

GAPS IN THE DIMENSIONS OF ISOMETRY GROUPS OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

L. N. MANN

1. Introduction

If M is an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and G is a closed subgroup of $I(M)$, the group of isometries of M , it is a classical result that

$$\dim G \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1).$$

H. C. Wang [8] has shown that for $n \neq 4$, the dimension of G cannot be in the range:

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-1)n+1 < \dim G < \frac{1}{2}n(n+1),$$

and H. Wakakuwa [9] has shown that for n large, the dimension of G cannot be in the range:

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-2)(n-1)+3 < \dim G < \frac{1}{2}(n-1)n.$$

In this paper we generalize the results of Wang and Wakakuwa by showing

Theorem. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n \neq 4, 6, 10$. Then the group $I(M)$ of isometries contains no closed subgroup G where the dimension of G falls into any of the ranges:*

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-k)(n-k+1) + \frac{1}{2}k(k+1) < \dim G < \frac{1}{2}(n-k+1)(n-k+2),$$

$$k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The basic tool in the proof is our Theorem 2 of [4], which actually immediately implies the result for the special case where G is compact [2, p. 55].

2. The main results

We follow the terminology and notation of [3]. Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and G a closed connected subgroup of $I(M)$, the group of isometries of M . For each $x \in M$ we let G_x denote the *isotropy subgroup* of G at x , and $G(x)$ the *G -orbit* of x . Then

Communicated by S. Kobayashi, August 23, 1974. Partially supported by NSF Grant GP-29664.

$$\dim G = \dim G_x + \dim G(x) .$$

If $G(x)$ is a G -orbit of highest dimension, it is known [3, Lemma 2.1] that G acts *essentially effectively* on $G(x)$. In other words, if K is the kernel of the action of G on $G(x)$, $\dim G/K = \dim G$ and G/K acts effectively on $G(x)$. This implies that

$$\dim G \leq \frac{1}{2}t(t + 1) ,$$

where

$$t = \text{maximal dimension of the orbits of } G \text{ on } M .$$

We use the notation

$$\langle m \rangle = \frac{1}{2}m(m + 1)$$

for m a positive integer. Let

$$\Phi(m) = \text{largest integer } j \text{ such that } \langle m - j \rangle + \langle j \rangle \leq \langle m - j + 1 \rangle - 2 ,$$

$$\Psi(m) = \text{largest integer } j \text{ such that}$$

$$\langle m - j \rangle + \langle j \rangle \leq \langle m - j + 1 \rangle + (j - 1) - 2 .$$

(The symbol $\Phi(m)$ was introduced in [5].) It is easy to verify that for $m \geq 3$

$$\Phi(m) = [\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{8m + 1} - 3)] , \quad \Psi(m) = [\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{8m - 15} - 1)] ,$$

$$\Psi(m) = \Phi(m - 2) + 1 , \quad \Psi(m) \geq \Phi(m) .$$

A short table of values of Ψ will be helpful later :

m	$\Psi(m)$	m	$\Psi(m)$
3	1	12	4
5	2	17	5
8	3	23	6

Theorem 1. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and G a closed connected subgroup of $I(M)$ acting on M with orbits of maximal dimension $n - l$, $0 \leq l \leq \Psi(n) - 1$. If $\dim G$ falls into any of the following ranges :*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n - k \rangle + \langle k \rangle - l < \dim G < \langle n - k + 1 \rangle + (k - 1) - l , \\ k = l + 1, l + 2, \dots, \Psi(n) , \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi(n)$ is the largest value of k for which the above inequalities are meaningful, then we must have $n \leq 12$ and exactly one of the possibilities below :

(1) $n = 12, l = 0$ (i.e., G acts transitively on M), $\dim G = 47, G_x^0 = SU(6)$.

[Example. $M = R^{12}, G = SU(6) \cdot R^{12}$ where the dot represents the semi-direct product.]

(2) $n = 10, l = 0, \dim G = 35, G_x^0 = U(5)$.

[Examples. $M = P_5(C), G = SU(6); M = R^{10}, G = U(5) \cdot R^{10}$.]

(3) $n = 8, l = 0, \dim G = 22, G_x^0 = G_2$.

[Examples. $M = S^7 \times S^1, G = \text{Spin}(7) \times S^1; M = R^8, G = G_2 \cdot R^8$.]

(4) $n = 8, l = 1, \dim G = 21, G_x^0 = G_2$.

[Examples. $M = S^7 \times S^1, G = \text{Spin}(7); M = R^8, G = G_2 \cdot R^7$.]

(5) $n = 7, l = 0, \dim G = 21, G_x^0 = G_2$.

[Examples. $M = S^7, G = \text{Spin}(7); M = R^7, G = G_2 \cdot R^7$.]

(6) $n = 6, l = 0, \dim G = 15, G_x^0 = U(3)$.

[Examples. $M = P_3(C), G = SU(4); M = R^6, G = U(3) \cdot R^6$.]

(7) $n = 6, l = 0, \dim G = 14, G_x^0 = SU(3)$.

[Examples. $M = S^6$ or $P_6(R), G = G_2; M = R^6, G = SU(3) \cdot R^6$.]

(8) $n = 4, l = 0, \dim G = 8, G_x^0 = U(2)$.

[Examples. $M = P_2(C), G = SU(3); M = R^4, G = U(2) \cdot R^4$.]

Proof. Let $x \in M$ such that $\dim G(x) = n - l$, and suppose $\dim G$ is in the range

$$(a) \quad \langle n - k \rangle + \langle k \rangle - l < \dim G < \langle n - k + 1 \rangle + (k - 1) - l$$

for some fixed $k, l + 1 \leq k \leq \Psi(n)$. Now

$$(b) \quad \dim G_x^0 = \dim G - (n - l),$$

and the compact connected Lie group G_x^0 acts effectively on M with a fixed point x . Therefore the maximal dimension t_1 of the orbits of G_x^0 on M is at most $n - 1$.

Case A: $t_1 = n - 1$. It follows that $l = 0$ and G acts transitively on M . From (a) we have

$$(c) \quad \langle n - k \rangle + \langle k \rangle < \dim G < \langle n - k + 1 \rangle + (k - 1).$$

Now G_x^0 leaves invariant $(n - 1)$ -spheres in a neighborhood of the fixed point x . Therefore the principal orbit of the action of G_x^0 on M must be an $(n - 1)$ -sphere, and G_x^0 is now determined since the compact connected Lie groups which act transitively and effectively on topological spheres have been completely classified [6], [1], [7]. We have the following cases to consider:

(i) $G_x^0 = SO(n), n \geq 2$,

(ii) $G_x^0 = SU(\frac{1}{2}n)$ or $U(\frac{1}{2}n), n$ even and $n \geq 4$,

(iii) $G_x^0 = Sp(\frac{1}{4}n), Sp(\frac{1}{4}n) \times S^1$ or $Sp(\frac{1}{4}n) \times Sp(1), n$ divisible by 4, $n \geq 4$,

(iv) $G_x^0 = G_2, n = 7$,

(v) $G_x^0 = \text{Spin}(7), n = 8$,

(vi) $G_x^0 = \text{Spin}(9)$, $n = 16$.

We consider these cases individually:

(i) We have

$$\dim G = \dim G_x^0 + n = \dim SO(n) + n = \langle n \rangle .$$

Hence $\dim G$ is not in the range (c).

(ii) For n even and $n \geq 14$,

$$\dim G \leq \dim U(\tfrac{1}{2}n) + n = \tfrac{1}{4}n^2 + n \leq \langle n - \Psi(n) \rangle + \langle \Psi(n) \rangle ,$$

so we need only consider the cases $n \leq 12$. Investigation turns up possibilities (1), (2), (6), (7) and (8) of the theorem.

(iii) For n divisible by 4 and $n \geq 8$,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim G &\leq \dim Sp(\tfrac{1}{4}n) + \dim Sp(1) + n = \tfrac{1}{8}n^2 + \tfrac{5}{4}n + 3 \\ &\leq \langle n - \Psi(n) \rangle + \langle \Psi(n) \rangle , \end{aligned}$$

so we need only consider $n = 4$. We obtain possibility (8) again.

(iv) Possibility (5) arises here.

(v) Here

$$\dim G = \dim \text{Spin}(7) + 8 = 29 ,$$

and 29 does not fall into the range (c) for $n = 8$, $1 \leq k \leq 3$.

(vi) Here

$$\dim G = \dim \text{Spin}(9) + 16 = 52, \text{ but } 52 < \langle 16 - \Psi(16) \rangle .$$

Case B: $t_1 \leq n - 2$. Let M_0 be a principal orbit of the action of G_x^0 on M , and let

$$\dim M_0 = t_1 = n - 2 - u , \quad u \geq 0 .$$

If $u > 0$, we replace M_0 by

$$M_1 = M_0 \times S^u ,$$

so in any case we may assume G_x^0 acts effectively on a manifold of dimension exactly $n - 2$.

From (a) and (b), after simplification we obtain

$$(d) \quad \langle n_1 - k_1 \rangle + \langle k_1 \rangle < \dim G_x^0 < \langle n_1 - k_1 + 1 \rangle ,$$

where $n_1 = n - 2$, $k_1 = k - 1$.

Observe

$$k_1 \leq \Psi(n) - 1 = \Phi(n_1) .$$

We may apply [4, Theorem 2] to the action of G_x^0 on M_1 to arrive at the following possibilities:

- (i) $n_1 = 4, G_x^0 = SU(3)/Z, M_1 = P_2(C),$
- (ii) $n_1 = 6, G_x^0 = G_2, M_1 = S^6 \text{ or } P_6(R),$
- (iii) $n_1 = 10, G_x^0 = SU(6)/Z, M_1 = P_5(C).$

(Z denotes the centers of $SU(3)$ and $SU(6)$.) Since $P_2(C), S^6, P_6(R)$ and $P_5(C)$ do not split, we have

$$M_1 = M_0.$$

But G_x^0 acts linearly in a neighborhood of its fixed point x and therefore cannot have $P_2(C), P_6(R)$ or $P_5(C)$ as a principal orbit. We are left with possibilities (3) and (4) of the theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and G a closed subgroup of $I(M)$. If $\dim G$ falls into any of the following ranges:*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n - k \rangle + \langle k \rangle < \dim G < \langle n - k + 1 \rangle, \\ k = 1, 2, \dots, \Phi(n), \end{aligned}$$

(note that $\Phi(n)$ is the largest integer k for which the above inequalities are meaningful), then we must have $n = 4, 6$ or 10 , G acting transitively on M and exactly one of the possibilities below:

- (1) $n = 10, k = 3, \dim G = 35, G_x^0 = U(5),$
- (2) $n = 6, k = 2, \dim G = 14, G_x^0 = SU(3),$
- (3) $n = 4, k = 1, \dim G = 8, G_x^0 = U(2).$

Proof. Suppose

$$\langle n - k_0 \rangle + \langle k_0 \rangle < \dim G < \langle n - k_0 + 1 \rangle$$

for some $k_0, 1 \leq k_0 \leq \Phi(n)$. Let

$$n - l_0 = \text{maximum dimension of the orbits of } G \text{ on } M.$$

Since

$$\langle n - l_0 \rangle \geq \dim G > \langle n - k_0 \rangle \geq \langle n - \Phi(n) \rangle,$$

we have

$$l_0 < k_0 \leq \Phi(n) \leq \Psi(n).$$

Clearly

$$\langle n - k_0 \rangle + \langle k_0 \rangle - l_0 < \dim G < \langle n - k_0 + 1 \rangle + (k_0 - 1) - l_0.$$

But we are now precisely in the situation of Theorem 1. It follows that $n \leq 12$

and that we have one of the eight possibilities of Theorem 1. It is easily checked that only possibilities (2), (7) and (8) of Theorem 1 survive.

Remark. Using [3, § 7] it is possible to obtain much sharper characterizations of the exceptional low-dimensional cases in Theorems 1 and 2. If G is compact, the exceptional cases of Theorem 2 are given precisely by [4, Theorem 2].

References

- [1] A. Borel, *Le plan projectif des octaves et les spheres comme espaces homogenes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **230** (1960) 1378–1383.
- [2] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [3] S. Kobayashi & T. Nagano, *Riemannian manifolds with abundant isometries*, Differential Geometry, in Honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 195–220.
- [4] L. N. Mann, *Gaps in the dimensions of transformation groups*, Illinois J. Math. **10** (1966) 532–546.
- [5] —, *Further gaps in the dimensions of transformation groups*, Illinois J. Math. **13** (1969) 740–756.
- [6] D. Montgomery & H. Samelson, *Transformation groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. **44** (1943) 454–470.
- [7] J. Poncet, *Groups des Lie compacts de transformations de l'espaces euclidean et les spheres comme espaces homogenes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **33** (1959) 109–120.
- [8] H. C. Wang, *Finsler spaces with completely integrable equations of Killing*, J. London Math. Soc. **22** (1947) 5–9.
- [9] H. Wakakuwa, *On n -dimensional Riemannian spaces admitting some groups of motions of order less than $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$* , Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **6** (1954) 121–134.

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS