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## 1. Introduction

The object of this paper is to study the pointwise behavior of the Riemannian sectional curvature function.

More specifically, the Riemannian sectional curvature of a Riemannian manifold $M$ is a real valued function $\sigma$ on the Grassmann bundle of tangent 2-planes of $M$. Although there exist many theorems relating the curvature of $M$ to various topological and geometric properties of $M$, there is little known of a general nature about the behavior of $\sigma$ itself. In fact the critical point behavior of $\sigma$ has been analyzed only in very special cases [1], [4].

Let $G$ denote the Grassmann manifold of oriented tangent 2-planes at $m \in M . G$ can be made, in a natural way, a submanifold of the vector space $\Lambda^{2}$ of 2 -vectors at $m$. Furthermore, since $G$ is a 2 -fold covering space of the manifold of (unoriented) 2-planes at $m$, we may regard $\sigma$ as a function on $G$. We will be interested in the description of the minimum and maximum sets of $\sigma$ and in the question of characterizing positive sectional curvature in terms of the curvature tensor.

Since we are interested in the pointwise behavior of $\sigma$, we shall work in the setting of an arbitrary inner product space $V . G$ is then the Grassmann manifold of oriented 2-planes in $V$. A curvature operator $R$ is a self-adjoint linear transformation of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$ (e.g., the curvature tensor $R$ of a Riemannian manifold $M$ acting on $\Lambda^{2}\left(M_{m}\right)$, where $M_{m}$ is the tangent space to $M$ at $m$ ). For a curvature operator $R$, its sectional curvature $\sigma_{R}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is given by $\sigma_{R}(P)=\langle R P, P\rangle$ for $P$ in $G$.

For dimension $V \leqslant 4$, Thorpe has shown [3] that the minimum and maximum sets of $\sigma_{R}$ are intersections with $G$ of linear subspaces of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$, and he has given [2] a simple characterization of positive sectional curvature in terms of the curvature tensor. In fact, Thorpe [3] claimed that this

[^0]description of the minimum and maximum sets of $\sigma_{R}$ was true for all dimensions.

In what follows, we shall show that these results do not hold for higher dimensions. More specifically, for dimension $V \geqslant 5$ we exhibit a family of curvature operators with nonnegative sectional curvature each of whose members does not conform to the characterization suggested by Thorpe's result [2] for lower dimensions. Furthermore, it is shown that one member of this family has a zero set which is not the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$ and so contradicts Thorpe's result in [3].

The author thanks Professor John Thorpe for acting as his thesis advisor during this work.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional real vector space with inner product $\langle$,$\rangle , and$ for $v \in V$ set $|v|=\sqrt{\langle v, v\rangle}$. For $p$ an integer, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n$, by $\Lambda^{p}(V)$ or $\Lambda^{p}$ we mean the space of $p$-vectors of $V$. If $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for $V$, then $\left\{e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{i}} \mid i_{1}<\cdots i_{p}\right\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda^{p}$, and it follows that $\Lambda^{p}$ has dimension $\binom{n}{p}$. A $p$-vector $\omega$ is said to be decomposable if $\omega=v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{p}$ where $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{p} \in V$. Hence $\Lambda^{p}$ has a basis of decomposable vectors. Thus when defining an inner product on $\Lambda^{p}$ it suffices to specify its values on decomposable $p$-vectors. We set $\left\langle u_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{p}, v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{p}\right\rangle=$ $\operatorname{det}\left[\left\langle u_{i}, v_{j}\right\rangle\right]$ where $u_{i}, v_{j} \in V$. For $\xi \in \Lambda^{2}$ we set $\|\xi\|=\sqrt{\langle\xi, \xi\rangle}$. It follows that if $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $V$, then $\left\{e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i j} \mid i_{1}\right.$ $\left.<\cdots<i_{p}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\Lambda^{p}$. Let $G$ denote the Grassmann manifold of oriented 2-dimensional subspaces of $V$; we identify $G$ with the submanifold of $\Lambda^{2}$ consisting of decomposable 2 -vectors of length 1 by $p \rightarrow u \wedge v$ where $\{u, v\}$ is any oriented orthonormal basis for $P$.

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional real inner product space. A curvature operator $R$ is a self-adjoint linear transformation of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$. The space $\Re$ of all curvature operators has dimension $\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ 2\end{array}\right)^{2}+\binom{n}{2}\right] / 2$ and inner product given by $\langle R, T\rangle=\operatorname{trace} R \circ T$ where $R, T \in \Re$. Given $R \in \Re$ its sectional curvature is the function $\sigma_{R}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\sigma_{R}(P)=\langle R p, P\rangle, P \in G$. We define the zero set of $R$ by $Z(R)=\left\{P \in G \mid \sigma_{R}(P)=0\right\}$.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an oriented orthonormal basis for $V$. We define the star operator

$$
*: \Lambda^{p} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}
$$

by

$$
\langle * \alpha, \beta\rangle=\left\langle\alpha \wedge \beta, e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n}\right\rangle
$$

where $\alpha \in \Lambda^{p}$ and $\beta \in \Lambda^{n-p}$. It is easily checked that this definition is independent of the choice of oriented orthonormal basis for $V$. It is also easily checked that ${ }^{2}=(-1)^{p(n-p)}$ (identity) and so *is nonsingular (see [5]).

If dimension $V=4$ and $p=2$, then $*: \Lambda^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}$, and since $\alpha \wedge \beta=\beta \wedge \alpha$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^{2}$, it follows that $*$ is symmetric.

By $\mathbf{R}$ we denote the set of all real numbers.

## 3. The Bianchi identity and the Grassmann quadratic 2 -relations

In this section we examine the space $\delta$ complementary in $\Re$ to the subspace $\mathscr{B}=\{R \in \mathscr{R} \mid R$ satisfies the Bianchi identity $\}$. We recall that $\mathscr{S}$ is naturally isomorphic to $\Lambda^{4}$, and we exhibit the relationship between $\mathcal{S}$ and the Grassmann quadratic 2 -relations which are necessary and sufficient conditions for decomposability of elements in $\Lambda^{2}$. These results are wellknown and detailed proofs can be found in [3].

Given $R \in \Re$ we associate a 2 -form on $V$ with values in the vector space of skew symmetric endomorphisms of $V$ by

$$
\langle R(u, v)(w), x\rangle=\langle R u \wedge v, w \wedge x\rangle, u, v, w, x \in V
$$

It is easily checked that this "association" is a vector space isomorphism.
Using this identification we define the Bianchi map $b: \Re \rightarrow \Re$. Given $R \in \mathscr{R}$ we set

$$
[b(R)](u, v)(w)=R(u, v)(w)+R(v, w)(u)+R(w, u)(v)
$$

It is easily checked that $b$ is a linear map, and so its kernel is a linear subspace of $\Re$ which we will denote by $\mathscr{B}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}=\mathscr{B}^{\perp}$, the orthogonal compliment of $\mathscr{B}$ in $\Re$. For each $\varepsilon \in \Lambda^{4}$ we associate $S_{\varepsilon} \in \Re$ by $\left\langle S_{\varepsilon} \alpha, \beta\right\rangle=\langle\varepsilon, \alpha \wedge \beta\rangle$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^{2}$.

Proposition 3.1. The map $\varepsilon \rightarrow S_{\varepsilon}$ is an isomorphism of $\Lambda^{4}$ onto $\delta$. In fact $\varepsilon \rightarrow S_{\varepsilon} / \sqrt{6}$ is an isometry.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n$, set $S_{i j k l}=S_{e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{i}} \alpha \in \Lambda^{2}$ is decomposable if and only if $\left\langle S_{i j k l} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle=0,1 \leqslant i<j<k<l \leqslant n$.

Corollary 3.3. $\alpha \in \Lambda^{2}$ is decomposable if and only if $\alpha \wedge \alpha=0$.
Proof. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\sum_{1<i<j<n} a_{i j} e_{i} \wedge e_{j}, \\
\alpha \wedge \alpha & =2 \sum_{1<i<j<k<l<n}\left(a_{i j} a_{k l}-a_{i k} a_{j l}+a_{i l} a_{j k}\right) e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \wedge e_{k} \wedge e_{l} \\
& =\sum_{1<i<j<k<l<n}\left\langle S_{i j k l} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so by Proposition 3.2 if and only if $\alpha$ is decomposable.
Remark 1. The conditions $\left\langle S_{i j k l} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle=0,1 \leqslant i<j<k<l \leqslant n$, are known as the Grassmann quadratic 2 -relations.

Remark 2. In view of Proposition 3.2 it is clear that each curvature operator $S \in \mathcal{S}$ has sectional curvature $\sigma_{S}$ identically zero. Conversely, it is easily checked that this property characterizes $\delta$.

## 4. Two results of Thorpe

In this section we restrict ourselves to the case where dimension $V=4$, and state the two results of Thorpe which form the main concern of this paper.

Let $\Re^{+}\left\{R \in \mathscr{R}:\langle R X, X\rangle \geqslant 0 \forall X \in \Lambda^{2}\right\}$ and $\mathscr{B}^{+}=\left\{R \in \mathscr{B}: \sigma_{\mathrm{R}} \geqslant\right.$ $0\}$. By definition of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathscr{B}, \Re=\mathscr{B} \otimes \delta$, where $\oplus$ means orthogonal direct sum. We define $\pi$ as orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{R}$ into $\mathscr{B}$. Since $\sigma_{R}=\sigma_{B+S}=\sigma_{B}$, it follows that $\pi\left(\Re^{+}\right) \subseteq \mathscr{B}^{+}$, and so we can consider $\pi$ as a map of $\mathscr{R}^{+}$into $\mathfrak{B}^{+}$.

Theorem 4.1. If dimension $V=4$, then the map

$$
\pi: \Re^{+} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{+}
$$

is onto.
Theorem 4.2. Let dimension $V=4$, and suppose $R \in \Re$ is such that $\sigma_{R} \geqslant 0$ and $Z(R) \neq \varnothing$. Then there exists a unique $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $Z(R)=G$ $\cap \operatorname{kernel}(R+S)$.
Proofs of these theorems appear in [2] and [3] respectively.
Corollary 4.3. Let dimension $V=4$ and $R \in \Re$, and let $\lambda$ denote the minimum (or maximum) value of $\sigma_{R}$. Then there exists a unique $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left\{P \in G \mid \sigma_{R}(P)=\lambda\right\}=G \cap \operatorname{ker}(R-\lambda I-S)$.

Proof. This corollary follows from Theorem 4.2 by replacing $R$ in that theorem by $R-\lambda I$ (or, when $\lambda$ is the maximum value of $\sigma_{R}$, by $\lambda I-R$ ).

## 5. Dense subsets of $G$

In this section dimension $V=5$. We describe a collection of dense subsets of the Grassmann manifold $G$ of oriented two-dimensional subspaces of $V$. Specifically, given $P \in G$, we construct a dense subset of $G$ which contains $P$. In the following sections this tool will greatly simplify our calculations.

Theorem 5.1. Given $P \in G$, let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{5}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $V$ such that $P=e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$. If for $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{5} \in \mathbf{R}$ we set $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)=$
$\sum_{i=1}^{5} x_{i} e_{i}$, then

$$
Q=\left\{\frac{\left(1,0, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(1,0, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|}: x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5} \in R\right\}
$$

is a dense subset of $G$ which contains $P$.
To prove Theorem 5.1 we will need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.2. $G-Q=\left\{P \in G \mid\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=0\right\}$.
Proof. (Using the notation of Theorem 5.1.)

$$
P \in G \Rightarrow P=\frac{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|} .
$$

Now if

$$
\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}}{\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|} \neq 0,
$$

where for $i=2, \cdots, 5$ we abusively denote $x_{i} / x_{1}$ by $x_{i}$. Replacing $y_{i}$ by $y_{i}-x_{i} y_{1}$ we get

$$
P=\frac{\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|}
$$

then either $x_{1} \neq 0$ or $y_{1} \neq 0$. We can assume $x_{1} \neq 0$ (by interchanging $x$ 's and $y$ 's if necessary). Dividing each $x_{i}$ by $x_{1}$ we get

$$
P=\frac{\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|}
$$

where for $i=2, \cdots, 5$ we abusively denote $y_{i}-x_{i} y_{1}$ by $y_{i}$. Since $0 \neq\left\langle P, e_{1}\right.$ $\left.\wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=y_{2}$ (the new $y_{2}$ ) we can divide each $y_{i}$ by $y_{2}$ to get

$$
P=\frac{\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(1, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|}
$$

where for $i=2, \cdots, 5$ we abusively denote $y_{i} / y_{2}$ by $y_{i}$. Finally by replacing $x_{i}$ by $x_{i}-y_{i} x_{2}$ we get

$$
P=\frac{\left(1,0, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)}{\left\|\left(1,0, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \wedge\left(0,1, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right)\right\|}
$$

where for $i=3,4,5$ we abusively denote $x_{i}-y_{i} x_{2}$ by $x_{i}$. Hence we have shown $G-Q \subset\left\{P \in G \mid\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=0\right\}$.

It is clear that if $P \in Q \Rightarrow\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle \neq 0$, and so

$$
\left\{P \in G \mid\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=0\right\} \subset G-Q
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Lemma 5.2 shows that the complement of $Q$ in $G$ is $G-Q=\left\{P \in G \mid\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle=0\right\}$. Since the function $P \rightarrow\left\langle P, e_{1} \wedge e_{2}\right\rangle$ is a smooth function on $G$, it follows by the implicit function theorem that $G-Q$ has codimension one in $G$, and therefore $Q$ is dense in $G$.

## 6. The curvature operator $R_{k}$

In this section we discuss the possibility of extending Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 to the case dimension $V \geqslant 5$.

Two claims are made and an example is presented. It will be the analysis of this example which occupies most of the remaining sections and results in a verification of these claims.

Claim 6.1. When the dimension $V \geqslant 5$, the zero set of a curvature operator with nonnegative sectional curvature need not be the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}$.

Claim 6.2. The map $\pi$, defined in $\S 4$, need not be onto. Indeed for dimension $V \geqslant 5$, there exist curvature operators with nonnegative sectional curvature which cannot be made positive semi-definite by adding an element of $\Lambda^{4}$.

Until further notice, dimension $V=5$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{5}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$, and $k$ a real number. Set $e_{i j}=e_{i} \wedge e_{j}$ and consider the following example.

Let $R_{k}: \Lambda^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R_{k} e_{12}=e_{12}-e_{15}-e_{34}, & R_{k} e_{24}=R_{k} e_{35}=0, & R_{k} e_{23}=k e_{23}, \\
R_{k} e_{15}=e_{15}-e_{12}-e_{34}, & R_{k} e_{13}=k e_{13}, & R_{k} e_{25}=k e_{25}, \\
R_{k} e_{34}=e_{34}-e_{12}-e_{15}, & R_{k} e_{14}=k e_{14}, & R_{k} e_{45}=k e_{45}
\end{array}
$$

It is easily checked that $R_{k}$ is self-adjoint. Let $\alpha=e_{12}+e_{15}+e_{34}$. Then $R \alpha=-\alpha$.

In the next section it will be shown that $R_{k}$ has nonnegative sectional curvature.

## 7. The sectional curvature of $R_{k}$

In this section we will analyze sectional curvature on a dense subset of $G$ containing the zero $e_{24}$ of $R_{k}$. The sectional curvature of $R_{k}$ will be shown to be nonnegative on this subset and so on all of $G$.

By Theorem 5.1

$$
Q=\left\{\frac{(\alpha, 1, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, 0, \varepsilon, 1, \theta)}{\|(\alpha, 1, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, 0, \varepsilon, 1, \theta)\|}: \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \theta \in \mathbf{R}\right\}
$$

is a dense subset of $G$ containing $e_{24}$.

Let $\zeta$ be a typical element of $Q$. Since our goal is to show $\sigma_{R_{*}} \geqslant 0$, we can disregard the normalization factor. Let $\xi=\|\zeta\| \zeta$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi= & {\left[\alpha e_{1}+e_{2}+\beta e_{3}+\gamma e_{5}\right] \wedge\left[\delta e_{1}+\varepsilon e_{3}+e_{4}+\theta e_{5}\right] } \\
= & -\delta e_{12}+(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{13}+\alpha e_{14}+(\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta) e_{15} \\
& +\beta e_{34}+\varepsilon e_{23}+\theta e_{25}+e_{24}+(\beta \theta-\gamma \varepsilon) e_{35}-\gamma e_{45}, \\
R_{k} \xi= & (-\delta-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta-\beta) e_{12}+(\delta+\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta-\beta) e_{15} \\
& +(\delta-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta+\beta) e_{34} \\
& +k\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{13}+\alpha e_{14}+\varepsilon e_{23}+\theta e_{25}-\gamma e_{45}\right], \\
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle= & (\delta+\beta)^{2}-2 \gamma \delta^{2}+2 \delta \alpha \theta-2 \alpha \theta \beta \\
& +2 \beta \gamma \delta-2 \alpha \theta \gamma \delta+\gamma^{2} \delta^{2}+\alpha^{2} \theta^{2} \\
& +k\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2}+\alpha^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}+\theta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right]=(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \geqslant 2$, we will write (*) as the sum of squares of rational functions and hence conclude it is nonnegative.
Theorem 7.1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle= & \left(1+\delta^{2}\right)\left[\left(\gamma+\frac{-\delta^{2}+\alpha \varepsilon-\alpha \theta \delta}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\beta+\frac{\delta-\alpha \varepsilon \delta-\alpha \theta}{1+\delta^{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{2(\alpha+\theta \delta)^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}+\frac{2 \varepsilon^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}+\frac{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)^{2} \delta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}}+\frac{2 \theta^{2}}{1+\delta^{2}} \\
& +(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta-\gamma)^{2}+(k-2)\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2}+\alpha^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}+\theta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Expand the right-hand side and simplify to obtain (*). It suffices to check this for $k=2$ since

$$
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle+(k-2)\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2}+\alpha^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}+\theta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right] .
$$

Remark. From the above expression of $\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle$ as the sum of squares of rational functions it follows that $\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=0$ if and only if $\alpha=\varepsilon=\theta=0$ and $\gamma=\delta^{2} /\left(1+\delta^{2}\right), \beta=-\delta /\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)$. Normalizing, this gives a curve of zeroes, parametrized by $\delta$, through $e_{24}$.

## 8. Some zeroes of $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$

In this section it is our goal to find two curves of zeroes of $R_{2}$ through the zero $\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}$. We will begin by examining a subset $Q$ of $G$ and finding a polynomial expression for $\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle$ for $\xi \in Q$ where $\xi /\|\xi\| \in G$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\left\{\zeta \in \Lambda^{2} \mid \zeta=(1, \gamma, \alpha, \beta,-\gamma) \wedge(0,1+\theta, \delta, \varepsilon, 1-\theta)\right. \\
\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \theta \in \mathbf{R}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark. It can be shown that normalizing makes $Q$ into a dense subset of $G$. However, for what follows we only need to know that it contains $e_{12}+e_{15}$, which is obvious. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi= & (1, \gamma, \alpha, \beta,-\gamma) \wedge(0,1+\theta, \delta, \varepsilon, 1-\theta) \\
= & (1+\theta) e_{12}+\delta e_{13}+\varepsilon e_{14}+(1-\theta) e_{15} \\
& +(-\alpha-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta) e_{23}+(-\beta-\beta \theta+\gamma \varepsilon) e_{24} \\
& +2 \gamma e_{25}+(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{34}+(\alpha-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta) e_{35} \\
& +(\beta-\beta \theta+\gamma \varepsilon) e_{45}, \\
R_{k} \xi= & (2 \theta-\alpha \varepsilon+\beta \delta) e_{12}+(-2 \theta-\alpha \varepsilon+\beta \delta) e_{15} \\
& +(-2+\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{34}+k(-\alpha-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta) e_{23} \\
& +k(2 \gamma) e_{25}+k(\beta-\beta \theta+\gamma \varepsilon) e_{45} \\
& +k \delta e_{13}+k \varepsilon e_{14}, \\
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle= & 4 \theta^{2}-4(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)+(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2} \\
& +k\left[(-\alpha-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta)^{2}+(\beta-\beta \theta+\gamma \varepsilon)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\delta^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}+4 \gamma^{2}\right]=(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\alpha=\gamma=\varepsilon=0$ and $k=2$. Then

$$
\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=4 \theta^{2}+4 \beta \delta+\beta^{2} \delta^{2}+2 \beta^{2}(1-\theta)^{2}+2 \delta^{2}
$$

For fixed $B$ set

$$
f(\theta, \delta)=4 \theta^{2}+4 \beta \delta+\beta^{2} \delta^{2}+2 \beta^{2}(1-\theta)^{2}+2 \delta^{2}
$$

Now $\sigma_{R_{2}} \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \Rightarrow f(\theta, \delta) \geqslant 0$. Thus a zero of $f$ is a minimum of $f$. But, at a minimum of $f$,

$$
0=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}=4\left(\beta^{2}+2\right) \theta-4 \beta^{2}, \quad 0=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \delta}=2\left(\beta^{2}+2\right) \delta+4 \beta
$$

Hence $\theta=\beta^{2} /\left(\beta^{2}+2\right)$ and $\delta=-2 \beta /\left(\beta^{2}+2\right)$. It is easily checked that for these values of $\theta$ and $\delta, f(\theta, \delta)=0$.

Thus $\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=0$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi= & \left(1+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{12}+\left(\frac{-2 \beta}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{13}+\left(1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{15} \\
& +(-\beta)\left(1+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{24}+\left(\frac{2 \beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{34}+(\beta)\left(1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{\beta^{2}+2}\right) e_{45}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{1}=\left(\beta^{2}+2\right) \xi & =\left(2 \beta^{2}+2\right) e_{12}+(-2 \beta) e_{13}+2 e_{15} \\
+ & (-\beta)\left(2 \beta^{2}+2\right) e_{24}+\left(2 \beta^{2}\right) e_{34}+(2 \beta) e_{45}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left\langle R_{2} \xi^{1}, \xi^{1}\right\rangle=0$. Thus $\beta \rightarrow \xi^{1}(\beta) /\left\|\xi^{1}(\beta)\right\|$ is a curve of zeroes through $\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}$.

If in (*) we set $k=2$ and $\delta=\beta=\gamma=0$, we get $\left\langle R_{2} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=4 \theta^{2}-4 \alpha \varepsilon+$ $\alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{2}+2(\alpha+\alpha \theta)^{2}+\varepsilon^{2}$. Following an approach identical to that above gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{2}= & 2 e_{12}+(2 \alpha) e_{14}+\left(2 \alpha^{2}+2\right) e_{15} \\
& -(2 \alpha) e_{23}+\left(2 \alpha^{2}\right) e_{34}+(\alpha)\left(2 \alpha^{2}+2\right) e_{35}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easily checked that $\xi^{2} /\left\|\xi^{2}\right\|$ is decomposable and that $\sigma_{R_{2}}\left(\xi^{2} /\left\|\xi^{2}\right\|\right)=0$. Then $\alpha \rightarrow \xi^{2}(\alpha) /\left\|\xi^{2}(\alpha)\right\|$ is another curve of zeroes through $\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}$.

## 9. The zero set of $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

In this section we prove Claims 6.1 and 6.2 , and for each $k>2$ we explicitly describe the zero set of $R_{k}$. Until further notice we set $k=2$. Consider the following vectors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\xi^{1}(1)=4 e_{12}-2 e_{13}+2 e_{15}-4 e_{24}+2 e_{34}+2 e_{45}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=\xi^{1}(-1)=4 e_{12}+2 e_{13}+2 e_{15}+4 e_{24}+2 e_{34}-2 e_{45}, \\
& \alpha_{3}=\xi^{2}(1)=2 e_{12}+2 e_{14}+4 e_{15}-2 e_{23}+2 e_{34}+4 e_{35}, \\
& \alpha_{4}=\xi^{2}(-1)=2 e_{12}-2 e_{14}+4 e_{15}+2 e_{23}+2 e_{34}-4 e_{35}, \\
& \alpha_{5}=-12 e_{12}-12 e_{15} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear from the above construction of $\xi^{1}$ and $\xi^{2}$ that $\left\langle R_{2} \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=0$, $i=1, \cdots, 5$, and thus $\beta_{i}=\alpha_{i} /\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\| \in Z\left(R_{2}\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, 5$. Let $\beta=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \alpha_{i}$. It is easily checked that $\beta=8 e_{34}$ and so $\beta / 8 \in G$. Now $\left\langle R_{2} \beta / 8, \beta / 8\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{34}-e_{12}-e_{15}, e_{34}\right\rangle=1$.

We have found five zeros of $R_{2}$ whose linear span contains a 2-plane in $G$ with nonzero sectional curvature. Let $L_{2}=\pi\left(R_{2}\right)$. (To verify Claim 6.1 we need an example which satisfies the Bianchi identity.) Now by the remark at the end of $\S 3, \sigma_{L_{2}}=\sigma_{R_{2}}$, and so Claim 6.1 of $\S 6$ is verified.

Claim 6.2 is now easily verified. If there existed $S \in \Lambda^{4}$ such that $L_{2}+S$ were positive semi-definite, then each $x \in Z\left(L_{2}\right)$ would be a minimum of $\left\langle\left(L_{2}+S\right) \xi, \xi\right\rangle$ on the unit sphere in $\Lambda^{2}$, and so would be an eigenvector of $L_{2}+S$ with zero eigenvalue. It would then follow that $Z\left(L_{2}\right)$ was the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}$, namely the null space of $L_{2}+S$. However, we have shown that this is not the case.

Lemma 9.1. If
$Q=\left\{P \in G \left\lvert\, P=\frac{(\alpha, 1, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, 0, \varepsilon, 1, \theta)}{\|(\alpha, 1, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, 0, \varepsilon, 1, \theta)\|}\right.: \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \theta \in \mathbf{R}\right\}$,
then

$$
\begin{gathered}
G-Q=\{P \in G \mid P=(\alpha, 0, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, \mu, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta) \\
\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta \in \mathbf{R}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Replacing $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ by $e_{2} \wedge e_{4}$ in Lemma 5.2 shows that $G-Q=$ $\left\{P \in G \mid\left\langle P, e_{2} \wedge e_{4}\right\rangle=0\right\}$. Since

$$
0=\left\langle P, e_{2} \wedge e_{4}\right\rangle=-\left\langle P, *\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5}\right)\right\rangle \Rightarrow P \wedge e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5}=0
$$

considering $P$ as a 2-dimensional subspace of $V$ and $e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5}$ as a 3-dimensional subspace of $V$, we see that $P \cap\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5}\right) \neq(0)$, and so there exists $v \in P$ such that $|v|=1$ and $v=(\alpha, 0, \beta, 0, \gamma)$. Choosing $w \in P$ such that $|w|=1$ and $\langle w, v\rangle=0$ we have that

$$
P=v \wedge w=(\alpha, 0, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, \mu, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta) ; \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \mu, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Next we analyze the sectional curvature of $R_{k}(k \geqslant 2)$ on $G-Q$. Our goal being to explicitly describe $Z\left(R_{k}\right)(k>2)$ we can desregard the normalization factor.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi= & (\alpha, 0, \beta, 0, \gamma) \wedge(\delta, \mu, \varepsilon, \eta, \theta) \\
= & \alpha \mu e_{12}+(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{13}+\alpha \eta e_{14}+(\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta) e_{15} \\
& -\beta \mu e_{23}-\gamma \mu e_{25}+\beta \eta e_{34}+(\beta \theta-\gamma \varepsilon) e_{35}-\gamma \eta e_{45} \\
R_{k} \xi= & (\alpha \mu-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta-\beta \eta) e_{12}+(\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta-\alpha \mu-\beta \eta) e_{15} \\
& +(\beta \eta-\alpha \theta+\gamma \delta-\alpha \mu) e_{34} \\
& +k\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta) e_{13}+\alpha \eta e_{14}-\beta \mu e_{23}-\gamma \mu e_{25}-\gamma \eta e_{45}\right] \\
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle= & \alpha^{2} \mu^{2}-2 \alpha^{2} \mu \theta+2 \alpha \mu \gamma \delta-2 \alpha \mu \beta \eta \\
& +(\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta)^{2}-2 \alpha \theta \beta \eta+2 \gamma \delta \beta \eta+\beta^{2} \eta^{2} \\
& +k\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \eta^{2}+\beta^{2} \mu^{2}+\gamma^{2} \mu^{2}+\gamma^{2} \eta^{2}\right]=(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k \geqslant 2$ we will write (*) as the sum of squares of polynomial functions.
Theorem 9.2. For $k \geqslant 2$ and $\xi \in G-Q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle= & (-\beta \eta+\alpha \theta-\gamma \delta-\alpha \mu)^{2}+2(\beta \mu-\alpha \eta)^{2} \\
& +k\left[(\alpha \varepsilon-\beta \delta)^{2}+\gamma^{2} \mu^{2}+\gamma^{2} \eta^{2}\right]+(k-2)\left(\alpha^{2} \eta^{2}+\beta^{2} \mu^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 9.3. For $k>2, Z\left(R_{k}\right)=\left\{ \pm\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}, \pm e_{24}, \pm e_{35}\right\}$.
Proof. For $k>2$ Theorem 7.1 implies that the only zeroes of $R_{k}$ in $Q$ are $\pm e_{24}$. For $k>2$ and $\xi \in G-Q$, an analysis of the polynomial expression
for $\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle$ given by Theorem 9.2 shows that $\left\langle R_{k} \xi, \xi\right\rangle=0$ only if $\alpha^{2} \eta^{2}+$ $\beta^{2} \mu^{2}=0$. It is easily checked that this happens only when $\xi= \pm e_{35}$ or $\xi= \pm\left(e_{12}+e_{15} / \sqrt{2}\right)$.

Proposition 9.4. For $k \geqslant 2, L_{k}$ is not the projection under $\pi$ of a positive semi-definite operator on $\Lambda^{2}$.

Proof. Suppose it is. Then for some $S \in \Lambda^{4}, R_{k}+S$ is a positive semidefinite operator on $\Lambda^{2}$. Let $\alpha=e_{12}+e_{15}+e_{34}$. Then $R_{k} \alpha=-\alpha$ and $\left\langle R_{k} \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle=-3$. Thus $\left\langle\left(R_{k}+s\right) \alpha, \alpha\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ implies that $\langle S \alpha, \alpha\rangle \geqslant 3$. Now since $S \in \Lambda^{4}$, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$
S=\sum_{1<i<j<k<l<5} \lambda_{i j k l} S_{i j k l}, \quad \lambda_{i j k l} \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Thus $\langle S \alpha, \alpha\rangle=2 \lambda_{1234}+2 \lambda_{1345}$, and since $\langle S \alpha, \alpha\rangle \geqslant 3$ it follows that $\lambda_{1234}$ $+\lambda_{1345} \geqslant 3 / 2$.
Letting $w_{1}=e_{13}+k e_{24}$ and $w_{2}=e_{14}+k e_{35}$ we get $\left\langle\left(R_{k}+S\right) w_{1}, w_{1}\right\rangle=-$ $k \lambda_{1234}$ and $\left\langle\left(R_{k}+S\right) w_{2}, w_{2}\right\rangle=-k \lambda_{1345}$. But this together with $\lambda_{1234}+\lambda_{1345}$ $\geqslant 3 / 2$ implies that $\left\langle\left(R_{k}+S\right) w_{1}, w_{2}\right\rangle<0$ or $\left\langle\left(R_{k}+S\right) w_{2}, w_{2}\right\rangle<0$, thus contradicting the assumption that $R_{k}+S$ is positive semi-definite.

Theorem 9.5. There exist curvature operators which satisfy the Bianchi identity, have nonnegative sectional curvature, and each of whose zero sets is the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}$, but which are not the projection under $\pi$ of a positive semi-definite operator on $\Lambda^{2}$.

Proof. We claim that for $k>2$, each curvature operator $L_{k}$ is of this type. It follows by Theorem 9.4 that $L_{k}$ is not the projection of a positive semi-definite operator, and by Theorem 7.1 that $\sigma_{L_{k}} \geqslant 0$. By Theorem 9.3, for $k>2$ we see that

$$
Z\left(L_{k}\right)=\left\{ \pm\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}, \pm e_{24}, \pm e_{35}\right\}
$$

To complete the proof we verify that (for $k>2$ ) $Z\left(L_{k}\right)=\operatorname{span} Z\left(L_{k}\right) \cap G$.
That $Z\left(L_{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{span} Z\left(L_{k}\right) \cap G$ is clear. If $\xi \in \operatorname{span} Z\left(L_{k}\right)$, then

$$
\xi=a\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}+b e_{24}+c e_{35}, \quad a, b, c, \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

By Corollary 3.3, the following are equivalent:
(1) $\xi$ is decomposable.
(2) $0=\xi \wedge \xi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & {\left[\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right)+b e_{24}+c e_{35}\right] \wedge\left[\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right)+b e_{24}+c e_{35}\right] } \\
= & \frac{2 a c}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5}+\frac{2 a b}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{5} \\
& +2 b c e_{2} \wedge e_{4} \wedge e_{3} \wedge e_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) $a b=a c=b c=0 \Rightarrow a=b=0$ or $b=c=0$ or $a=c=0$.
(4) $\xi= \pm e_{35}$ or $\xi= \pm\left(e_{12}+e_{15}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ or $\xi= \pm e_{24}$.

Theorem 9.6. If dimension $V=n \geqslant 5$, then there exist curvature operators $L_{k}^{n}$ which satisfy the Bianchi identity and have the following properties:

1. For $k \geqslant 2, \sigma_{L_{k}}^{n} \geqslant 0$.
2. For $k=2, Z\left(L_{k}^{n}\right)$ is not the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}$.
3. For $k \geqslant 2, L_{k}^{n}$ is not the projection under $\pi$ of a positive semi-definite operator on $\Lambda^{2}$.

Proof. For $n \geqslant 5$ let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V$, and let $W=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right\}$. Since $W \subset V, \Lambda^{2}(W) \subset \Lambda^{2}(V)$. We define the linear map $\pi_{1}: \Lambda^{2}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}(W)$ by

$$
\pi_{1}(\xi)=\sum_{1<i<j<5} a_{i j} e_{i j}
$$

for $\xi=\Sigma_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} a_{i j} e_{i j} \in \Lambda^{2}(V)$. Note that if $\xi$ is decomposable, then $\pi_{1}(\xi)$ is decomposable.

For $k$ a real number and dimension $V=n \geqslant 5$, consider the following example: Let $R_{k}^{n}: \Lambda^{2}(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}(V)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{k}^{n} e_{12} & =e_{12}-e_{15}-e_{34}, \\
R_{k}^{n} e_{15} & =e_{15}-e_{12}-e_{34}, \\
R_{k}^{n} e_{34} & =e_{34}-e_{12}-e_{15}, \\
R_{k}^{n} e_{24} & =R_{k}^{n} e_{35}=0, \\
R_{k}^{n} e_{i j} & =k e_{i j} \quad \text { for remaining } e_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $k>0,\left\langle R_{k}^{n} \xi, \xi\right\rangle \geqslant\left\langle R_{k} \pi_{1}(\xi), R_{k} \pi_{1}(\xi)\right\rangle$ for all $\xi \in \Lambda^{2}(V)$.
Let $L_{k}^{n}=\pi\left(R_{k}^{n}\right)$. Then $L_{k}^{n}$ satisfies the Bianchi identity, and for $k \geqslant 2$

$$
\sigma_{L_{k}^{n}}(\xi)=\sigma_{R_{k}^{n}}(\xi)=\left\langle R_{k}^{n} \xi, \xi\right\rangle \geqslant\left\langle R_{k} \pi_{1}(\xi), R_{k} \pi_{1}(\xi)\right\rangle \geqslant 0 .
$$

Thus $L_{k}^{n}$ has Property 1.
To see that $L_{k}^{n}$ has Property 2 , lt $\beta_{i}(i=1, \cdots, 5)$ and $\beta$ be defined as above. Taking advantage of the natural inclusion of $\Lambda^{2}(W)$ in $\Lambda^{2}(V)$ we can consider $\beta$ and $\beta_{i}$ as elements of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{L_{2}^{n}}\left(\beta_{i}\right) & =\sigma_{R_{2}^{n}}\left(\beta_{i}\right)=\sigma_{R_{2}}\left(\beta_{i}\right)=0, \\
\sigma_{L_{2}^{n}}(\beta / 8) & =\sigma_{R_{2}^{n}}(\beta / 8)=\sigma_{R_{2}}(\beta / 8)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have found five zeroes of $L_{2}^{n}$ whose linear span contains a 2-plane in $G$ with nonzero sectional curvature, and so $Z\left(L_{2}^{n}\right)$ is not the intersection with $G$ of a linear subspace of $\Lambda^{2}(V)$.

Following an approach similar to that in the proof of Proposition 9.4 one can show that $L_{k}^{n}$ has Property 3.
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