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## Introduction

The purpose of the present paper is to study real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with certain condition on the Ricci tensor. Cartan and Thomas [18], have shown that an Einstein hypersurface of Euclidean space is a hypersphere if its scalar curvature is positive, and Fialkow [2] classified Einstein hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature (see also [5] and [11]). We shall show that any real hypersurface of a complex projective space is not Einsteinian (Theorem 4.3). So we introduce the notion of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a Kaehlerian manifold.

Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a Kaehlerian manifold $\bar{M}$. Denote by $J$ the almost complex structure of $\bar{M}$, and by $C$ a unit normal of $M$ in $\bar{M}$. Put $J C=-U$. Then $U$ is a unit vector field tangent to $M$. Let $g$ be the Riemannian metric tensor field of $\bar{M}$ as well as the one induced on $M$. Now we put $f(X)=g(X, U)$ for any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$. If the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ is of the form $S(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b f(X) f(Y)$ for some constants $a$ and $b$, then $M$ is called a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of $\bar{M}$. If $b=0$, then $M$ is Einsteinian. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space $P^{n}(C)$ are studied also by Maeda [7]. Our aim is to determine all connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$ and a complex number space $C^{n}$ ( $n \geqslant 3$ ).

In §1 we state basic formulas for real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. In $\S 2$ we prove some lemmas for real hypersurfaces in a complex space form. $\S 3$ is devoted to a study of examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space $P^{n}(C)$, and in $\S 4$ we determine connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in $P^{n}(C)$. First of all, we prove that any connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces $M$ of $P^{n}(C)$ has at most three constant prinipal curvatures (Proposition 4.1). On the other hand, Takagi [13], [14] classified connected complete real hypersurfaces in
$P^{n}(C)$ with two or three constant principal curvatures. Combining these results, we have our theorem (Theorem 4.1). In the last $\S 5$ we give some examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex number space $C^{n}$, and determine all connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in $C^{n}$.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Dr. R. Takagi for his valuable advice.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $\bar{M}$ be a Kaehlerian manifold of complex dimension $n$ (real dimension $2 n$ ) with almost complex structure $J$, and $M$ a connected Riemannian real hypersurface of $\bar{M}$ with the induced metric. The Riemannian metric tensor field of $\bar{M}$ will be denoted by $g$, that induced on $M$ is also denoted by the same $g$, and all metric properties of $M$ refer to this metric. We denote by $C$ a unit normal of $M$ in $\bar{M}$. For any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$ we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
J X=\phi X+f(X) C, \quad J C=-U \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi X$ is the tangential part of $J X, \phi$ is a tensor field of type ( 1,1 ), $f$ is a 1 -form, and $U$ is a unit vector field on $M$. Then they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2} X=-X+f(X) U, \quad \phi U=0, \quad f(\phi X)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$. Thus ( $\phi, f$ ) defines an almost contact structure on $M$. Moreover we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(\phi X, Y)+g(X, \phi Y)=0, \quad f(X)=g(X, U)  \tag{1.3}\\
g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-f(X) f(Y) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By $\bar{\nabla}$ we denote the operator of covariant differentiation in $\bar{M}$, and by $\nabla$ the one in $M$ determined by the induced metric. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+g(A X, Y) C, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{X} C=-A X
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$. We call $A$ the second fundamental form of $M$, which can be considered as a symmetric ( $2 n-1,2 n-$ )-matrix. We recall that the rank of $A$ at a point $x$ of $M$ is called the type number at $x$ and is denoted by $t(x)$.

Now we assume that $\bar{M}$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4 c$. Then $\bar{M}$ is called a complex space form and is denoted by $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$. Let $R$
denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of $M$. Then we obtain (1.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y) Z= & c(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(J Y, Z) J X \\
& -g(J X, Z) J Y+2 g(X, J Y) J Z)+g(A Y, Z) A X \\
& -g(A X, Z) A Y-g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y, Z\right) C+g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X, Z\right) C .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the tangential and normal parts in (1.4), we have the following Gauss and Codazzi equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z= & c(g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y+g(\phi Y, Z) \phi X-g(\phi X, Z) \phi Y  \tag{1.5}\\
& +2 g(X, \phi Y) \phi Z)+g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X=c(f(X) \phi Y-f(Y) \phi X+2 g(X, \phi Y) U) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) U, U\right)=g\left(\left(\nabla_{U} A\right) X, U\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.5) the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S(X, Y)= & (2 n+1) \operatorname{cg}(X, Y)-3 c f(X) f(Y) \\
& +H g(A X, Y)-g(A X, A Y) \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have put $H=$ trace $A$. Therefore the scalar curvature $k$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=4\left(n^{2}-1\right) c+H^{2}-\operatorname{trace} A^{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H$ vanishes identically, then $M$ is said to be minimal. If the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ is of the form $S(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b f(X) f(Y)$ for some constants $a$ and $b$, then $M$ is said to be pseudo-Einstein. When $b=0, M$ is an Einstein manifold. If the second fundamental form $A$ of $M$ is of the form $A X=\alpha X+$ $\beta f(X) U$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are functions on $M$, then $M$ is said to be totally $\eta$-umbilical. When $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constant, totally $\eta$-umbilical real hypersurfaces of a complex space form are necessarily pseudo-Einstein. If $\beta=0$, then $M$ is totally umbilical. But, if $c \neq 0$, by (1.6) we see that there exists no totally umbilical real hypersurfaces of $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ (see Tashiro-Tachibana [16]).

## 2. Basic formulas and lemmas

In this section we prepare some basic formulas and lemmas for real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let $M$ be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $4 c$. First of all, from (1.1) and Gauss and Weingarten formulas we
have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{X} U=\phi A X  \tag{2.1}\\
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi\right) Y=f(Y) A X-g(A X, Y) U \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$.
Now we assume that the vector $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$, that is, $A U=\alpha U$. Then (2.1) implies that

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) U=(X \alpha) U+\alpha \phi A X-A \phi A X
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y, U\right)=(X \alpha) f(Y)+\alpha g(Y, \phi A X)-g(Y, A \phi A X) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Codazzi equation (1.6) and (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 c g(X, \phi Y)= & (X \alpha) f(Y)-(Y \alpha) f(X)+\alpha g((\phi A+A \phi) X, Y) \\
& -2 g(A \phi A X, Y) . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $X=U$ or $Y=U$ in (2.4), we see that $X \alpha=(U \alpha) f(X)$ and $Y \alpha=$ $(U \alpha) f(Y)$, and hence (2.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c g(X, \phi Y)=\alpha g((\phi A+A \phi) X, Y)-2 g(A \phi A X, Y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following we suppose that $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n-1 \geqslant 3$, i.e., $n \geqslant 2$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$. If $\phi A+A \phi=0$, then $c \leqslant 0$. Moreover if $c=0$, then $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at all $x$.

Proof. Since $\phi A+A \phi=0$, we have $\phi A U=0$ and hence $A U=f(A U) U$. This means that the vector $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$. We now put $\alpha=f(A U)$. Then (2.5) implies that

$$
c g(X, \phi Y)=-g(\phi A X, A Y)=g(A \phi X, A Y)
$$

From this we see that $\operatorname{cg}(\phi X, \phi X)=-g(A \phi X, A \phi X) \leqslant 0$. Since the rank of $\phi$ is $2 n-2$ and $n \geqslant 2$, we must have $c \leqslant 0$. Furthermore, if $c=0$ we have $g(A \phi X, A \phi X)=0$ and hence $A \phi X=-\phi A X=0$. Therefore we obtain $A X=$ $\alpha f(X) U$ for any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$. Thus we have $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at each point $x$ of $M$. This completes our assertion.

Lemma 2.2. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ ( $c>0$ ). If $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$, then $\alpha=f(A U)$ is constant.

Proof. Since we have $X \alpha=(U \alpha) f(X)$, we see that $\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} \alpha=(X \beta) U+$ $\beta \phi A X$, where we have put $\beta=U \alpha$. From this we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Y \beta) f(X)-(X \beta) f(Y)=\beta g(\phi A X, Y)-\beta g(\phi A Y, X) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of the fact that $g\left(\nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} \alpha, Y\right)=g\left(\nabla_{Y} \operatorname{grad} \alpha, X\right)$. Putting $X=U$ or $Y=U$ in (2.6), we obtain $X \beta=(U \beta) f(X)$ and $Y \beta=(U \beta) f(Y)$. Therefore we have $\beta g((\phi A+A \phi) X, Y)=0$. From this and Lemma 2.1, we have $\beta=0$ and hence $\alpha$ is constant.

Next we consider the type number of a real hypersurface of a complex space form, and have

Lemma 2.3. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$. Then $t(x)>1$ at some point $x$ of $M$.

Proof. Let us assume that the type number of $M$ is $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at any point $x$ of $M$. We can choose an orthonormal frame field of $M$ for which the second fundamental form of $M$ can be diagonal, that is, $A e_{i}=0, i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$ and $A e_{2 n-1}=\lambda e_{2 n-1}$. Let $M^{\prime}=\left\{x \in M: \lambda_{x} \neq 0\right\}$. Then $M^{\prime}$ is an open set of $M$. In the following our calculation is considered on $M^{\prime}$. Then we obtain

$$
g\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) e_{j}, e_{k}\right)=0 \text { for } i, j, k=1, \cdots, 2 n-2
$$

From this and (1.6) we have

$$
f\left(e_{i}\right) g\left(\phi e_{j}, e_{k}\right)-f\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(\phi e_{i}, e_{k}\right)+2 f\left(e_{k}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right)=0
$$

Putting $j=k$ in this equation, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right)=0, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{2 n-2} f\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{2 n-1}\right) \\
& \quad=f\left(e_{j}\right) g\left(\phi e_{j}, \phi e_{2 n-1}\right)=-f\left(e_{j}\right) f\left(e_{j}\right) f\left(e_{2 n-1}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently we see that $f\left(e_{j}\right)=0$ for $j=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$ or $f\left(e_{2 n-1}\right)=0$. If $f\left(e_{j}\right)=0$ for $j=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$, then $f\left(e_{2 n-1}\right)=1$ and hence $e_{2 n-1}=U$. Since we have $g\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} A\right) e_{j}, U\right)=0$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$, (1.6) implies $g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right)=0$. Thus we have that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n-2} g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, \phi e_{j}\right)=2 n-2=0
$$

or $n=1$. This is a contradiction. Next we suppose that $f\left(e_{2 n-1}\right)=0$. Then we have $A U=0$ and hence $\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) U+A \phi A X=0$. If $A X \neq 0$, we have $A \phi X=$ 0 . Thus we have $\left(\nabla_{X} A\right)=0$ for any vector field $X$ tangent to $M$. From this and (1.6) we obtain $g(X, \phi Y)=0$ for any vectors $X$ and $Y$. This is a contradiction. Therefore we see that $M^{\prime}$ is empty, that is, $M$ is totally geodesic. But this contradicts that $M$ is not totally umbilical. Therefore we must have $t(x)>1$ at some point $x$ of $M$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ $(c \neq 0)$. If $\phi A=A \phi$, then $M$ has at most three constant principal curvatures.

Proof. From the assumption, we see that $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$. From this and (2.6) we obtain $\beta g(\phi A X, Y)=0$. If $\beta \neq 0$ at some point $x$ of $M$, then $\phi A X=0$ and hence (2.5) implies that $\operatorname{cg}(X, \phi Y)=0$. From this we get $c=0$.

This is a contradiction. Thus we have $\beta=0$ and hence $\beta$ is constant. On the other hand, from (2.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi A^{2} X-\alpha \phi A X-c \phi X=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.2) and (2.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2} X-\alpha A X-c X+c f(X) U=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we may assume that $A e_{i}=\lambda_{i} e_{i}, i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$ and $A e_{2 n-1}$ $=\alpha e_{2 n-1}, e_{2 n-1}=U$. Then (2.9) implies that at most two $\lambda_{i}$ are distinct, which will be denoted by $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Then $\lambda+\mu=\alpha$ and $\lambda \mu=-c$. Therefore $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant. This proves our assertion.

If $M$ is totally $\eta$-umbilical, that is, if the second fundamental form $A$ of $M$ is of the form $A X=a X+b f(X) U$ for some scalar functions $a$ and $b$ on $M$, then we have $\phi A=A \phi$. Therefore Lemma 2.4 implies that

Lemma 2.5. Let $M$ be a totally $\eta$-umbilical real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)(c \neq 0)$. Then $M$ has two constant principal curvatures.

Proof. From the assumption on the second fundamental form, we see that $M$ has two principal curvatures. From Lemma 2.4 these two principal curvatures are constant.

In the sequel, we study a real hypersurface $M$ of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ under the assumption that $A \phi+\phi A=k \phi$ for some constant $k \neq 0$. Then the vector $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$. Therefore (2.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 c g(X, \phi Y)=\alpha k g(\phi X, Y)-2 g(A \phi A X, Y) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we have already shown that $\beta g((\phi A+A \phi) X, Y)=0$ where $\beta=U \alpha$. Thus $\beta k g(\phi X, Y)=0$. Since $k \neq 0$, we obtain $\beta=0$ and hence $\alpha$ is constant. From the assumption and (2.10) we also have

$$
2 \phi A^{2} X-2 k \phi A X+\alpha k \phi X+2 c \phi X=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 A^{2} X-2 k A X+(\alpha k+2 c) X-2\left(\alpha^{2}+c\right) f(X) U+k \alpha f(X) U=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this the eigenvalues of $A$, which will be denoted by $\lambda_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 2 n$ -2 ), $\alpha$ satisfies the following quadratic equation

$$
2 t^{2}-2 k t+(\alpha k+2 c)=0
$$

Therefore at most two $\lambda_{i}$ are distinct, and hence $M$ has at most three principal curvatures $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$. Since $\alpha, k$ and $c$ are constant, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are also constant. If $A X=\lambda X$, then $A \phi X=(k-\lambda) \phi X=\mu \phi X$. Therefore the multiplicities of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are equal to $n-1$. If $\lambda=\mu$, then $A \phi=\phi A$, and therefore $2 A \phi=2 \phi A=k \phi$ which implies that $-2 A X+2 \alpha f(X) U=-k X+k f(X) U$,
that is, we have $A X=\frac{1}{2} k X+\frac{1}{2}(k-2 \alpha) f(X) U$. Consequently $M$ is totally $\eta$-umbilical.

Lemma 2.6. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$. If $\phi A+A \phi=k \phi$ for some constant $k \neq 0$, then $M$ has at most three constant principal curvatures $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$. If $\lambda \neq \mu$, then the multiplicities of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are equal.

## 3. Examples

In this section we give examples of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space $P^{n}(C)$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. First of all, we describe real hypersurfaces in $P^{n}(C)$ with two or three constant principal curvatures (see Takagi [13], [14]).

Let $C^{n+1}$ be the space of $(n+1)$-tuples of complex numbers $\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n+1}\right)$. Put $S^{2 n+1}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n+1}\right) \in C^{n+1}: \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1\right\}$. For a positive number $r$ we denote by $M_{0}^{\prime}(2 n, r)$ a hypersurface of $S^{2 n+1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=r\left|z_{n+1}\right|^{2}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an integer $m(2 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1)$ and a positive number $s$, a hypersurface $M^{\prime}(2 n, m, s)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=s \sum_{j=m+1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a number $t(0<t<1)$ we denote by $M^{\prime}(2 n, t)$ a hypersurface of $S^{2 n+1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{j}^{2}\right|^{2}=t, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi$ be the natural projection of $S^{2 n+1}$ onto $P^{n}(C)$. Then $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ $=\pi\left(M_{0}^{\prime}(2 n, r)\right)$ is a connected compact real hypersurface of $P^{n}(C)$ with two constant principal curvatures. We call $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ a geodesic hypersphere of $P^{n}(C)$. Moreover $M(2 n-1, m, s)=\pi\left(M^{\prime}(2 n, m, s)\right)(n \geqslant 3)$ and $M(2 n-$ $1, t)=\pi\left(M^{\prime}(2 n, t)\right)(n \geqslant 2)$ are connected compact real hypersurfaces in $P^{n}(C)$ with three constant principal curvatures. Then Takagi [13], [14] proved the following theorems.

Theorem A (Takagi [13]). If $M$ is a connected complete real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 2)$ with two constant principal curvatures, then $M$ is a geodesic hypersphere.

Theorem B (Takagi [14]). If M is a connected complete real hypersurface in
$P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$ with three constant principal curvatures, then $M$ is congruent to some $M(2 n-1, m, s)$ or $M(2 n-1, t)$.

Real hypersurfaces $M_{0}(2 n-1, r), M(2 n-1, m, s)$ and $M(2 n-1, t)$ are said to be of types $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $B$ respectively in Takagi [13]. We denote by $\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{j}$ the principal curvatures of $M$ in $P^{n}(C)$, and by $m\left(\xi_{1}\right), \cdots, m\left(\xi_{j}\right)$ their multiplicities. Then Takagi [13] gave the following table:

## Table

|  | $\operatorname{dim} M$ | $j$ | $\xi_{i}$ | $m\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A_{1}$ | $2 n-1$ <br> $(n \geqslant 2)$ | 2 | $\xi_{1}=\cot \theta$ <br> $\xi_{2}=2 \cot 2 \theta$ | $m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=2(n-1)$ <br> $m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=1$ |
| $A_{2}$ | $2(p+q)-3$ <br> $(p \geqslant q \geqslant 2)$ | 3 | $\xi_{1}=\cot \theta$ <br> $\xi_{2}=-\tan \theta$ <br> $\xi_{3}=2 \cot 2 \theta$ | $m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=2(p-1)$ <br> $m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=2(q-1)$ <br> $m\left(\xi_{3}\right)=1$ |
| $B$ | $2 p-3$  <br> $(p \geqslant 3)$ $3 \xi_{2}=-\tan (\theta-/ 4)$ | $\xi_{1}=\cot (\theta-/ 4)$ <br> $m\left(\xi_{2}\right)=p-2$ <br> $\xi_{3}=2 \cot 2 \theta$ | $m\left(\xi_{1}\right)=p-2$ |  |
| $m\left(\xi_{3}\right)=1$ |  |  |  |  |

Here we notice that the vector $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$ with respect to $\xi_{3}$. Any geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ is pseudo-Einsteinian. In the next place we show that $M(2 n-1, m,(m-1) /(n-m))$ and $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-$ 1)) are pseudo-Einsteinian. From (1.8) and Table we see that $M(2 n-1, m, s)$ is pseudo-Einsteinian if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \cot \theta-\cot ^{2} \theta=-H \tan \theta-\tan ^{2} \theta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H=p \cot \theta-(2 n-2-p) \tan \theta+2 \cot 2 \theta$, where $p$ denotes the multiplicity of $\cot \theta$, (3.4) implies that $\sin ^{2} \theta=p /(2 n-2)$. On the other hand, a hypersurface $M^{\prime}(2 n, m, s)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ has two principal curvatures $\cot \theta$ and $-\tan \theta$ with multiplicities $p+1$ and $2 n-1-p$ respectively (see Takagi [14, p. 515]). Thus $p=2 m-2$ and

$$
M^{\prime}=S^{2 m-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{m-1}\right) \times S^{2(n-m)+1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n-m}\right)
$$

where $(n-1) /(m-1)=\xi_{1}^{2}+1$ and $(n-1) /(n-m)=\xi_{2}^{2}+1$. From this and (3.2) we obtain $s=\frac{m-1}{n-m}$. Thus $M\left(2 n-1, m, \frac{m-1}{n-m}\right)$ is pseudoEinsteinian, and the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M\left(2 n-1, m, \frac{m-1}{n-m}\right)$ is of the form $S(X, Y)=a g(X, Y)+b f(X) f(Y)$ for some constants $a$ and $b$. Next we determine $a$ and $b$. The constant $a$ is given by $a=(2 n+1)+H \cot \theta-$ $\cot ^{2} \theta$ by (1.8). Since $\sin ^{2} \theta=p /(2 n-2), H \cot \theta-\cot ^{2} \theta=-1$ and hence
$a=2 n$. Moreover, from (1.8) it follows that $b$ is given by $b=-2+$ $2 H \cot 2 \theta-4 \cot ^{2} 2 \theta$. By this we obtain $b=-2$. Thus the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M(2 n-1, m,(m-1) /(n-m))$ is of the form $S(X, Y)=2 n g(X, Y)-$ $2 f(X) f(Y)$.

Furthermore, from (1.8) and Table we see that $M(2 n-1, t)$ is pseudoEinsteinian if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \cot \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\cot ^{2}\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=-H \tan \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\tan ^{2}\left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with

$$
H=(n-1)\left[\cot \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)-\tan \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\right]+2 \cot 2 \theta
$$

gives that $\sin ^{2} 2 \theta=1 /(n-1)$. On the other hand, from the results of Nomizu [9, Theorem 1, p. 1186] and Takagi [14, p. 515] it follows that a hypersurface $M^{\prime}(2 n, t)$ of $S^{2 n+1}$ has four constant principal curvatures $\cot (\theta-\pi / 4), \cot \theta, \cot (\theta+\pi / 4)=-\tan (\theta-\pi / 4)$ and $\cot (\theta+\pi / 2)$ with multiplicities $n-1,1, n-1$ and 1 respectively, and that $t$ is given by $t=$ $\sin ^{2} 2 \theta$ (see also Takagi [15]). Consequently we obtain $t=1 /(n-1)$. Thus $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$ is pseudo-Einsteinian. Moreover we have a $a=2 n$ and $b=2-4 n$, and hence the Ricci tensor $S$ of $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$ is given by $S(X, Y)=2 n g(X, Y)+(2-4 n) f(X) f(Y)$.

Next, in consequence of (3.4), $M(2 n-1, m,(m-1) /(n-m)$ ) is minimal if and only if $\sin ^{2} \theta=\cos ^{2} \theta, \sin ^{2} \theta=\frac{1}{2}$. Since $\sin ^{2} \theta=(m-1) /(n-1)$, we have $m=(n+1) / 2$. Thus $M(2 n-1,(n+1) / 2,1)$ is a pseudo-Einstein real minimal hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$. In this case, $n$ must be odd.

If we suppose that $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$ is minimal, (3.5) implies that $\cot ^{2}(\theta-\pi / 4)=\tan ^{2}(\theta-\pi / 4)$. From this we have $\sin 2 \theta=0$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\sin ^{2} 2 \theta=1 /(n-1)$. Therefore $M(2 n-1$, $1(n-1)$ ) is not minimal.

A geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ is minimal if and only if $H=(2 n-$ 2) $\cot \theta+2 \cot 2 \theta=0$, i.e., $\cos ^{2} \theta=1 / 2 n$. Then we have (see Takagi [13, p. 51])

$$
M_{0}^{\prime}=S^{2 n-1}\left(\frac{2 n}{2 n-1}\right) \times S^{1}(2 n)
$$

where $2 n /(2 n-1)=\xi_{1}^{2}+1$ and $2 n=1 / \xi_{1}^{2}+1$. Thus from (3.1) we have $r=2 n-1$. Therefore a geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-1,2 n-1)$ is minimal. For a constant $a$ of $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ we obtain $a=2 n+(2 n-$ 2) $\cot ^{2} \theta$ by using (1.8). Thus we have $a>2 n$, and also $b=-2 n$.

From these considerations we see that $M_{0}(2 n-1, r), M(2 n-1, m,(m-$ $1) /(n-m))$ and $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$ are not Einsteinian.
Now we summarize some results from the previous sections. First of all, we notice the following fact. Let $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$ be principal curvatures of $M(2 n-$ $1, m, s)$ or $M(2 n-1, t)$, and let $T_{\lambda}=\{X: A X=\lambda X\}, T_{\mu}=\{X: A X=$ $\mu X\}$. Then $\phi T_{\lambda} \subset T_{\lambda}$ and $\phi T_{\mu} \subset T_{\mu}$ on $M(2 n-1, m, s)$, and $\phi T_{\lambda} \subset T_{\mu}$ and $\phi T_{\mu} \subset T_{\lambda}$ on $M(2 n-1, t)$ (see Takagi [14, Lemma 3.4, p. 513]). If $A \phi=\phi A$, then $\phi T_{\lambda} \subset T_{\lambda}$ and $\phi T_{\mu} \subset T_{\mu}$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 and Theorems A, B we obtain

Theorem 3.1 (Okumura [10]). Let $M$ be a connected complete real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$. If $A \phi=\phi A$, then $M$ is congruent to some $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ or $M(2 n-1, m, s)$.

From Lemma 2.5 and Theorem A we have
Theorem 3.2 (Takagi [13]). If $M$ is a connected complete totally $\eta$-umbilical real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 2)$, then $M$ is a geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-$ $1, r$ ).

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.6 and Theorems A, B we obtain
Theorem 3.3. Let $M$ be a connected complete real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$ ( $n \geqslant 3$ ). If $\phi A+A \phi=k \phi$ for some constant $k \neq 0$, then $M$ is congruent to some $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ or $M(2 n-1, t)$.

Remark. In Theorem 3.3 if $k=0$, then by Lemma 2.1 there is no real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$.

## 4. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$

Let $M$ be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ $(n \geqslant 3)$. We can choose a local field of orthonormal frames $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n-1}$, $e_{2 n}$ in $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$ in such a way that, restricted to $M, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n-1}$ are tangent to $M$, and $e_{2 n-1}=U, e_{2 n}=J e_{2 n-1}=C$. Then for a suitable choice of $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 \mathrm{n}-2}$, the second fundamental form $A$ is represented by a matrix form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c}
\lambda_{1} & & & 0 & h_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \ddots & & & \vdots \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & & & \lambda_{2 n-2} & h_{2 n-2} \\
\hline h_{1} & \cdots & \cdots & h_{2 n-2} & \alpha
\end{array}\right),
$$

where we have put $h_{i}=g\left(A e_{i}, U\right), i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2$, and $\alpha=g(A U, U)$.
In the following we assume that $M$ is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$. Then (1.8) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& a g(X, Y)+b f(X) f(Y)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \quad=(2 n+1) c g(X, Y)-3 c f(X) f(Y)+H g(A X, Y)-g(A X, A Y)
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$, where $a$ and $b$ are constants. From (4.1) and (4.2) we have the following equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(A e_{i}, A e_{j}\right)=0 & \text { for } i \neq j, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, 2 n-2, \\
H g\left(A e_{i}, U\right)-g\left(A e_{i}, A U\right)=0 & \text { for } i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2
\end{aligned}
$$

By these equations we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{i} h_{j}=0, i \neq j, i, j=1, \cdots, 2 n-2,  \tag{4.3}\\
h_{i}\left(H-\lambda_{i}-\alpha\right)=0, i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2 . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (4.3) show that at most one $h_{i}$ does not vanish. Thus we can assume $h_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \cdots, 2 n-2$. Then (4.4) implies

Lemma 4.1. Let $M$ be a connected real hypersurface of a complex space form $\bar{M}^{n}(c)$. If $M$ is pseudo-Einsteinian, then $H=\lambda_{1}+\alpha$ or $h_{1}=0$.

On the other hand, by (4.2) we obtain the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=(2 n+1) c+H \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{2}, \quad i=, \cdots, 2 n-2  \tag{4.5}\\
& a=(2 n+1) c+H \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{1}^{2}-h_{1}^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& a=(2 n-2) c-b+H \alpha-\alpha^{2}-h_{1}^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel, we take $P^{n}(C)$ as an ambient manifold. Then we can have
Lemma 4.2. Let $M$ be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$. Then $h_{1}=0$.

Proof. Suppose that $H=\lambda_{1}+\alpha$. Then (4.6) and (4.7) imply $b=-3$. Therefore (4.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a g(X, Y)=(2 n+1) g(X, Y)+H g(A X, Y)-g(A X, A Y) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we take a new local field of orthonormal frames $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 n-1}$ of $M$ for which the second fundamental form $A$ can be represented by a diagonal matrix form, i.e., $A e_{i}=\beta_{i} e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 2 n-1)$. Then (4.8) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{i}^{2}-H \beta_{i}+a-(2 n+1)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore each principal curvatures $\beta_{i}$ satisfies the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}-H t+a-(2 n+1)=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus at most two principal curvatures can be distinct at each point. Let us denote them by $\lambda$ and $\mu$ with $\lambda \geqslant \mu$. Since $M$ is not totally umbilical, we may
suppose $\lambda \neq \mu$ at some point. Then from (4.10) we see

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\lambda+\mu, \quad \lambda \mu=a-(2 n+1) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ be the multiplicity of $\lambda$. Then we have $H=p \lambda+(2 n-1-p) \mu$. Combining this with (4.11) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) \lambda+(2 n-2-p) \mu=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $a>(2 n+1)$. Then the second equation of (4.11) shows that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have the same sign at some point. Therefore (4.12) implies that $p=1$ and $n=3 / 2$, which is a contradiction. If $a<(2 n+1)$ and $\lambda=\mu$ at some point, then we have $(2 n-2) \lambda^{2}=a-(2 n+1)<0$ by $(4.10)$. This is also a contradiction. Hence $M$ has exactly two distinct principal curvatures $\lambda>\mu$ at each point. Then we see $1<p<2 n-2$ from (4.12), and

$$
\lambda_{2}=-\frac{(2 n-2-p)(a-2 n-1)}{(p-1)}, \quad \mu^{2}=-\frac{(p-1)(a-2 n-1)}{(2 n-2-p)}
$$

from (4.11) and (4.12). Therefore the two principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant. Thus applying Lemma 3.3 of Takagi [13] we must have $p=1$ or $p=2 n-2$. This is also a contradiction. Next we assume that $a=(2 n+1)$. Then the product of two principal curvatures is zero, and (4.10) shows that $\lambda^{2}-H \lambda=0$, from which $(p-1) \lambda^{2}=0$. This gives $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at each point. This contradicts Lemma 2.3.

From Lemma 4.2 we see that the vector $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$, i.e., $A U=\alpha U$. Therefore from (4.2) the principal curvatures $\lambda_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i}^{2}-H \lambda_{i}+a-(2 n+1)=0, \quad i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus each $\lambda_{i}$ satisfies the quadratic equation (4.10). Therefore at most two $\lambda_{i}$ can be distinct. Let us denote them by $\lambda$ and $\mu$ with $\lambda \geqslant \mu$. Consequently $M$ has at most three principal curvatures $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$.

Next we prove that $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$ are constant. From Lemma 2.2 we have already seen that $\alpha$ is constant.

Proposition 4.1. Let $M$ be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$. Then $M$ has at most three constant principal curvatures.

Proof. First of all, (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=(2 n-2)-b+H \alpha-\alpha^{2} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $H$ is constant by (1.14), and (4.13) implies that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant. Next we suppose that $\alpha=0$. Then we have $H=p \lambda+(2 n-2-$ $p) \mu$, where $p$ denotes the multiplicity of $\lambda$.

Let $a>(2 n+1)$. If $\lambda \neq \mu$ at some point $x$ of $M$, then from $H=\lambda+\mu$, we get $(p-1) \lambda+(2 n-3-p) \mu=0$. Since $\lambda \mu=a-(2 n+1)>0$, we conclude that $p=1$ and $2 n-3=p$ and hence $n=2$. This is a contradiction to
the assumption $n \geqslant 3$. Thus we must have $\lambda=\mu$ at each point. Then (4.13) implies that $(2 n-3) \lambda^{2}=a-(2 n+1)$ showing that $\lambda$ is a constant.

Suppose $a<(2 n+1)$. If $\lambda=\mu$ at some point, then we have $(2 n-3) \lambda^{2}=$ $a-(2 n+1)<0$ by (4.13). This is a contradiction. Therefore $\lambda \neq \mu$ at each point, and using (4.10) we obtain $H=p \lambda+(2 n-2-p) \mu=\lambda+\mu$ and $\lambda \mu=a-(2 n+1)$ giving

$$
\lambda^{2}=-\frac{(2 n-3-p)(a-2 n-1)}{(p-1)}, \quad \mu^{2}=-\frac{(p-1)(a-2 n-1)}{(2 n-3-p)} .
$$

Consequently the principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant.
Next we assume that $a=(2 n+1)$. In this case the product of two principal curvatures is zero. Thus if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $H=P \lambda$, and (4.13) implies $(p-1) \lambda^{2}=0$. Hence $p=1$, and $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at each point. This is a contradiction by Lemma 2.3. Consequently $M$ has at most three constant principal curvatures.

From Theorems A, B of Takagi [13], [14] and Proposition 4.1 we have
Theorem 4.1. If $M$ is a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$, then $M$ is congruent to some geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$ or $M(2 n-1, m,(m-1) /(n-m))$ or $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$.

From Theorem 4.1 and the argument in $\S 3$ we have
Theorem 4.2. If $M$ is a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real minimal hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$, then $M$ is congruent to $M_{0}(2 n-1,2 n-1)$ or $M(2 n-1,(n+1) / 2,1)$. In the later case, $n$ is odd.

If a real hypersurface $M$ of $P^{n}(C)$ is Einsteinian, then it is obviously pseudo-Einsteinian and has at most three constant principal curvatures. From this and Theorem 4.1, the argument in $\S 3$ gives

Theorem 4.3. Let $M$ be a connected complete real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)$ ( $n \geqslant 3$ ). Then $M$ is not Einstein.

Corollary 4.1. Let $M$ be a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $P^{n}(C)(n \geqslant 3)$. Then we have $a \geqslant 2 n$. If $a \neq 2 n$, then $M$ is congruent to some geodesic hypersphere $M_{0}(2 n-1, r)$. If $a=2 n$ and $b=-2$, then $M$ is congruent to some $M(2 n-1, m,(m-1) /(n-m))$. If $a=2 n$ and $b=2-4 n$, then $M$ is congruent to $M(2 n-1,1 /(n-1))$.

## 5. Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in $C^{n}$

In this section we study a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface $M$ in a complex number space $C^{n}(n \geqslant 3)$. First of all, we give some examples of connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in $C^{n}$ ( $=R^{2 n}$ ).
(1) Hyperplanes: $M=R^{2 n-1}, A=0$.
(2) Spheres: $M=S^{2 n-1}(c)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in C^{n}: \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|z_{j}\right|^{2}=1 / c\right\}$, $A=\sqrt{c} I$.
(3) Cylinders over $(2 n-2)$-spheres: $M=S^{2 n-2}(c) \times R, A=\sqrt{c} I_{2 n-2} \oplus$ 0.
(4) Cylinders over complete plane curves: $M=\gamma \times R^{2 n-2}$, where $\gamma$ is a curve: $-\infty<s<\infty \rightarrow \gamma(s)$ in a plane $R^{2}$ perpendicular to $R^{2 n-2}, A=\lambda I_{1} \oplus$ 0 for some scalar function $\lambda$ on $\gamma$.

If $M$ is an Einstein real hypersurface in $C^{n}$, then $M$ is a sphere, a hyperplane, or a cylinder over a complete plane curve (cf. Ryan [11, Theorem 3.3, p. 376]).

From Lemma 4.1 we can consider two cases: (I) $H=\lambda_{1}+\alpha$, (II) $h_{1}=0$, and hence $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$.

If $H=\lambda_{1}+\alpha$, then (4.6) and (4.7) imply $b=0$, and hence $M$ is an Einstein manifold. Thus we have
Lemma 5.1. Let $M$ be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of $C^{n}$. If $H=\lambda_{1}+\alpha$, then $M$ is an Einstein manifold.

Next we assume that $h_{1}=0$. Then we see that $A e_{i}=\lambda_{i} e_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 2 n$ -2 ), and $A U=\alpha U$. Moreover (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=H \lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i}^{2}, \quad i=1, \cdots, 2 n-2,  \tag{5.1}\\
a+b=H \alpha-\alpha^{2} . \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus each $\lambda_{i}$ satisfies the quadratic equation

$$
t^{2}-H t+a=0
$$

and hence we can have at most two distinct $\lambda_{i}$, which are denoted by $\lambda$ and $\mu$ with $\lambda \geqslant \mu$. Consequently $M$ has at most three principal curvatures $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$. Since $U$ is an eigenvector of $A$, by the similar method like that in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have $\beta g(\phi A X+A \phi X, Y)=0$. Therefore from Lemma 2.1 we have

Lemma 5.2. Let $M$ be a connected pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of $C^{n}$. If $h_{1}=0$, then $\phi A+A \phi=0$ or $\beta=0$. Moreover if $\phi A+A \phi=0$, then $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at any point $x$ of $M$.

If $t(x) \leqslant 1$ at any point $x$ of $M$, then $M$ is locally isometric to $R^{2 n-1}$. Furthermore, if $M$ is complete, by a theorem of Hartman-Nirenberg [4], $M$ is a cylinder over a complete plane curve (for the proof of the theorem of Hartman-Nirenberg see also Nomizu [8]). If $t(x)=0$ for all $x$, then $M$ is totally geodesic and is a hyperplane.

In the following we assume that $\beta=0$, that is, $\alpha$ is constant. Here we need the following theorem due to Cartan [1] (see also Gray [3]).

Theorem C (Cartan [1]). Let $M$ be a hypersurface in $C^{n}$ whose principal curvatures are constant. Then at most two of them are distinct.

Suppose $\alpha \neq 0$. Then (5.2) shows that $H$ is also constant, and hence $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are constant by (5.1). Therefore, from Theorem C, $M$ has at most two distinct principal curvatures. If $\alpha=\lambda$ or $\alpha=\mu$, then (5.1) and (5.2) imply that $b=0$. Thus $M$ is an Einstein manifold. Next we assume that $\lambda=\mu$ and $\lambda \neq \alpha$. Then the equation (1.5) of Gauss implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(X, R(X, Y) Y)=\lambda \alpha \quad \text { for } X \in T_{\lambda}, Y \in T_{\alpha} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put $T_{\lambda}=\{X: A X=\lambda X\}$ and $T_{\alpha}=\{X: A X=\alpha X\}$. Since $\lambda$ and $\alpha$ are constant, both distributions $T_{\lambda}$ and $T_{\alpha}$ are parallel (see Ryan [11, pp. 372-374]). Therefore $g(X, R(X, Y) Y)=0$ for $X \in T_{\lambda}, Y \in T_{\alpha}$, and hence $\lambda \alpha=0$. By the assumption, $\alpha \neq 0$ and hence $\lambda=0$. Consequently $t(x)=1$ on $M$.

Next suppose $\alpha=0$. Then (5.2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a>0$. If $\lambda \neq \mu$ at some point $x$ of $M$, then $\lambda \mu=a>0$ and $\lambda, \mu$ have the same sign. On the other hand, $\lambda+\mu=H=p \lambda+q \mu$, where $p$ and $q$ denote the multiplicities of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively, from which $p=1$ and $q=1$. Since this contradicts the assumption $n \geqslant 3$, we have $\lambda=\mu$ at any point of $M$. Hence $a=(2 n-3) \lambda^{2}$, and $\lambda$ is constant with multiplicity $p=2 n-2$.

Let $a<0$. Then $\lambda \mu<0$. If $\lambda=\mu$ at some point $x$ of $M$, then we get a contradiction. Thus $\lambda \neq \mu$ at any point on $M$, and $H=\lambda+\mu=p \lambda+q \mu$, $\lambda \mu=a$, from which it follows that

$$
\lambda^{2}=\frac{-a(2 n-2-p)}{p}, \quad \mu^{2}=\frac{-a p}{(2 n-2-p)} .
$$

Therefore $\lambda, \mu$ and $\alpha$ are constant. This contradicts to Theorem C.
Suppose $a=0$. Then (5.1) implies $(p-1) \lambda^{2}=0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $p=1$. Consequently $t(x) \leqslant 1$ on $M$. On the other hand, if $a=0$, then by (5.4) we have $b=0$, and $M$ is Einsteinian.

When $a>0, M$ has two constant principal curvatures $\lambda$ and $\alpha=0$ with multiplicities $2 n-2$ and 1 respectively. Then, if $M$ is complete, $M$ is congruent to a cylinder over $(2 n-2)$-sphere $S^{2 n-2}(c) \times R$. Indeed, the Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ of $M$ satisfies $R(X, Y) \cdot R=0$, and hence a theorem of Nomizu [8] implies our assertion. From these we get

Theorem 5.1. Let $M$ be a connected complete pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface in $C^{n}(n \geqslant 3)$. Then $M$ is congruent to a hyperplane $R^{2 n-1}$, a sphere $s^{2 n-1}(c)$, a cylinder over a $(2 n-2)$-sphere $S^{2 n-2}(c) \times R$, or a cylinder over a complete plane curve $\gamma \times R^{2 n-2}$.
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