

AUTOMORPHISMS AND INTEGRABILITY OF PLANE FIELDS

BRUCE L. REINHART

A p -plane field on an n -dimensional manifold is a section in the bundle associated to the tangent bundle with fiber the Grassmann manifold of p -planes in affine space \mathbf{R}^n . It is integrable if each point has a neighborhood U homeomorphic to affine space in such a way that the restriction of the plane field to U is carried by the induced tangent map onto a field of parallel planes. Since a field of parallel planes in \mathbf{R}^n is preserved by any translation, the restriction to U of the field admits a transitive abelian group of automorphisms, that is, homeomorphisms such that their tangent maps take the field onto itself. In this paper, we shall prove the converse.

Theorem. *A p -plane field is integrable if and only if each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to affine space on which the restriction of the field admits a transitive abelian group of automorphisms. The homeomorphisms occurring in the definition of integrability and in the automorphism groups are of the same class C^k for some $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$.*

This theorem follows immediately from the preceding remarks and the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Let G be a transitive abelian subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms of class C^k of \mathbf{R}^n , where $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$. Then G is conjugate to the group of translations, and the conjugating element is unique up to an affine map.*

Indeed, suppose the lemma holds. Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a homeomorphism, G_1 be a transitive abelian group of automorphisms of the restriction of the field to U , and T be the group of translations in \mathbf{R}^n . Then there exists a homeomorphism g of \mathbf{R}^n such that

$$gfG_1f^{-1}g^{-1} = T.$$

Hence the tangent map induced by gf takes the given p -plane field into one preserved by the translation group of \mathbf{R}^n , that is, a parallel field. Hence the p -plane field is integrable as required.

It remains to prove Lemma 1. The idea of the proof is to topologize the given

Communicated by R. Bott, December 22, 1970. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-8872.

group G so that it becomes an abelian topological group homeomorphic to \mathbf{R}^n , hence isomorphic in the category of topological groups to the additive group of \mathbf{R}^n . This isomorphism will be used to construct the required conjugating homeomorphism. We first state and prove some additional lemmas required for the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *A transitive abelian subgroup of the homeomorphism group of \mathbf{R}^n is simply transitive.*

Proof. Since the group is transitive and abelian, all the isotropy subgroups are conjugate and identical; the latter means that any element, which leaves one point fixed, leaves all points fixed and therefore is the identity. Hence there cannot be two distinct elements which carry a given point to another given point.

Lemma 3. *The normalizer of the translation group in the homeomorphism group of \mathbf{R}^n is the affine group.*

Proof. It is well-known that the translation group is normal in the affine group. On the other hand, suppose f is a homeomorphism such that

$$fTf^{-1} = T ,$$

where T is the translation group. Let $f(0) = x_0$ and set

$$g(x) = f(x) - x_0 , \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n .$$

Then given any y , there is a z such that for all x

$$g(x + y) = f(x + y) - x_0 = f(x) + z - x_0 .$$

Setting $x = 0$, we get $g(y) = z$, so

$$g(x + y) = f(x) + g(y) - x_0 = g(x) + g(y) .$$

Since g is continuous, this equation implies that it is linear, and hence that f is affine as required.

We can now proceed with the proof of Lemma 1. Let G be given the point-open topology, that is, the topology generated by all sets of the form

$$M(x, W) = \{f \mid f(x) \in W\} ,$$

where $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and W is an open set of \mathbf{R}^n . Since G is abelian, it is easily proved that

$$M(x, W) = M(0, h(W)) ,$$

where $h(x) = 0$. Let g_x denote the unique element of G such that $g_x(0) = x$, and let $\phi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\phi(x) = g_x$. Clearly, ϕ is a homeomorphism, and the group operation is continuous as a function of each factor separately.

G is a topological group by a theorem of Ellis [1], is isomorphic in the category of topological groups to a Lie group by a theorem to which many authors have contributed [2, p. 184], and must be the additive group of \mathbf{R}^n by the classification theorem for abelian Lie groups [2, p. 187]. Hence there exists a continuous open isomorphism $\eta: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$. Let \mathcal{D} be the homeomorphism group of \mathbf{R}^n , and $\psi: \mathcal{D} \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be its natural action. Define $\rho: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow T$ by taking $\rho(a)$ to be the translation which takes 0 to a . Then we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G \times \mathbf{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{R}^n \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \times \phi & & \downarrow \phi \\
 G \times G & \xrightarrow{\circ} & G \\
 \downarrow \eta \times \eta & & \downarrow \eta \\
 \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n & \xrightarrow{+} & \mathbf{R}^n \\
 \downarrow \rho \times \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 T \times \mathbf{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{R}^n
 \end{array}$$

By following around the full diagram in both directions, we obtain

$$\eta\phi G\phi^{-1}\eta^{-1} = T$$

as required. If ζ is any other conjugating element, then $\eta\phi\zeta^{-1}$ lies in the normalizer of T , so by Lemma 2 it is affine. Let $\psi^*: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be defined by

$$\psi^*(a, x) = \psi(\eta^{-1}(a), x) .$$

By a theorem of Bochner and Montgomery [2, p. 212], if each element of G is differentiable of class C^k , then ψ^* is also of class C^k in all its variables simultaneously. If $\eta^{-1}(a) = \phi(y)$, then

$$\psi^*(a, 0) = \phi^{-1}(\eta^{-1}(a)) ,$$

so $(\eta\phi)^{-1}$ is also of class C^k . Its Jacobian is nowhere zero since the action ψ^* is generated by n independent commuting vector fields, none of which can have any zero points because of simple transitivity. This completes the proof of Lemma 1, and with it, the theorem.

References

[1] R. Ellis, *Locally compact transformation groups*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957) 119-125.

- [2] D. Montgomery & L. Zippen, *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience, New York, 1955; and references contained therein.

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND