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## 1. Introduction

In this work we treat the problem of finding a surface of prescribed mean curvature in a three-dimensional riemannian manifold $M$, with a given closed curve as boundary. That is, given a real-valued function $H(z)$ defined on $M$, we wish to find a mapping $z: B \rightarrow M, B$ denoting the two-dimensional unit disk, which satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $z \in C^{2}(B) \cap C^{0}(\bar{B})$,
(ii) $z$ maps $\partial B$ homeomorphically onto $\Gamma$,
(iii) $z$ satisfies in $B$ the systems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{z_{u}} z_{u}+\nabla_{z_{v}} z_{v}=2 H(z)^{*}\left(z_{u} \wedge z_{v}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
\left\langle z_{u}, z_{u}\right\rangle-\left\langle z_{v}, z_{v}\right\rangle=\left\langle z_{u}, z_{v}\right\rangle=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the inner product on the tangent bundle of M, \nabla$ the associated Levi-Civita connection, $* P$ the tangent vector associated with a twovector $P$ using $\langle$,$\rangle . Let g_{i j}$ be the coefficients of $\langle$,$\rangle in some coordinate$ system. We may write explicity

$$
*\left(z_{u} \wedge z_{v}\right)^{k}=\sqrt{g}\left|\begin{array}{lll}
g^{1 k} & g^{2 k} & g^{3 k} \\
z_{u}^{1} & z_{u}^{2} & z_{u}^{3} \\
z_{v}^{1} & z_{v}^{2} & z_{v}^{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $g_{i j} g^{j k}=\delta_{i}^{k}$ and $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)$. (1.2) states that $z$ is a conformal mapping on its image (possibly with degenerate points); under that condition, (1.1) become the equations for mean curvature $H(z)$ at regular points.

The basic result of the present paper for smooth complete $M$ may be stated as follows. Let $K_{0}$ denote an upper bound on sectional curvatures of $M$, and $\Phi(r)$ the mean curvature with respect to an inward normal of the geodesic sphere of radius $r$ in the space of constant curvature $K_{0}$. Explicitly, $\Phi(r)=$ $\sqrt{K_{0}} \cot \left(\sqrt{K_{0}} r\right)$. In the case $K_{0}>0$, replace $\Phi$ by any smaller function $\phi$
which is monotone decreasing. Then for a rectifiable Jordan curve $\Gamma$ contained in the geodesic ball $B_{r}(m)$, where $\exp _{m}$ is injective on $B_{r}(0) \subset M_{m}$, and for a Hölder-continuous function $H(z)$ satisfying $|H(z)| \leq \phi(r)$ in that ball, the problem has a solution (Theorem 2). The injectivity of $\exp _{m}$ on $B_{r}(0)$ is not essential (Theorem 3).

For the minimal surface case, i.e., $H \equiv 0$, this problem was considered by Morrey [14] after pioneering work in euclidean space by Radó [16] and Douglas [2]. Heinz [7] considered the case of constant mean curvature $H$ in euclidean space, showing existence under the condition that $\Gamma$ be contained in a ball of radius $(\sqrt{17}-1) /(8|H|)$. This radius was sharpened by Werner [19] to $\frac{1}{2}|H|^{-1}$. Hildebrandt [11] improved this to the best possible, requiring radius $|\boldsymbol{H}|^{-1}$. This was accomplished, using regularity results of Morrey, via the introduction of a restricted variational problem in combination with a new maximum principle valid for solutions which are only continuous. Hildebrandt has generalized this result to prescribed mean curvature using a more elegant proof which involves a modified free variational problem [10]. This method appears to run into difficulty in the riemannian context if positive sectional curvatures are allowed. However a variant of the method of [11] is applied to the problem successfully in the present work: the result stated above is a direct generalization of the result of [10]. In the case of nonpositive sectional curvatures our method provides a generalization of Morrey's result in [14]. As in [11], the core of this work is a maximum principle for continuous solutions to the variational problem, which we present in $\S 4$. A similar maximum principle, requiring the mapping to be smooth, has been recently obtained by Kaul [12].

The author is indebted to Joel Spruck for valuable discussions.

## 2. The functional and its first variation

In order to define the variational problem we shall use, we assume for some point $m \in M$ the map exp $=\exp _{m}: M_{m} \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism of the ball $B_{R}(0)$ onto its image, which is the geodesic ball $B_{R}$ of radius $R$ and center $m$. If $S$ is a set in $M_{m}$ we define $C(S)$, the cone on $S$, to be the set $\{t x: 0 \leq t \leq 1$, $x \in S\}$. If $S_{1}=\exp (S) \subset B_{R}$ we define the geodesic cone on $S_{1}, C\left(S_{1}\right)=$ $\exp (C(S))$. If $S_{1}$ is an oriented 2-chain, $C\left(S_{1}\right)$ is an oriented 3-chain. Let a mapping $z: B \rightarrow B_{R}$ and a measurable real-valued function $H(x)$ defined on $B_{R}$ be given. Then we may define the functional

$$
W[z]=4 \int_{C(z(B))} H(x) d V(x)
$$

where $d V$ refers to oriented riemannian volume. For vectors $V_{1}, V_{2}$ tangent to $M_{m}$ we write the euclidean inner product as $V_{1} \cdot V_{2}$, reserving the symbol $\left\langle V_{1}, V_{2}\right\rangle$ for the riemannian inner product on tangent vectors to $M$. For
$z: B \rightarrow M$ and $y: B \rightarrow M_{m}$ we use the notation $|\nabla y|^{2}=y_{u} \cdot y_{u}+y_{v} \cdot y_{v}$ and $|\nabla z|_{M}^{2}=\left\langle z_{u}, z_{u}\right\rangle+\left\langle z_{v}, z_{v}\right\rangle$. We may then write the euclidean and riemannian Dirichlet integrals as

$$
\bar{D}[y]=\iint_{B}|\nabla y|^{2} d u d v, \quad D[z]=\iint_{B}|\nabla z|_{M}^{2} d u d v
$$

Finally define the functional for our variational problem:

$$
E[z]=D[z]+W[z]
$$

For a mapping $z$ into $B_{R}$ we introduce the notation $\tilde{z}=\exp ^{-1} \circ z$. The manifold $M$ is said to be of class $C^{k}$ if it has a $C^{k}$ differentiable structure and the inner product $\langle$,$\rangle is of class C^{k-1}$.

Proposition 1. If $M$ is of class $C^{2}$ and $H \in C^{1}$, then the Euler equations for $E$ are the system (1.1).

Proof. We may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
W[z] & =4 \iint_{B} \int_{0}^{1} H(t z)<\gamma_{*}(t|\tilde{z}|), *\left(z_{u}(t|\tilde{z}|) \wedge z_{v}(t|\tilde{z}|)\right)>|\tilde{z}| d t d u d v \\
& =4 \iint_{B} \omega(z, \nabla z) d u d v
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we define $t z=\exp (t \tilde{z}) ; \gamma$ is the arc-length geodesic from $m$ to $z$; for a vector $V \in M_{z}, V(t|\tilde{z}|)$ denotes the element at $t z=\gamma(t|\tilde{z}|)$ of the Jacobi field along $\gamma$ determined by $V(|\tilde{z}|)=V$ and $V(0)=0$. Let $g_{i j}$ be the coefficients of the inner product of $M$ with respect to normal coordinates at $m, g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(z, \nabla z) & =\int_{0}^{1} H(t z) \sqrt{g}(t z) \frac{\tilde{z}}{|\tilde{z}|} \cdot\left(t \tilde{z}_{u}\right) \wedge\left(t \tilde{z}_{v}\right)|\tilde{z}| d t  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H(t z) \sqrt{g}(t z) d t \tilde{z} \cdot \tilde{z}_{u} \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}=Q(\tilde{z}) \cdot \tilde{z}_{u} \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}
\end{align*}
$$

The hypotheses imply $Q \in C^{1}$. By Lemma 8 of [5] the first variation (in the euclidean context)

$$
[\omega]_{z}=\operatorname{div} Q \tilde{z}_{u} \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}
$$

where the divergence operator is euclidean. But $\operatorname{div} Q=H(z) \sqrt{g}(z)$, by way of integration by parts. The first variation (again in the euclidean context) of $D$ in the $l$ th component $z^{l}$ is readily calculated as

$$
-2 g_{k l}\left(z_{u u}^{k}+z_{v v}^{k}\right)-2 \Gamma_{i j \mid l}\left(z_{u}^{i} z_{u}^{j}+z_{v}^{i} z_{v}^{j}\right)
$$

Here the $\Gamma$ 's are the coefficients of $\nabla$. Thus the Euler equations of $E$ are

$$
g_{k l}\left[z_{u u}^{k}+z_{v v}^{k}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k}\left(z_{u}^{i} z_{u}^{j}+z_{v}^{i} v_{v}^{j}\right)\right]=2 H(z) \sqrt{g}\left(\tilde{z}_{u} \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}\right)^{l}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{u u}^{k}+z_{v v}^{k}+\Gamma_{i j}^{k}\left(z_{u}^{i} z_{u}^{j}+z_{v}^{i} z_{v}^{j}\right)=2 H(z)^{*}\left(z_{u} \wedge z_{v}\right)^{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as

$$
\nabla_{z_{u}} z_{u}+\nabla_{z_{v}} z_{v}=2 H(z)^{*}\left(z_{u} \wedge z_{v}\right)
$$

## 3. Variation with fixed boundary mapping

Let $M$ be a three-dimensional riemannian manifold of class $C^{3}$, with sectional curvatures $K \leq b^{2}, b$ either real or imaginary. Choose $r_{0}>0$; if $b^{2}>0$ we require $|b| r_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi$. We assume for the present that exp is defined on $B_{r_{0}}(0)$ $\subset M_{m}$ and is a diffeomorphism of $B_{r_{0}}(0)$ onto $B_{r_{0}}$ (this requirement will be dropped in § 6). The radius $r_{0}$ will be fixed in this section and the one following.

Define $\mathscr{D}_{R}=\left\{x: B \rightarrow M_{m}: x \in H_{1}(B),|x(w)| \leq R\right.$ for almost all $\left.w \in B\right\}$. Here $H_{1}$ denotes the space of $L^{2}$ functions with $L^{2}$ first derivatives and norm $\|x\|_{1}$ given by $\|x\|_{1}^{2}=\|x\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\bar{D}[x]$. For $f \in \mathscr{D}_{R}$, we define $\mathscr{D}_{R}(f)=\left\{x \in \mathscr{D}_{R}: x\right.$ $\left.-f \in \dot{H}_{1}(B)\right\}$, where $\dot{H}_{1}$ denotes the closure in $H_{1}$ of smooth functions with compact support. For a given function $H \in L^{\infty}(M)$, we denote by $P(f, R, H)$ the variational problem: $E[y] \rightarrow \min$ among mappings $y$ such that $\tilde{y} \in \mathscr{D}_{R}(f)$ and by $e(f, R, H)$ this minimum. Denote $h=\underset{x \in B_{r_{0}}}{\operatorname{ess}}|H(x)|$.

Consider a mapping $z: B \rightarrow B_{r_{0}}$ at a point $w_{0} \in B \in B r_{0}$. We need to bound $\omega(z, \nabla z)$ in terms of the riemannian Dirichlet integrand $|\nabla z|_{{ }_{\mu}^{2}}^{2}$.

Define a function on $\boldsymbol{C}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(\zeta)=\csc ^{2} \zeta \cot \zeta(\zeta-\cos \zeta \sin \zeta) \quad \zeta \neq 0 \\
& G(0)=2 / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe $G$ is continuous, with $0 \leq G(\zeta)<1$ for $-\frac{1}{2} \pi \leq \zeta \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi$ and for all imaginary $\zeta$.

Lemma 1. If $r=\left|z\left(w_{0}\right)\right| \leq r_{0}$ and $h \leq b \cot \left(b r_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\left|\omega\left(z\left(w_{0}\right), \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}|\nabla z|_{M}^{2} G\left(b r_{0}\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $F(\rho)=\sqrt{g}\left(\rho z_{0} / r\right)$ be the Jacobian of $\exp$ at $\rho \tilde{z}_{0} / r \in M_{m}$. Here $z_{0}=z\left(w_{0}\right)$. Then from (2.1) we have

$$
\omega\left(z_{0}, \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H\left(t z_{0}\right) F(t r) d t \tilde{z}_{0} \cdot \tilde{z}_{u}\left(w_{0}\right) \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}\left(w_{0}\right)
$$

Writing $A=\tilde{z}_{0} \cdot \tilde{z}_{u}\left(w_{0}\right) \wedge \tilde{z}_{v}\left(w_{0}\right)$, note that

$$
|A F(r)|=r\left|<\gamma_{*}, *\left(z_{u}\left(w_{0}\right) \wedge z_{v}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)>\left|\leq \frac{1}{2} r\right| \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right|_{M}^{2}
$$

where $\gamma$ is the arc-length geodesic from $m$ to $z_{0}$. Now $F$ satisfies the growth condition

$$
\frac{\rho^{2} F(\rho)}{r^{2} F(r)} \leq \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}(b r)}
$$

for $\rho \leq r$ [6]. So we have

$$
\omega\left(z_{0}, \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right)=A \int_{0}^{1} t^{2} H\left(t z_{0}\right) F(t r) d t \leq A F(r) h \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2} F(t r)}{F(r)} d t
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega\left(z_{0}, \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} r\left|\nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right|_{M}^{2} h \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b t r)}{\sin ^{2}(b r)} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right|_{M}^{2} h \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}(b r)} d \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral is increasing as a function of $r$ since

$$
\frac{d}{d r} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}(b r)} d \rho=1-G(b r)>0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega\left(z_{0}, \nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right|_{M}^{2} b \cot \left(b r_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{r_{0}} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}\left(b r_{0}\right)} d \rho \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left|\nabla z\left(w_{0}\right)\right|_{M}^{2} G\left(b r_{0}\right) . \quad \text { q.e.d. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $b=0$, read $r$ for $\sin (b r) / b$ and 1 for $\cos (b r)$. Thus $\Phi(r)=b \cot (b r)$ becomes the familiar $1 / r$ for $b=0$.

For a vector $V$ tangent to $B_{r_{0}}$ denote $\tilde{V}=\left(\exp ^{-1}\right)_{*}(V)$.
Lemma 2. Assume $M$ is of class $C^{1}$. There exists $N$ such that for any tangent vectors $V \in M_{z},|\tilde{z}| \leq r_{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{N} \tilde{V} \cdot \tilde{V} \leq\langle V, V\rangle \leq N \tilde{V} \cdot \tilde{V}
$$

Proof. At each point $z$ of $\bar{B}_{r_{0}}$, let $N(z)=\sup \left\{\langle V, V\rangle, 1 /\langle V, V\rangle: V \in M_{z}\right.$, $\tilde{V} \cdot \tilde{V}=1\}$. Since $\langle$,$\rangle is continuous and positive definite, N(z)$ is continuous and finite, and hence bounded on $\bar{B}_{r_{0}}$.

Corollary 1. If $h \leq b \cot \left(b r_{0}\right)$ and $\tilde{z} \in \mathscr{D}_{r_{0}}$, then for any measurable $B^{\prime} \subset B$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{N}\left[1-G\left(b r_{0}\right)\right] \bar{D}_{B^{\prime}}[\tilde{z}] \leq E_{B^{\prime}}[z] \leq N\left[1+G\left(b r_{0}\right)\right] \bar{D}_{B^{\prime}}[\tilde{z}]
$$

Here the subscripted $B^{\prime}$ denotes integration over that set.
Lemma 3. Assume $h \leq b \cot \left(b r_{0}\right)$. Let $\left\{\tilde{y}_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence from $\mathscr{D}_{R}, R \leq r_{0}$, such that $\tilde{y}_{n}$ converges weakly to $\tilde{y}$ in $H_{1}(B)$. Then $\tilde{y} \in \mathscr{D}_{R}$ and $E[y] \leq \lim \inf$ $E\left[y_{n}\right]$.

Proof. Using Lemma 1, as in [11, Lemma 1].
Lemma 4. Suppose $h \leq b \cot \left(b r_{0}\right)$ and $f \in \mathscr{D}_{R}$ for $R \leq r_{0}$. Then there exists a solution $z$ to the variational problem $P(f, R, H)$.

Proof. Choose a sequence $\tilde{z}_{n} \in \mathscr{D}_{R}(f)$ such that, with $z_{n}=\exp \circ \tilde{z}_{n}, \lim E\left[z_{n}\right]$ $=e(f, R, H)$. Then the numbers $E\left[z_{n}\right]$ are uniformly bounded, hence by Corollary $1, \bar{D}\left[\tilde{z}_{n}\right]<$ uniform bound. So $\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}\right\|_{1}^{2} \leq R^{2} \iint_{B} d u d v+\bar{D}\left[\tilde{z}_{n}\right]<$ uniform bound, and some subsequence converges weakly to a function $\tilde{z} \in H_{1}(B)$, with $\tilde{z}-f \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1}(B)$. Using Lemma 3, $\tilde{z} \in \mathscr{D}_{R}(f)$ and

$$
e(f, R, H) \leq E[z] \leq \lim E\left[z_{n}\right]=e(f, R, H)
$$

Thus $z$ solves $P(f, R, H)$. q.e.d.
As a consequence of its minimizing property and Corollary 1 , this $z$ satisfies a uniform Hölder condition in $B$. If, moreover, $f \in C^{0}(\partial B)$ then $z \in C^{0}(\bar{B})$ and $z=f$ on $B$. These properties follow from results of Morrey [13, Theorem 2.2] using a glueing technique (cf. [11, Lemma 4]). For any subdomain $B^{\prime} \subset B$ such that $\sup _{w \in B^{\prime}}|\tilde{z}(w)|<R$, the first variation of $E$ will vanish with respect to any smooth test function with compact support; that is, for $H \in C^{1}, z$ is a weak solution to the Euler equations (1.1) or the equivalent form (2.2). It then follows from a result of Heinz and Tomi (cf. [18]) that $z \in C^{1+\beta}$ for all $\beta<1$ and has the representation

$$
\begin{align*}
z(w)=y(w)+\iint_{B^{\prime}} G(w, \zeta)\{ & 2 H(z(\zeta)))^{*}\left(z_{\xi} \wedge z_{\eta}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left.-\Gamma_{i j}^{k}\left(z_{\xi}^{i} z_{\xi}^{j}+z_{\eta}^{i} z_{\eta}^{j}\right) V_{k}\right\} d \xi d \eta
\end{align*}
$$

where $y$ is the harmonic function with $z=y$ on $\partial B^{\prime}, G(w, \zeta)$ the Green's function for $B^{\prime}$, and $V_{k}$ the $k$ th coordinate vector. Assuming only that $H$ is $C^{\alpha}$, it follows by methods of potential theory that $z \in C^{2+\alpha}$ and satisfies (1.1). It is
the purpose of the next section to show that under appropriate hypotheses these considerations may be applied with $B^{\prime}=B$ itself.

## 4. The maximum principle; smoothness

Let $r_{1} \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ be chosen. We construct a $C^{1}$ mapping $\tilde{T}: M_{m} \rightarrow M_{m}$ by defining $\tilde{T}(y)=\sigma(|y|) y /|y|$ for a $C^{1}$ function $\sigma$ with the properties: $\sigma(r) \leq r$ for all $r, \sigma(r)=r$ for $r \in\left[0, r_{1}\right]$, and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{1}+\right)<0$. Now define $T: B_{r_{0}} \rightarrow B_{r_{0}}$ by $T(x)=\exp (\tilde{T}(\tilde{x}))$. Observe that if $y \in \mathscr{D}_{R}$ then $\tilde{T} \circ y \in \mathscr{D}_{\sigma(R)}$.

Lemma 5. Suppose $h<b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$. Then there exists $R_{1}, r_{1}<R_{1} \leq r_{0}$, such that for $\tilde{z} \in \mathscr{D}_{R_{1}} \cap C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ with $\inf _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)| \leq r_{1}<\sup _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)|$ there holds $E[T \circ z]<E[z]$. Thus, if $z \in C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ solves $P\left(f, R_{1}, H\right)$ where $f \in C^{\circ}(\partial B)$ and $\sup _{w \in \partial B}|f(w)| \leq r_{1}$, then $\tilde{z} \in \mathscr{D}_{r_{1}}$.

Proof. We first estimate the effect of $T_{*}$ on the length of vectors. For $V \in M_{p}$ we define an orthogonal decomposition $V=V^{r}+V^{s}$ where $V^{r}=$ $\left\langle V, \gamma_{*}\right\rangle \gamma_{*}, \gamma=$ arc-length geodesic from $m$ to $p$. We have an analogous decomposition for $\tilde{V} \in\left(M_{m}\right)_{\tilde{p}}$, with $V^{r}=\exp _{*}\left(\tilde{V}^{r}\right)$ and $V^{s}=\exp _{*}\left(\tilde{V}^{s}\right)$. Writing $R=|\tilde{p}|$ we see that $\left(\tilde{T}_{*} \tilde{V}\right)^{s}=\tilde{V}^{s} \sigma(R) / R$ and $\left(\tilde{T}_{*} \tilde{V}\right)^{r}=\sigma^{\prime}(R) \tilde{V}^{r}$, modulo the identification of tangents to $M_{m}$ at different points by parallel translation. Write $\tilde{V}(\rho)$ for the Jacobi field along the ray through the origin and $\tilde{p}$, determined by $\tilde{V}(R)=\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V}(0)=0$. Namely $\tilde{V}(\rho)=(\rho / R) \tilde{V}$ translated to $(\rho / R) \tilde{p}$. Let $f(\rho)$ be the Jacobian of exp restricted to the subspace generated by $\tilde{V}(\rho)^{s}$. For $\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$ we have the inequality

$$
\frac{\rho_{1} f\left(\rho_{1}\right)}{\rho_{2} f\left(\rho_{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\sin \left(b \rho_{1}\right)}{\sin \left(b \rho_{2}\right)}
$$

(cf. [6] or [17, proof of Theorem 3]). This now yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{*} V\right|^{2} & =\left|\left(T_{*} V\right)^{r}\right|^{2}+\left|\left(T_{*} V\right)^{s}\right|^{2}=\left|\left(\tilde{T}_{*} \tilde{V}\right)^{r}\right|^{2}+f(\sigma(R))^{2}\left|\left(\tilde{T}_{*} \tilde{V}\right)^{s}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left(\sigma^{\prime}(R)\right)^{2}\left|\tilde{V}^{r}\right|^{2}+(\sigma(R) / R)^{2} f(\sigma(R))^{2}\left|\tilde{V}^{s}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left(\sigma^{\prime}(R)\right)^{2}\left|V^{r}\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{\sigma(R) f(\sigma(R))}{R f(R)}\right)^{2}\left|V^{s}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sigma^{\prime}(R)\right)^{2}\left|V^{r}\right|^{2}+\frac{\sin ^{2}(b \sigma(R))}{\sin ^{2}(b R)}\left|V^{s}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the function $\phi(R)=\sin (b \sigma(R)) / \sin (b R)$ has $\phi\left(r_{1}\right)=1$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. Since $\sigma^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=1$ and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{1}+\right)<0$, we see that $0<\sigma^{\prime} \leq \phi$ on some interval [ $0, R_{0}$ ] where $R_{0}>r_{1}$, equality holding on $\left[0, r_{1}\right]$. Then for $R \leq R_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{*} V\right|^{2} \leq(\phi(R))^{2}\left(\left|V^{r}\right|^{2}+\left|V^{s}\right|^{2}\right)=\frac{\sin ^{2}(b \sigma(R))}{\sin ^{2}(b R)}|V|^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need next to estimate the effect of $T$ on the volume integrand $\omega(z, \nabla z)$.

Denote $y=T \circ z$, and let $z_{u}^{s}(\rho), z_{v}^{s}(\rho)$ be the Jacobi fields generated by $z_{u}^{s}, z_{v}^{s}$. Thus $z_{u}^{s}(\rho) \in M_{\gamma(\rho)}$. Observe that $y_{u}^{s}(\rho)=z_{u}^{s}(\rho), y_{v}^{s}(\rho)=z_{v}^{s}(\rho)$ for $\rho \leq \sigma(|\tilde{z}|)$. First assume that $z_{u}$ and $z_{v}$ are independent, and let $F(\rho)$ be the Jacobian of $\exp$ at $\rho \tilde{z} / R$. Then for $\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$

$$
\frac{\rho_{1}^{2} F\left(\rho_{1}\right)}{\rho_{2}^{2} F\left(\rho_{2}\right)} \leq \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(b \rho_{1}\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(b \rho^{2}\right)}
$$

[6]. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\gamma_{*}(\rho), *\left(y_{u}(\rho) \wedge y_{v}(\rho)\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\gamma_{*}(\rho), *\left(z_{u}(\rho) \wedge z_{v}(\rho)\right)\right\rangle \\
& =F(\rho) \frac{\tilde{z}}{R} \cdot\left(\frac{\rho}{R} \tilde{z}_{u}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\rho}{R} \tilde{z}_{v}\right) C \rho^{2} F(\rho),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\rho$. Thus using (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\omega(z, \nabla z)-\omega(z, \nabla y)| & =\left|\int_{(\sigma R)}^{R} H\left(\frac{\rho z}{R}\right) C \rho^{2} F(\rho) d \rho\right| \\
& \leq h\left|C R^{2} F(R)\right| \int_{\sigma(R)}^{R} \frac{\rho^{2} F(\rho)}{R^{2} F(R)} d \rho \\
& \leq h\left|\left\langle\gamma_{*}(R), *\left(z_{u} \wedge z_{v}\right)\right\rangle\right| \int_{\sigma(R)}^{R} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}(b R)} d \rho \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} h|\nabla z|_{M}^{2} \int_{\sigma(R)}^{R} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b \rho)}{\sin ^{2}(b R)} d \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $z_{u}$ and $z_{v}$ are not independent, this relation holds trivially.
Finally, with $i(z, \nabla z)=|\nabla z|_{M}^{2}+4 \omega(z, \nabla z)$, and supposing $R=|\tilde{z}| \leq R_{0}$ we have from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(z, \nabla z)-i(y, \nabla y) & =|\nabla z|_{M}^{2}-|\nabla y|_{M}^{2}+4(\omega(z, \nabla z)-\omega(y, \nabla y)) \\
& \geq|\nabla z|_{M}^{2}\left\{1-\frac{\sin ^{2}(b \sigma(R))}{\sin ^{2}(b R)}-2 h \int_{\sigma(R)}^{R} \frac{\sin ^{2}(b r)}{\sin ^{2}(b R)} d \rho\right\} \\
& =|\nabla z|_{M}^{2} g(R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In straightforward fashion we compute $g(R)=0$ for $R \leq r_{1}, g^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$, and

$$
g^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{1}+\right)=2 \sigma^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{1}+\right)\left[h-b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)\right]>0 .
$$

Thus there exists $R_{1} \in\left(r_{1}, R\right]$ such that $g>0$ on $\left(r_{1}, R_{1}\right]$. Now assume $z \in \mathscr{D}_{R_{1}}$. We have $i(z, \nabla z)-i(y, \nabla y) \geq 0$ everywhere, i.e., $E_{B^{\prime \prime}}[z]-E_{B^{\prime \prime}}[y] \geq 0$ for all measurable $B^{\prime \prime} \subset B$. Assume further $z \in C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ with

$$
\inf _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)| \leq r_{1}<R_{2}=\sup _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)| \leq R_{1}
$$

Then $|\tilde{z}|$ takes every value in $\left[r_{1}, R_{2}\right]$. In particular, $z$ is not constant on the open set

$$
B^{\prime}=\left\{w \in B: \frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}+R_{2}\right)<|\tilde{z}(w)|<R_{2}\right\}
$$

and thus $D_{B^{\prime}}[z]>0$. But there exists $\delta>0$ such that $g \geq \delta$ on $\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{1}+R_{2}\right), R_{2}\right]$. Let $B^{\prime \prime}=B \backslash B^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
E[z]-E[y]=E_{B^{\prime \prime}}[z]-E_{B^{\prime}}[y]+E_{B^{\prime}}[z]-E_{B^{\prime}}[y] \geq \delta D_{B^{\prime}}[z]>0
$$

as claimed.
Now, if $\sup _{w \in \partial B}|f(w)| \leq r_{1}$ and $z \in \mathscr{D}_{R_{1}}(f)$ then $y \in \mathscr{D}_{R_{1}}(f)$; thus if $z$ solves $P\left(f, R_{1}, H\right), \sup _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)|>r_{1}$ is impossible.

Theorem 1. Suppose $M^{3}$ is a riemannian manifold of class $C^{3}$ with sectional curvatures $\leq b^{2}$. For some $m \in M$ and $r_{0}>0$, with $4 b^{2} r_{0}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$, suppose that the restriction of $\exp =\exp _{m}$ to $B_{r_{0}}(0) \subset M_{m}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Let a $C^{1}$ function $H: B_{r_{0}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be given with $h=\sup _{x \in B_{r_{0}}}|H(x)|<b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$, where $r_{1}<r_{0}$. Then given $f \in \mathscr{D}_{r_{1}} \cap C^{\circ}(\partial B)$ there exists a solution $z \in C^{2}(B)$ $\cap C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ to $P\left(f, r_{1}, H\right)$, which satisfies (1.1) in $B$ and agrees with $\exp \circ f$ on $\partial B$.

Proof. Let $R_{1}$ be as given by Lemma 5. Let $z$ be a solution to $P\left(f, R_{1}, H\right)$ as given by Lemma 4. From results of Morrey we have $z \in C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$, as remarked at the end of $\S 3$, and $z \in C^{2}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ for any $B^{\prime} \subset B$ with $\sup _{w \in B^{\prime}}|\tilde{z}(w)|<R_{1}$. But Lemma 5 shows that $\sup _{w \in B}|\tilde{z}(w)| \leq r_{1}<R_{1}$, so that $z \in C^{w}(B)$ and satisfies the Euler equations (1.1). q.e.d.

We now drop the condition that $H \in C^{1}$. Given any function $H \in C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{B}_{r_{1}}\right)$ with $\sup _{x \in B_{r_{1}}}|H(x)| \leq b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$ we approximate $H$ uniformly in $B_{r_{1}}$ by a sequence of functions $H_{n} \in C^{1}\left(B_{r_{0}}\right)$ with $\sup _{x \in B_{r_{0}}}\left|H_{n}(x)\right|<b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$. Then for each $H_{n}$ Theorem 1 gives a solution $z_{n}$ to $\stackrel{\substack{x \in \sigma_{r} \\ P\left(f, r_{1} \\, H_{n}\right.}}{ }$. As in $[5, \S 5]$ we find $z$ such that some subsequence of the $z_{n}$ converges in $H_{1}(B)$ to $z$. Since each $z_{n}$ has a representation (3.1) with respect to $H_{n}$, we obtain that representation for $z$ with respect to $H$. It may then be shown, using a standard argument of potential theory, that $z \in C^{2+\alpha}$ and satisfies (1.1). This shows

Corollary 2. Theorem 1 continues to hold if the function $H$ satisfies only $H \in C^{\alpha}\left(\bar{B}_{r_{1}}\right)$ and $\sup _{x \in B_{r_{1}}}|H(x)| \leq \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$.

## 5. The Plateau problem

Let $\Gamma$ be an oriented closed Jordan curve in $\boldsymbol{B}_{r_{0}}$. Denote by $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma, R)$ the
set of mappings $\tilde{x} \in \mathscr{D}_{R}$ such that $\left.x\right|_{\partial B}$ is equal almost everywhere to a continuous, monotone mapping of degree 1 over the integers onto $\Gamma$. Define a variational problem $P_{H}(\Gamma, R)$ by $E[x] \rightarrow \min$ among mappings $x$ such that $\tilde{x} \in \mathscr{D}(\Gamma, R)$.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a riemannian manifold of dimension 3 and of class $C^{3}$ with sectional curvatures $\leq b^{2}$. For $m \in M$ and $r_{1}>0$ with $4 r_{1}^{2} b^{2}<\pi^{2}$, assume $\exp _{m}$ is defined on $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0) \subset M_{m}$ and maps $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0)$ diffeomorphically onto $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}=\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(m)$. Let $\Gamma$ be an oriented closed Jordan curve in $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}$ such that $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma, \infty)$ is nonempty. Let $H$ be a uniformly Hölder-continuous function: $\bar{B}_{r_{1}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ with $h=\sup _{z \in B_{r_{1}}}|H(z)| \leq b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$. Then there exists a solution $z \in$ $C^{2}(B) \cap C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ to the variational problem $P_{H}\left(\Gamma, r_{1}\right)$, mapping $\partial B$ homeomorphically onto $\Gamma$ in an orientation-preserving fashion and satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) in B.

Proof. Let $r_{0}>r_{1}$ be chosen so that $4 b^{2} r_{0}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$ and so that $\exp _{m}$ is a diffeomorphism of $B_{r_{0}}(0)$ onto $B_{r_{0}}(m)$. The theorem now follows from Corollary 2 in essentially the same fashion as in [11, Theorem 2]. In the process of the proof, we modify a minimizing sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by requiring each $x_{n}$ to satisfy a three-point condition. This can be done without changing $E\left[x_{n}\right]$ since $E$ is conformally invariant. We require the choice of the three points to be such that every monotone map: $\partial B \rightarrow \Gamma$ satisfying the three-point condition will be of degree 1 . In particular, the limiting mapping $\left.z\right|_{\partial B}$ will be of degree 1 . Observe that for any $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism $\phi: \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ there holds $W[\phi \circ z]=W[z]$; therefore since $\phi \circ z \in \mathscr{D}\left(\Gamma, r_{1}\right)$ we have $D[z] \leq D[\phi \circ z]$. From this it follows that $z$ satisfies (1.2) by a straightforward adaptation of the method of [1, pp. 107112].

To show that $\left.z\right|_{\partial B}$ is a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that for any $w_{0} \in \partial B$, a neighborhood of which in $\partial B$ is mapped into a $C^{2}$ curve, there holds an asymptotic representation

$$
z_{u}-i z_{v}=a\left(w-w_{0}\right)^{l}+0\left(\left|w-w_{0}\right|^{l}\right)
$$

for some integer $l \geq 1$ and $a \in C^{3} \backslash\{0\}$. This may be obtained by suitable modification of an argument of Heinz [9, relations (14) and (30)] to allow isothermal parameters in the sense of (1.2).

## 6. Globalization

We now drop the requirement that $\exp$ be injective on $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0)$. We shall need the following fact, which may be expressed as the statement that exp behaves like a covering projection with respect to curves which are not too long.

Lemma 6. Let $M$ be a complete riemannian manifold of class $C^{3}$ with sectional curvatures $\leq b^{2}$. Suppose a $C^{1}$ curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ is given with $\gamma(0)$ $=m$ and $r=$ length $(\gamma)<r_{1}$, where $r_{1}^{2} b^{2}<\pi^{2}$. Then there is a unique mapping
$\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow M_{m}$ with $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=0$ and $\exp \circ \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$. Moreover, suppose $\left\{\gamma_{s}\right\}$ is a family of such curves such that $g(s, t)=\gamma_{s}(t)$ defines a continuous mapping $g:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$. Then the family $\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{s}\right\}$ of liftings yields a continuous mapping $\tilde{g}:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times[0,1] \rightarrow M_{m}$ by defining $\tilde{g}(s, t)=\tilde{\gamma}_{s}(t)$.

Proof. Every point $q \in B_{r_{1}}(0) \subset M_{m}$ has a neighborhood $U(q)$ such that exp is a diffeomorphism of $U(q)$ onto its image. This follows from the condition $r_{1}^{2} b^{2}<\pi^{2}$ using a comparison technique (cf. [3, pp. 176-179]). Let $S$ be the set of $t \in[0,1]$ such that there exists a unique continuous lifting $\tilde{\gamma}:[0, t] \rightarrow M_{m}$ with $\exp \circ \tilde{\gamma}=\left.\gamma\right|_{[0, t]}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=0$. Thus $0 \in S$. Suppose $t \in S$. Then for $t_{1} \leq t$,

$$
\left|\tilde{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|=\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \tilde{\gamma}_{*}(t) \cdot \tilde{W} d t=\int_{0}^{t_{1}}\left\langle\gamma_{*}(t), W\right\rangle d t \leq \text { length }(\gamma)=r,
$$

where $\tilde{W}$ is the radial unit vector field in $M_{m}$, and $W=\exp _{*}(\tilde{W})$. Thus $\tilde{\gamma}([0, t]) \subset B_{r}(0) \subset \subset B_{r_{1}}(0)$.

In particular, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0, \gamma([t, t+\varepsilon]) \subset \exp (U(\tilde{\gamma}(t)))$ so that for $t_{1} \in[t, t+\varepsilon]$ defining $\tilde{\gamma}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(\left.\exp \right|_{U(\tilde{\gamma}(t))}\right)^{-1} \circ \gamma\left(t_{1}\right)$ extends $\tilde{\gamma}$ over $[0, t+\varepsilon]$. The extended curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ must be unique, since otherwise this process would provide a contradiction to the uniqueness of $\left.\tilde{\gamma}\right|_{[0, t]}$. Thus $t+\varepsilon \in S$. This shows $S_{0}=\left\{t_{0}:\left[0, t_{0}\right] \subset S\right\}$ is open.

Now suppose $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence from $S$ with $t_{n} \rightarrow t_{0}$. Uniqueness implies that the curves $\tilde{\gamma}$ associated with different values $t_{n}$ are merely restrictions of one another. This defines $\tilde{\gamma}:\left[0, t_{0}\right) \rightarrow B_{r}(0)$. Among the various points of the finite set $\left\{q \in \bar{B}_{r}(0): \exp (q)=\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)\right\}$, at most one can be a cluster point of $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0}$; otherwise there would be a continuum of cluster points, each of which must be mapped to $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)$ by exp. It follows that $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ approaches a limit as $t \rightarrow t_{0}$, and we define $\tilde{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)$ to be this limit. The uniqueness of this extended $\tilde{\gamma}$ is clear. Thus $t_{0} \in S$. This shows $S_{0}$ is closed. Therefore $S_{0}=[0,1]$, i.e., $S=[0,1]$.

For the second part of the conclusion, it suffices to show $\tilde{g}$ is continuous at $s=0$. The compact set $\tilde{\gamma}([0,1])$ is covered by a finite number of neighborhoods $U_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, where each $U_{i}$ is $U(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$ for some $t \in[0,1]$. Choose $\delta \in(0, \varepsilon)$ small enough that for each $t \in[0,1], g([-\delta, \delta] \times\{t\}) \subset \exp \left(U_{i}\right)$ for some $i$. Define $T=\{t \in[0,1]: \tilde{g}$ is continuous on $[-\delta, \delta] \times[0, t]\}$. Thus $0 \in T$. For some $t \geq 0$, suppose $[0, t) \subset T$. There exist $i$ and $\eta>0$ such that $g([-\delta, \delta] \times[t-\eta, t+\eta]) \subset \exp \left(U_{i}\right)$. For $s \in[-\delta, \delta]$ and $t_{1} \in[t-\eta, t+\eta]$ define $\tilde{\gamma}_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(\left.\exp \right|_{U_{i}}\right)^{-1} \circ \gamma_{s}\left(t_{1}\right)$; this defines a continuous lifting $\tilde{\gamma}_{s}^{\prime}$ of $\left.\gamma_{s}\right|_{[0, t+\eta]}$. By the uniqueness of $\tilde{\gamma}_{s}$, we have $\tilde{\gamma}_{s}^{\prime}=\tilde{\gamma}_{s}$, i.e.,

$$
\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{[-\delta, \delta] \times[t-\eta, t+\eta]}=\left.\left(\left.\exp \right|_{U_{i}}\right)^{-1} \circ g\right|_{[-\delta, \delta] \times[t-\eta, t+\eta]} .
$$

Thus $\tilde{g}$ is continuous on $[-\delta, \delta] \times[t-\eta, t+\eta]$ and hence on $[-\delta, \delta] \times$ $[0, t+\eta]$ via a glueing lemma. So $t+\eta \in T$. This shows $T=[0,1]$, i.e., $\tilde{g}$ is continuous. q.e.d.

We shall need a new way of limiting the extent of a closed contractible curve $\Gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$. Let a contraction of $\Gamma$ be given by $g:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$ with $g(s, 0)=m, g(s, 1) \doteq \Gamma(s)$ and $g(1, t)=g(0, t)$ for all $s, t \in[0,1]$. We may assume the transverse curves $g_{s}(t)=g(s, t)$ are uniformly smooth: $g_{s} \in$ $C^{1}([0,1])$ and sup length $\left(g_{s}\right)<\infty$. We make the following definition: if $g$ is a contraction of $\Gamma$ such that each $g_{s}$ is rectifiable and length $\left(g_{s}\right) \leq r$, we call $g$ an $r$-contraction of $\Gamma$; if $\Gamma$ has an $r$-contraction, it is called $r$-contractible. Thus any contractible curve is $r$-contractible for sufficiently large $r$.

Lemma 7. Let $N$ be a complete riemannian manifold of class $C^{3}$ with sectional curvatures $\leq b^{2}$. If a continuous closed curve $\Gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow N$ is $r_{1}-$ contractible, where $b^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$, then there exist $n \in N$ and a continuous closed curve $\tilde{\Gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow \bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0) \subset N_{n}$ such that $\Gamma=\exp _{n} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Proof. Let $g:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow N$ be an $r_{1}$-contraction of $\Gamma$, and $n$ the common point $g(s, 0)$. Write $g_{s}(t)=g(s, t)$; we have length $\left(g_{s}\right) \leq r_{1}$. Applying Lemma 6 to the family of curves $\left\{g_{s}\right\}$, there is a family of liftings $\left\{\tilde{g}_{s}\right\}$ such that $\cdot \tilde{g}(s, t)=\tilde{g}_{s}(t)$ defines a continuous mapping $\tilde{g}:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow N^{n}$. Since $g_{0}=g_{1}$, it follows from the uniqueness of liftings that $\tilde{g}_{1}=\tilde{g}_{0}$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}(s)$ $=\tilde{g}_{s}(1)$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a continuous closed curve with $\Gamma=\exp _{n} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 3. Let $\Gamma$ be an $r_{1}$-contractible Jordan curve in a complete riemannian manifold $N^{3}$ of class $C^{3}$ and with sectional curvatures $\leq b^{2}$. Assume there is a mapping $x_{0}: \bar{B} \rightarrow N$ such that $x_{0}$ maps $\partial B$ continuously and monotonically onto $\Gamma$, and $D\left[x_{0}\right]<\infty$. Suppose that $H \in C^{\alpha}(N)$ satisfies $\sup _{x \in N}|H(x)| \leq b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$ and that $4 b^{2} r_{1}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$. Then there is a mapping $z: \bar{B} \rightarrow N, z \in C^{2}(B) \cap C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$, taking $\partial B$ homeomorphically onto $\Gamma$ and satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) in $B$.

Proof. By Lemma 7, there exist $n \in N$ and a continuous closed curve $\tilde{\Gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow \bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0) \subset N_{n}$ such that $\Gamma=\exp _{n} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}$. Thus $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a Jordan curve. We shall define a new manifold $M$ as follows. Let $r_{0}>r_{1}$ be chosen with $b^{2} r_{0}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$. Then $\exp _{n}$ has full rank on $B_{r_{0}}(0) \subset N_{n}$. Let $M$ be $B_{r_{0}}(0)$ with the riemannian structure which makes $\exp _{n}$ a local isometry, and denote $m=0$ $\epsilon N_{n}$. Then clearly $\exp _{m}$ is a diffeomorphism of $B_{r_{0}}(0) \subset M_{m}$ onto $M=B_{r_{0}}(m)$. Define $\tilde{H}: M \rightarrow R$ by $\tilde{H}(x)=H \circ \exp _{n}(x)$. We need to find $y_{0} \in \mathscr{D}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \infty)$. We may assume $x_{0}$ is smooth in $B$. It is then possible to modify $x_{0}$ on some compact subdomain of $B$ to a mapping $x_{1}$ which is smooth in $B$ and describes an $r_{0}$-contraction of $\Gamma$ to $n$ such that $x_{1}$ is homotopic through $r_{0}$-contractions of $\Gamma$ to $\exp _{n}(C(\tilde{\Gamma}))$. Lemma 6 may then be applied to find a lifting $y_{0}: \bar{B} \rightarrow N_{n}$ with $x_{1}=\exp _{n} \circ y_{0}$. Thus $y_{0} \in \mathscr{D}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \infty)$. Now apply Theorem 2 to the curve $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the manifold $M$ with the prescribed function $\tilde{H}$ : this gives a mapping $y: \bar{B} \rightarrow M$. Define $z=\exp _{n} \circ y$. Then $z$ has the required properties.

Remarks. 1) It is clear from the proof that weaker hypotheses will suffice: if $\Gamma$ is $r_{1}$-contractible to a point $n \in N$, then we may replace the requirement that $N$ be complete by the requirement that $\exp _{n}$ be defined on $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(0)$ $\subset N_{n}$, and require $H$ to be defined only on $\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(n)$, of class $C^{a}\left(\bar{B}_{r_{1}}(n)\right)$, with
$\sup _{x \in \overline{B_{r_{1}}(n)}}|H(x)| \leq b \cot \left(b r_{1}\right)$.
2) Observe that the solution mapping is homotopic to the particular $r_{1}-$ contraction $g$ employed in the proof, up to sign ; that is, the two mappings represent the same element or inverse elements in $\pi_{2}(N, \Gamma)$. In fact either orientation could be specified for $\Gamma$ so that $z \in[g]$ or $z \in[g]^{-1}$ could be obtained at will. Thus, we may obtain a solution $z$ in any homotopy class in which $\Gamma$ is $r_{1}$-contractible.
3) The author [4] has recently demonstrated that the solution mapping $z$ is an immersion, that is, $\left\langle z_{u}, z_{u}\right\rangle=\left\langle z_{v}, z_{v}\right\rangle \neq 0$ in $B$.
4) By a result of Heinz [8], the restriction on $h$ is the best possible for the case $b=0$; it is reasonable to suppose that it continues to be sharp for other values of $b$.
5) The requirement that $\Gamma$ be $r_{1}$-contractible may not be replaced by the condition of contractibility in conjunction with a general restriction on diameter. This may be seen by considering a flat three-torus $T^{3}$ of arbitrarily small diameter, letting $\Gamma$ be the image of a plane circle of radius $>h^{-1}$ under the locally isometric covering map $E^{3} \rightarrow T^{3}$. Using the result of [8], this problem has no solution with $H(x) \equiv h$.

## 7. Minimal surfaces

In the case $H \equiv 0$, we may ignore the volume term $W[z]$ entirely, and the restriction on the dimension of $M$ is no longer necessary. The same considerations, with inessential modifications, now yield:

Theorem 4. Let $\Gamma$ be an $r_{1}$-contractible Jordan curve in a complete riemannian manifold $N$, of class $C^{3}$ and with sectional curvatures $K \leq K_{0}$. Assume there is a mapping $x_{0}: \bar{B} \rightarrow N$ which takes $\partial B$ continuously and monotonically onto $\Gamma$, with $D\left[x_{0}\right]<\infty$. Suppose $4 K_{0} r_{1}{ }^{2}<\pi^{2}$. Then there is a minimal surface in $N$ with a conformal representation $z \in C^{2}(B) \cap C^{\circ}(\bar{B})$ mapping $\partial B$ homeomorphically onto $\Gamma$.

This is a partial generalization of the theorem of Morrey [14].
Remarks. 1) If $\operatorname{dim} N>3$, we make no claim that the solution mapping will be an immersion.
2) In [14], Morrey constructs an example to shed light on his hypothesis of homogeneous regularity. The example occurs in a manifold of negative sectional curvature; but in such a manifold Theorem 4 gives a minimal surface spanning every contractible rectifiable Jordan curve. Thus no example has yet come to light of a contractible rectifiable Jordan curve in a complete manifold which cannot be spanned by a minimal surface.

## Bibliography

[1] R. Courant, Dirichlet's principle, conformal mapping, and minimal surfaces, Interscience, New York, 1950.
[2] J. Douglas, Solution of the problem of Plateau, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1931) 263-321.
[3] D. Gromoll, W. Klingenberg \& W. Meyer, Riemannsche Geometrie im Grossen, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 55, Springer, Berlin, 1968.
[4] R. Gulliver, Regularity of minimizing surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, Ann. of Math. 97 (1973) 275-305.
[5] R. Gulliver \& J. Spruck, The Plateau problem for surfaces of prescribed mean curvature in a cylinder, Invent. Math. 13 (1971) 169-178.
[6] P. Günther, Einige Sätze über das Volumenelement eines Riemannschen Raumes, Publ. Math. Debrecen 7 (1960) 78-93.
[7] E. Heinz, Uber die Existenz einer Fläche konstanter mittlerer Krümmung bei vorgegebener Berandung, Math. Ann. 127 (1954) 258-287.
[8] --, On the non-existence of a surface of constant mean curvature with finite area and prescribed rectifiable boundary, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 35 (1969) 249-252.
[9] - U'Uber das Randverhalten quasilinearer elliptischer Systeme mit isothermen Parametern, Math. Z. 113 (1970) 99-105.
[10] S. Hildebrandt, Randwertprobleme für Flächen mit vorgeschriebener mittlerer Krümmung und Andwendungen auf die Kapillaritätstheorie. I, Math. Z. 112 (1969) 205-213.
[11] - On the Plateau problem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1970) 97-114.
[12] H. Kaul, Ein Einschliessungssatz für H-Flächen in Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten, Manuscripta Math. 5 (1971) 103-112.
[13] C. B. Morrey, Jr., Multiple integral problems in the calculus of variations and related topics, Univ. California Publ. Math., New series, Vol. 1, No. 1, 1943, 1-130.
[14] —, The problem of Plateau on a Riemannian manifold, Ann. of Math. 49 (1948) 807-851.
[15] , Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations, Springer, New York, 1966.
[16] T. Radó, The problem of the least area and the problem of Plateau, Math. Z. 32 (1930) 763-796.
[17] H. E. Rauch, $A$ contribution to Riemannian geometry in the large, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951) 38-55.
[18] F. Tomi, Ein einfacher Beweis eines Regularitätssatzes für schwache Lösungen gewisser elliptischer Systeme, Math. Z. 112 (1969) 214-218.
[19] H. Werner, Das Problem von Douglas für Flächen konstanter mittlerer Krümmung, Math. Ann. 133 (1957) 303-319.

