$
\operatorname{Tr}: H^{2}\left(D^{\prime},\left(\operatorname{End} \mathscr{E}_{D^{\prime}}\right)\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{D^{\prime}}\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)
$$

is $H^{2}\left(D^{\prime}, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{D^{\prime}}\right)\left(-\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)=0$, we see that

$$
\psi_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=d\left(\zeta_{\alpha \beta}\right)
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{\alpha \beta}: \mathscr{E}_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathcal{O}\left(\sum-n_{i} D_{i}\right)
$$

Let

$$
\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\phi_{\alpha \beta}+\zeta_{\alpha \beta} .
$$

The $\phi_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}$ satisfies the cocycle condition and provides a lifting of $\mathscr{E}$ to $\sum m_{i} D_{i}$.
Now $\mathscr{M}=\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{-1}$ is a line bundle which is trivial on $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$. Thus we can choose a local trivialization and present $\mathscr{M}$ as an element of $\left\{\eta_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$ of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}^{*}\right)$, where $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$ reduces to 1 on $\sum n_{i} D_{i}$. Let $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ be given by

$$
\eta_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\eta_{\alpha \beta}\right) .
$$

Then $\left(\mathscr{M}^{\prime}\right)^{\otimes 2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{M}$, and so $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{M}^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathscr{L}$.
We next consider the following situation: $n_{0}=2$ and all the other $n_{i}$ 's are zero and $m_{0}=3$ with all the other $m_{i}$ 's zero. Thus we have a bundle $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ on $2 D_{0}$ and we wish to study the extensions of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to $3 D_{0}$. We assume that such extension $\mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime}$ exists. Let $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ be any other extension of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to $3 D_{0}$. Then on a suitable open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $3 D_{0}$ we choose isomorphism $\phi_{\alpha}: \mathscr{E}_{3} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime}$ defined over $U_{\alpha}$ extending the identity on $U_{\alpha} \cap 2 D_{0}$. The one cocycle $\psi=\left\{\psi_{\alpha \beta}\right\}$

$$
\psi_{\alpha \beta}=\operatorname{Id}-\phi_{\beta}^{-1} \phi_{\alpha} \in H^{1}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)
$$

classifies such extensions, where $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_{0}}$.
Suppose we have a quotient $Q_{3}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ over $3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}$ for some $j>0$. (If $D_{0}$ is locally defined by $x=0$ and $D_{j}$ is defined by $y=0,3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}$ is defined by the equations $x^{3}=y=0$ as a scheme. Thus $Q_{3}^{\prime}$ is an invertible module over $\mathcal{O}_{z} /\left(x^{3}, y\right)$.) Let $Q_{2}$ be the induced quotient of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$. Our question is: Given $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ (or equivalently $\psi$ ), when does $Q_{2}$ lift to an invertible quotient of $Q_{3}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ over $3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}$ ? Let $Q$ be the induced quotient of $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_{0} \cap D_{j}}$ and let $L$ be the kernel:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathscr{F} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural map from

$$
\Phi: \text { End } \mathscr{E}\left(-2 D_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L, Q)\left(-2 D_{0}\right)
$$

since an endomorphism of $\mathscr{E}$ gives an endomorphism of $\mathscr{F}$ and hence a map from $L$ to $Q$.

Lemma (2.3). If $Q_{2}$ lifts to an invertible quotient $Q_{3}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ over $3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}$, then $\Phi\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}\right)=0$ in $H^{1}\left(D_{0} \cap D_{j}, \operatorname{Hom}(L, Q)\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)$.

Proof. If $Q_{2}$ lifts to $Q_{3}$, we can take the $\phi_{\alpha}$ to map $Q_{3}$ to $Q_{3}^{\prime}$. Then $\Phi\left(\psi_{\alpha \beta}\right)=0$.

Lemma (2.4). If $Q_{2}$ always lifts for any choice of $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ and the exact sequence (2.2) splits, then the kernel of the natural map

$$
H^{2}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}-D_{j}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)
$$

has dimension $\geqslant h^{1}\left(L^{\vee} \otimes Q\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)$.
Proof. This follows from the long exact sequence associated to

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}-D_{j}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right) \\
& \rightarrow(\operatorname{End} \mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right) \otimes \mathscr{O}_{D_{0} \cap D_{j}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.5. Suppose that for each $j,\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime}\right)_{D_{0} \cap D_{j}}=Q_{j} \oplus L_{j}$ and that $Q_{j}$ lifts to an invertible quotient of $\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime}\right)_{3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}}$. Suppose further that

$$
h^{2}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
h^{2}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}-D_{j}\right)\right)<h^{1}\left(D_{0} \cap D_{j}, Q_{j} \otimes L_{j}^{\vee}\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

Then we can find an extension $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to $3 D_{0}$ so that the quotient $Q_{j}$ does not lift to an invertible quotient of $\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)_{3 D_{0} \cap D_{j}}$ for any $j$ and $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}_{3}$.

Proof. We have to show there is $\alpha \in H^{1}\left(D_{0}, \operatorname{End}(\mathscr{E})\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)$ which has nonzero image in $H^{1}\left(D_{0} \cap D_{j},\left(L_{j}^{\vee} \otimes Q_{j}\right)\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)$ where $\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)_{D_{0} \cap D_{j}}=Q_{j} \oplus$ $L_{j}$. Lemma 2.4 shows that such an $\alpha_{j}$ exists for each $j$. Some linear combination of the $\alpha_{j}$ works as $\alpha$, since the field is infinite.

Remark. We will be interested in applying the results of this section in the case $Z$ is the variety constructed in $\S 1 . D_{i}$ is the divisor $D_{i}$ of the introduction for $i \geqslant 1$ and $D_{0}$ is $D$, the blow up of $X$. The $\mathscr{E}_{3}^{\prime}$ will be $\mathscr{E}_{3 D}^{\prime}$ of $\S 1$ and $Q_{j}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{E_{j}}$. Thus $Q_{j} \otimes L_{j}^{\vee}\left(-2 D_{0}\right)$ has degree -3 on $E_{j}$. So

$$
h^{1}\left(Q_{j} \otimes L_{j}^{\vee}\left(-2 D_{0}\right)\right)=2
$$

3. Let $X$ be the algebraic surface of $\S 1$ and let $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{k}$ be points of $X$ in general position. Let $D$ be blow up of $X$ at $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{k} . E_{1}, \cdots, E_{k}$ will denote the exceptional divisors. Let $E=\Sigma E_{i}$. At each point $P_{i}$, choose

$$
v_{i}=\binom{\alpha_{i}}{\beta_{i}} \in \mathbf{C}^{2}-\{(0,0)\} .
$$

We produce a new vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $D$ by the following construction: For each $E_{i}$, consider the map

$$
\phi_{i}(f, g)=\alpha_{i} \bar{f}+\beta_{i} \bar{g}
$$

from $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{2}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}$, where $\bar{f}$ is the restriction of a local section $f$ of $\mathcal{O}_{D}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}$. Let $\phi=\oplus_{i} \phi_{i}$, so

$$
\phi: \mathcal{O}_{D}^{2} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i} \mathcal{O}_{E_{i}} .
$$

Thus $\mathscr{E}$ is the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{2}$ whose local sections consist of pairs of functions ( $f, g$ ) with $\alpha_{i} f+\beta_{i} g$ vanishing on $E_{i}$. We seek conditions on the $P_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})(2 E)\right)=0 \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(2 E-E_{i}\right)\right) \leqslant 1 \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$. Let $K_{D}$ be the canonical divisor on $D$. We have

$$
K_{D}=K_{X}+E
$$

where $K_{X}$ denotes the pull back of the canonical bundle of $X$. It suffices to show that

$$
V=H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K_{X}-E\right)\right)=0
$$

and that for

$$
W_{i}=H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K_{X}-E+E_{i}\right)\right)
$$

we have $\operatorname{dim} W_{i} \leqslant 1$.
First, notice that

$$
H^{0}\left(D-E, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K_{X}\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(X-\left(\cup x_{i}\right), \mathcal{O}(K)^{3}\right)=H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}(K)^{3}\right)
$$

Thus any sections of $V$ or $W_{i}$ can be represented as a matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are in $H^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tr} A=0$.
We analyze the conditions on $a, b, c, d$ for $s$ to be in $V$. Suppose $\beta_{i}=1$. We claim that $s_{1}=a-\alpha_{i} b$ and $s_{2}=c-\alpha_{i} d$ vanish at least once on $E_{i}$, and that $s_{3}=b \alpha_{i}^{2}+(d-a) \alpha_{i}-c$ vanishes twice on $E_{i}$. Note that $\left(1,-\alpha_{i}\right)$ is a section of $\mathscr{E}$ near $E_{i}$, since $\phi_{i}\left(1,-\alpha_{i}\right)=(0,0)$. Thus

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{1}{-\alpha_{i}}
$$

must be a section of $\mathscr{E}\left(-E_{i}+K_{X}\right)$. In particular, it is a section of $\mathscr{O}_{D}^{2}\left(-E_{i}+K_{X}\right)$ in a neighborhood of $E_{i}$. Thus $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ have the required properties. Further, ( $\left.a-\alpha_{i} b, c-\alpha_{i} d\right)$ must be in the kernel of the natural map of $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{2}\left(K_{X}-E_{i}\right)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}\left(K_{X}-E_{i}\right)$, i.e., $s_{3}$ must vanish on $E_{i}$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}_{D}\left(K_{X}-E_{i}\right)$, i.e., it vanishes twice on $E_{i}$ as a section of $\mathcal{O}_{D}\left(K_{X}\right)$. If $\beta_{i}=0$, the corresponding conditions are that $d$ vanishes at least once on $E_{i}$ and $b$ vanishes at least twice on $E_{i}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $n=\left[h^{0} / 2\right]+1$ and $k=2 n$. Let $v_{i}=(1,0)$ for $i=$ $1, \cdots, n$ and $v_{i}=(0,1)$ for $i=n+1, \cdots, k$. If the $P_{i}$ are chosen generically, then (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) are satisfied.

Proof. Let $V_{i}=H^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K\left(-2 E_{1} \cdots-2 E_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. We claim that as long as $\operatorname{dim} V_{i} \geqslant 2$, the codimension of $V_{i+1}$ in $V_{i}$ must be at least two. Indeed, let $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be two independent sections of $V_{i}$. Then $f=s_{1} / s_{2}$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function, so we can choose $P_{i+1}$ so that $s_{2}\left(P_{i+1}\right) \neq 0$ and $(d f)_{P_{i+1}} \neq 0$. Then

$$
s^{\prime}=s_{1}-\frac{s_{1}\left(P_{i+1}\right)}{s_{2}\left(P_{i+1}\right)} s_{2}
$$

vanishes exactly once on $E_{i+1}$, so no nontrivial linear combinations of $s_{2}$ and $s^{\prime}$ are in $V_{i+1}$. Thus our claim is established. In particular, $V_{n}=0$.

Let

$$
s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

and suppose $s \in H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})(K-E)\right)$. Since $V_{n}=0$, we have $b=c=0$. Since $k \geqslant h^{0}$, and the $P_{i}$ are generic, $a-d$ is zero since $a-d$ vanishes at the $P_{i}$. We have $a+d=0$, since the matrix is traceless. So $s=0$.

Suppose $s, t \in H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K-E+E_{k}\right)\right)$ are linearly independent. Let

$$
t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
c_{1} & d_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $c, c_{1} \in V_{n-1}$ are linearly dependent, we can assume that $c_{1}=0$ by replacing $t$ by a linear combination of $s$ and $t$. As before $b_{1}=0$ and then $a_{1}=d_{1}=0$. So (3.1.2) is satisfied.

Proposition 3.3. Suppose $V \subseteq H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}\right)$ has dimension $\geqslant 21$. Then either
i) for generic $x \in X$, the natural map from $V$ to $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}(K) / m_{x}^{2} \cdot \mathcal{O}(K)\right)$ is onto, or
ii) for a generic point $x \in X$ there is a curve $D$ so that $20 D+E=K$ where $E$ is effective.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X}\right)$ be the subsheaf generated by the sections in $V$ and let $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{r}$ be the points at which $\mathscr{F}$ is not invertible and let $X^{\prime}=X-$ $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}$. The linear system $V$ then defines a map $\Phi$ of $X^{\prime}$ to $\mathbf{P}(V)$. If $\overline{\Phi\left(X^{\prime}\right)}$ is a surface, then (i) holds. Otherwise, $\overline{\Phi\left(X^{\prime}\right)}$ is a curve $\subseteq \mathbf{P}(V)$ not contained in a hyperplane. If $x \in \Phi\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a generic point, we can find a hyperplane $H$ which has contact 20 or more with $\overline{\Phi\left(X^{\prime}\right)}$ at $x$. Let $D=\Phi^{-1}(H)$. Then (ii) is valid.

For the rest of the section, we will assume that there are no canonical divisors on $X$ with components of multiplicity 20 passing through a generic $x$, so case i) of Proposition 3.3 always holds. In particular, by choosing the $x_{i}$ 's generically we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X}-\sum^{l} 2 E_{i}\right)\right)=h^{0}-3 l \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $h^{0}-3 l \geqslant 18$. We define integers $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{1}=\left[\frac{5}{16} h^{0}\right]+1, k_{2}=\left[\frac{5}{8} h^{0}\right]-\left[\frac{5}{16} h^{0}\right], \\
k_{3}=2 h^{0}-3\left(\left[\frac{5}{8} h^{0}\right]\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $v_{i}=\binom{1}{0}$ for $i=1$ to $k_{1}, v_{i}=\binom{0}{1}$ for $i=k_{1}+1$ to $k_{2}+k_{1}$ and $v_{i}=\binom{\alpha_{i}}{1}$ for $i=k_{2}+k_{1}+1$ to $k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}$.

Proposition 3.4. If the $x_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are generic and $h^{0} \geqslant 1000$, then $h^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K-E+E_{j}\right)\right)=0$ for any $j$.

Proof. We will treat the case $j=1$ first. Let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

be an element of $H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(-E+K+E_{1}\right)\right.$. Then $b$ vanishes twice on $E_{i}$ for $1<i \leqslant k_{1}$ and $c$ vanishes twice on $E_{i}$ for $k_{1}<i \leqslant k_{1}+k_{2}$. On the other hand, we have $\alpha_{i}^{2} b+c$ vanishes on $E_{i}$ for $k_{1}+k_{2}<i$. Notice that if $W \subseteq \oplus^{2} H^{0}\left(X, K_{X}\right)$ is any nonzero subspace, then the condition $\alpha_{i}^{2} b=-c$ is nontrivial for some $\alpha_{i}$, i.e., there is a pair $(b, c) \in W$ violating the condition. Hence if $k_{3} \geqslant \operatorname{dim} W$, the conditions $\alpha_{i}^{2} b=-c$ at $k_{3}$ points implies $b=c=0$. In our case

$$
W=H^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K-2 \sum_{i=2}^{k_{1}} E_{i}\right)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K-2 \sum_{i=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}} E_{i}\right)\right),
$$

so if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3} \geqslant h^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K-2 \sum_{i=2}^{k_{1}} E_{i}\right)\right)+h^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K-2 \sum_{i=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}} E_{i}\right)\right), \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any ( $b, c$ ) satisfying the conditions $\alpha_{i}^{2} b=-c$ is zero. On the other hand,

$$
h^{0}-3 k_{i} \geqslant 18 \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

since $h^{0} \geqslant 1000$ and $k_{i} \leqslant\left[(5 / 16) h^{0}\right]+1$. So (3.3.1) shows that (3.3.2) is valid using our definition of $k_{3}$.

If $e=a-d$, then $e$ vanishes twice on $E_{i}$ for $i>k_{2}+k_{1}$ and once at the $k_{1}+k_{2}-1$ curves $E_{i}$ where $1<i \leqslant k_{1}+k_{2}$. Now

$$
k_{3} \leqslant 2 h^{0}-\frac{15}{8} h^{0} \leqslant \frac{1}{8} h^{0} .
$$

So $h^{0}-3 k_{3} \geqslant 18$. So (3.3.1) shows that

$$
h^{0}\left(D, \mathcal{O}\left(K-\sum_{i=k_{1}+k_{2}+1}^{k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}} 2 E_{i}\right)\right)=h^{0}-3 k_{3}
$$

and since

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}-1 \geqslant h^{0}-3 k_{3}
$$

by elementary algebra, we see that $e=a-d=0$. Hence $a=d=0$.
The cases where $j>1$ can be treated similarly.
4. In this section we consider a construction of stable bundles which is useful if there are curves of low genus on $X$. We begin with a well-known lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $C$ be a reduced and irreducible curve of arithmetic genus $g$ in $X$. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a line bundle of degrees $\geqslant 3 g$. Then $\mathscr{M}$ is generated by its global sections.

Proof. Let $x \in C$. Let $\pi$ : $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization of $C$. The image of $\pi^{*}\left(m_{x}\right)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ is a sheaf of ideals $\mathscr{I}$. We claim $\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{I} \geqslant-(g+1)$. Indeed, if $\mathscr{L}$ is a line bundle of very large degree on $C$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{L}}=\pi^{*}(\mathscr{L})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{I} \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{L}}) & \geqslant h^{0}(\tilde{C}, \mathscr{I} \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{L}}) \geqslant h^{0}\left(C, m_{x} \otimes \mathscr{L}\right) \\
& \geqslant h^{0}(C, \mathscr{L})-1 \geqslant \operatorname{deg} \mathscr{L}-g .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}(\mathscr{I} \otimes \tilde{\mathscr{L}})=\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{I}+\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{L}$, we have established our claim.
Note that $\mathscr{M}$ is generated by global sections if $h^{1}\left(m_{x} \otimes \mathscr{M}\right)=0$ for all $x \in C$. If $\mathscr{M}$ is not generated by global sections, Serre duality shows we have a nonzero map from $m_{x} \otimes \mathscr{M}$ to $\omega_{C}$, where $\omega_{C}$ is the sheaf of dualizing differentials on $C$. This in turn gives a nonzero map for $\mathscr{I} \otimes \tilde{M}$ to $\tilde{\omega}_{C}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{M} \geqslant 3 g$, such a map is necessarily zero.

To construct our bundle, we suppose we are given two distinct algebraically equivalent curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ of arithmetic genus $g$. We suppose $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are reduced and irreducible and $C \cdot K \geqslant 0$. Select divisors $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ on $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ respectively so that the points of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are smooth points of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ and the support of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $C \cap C^{\prime}$. We suppose the degrees of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are $\geqslant 3 g$. We first construct a surjective map

$$
\Phi: \mathcal{O}_{X}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(C+F)
$$

Indeed such a map is given by a pair $\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)$, where $s$ is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{C}(F)$ and $s^{\prime}$ is a section of $\mathscr{O}_{C}\left(F+C-C^{\prime}\right)$. Since both these line bundles are generated by global sections by Lemma 4.1, taking $s, s^{\prime}$ generic produces a surjective $\operatorname{map} \Phi$. We can similarly construct a surjective map

$$
\Phi^{\prime}: \mathcal{O}_{X}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}+F^{\prime}\right)
$$

given by sections $t$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}-C+F^{\prime}\right)$ and $t^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. At a given point $P$ of $C \cap C^{\prime}$, we can choose $s(P)=0$ and $t^{\prime}(P)=0$. Thus

$$
\Psi=\Phi \oplus \Phi^{\prime}: \mathcal{O}_{X}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(C+F) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}+F^{\prime}\right)
$$

is onto at $P$. Since we are free to choose $s, t^{\prime}$ generically, we can assume that $\Psi$ is surjective. Let $\mathscr{E}=\operatorname{Ker} \Psi$. We compute $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi(\mathscr{E})=-c_{2}(\mathscr{E})+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right),  \tag{4.1.1}\\
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}(C) \oplus \mathscr{O}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)=C^{2}-C \cdot K+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right),  \tag{4.1.2}\\
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(C+F)\right)=\operatorname{deg} F-\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{2}-C \cdot K\right),  \tag{4.1.3}\\
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}+F^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg} F^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{2}-C \cdot K\right), \tag{4.1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

so

$$
c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=\operatorname{deg} F+\operatorname{deg} F^{\prime} \geqslant 6 g .
$$

Let $\mathscr{E}\left(s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}\right)$ be the bundle $\mathscr{E}$ we have constructed. Let us check the stability of such $\mathscr{E}\left(s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}\right)$ if $s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}$ are chosen generically. First, if $\mathscr{E}\left(s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}\right)$ is not $H$-stable for generic $s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}$, there is a line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ mapping to $\mathcal{O}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\Phi(\mathscr{M})=0, \Phi^{\prime}(\mathscr{M})=0$ and $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{M}) \cdot H\right)$ $\geqslant 0$. By a standard semicontinuity argument (see §5) such an $\mathscr{M}$ would have to exist for all $s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}$. In particular, take $s^{\prime}=t=0$. Say the map of $\mathscr{M}$ to $\mathcal{O}(C)$ is nontrivial. The map of $\mathscr{M}$ to $\mathcal{O}(C)$ would have to vanish on $C$. Hence $\mathscr{M}$ would map to $\mathcal{O}$. Since $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{M}) \cdot H\right) \geqslant 0$, this implies that $\mathscr{M}=\mathcal{O}$. By our semicontinuity argument, we can assume that the generic $\mathscr{E}\left(s, s^{\prime}, t, t^{\prime}\right)$ is destabilized by a line bundle algebraically equivalent to zero. Since $2 g-2=$ $C(C+K)$ and $C \cdot K \geqslant 0$, we see that $\operatorname{deg} F \geqslant 3 g>C^{2}$. Now the kernel $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ of the map $\Phi_{\text {| }}$

$$
\Phi_{\mid C}: \mathcal{O}_{C}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(C+F)
$$

is a line bundle on $C$ of degree $C^{2}-\operatorname{deg} F<0$. Hence the map of $\mathscr{M}_{C}$ to $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ is zero since $\mathscr{M}$ has degree zero on $C$. So the map $\Psi$ of $\mathscr{M}$ to $\mathcal{O}(C) \oplus \mathcal{O}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ vanishes on $C$. Similarly $\Psi$ vanishes on $C^{\prime}$. So $\mathscr{M}$ maps to $\mathcal{O}\left(-C^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-C)$, which contradicts the $\left(c_{1}(\mathscr{M}) \cdot H\right) \geqslant 0$. We have established.

Proposition 4.2. If $n \geqslant 6 \mathrm{~g}$, there is a stable bundle $\mathscr{E}$ of rank two with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=n$.

We remark that this Proposition establishes Theorem 1.2 unless $X$ is of general type. Indeed if $h^{0}>1000$ and $X$ is not of general type, then $X$ must be elliptic. Thus we can apply the above theory when $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are elliptic.

Suppose that $X$ is a surface of general type which has no exceptional curves of the first kind and that there are effective divisors $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ so that $20 C+E$ and $20 C^{\prime}+E^{\prime}$ are canonical divisors.

Proposition 4.3. Suppose $h^{0} \geqslant 1000$ and $n \geqslant(3 / 2) h^{0}$. Then there is a stable bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on $X$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=n$.

Proof. We have Noether's formula

$$
1-h^{1}(\mathcal{O})+h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\frac{1}{12}\left(K^{2}+c_{2}(T)\right)
$$

where $T$ is the tangent bundle. We have $h^{2}(\mathcal{O})=h^{0}(K)$, and the Miyoka-Yau inequality

$$
3 c_{2}(T) \geqslant K^{2} .
$$

Combining these, we obtain

$$
h^{0}(K) \geqslant \frac{1}{9} K^{2}-1
$$

Let us compute an estimate for the genus of $C$.

$$
2 g-2=C(K+C)
$$

We have

$$
0 \leqslant 20(C \cdot K) \leqslant K^{2}
$$

since $K \cdot E \geqslant 0$. Also

$$
K^{2} \cdot C^{2} \leqslant(C \cdot K)^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{(20)^{2}}\left(K^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

So

$$
C^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{400} K^{2} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 g-2 \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{20}+\frac{1}{400}\right) K^{2} \\
2 g-2 \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{20}+\frac{1}{400}\right)\left(9 h^{0}(K)+1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $h^{0}(K) \geqslant 1000$, then

$$
6 g \leqslant \frac{3}{2} h^{0}(K),
$$

and the Proposition follows by Proposition 4.2.

Suppose $X$ is a smooth hypersurface of degree $d$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and that $H$ is just a hyperplane section. Let $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ also be hyperplane sections. Then the genus $g$ of $C$ is $\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(d-2)$, since $C$ is a plane curve of degree $d$. On the other hand, we have

$$
h^{0}(X, \mathcal{O}(K))=\binom{d-1}{3}=\frac{1}{6}(d-1)(d-2)(d-3) .
$$

So there are stable bundles on $X$ with $c_{1}(E)=0$ and $c_{2}(E)=n$, as long as $n>3(d-1)(d-2)$ and $d \geqslant 3$.
5. We retain the notation of $\S 1$. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be a bundle on $\tilde{D}$. We suppose that $\mathscr{E}_{D}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{D} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D}$ and that $H^{0}\left(D, \mathscr{E}_{D}\right)=0$. We further assume that $\Lambda^{2} \mathscr{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_{\bar{D}}\left(+\sum n_{i} D_{i}\right)$ for some appropriate $n_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Our main object in this section is to establish:
Lemma 5.1. Suppose that for each $n, \mathscr{E}$ can be extended to a bundle on $n \tilde{D}$. Then we can find a stable bundle $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{F})=0, c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a very ample line bundle on $Z$ so that $H^{i}(\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E})=0$ for $i>0$ and $\mathscr{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}$ is generated by global sections. Let

$$
P(n)=\chi\left(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{n+1}\right)
$$

Let $N=h^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})$. Let $Q \rightarrow C$ be Grothendieck's Quot scheme. Thus there is a coherent sheaf $\mathscr{G}$ on $Q \times{ }_{C} Z$ which is flat over $Q$ and such that the Euler-Poincaré Polynomial of $\mathscr{G}$ over each closed point in $Q$ is $P$ and there is a given surjective map $\pi: \mathcal{O}^{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{G}$. Further $\pi$ and $\mathscr{G}$ are universal with respect to these properties. In particular, choose a basis of $H^{0}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})$. This choice determines a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}$. Let $q$ be the corresponding closed point in $Q$.

Let $t$ be a uniformizing parameter at $P \in C$. By shrinking $C$, we may assume that $t$ vanishes only at $P$. We claim $t$ does not vanish identically on $Q_{\text {red }}$ in any neighborhood of $q$. Suppose not. Then for some $n, t^{n}$ would vanish identically on $Q$ near $q$ since $Q$ is a finite type over $C$. This means that we cannot lift the inclusion of $m P$ into $C$ to a map of $m P$ to $Q$ if $m>n$. But $\mathscr{E}$ can be extended to a bundle $\mathscr{E}_{m}$ on $m \tilde{D}$ and since $h^{i}(\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})=0$, the sections of $\mathscr{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}$ extend to $\mathscr{E}_{m} \otimes \mathscr{L}$. But $m P \times{ }_{C} Z=m \tilde{D}$. So the universal property of the Quot scheme gives a lifting of $m P$ to $Q$. So our claim is established.

In particular, we can find a reduced curve $C^{\prime}$ in $Q$ passing through $q$ so that $t$ does not vanish identically on $C^{\prime}$. Let $Z^{\prime}=Z \times{ }_{C} C^{\prime}$. For $s \in C^{\prime}$, let $Z_{s}^{\prime}$ be the fiber of $Z^{\prime}$ over $s$. There is a coherent $\mathscr{F}$ on $Z^{\prime}$ so that $\mathscr{F}_{q}=\mathscr{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_{4}^{\prime}}$ is our original $\mathscr{E}$. (Note $Z_{q}^{\prime} \cong \tilde{D}$.) By shrinking $C^{\prime}$, we may assume $\mathscr{F}$ is locally free and that $q \in C^{\prime}$ is the only point mapping to $P$. Note det $\mathscr{F}_{r}$ is
algebraically equivalent to zero for $r \neq q$ since $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{F}_{q}$ is a sheaf of ideals. Thus $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{r}\right)=0$. Let $H$ be an ample line bundle on $X$ and suppose that $\mathscr{F}_{r}$ is not $H$-stable for an infinite number of $r \in C^{\prime} . H$ stability is an open condition, so $\mathscr{F}_{r}$ must be $H$ unstable for an uncountable number of $s$. Since there are only a countable number of line bundles mod algebraic equivalence, we can select a connected component $A$ of the Picard group of $X$ so that for an infinite number of $r \in C^{\prime}$, there is an $L_{r}$ in $A$ with $h^{0}\left(L_{r} \otimes \mathscr{F}_{r}\right) \neq 0$ and $\left(c_{1}\left(L_{r}\right) \cdot H\right) \leqslant 0$. The set $T \subseteq A \times\left(C^{\prime}-q\right)$ consisting of points $(L, r)$ so that $h^{0}\left(L \otimes \mathscr{F}_{r}\right) \neq 0$ is closed and has infinite image in $C^{\prime}$. There is a curve $C^{\prime \prime} \subseteq T$ which has infinite image in $C^{\prime}$. Let $\overline{C^{\prime \prime}}$ be the closure of $C^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\overline{C^{\prime \prime}}$ maps onto $C^{\prime}$. Replacing $C^{\prime}$ by $\overline{C^{\prime \prime}}$, we see that we can assume that there is a line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ on $X \times C^{\prime}$ so that $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{M}_{r} \otimes \mathscr{F}_{r}\right) \neq 0$ for $r \neq q$. We can pull back $\mathscr{M}$ to a line bundle again denoted by $\mathscr{M}$ on $Z^{\prime}$. (This $Z^{\prime}$ is the fiber product of the original $Z^{\prime}$ by the base extensions we have made.) Thus $\mathscr{M}_{q}$ is trivial on the exceptional divisors $D_{i}$ and $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{M}_{D}\right) \cdot H \leqslant 0$ on $D$. But semicontinuity, there is a nonzero section $s$ of $\mathscr{M}_{q} \otimes \mathscr{E}$. We claim this is impossible. First, $s$ must vanish on $D$. Since $\mathscr{E}_{D} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}$, $s$ would give a section of $\left(\mathscr{M}_{q} \oplus \mathscr{M}_{q}\right)_{D}$. Since $\left(c_{1}\left(\mathscr{M}_{q}\right) \cdot H\right) \leqslant 0,\left.\mathscr{M}_{q}\right|_{D} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D}$. So $\mathscr{E}_{D}$ would have a section, which contradicts our assumptions. Consider $s$ on each $D_{i} . s$ vanishes on $D \cap D_{i}$, which is a line in $D_{i}=\mathbf{P}^{2}$. So $s$ is a section of $\mathscr{F}_{i}(-1)$. But $\mathscr{F}_{i}$ is stable and $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{i}\right)=1$. So $s$ vanishes on $D_{i}$, and hence $s$ vanishes.

Our bundle $\mathscr{F}_{r}, r \in C^{\prime}$ must be $H$-stable for all but finitely many $r$. Since there are only a countable number of ample divisors mod algebraic equivalence, an infinite number of those $\mathscr{F}_{r}$ must be $H$-stable for any $H$.
6. In this section, we consider vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $L$ be a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and let $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ be a bundle on $3 L$ so that $\mathscr{E}_{2}=\mathscr{E}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{2 L}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))_{2 L}$ and $\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}_{3} \cong(\mathcal{O}(1))_{3 L}$. We suppose that if $\mathscr{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on $3 L$ of degree -1 , then $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{3} \otimes \mathscr{L}\right)=0$ (Such an $\mathscr{L}$ need not be $\left.\mathcal{O}_{3 L}(-1).\right)$

Proposition 6.1. There is a stable bundle $\mathscr{G}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ so that $\mathscr{G}_{3 L} \cong \mathscr{E}_{3}$ and $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2$.

Proof. There is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{1}(-2) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{3} \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathscr{E}_{1}=\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)_{L}$. Since $h^{1}\left(\mathscr{E}_{1}(-2)\right)=1$, and $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{2}\right)=4$, we see that at least 3 independent sections of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ lift to $\mathscr{E}_{3}$. We claim there are two sections $s$ and $t$ of $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)$ so that $s \wedge t$ maps to a nonzero element of $H^{0}\left(\wedge^{2} \mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$. Let $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be two sections of $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ which map to independent sections of $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{1}\right) .\left(s_{1}\right.$ and $s_{2}$ exist, since the kernel of the map from $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$ to $H^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{1}\right)$ has dimension 1.) If $s_{1} \wedge s_{2}=0$, they both must be sections of the subbundle
$\mathcal{O}_{L}(1) \subseteq \mathscr{E}_{1}$. Since $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ map to zero in the quotient $\mathscr{O}_{L}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{1}$, they must map to zero in the quotient $\mathcal{O}_{2 L}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$, since $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2 L}\right)$. So $s_{1} \wedge s_{2}$ maps to zero in $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)$. But $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{E}_{2}\right)=H^{0}\left(\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{E}_{3}\right)$, so $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ would be dependent in $\mathscr{E}_{3}$. But $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ generate $\mathscr{O}_{L}(1)$. So if $\mathscr{L}$ is the line bundle generated by $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}, \mathscr{L}$ would have degree 1 . This contradicts our original assumption. So $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ generate $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ at a generic point.

We use $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ to define a map from $\mathscr{O}_{3 L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{3 L}$ to $\mathscr{E}_{3}$. Dualizing we have a map $\Phi: \mathscr{E}_{3}{ }^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{3 L} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{3 L}$. We can choose $\Phi$ so that the induced map of $\mathscr{E}_{2}^{\vee}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{2 L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2 L}$ maps the unique section of $\mathscr{E}_{2}^{\vee}$ to $(1,0) . \wedge^{2} \Phi$ is a map from $\mathcal{O}_{3 L}(-1)$ to $\mathcal{O}_{3 L}$, and so is represented by a section of $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{3 L}(1)\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}(1)\right)$. Thus there is a line $L^{\prime}$ so that $\wedge^{2} \Phi$ vanishes on $L^{\prime}$. We can choose affine coordinates on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ so that $L$ is given by $y=0$ and $L^{\prime}$ by $x=0$. Locally around $(0,0)$, we can find a section $(1, g(x, y))$ of $\mathcal{O}_{3 L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{3 L}$ which is in the image of $\Phi$. Note that $g(0, y)$ can be represented as a polynomial $G(y)$ of degree $\leqslant 2$. Define a map

$$
\Phi^{\prime}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}}(2)
$$

by $\Phi^{\prime}(h, l)=-G(y) h+l$, where we regard $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}}(2)\right)$ as the polynomials in $y$ of degree $\leqslant 2 . l$ is then a polynomial of degree zero. We claim $\Phi^{\prime}$ is onto. Indeed $\Phi^{\prime}(1,0)=-G(y)$. But $g$ maps to zero in $\mathcal{O}_{2 L}$, so $G(y) \equiv 0 \bmod \left(y^{2}\right)$. Hence $G$ has degree 2 and $\Phi^{\prime}$ is onto.

Thus $\operatorname{Ker} \Phi^{\prime}=\mathscr{F}$ is locally free. Note that $\mathscr{F}_{3 L} \supseteq \mathscr{E}_{3 L}^{\vee}$ since on $L^{\prime} \cap 3 L$, the image of any other section of $\mathscr{E}_{3 L}^{\vee}$ is dependent on $(1, g)$. Both $\mathscr{F}_{3 L}$ and $\mathscr{E}_{3 L}^{\vee}$ have determinant $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, so they must be isomorphic, since there is a map between them which is an isomorphism at a generic point.

We claim $\mathscr{F}$ is stable. If $\mathscr{F}$ were not stable, $\mathscr{F}(k)$ would have a section which vanished only at a finite number of points for some $k \leqslant 0$. In particular, we would have a section $s$ of $\mathscr{E}_{3 L}^{v}(k)$. Such an $s$ would give a nonzero solution of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(-1)(k)\right.$. Thus $k=0$. Further $s$ is nowhere vanishing and so defines a subbundle of degree 0 of $\mathscr{E}_{3 L}^{\vee}$, which contradicts our original assumption. We let $\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{F}$. One checks $c_{2}(\mathscr{G})=2$.
7. We continue with the notation of $\S 1$. We will now establish Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Let us first turn to Theorem 1.1. Suppose $k \geqslant 2\left(\left[h^{0} / 2\right]+1\right)$. Proposition 3.2 shows that with appropriate choice of $x_{i}$ and $v_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{2}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{D}\right)(-2 D)\right)=0  \tag{7.1.1}\\
h^{2}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}_{2} \otimes \mathscr{O}_{D}\right)\left(-2 D-E_{i}\right)\right) \leqslant 1 \tag{7.1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The remark at the end of $\S 2$ shows that we can find an extension of $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ of $\mathscr{E}_{2}$ to $3 D$ which is nondegenerate over each $E_{j}$.

Using §6 we can then construct $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ on $D_{j}$ so that $\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)_{3 D \cap D_{j}}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathscr{E}_{3}\right)_{3 D \cap D_{j}}$ and $c_{1}\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)=1, c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)=2$. Consequently, we can construct $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ on $2 D+\tilde{D}$ which restricts to $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ on $D_{j}$ and restricts to $\mathscr{E}_{3}$ and $3 D$. We now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}\left(\tilde{D}, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right)(-2 D)\right)=0 \tag{7.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega$ be the dualizing sheaf of $\tilde{D}$. Then $\omega_{D_{j}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}(-2)$ and $\omega_{D}=\mathcal{O}\left(K_{X}+2 E\right)$. Suppose

$$
s \in H^{0}\left(\tilde{D}, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right)(+2 D) \otimes \omega\right)
$$

If we show $s=0$, (7.1.3) follows by Serre duality. First, $s$ restricts to section $s_{j}$ of $\operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right) \otimes \omega \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}(2 D)$. But $\omega \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}(2 D) \cong \mathcal{O}_{D_{j}}$. Since $\mathscr{F}_{j}$ are stable, $H^{0}\left(D_{j}, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{F}_{j}\right)\right)=0$. Thus each $s_{j}$ is zero, and $s$ is actually a section of $H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right) \otimes \omega\left(2 D-\Sigma E_{j}\right)\right)$ which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{G}_{0}\right) \otimes K_{D}(2 D)\right) \tag{7.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7.1.1) and Serre duality on $D$, (7.1.4) is zero, so $s=0$. By the results of $\S 2$ $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ can be lifted to arbitrary large infinitesimal neighborhoods of $D_{0}$. After a suitable base extension, $\S 5$ shows that $\mathscr{G}_{0}$ can be lifted to $Z$. Thus Theorem 1.1 is established as $n$ is even. We even see that the bundle $\mathscr{E}$ constructed satisfies $h^{2}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\right)=0$. The theorem follows for odd $n$ by the following:

Lemma 7.2. Let $\mathscr{E}$ be an $H$-stable bundle on $X$ with $c_{1}(\mathscr{E})=0$ and $h^{2}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\right)=0$. Then for any $n \geqslant c_{2}(\mathscr{E})$, there is an H-stable bundle $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ with $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)=n, c_{1}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $h^{2}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}\left(\mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$.

Proof. We construct the variety $Z$ of $\S 1$ with $k=1$. Let $\mathscr{E}=\mathscr{E}_{D}^{\prime}$. $\mathscr{E}_{E_{1}}$ is $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. There is a stable bundle $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ on $D_{1}=\mathbf{P}^{2}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{E_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{E_{1}}(1)$ when restricted to the line $E_{1}$ and with $c_{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{1}\right)=1$. We can then produce a bundle $\mathscr{G}$ on $\tilde{D}$ by gluing $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ to $\mathscr{E}$. Suppose $s \in H^{0}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{G})\right.$ $\otimes \omega)$. We claim $s=0 . \omega_{D_{1}}$ is $\mathcal{O}(-2)$, so $s$ must vanish on $D_{1}$. Thus $s$ is a section of $H^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{G}) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(K_{D}\right)\right)$. If $s \neq 0$, we would get a nonzero section of $H^{0}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}\left(K_{X}\right)\right)$. Arguing as before, we can produce an $H$-stable $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$ with $c_{2}(\mathscr{F})=c_{2}(\mathscr{E})+1$ and $h^{2}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{F})\right)=0$.

Next we establish Theorem 1.2. If $k-1=k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}$ in the notation of §3, then $h^{0}\left(D, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{E})\left(K-E+E_{i}\right)\right)=0$. Arguing as before, we can construct an $H$-stable $\mathscr{E}$ with

$$
c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=2\left(k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}+1\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
c_{2}(\mathscr{E})=4\left(h^{0}-\left[\frac{5}{8} h^{0}\right]\right)+2
$$

with the property that $h^{2}\left(X, \operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathscr{G})\right)=0$. Theorem 1.2 follows as before.
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