## THE ABSOLUTE AND RELATIVE BETTI NUMBERS OF A MANIFOLD WITH BOUNDARY

## **GRIGORIOS TSAGAS**

- 1. Consider a compact manifold M with a boundary B, so that M is the closure of an open submanifold of an n-dimensional orientable Riemannian manifold V, and B is a compact orientable (n-1)-dimensional manifold. Let  $H_p(M, R)$  and  $H_{n-p}\{(M, B), R\}$  be respectively the pth Betti group of M and the pth Betti group of M(mod. B). Then by Lefschetz duality theorem the pth Betti group  $H_p(M, R)$  and the (n-p)th Betti group  $H_{n-p}\{(M, B), R\}$  are dual, so that the absolute Betti number  $A_p$  and the relative Betti number  $R_{n-p}$  of the manifold M are equal. For a k-pinched manifold M, the numbers  $A_p$  and  $R_q$  for p=q=2 are zero, when the number k is greater than a number k and the second fundamental form on k satisfies some conditions. We can improve the number k, when the dimension of the manifold k is 5. These results are a generalization of those given in [8].
- **2.** If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are two tensors of the manifold M of order p, then the local inner product of the two tensors  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  is defined by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{p!} \alpha^{i_1 \cdots i_p} \beta_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = \frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \beta^{i_1 \cdots i_p} ,$$

and the local norm of the tensor  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$|\alpha|^2 = \frac{1}{p!} \alpha^{i_1 \cdots i_p} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p}$$
.

If  $\eta$  is the volume element of the manifold M, then the global inner product of the two tensors  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and the global norm of the tensor  $\alpha$  are defined, respectively,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int\limits_{M} (\alpha, \beta) \eta \; , \qquad \|\alpha\|^2 = \int\limits_{M} |\alpha|^2 \eta \; .$$

If  $\alpha$  is a p-form, then we have [6, p. 187]

$$\langle \Delta \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \|d\alpha\|^2 + \|\delta\alpha\|^2,$$

Communicated by W. P. A. Klingenberg, February 6, 1970. This paper was prepared with support from the S. F. B. grant.

where  $\Delta\alpha$ ,  $d\alpha$  and  $\delta\alpha$  are the Laplacian, the exterior differentiation and the codifferentiation of  $\alpha$  given by [7, pp. 1–2]

$$(2.2) \Delta \alpha = d\delta \alpha + \delta d\alpha ,$$

(2.3) 
$$(d\alpha)_{j_1...j_{p+1}} = \frac{1}{p!} \varepsilon_{j_1...j_{p+1}}^{li_1...i_p} \nabla_l \alpha_{i_1...i_p} ,$$

$$(\delta\alpha)_{i_2\cdots i_p} = -\nabla_l\alpha^l_{i_2\cdots i_p}.$$

The following relation is also valid [7, p. 4]:

(2.5) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|\alpha|^2) = (\alpha, \Delta\alpha) - |\overline{r}\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2[(p-1)!]}Q_p(\alpha),$$

where

$$(2.6) |\nabla \alpha|^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \nabla_l \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p} \nabla^l \alpha^{i_1 \dots i_p} ,$$

$$(2.7) Q_p(\alpha) = (p-1)R_{ijhl}\alpha^{iji_3\cdots i_p}\alpha^{hl}_{i_3\cdots i_p} - 2R_{hl}\alpha^{hi_2\cdots i_p}\alpha^{l}_{i_2\cdots i_p}.$$

For a point P on the boundary B, let  $(u^1, \dots, u^{n-1})$  and  $(v^1, \dots, v^n)$  be two local coordinate systems of the point P considered as a point of B and M respectively. Then the boundary B is represented locally by

$$(2.8) v^i = f^i(u^1, \dots, u^{n-1}), i = 1, \dots, n,$$

in  $U(P) \cap M$ , where U(P) is a coordinate neighborhood of V. Denote by N the normal vector field of the boundary B and choose the coordinate system  $(u^1, \dots, u^{n-1})$  such that the vector fields  $N, \partial/\partial u^1, \dots, \partial/\partial u^{n-1}$  form a positive sense of M with respect to the basis  $\partial/\partial u^1, \dots, \partial/\partial u^n$ . Then Stokes' theorem can be stated as follows. If  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)$  is an arbitrary vector field on M, then [9, p. 589]

(2.9) 
$$\int_{R} (\gamma, N) \bar{\eta} = -\int_{M} \delta \gamma \, \eta \,,$$

where

$$\bar{\eta} = \sqrt{h} du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{n-1}, \eta = \sqrt{g} dv^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dv^n$$

h being the determinant of the metric on the boundary B, which is obtained under the assumption that the mapping F defined by (2.8) is an isometric immersion of M into B.

A p-form  $\alpha = (\alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_p})$  is tangential to B if it satisfies the relation [10, p. 431]:

$$lpha^{i_1\cdots i_p}=(\partial v^{i_1}/\partial u^{j_1})\cdot \cdot \cdot (\partial v^{i_p}/\partial u^{j_p})\overline{lpha}^{j_1\cdots j_p}$$
 ,

or

$$\alpha^{ii_2\cdots i_p}N_i=0$$

on B, where  $\bar{\alpha} = (\bar{\alpha}_{i_1 \cdots i_p})$  is a p-form defined over B. The p-form  $\alpha$  satisfies also the relation [10, p. 434]:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ll} (\nabla_{l}\alpha_{hi_{2}\cdots i_{p}})\alpha^{hi_{2}\cdots i_{p}}N^{l} &= pH_{ij}\bar{\alpha}^{i}_{i_{2}\cdots i_{p}}\alpha^{ji_{2}\cdots i_{p}} \\ &- (p+1)(\nabla_{[l}\alpha_{hi_{2}\cdots i_{p}})\alpha^{li_{2}\cdots i_{p}}N^{h} \end{array},$$

where

$$\begin{split} (p+1) \overline{V}_{[l} \alpha_{hi_2 \dots i_p]} &= \overline{V}_{l} \alpha_{hi_2 \dots i_p} - \overline{V}_{h} \alpha_{li_2 \dots i_p} \\ &- \overline{V}_{i_2} \alpha_{hli_3 \dots i_p} - \dots - \overline{V}_{i_p} \alpha_{hi_2 \dots i_{p-1} l} \; . \end{split}$$

A p-form  $\alpha = (\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p})$  on the manifold M is normal to the boundary B, if it satisfies the relation [10, p. 432]:

$$\alpha_{i,\dots,i_p}(\partial v^{i_1}/\partial u^{j_1})\dots(\partial v^{i_p}/\partial u^{j_p})=0$$

from which we obtain [10, p. 435]

$$(2.11) \qquad \begin{array}{l} (\overline{V}_h \alpha_{li_2 \cdots i_p}) \alpha^{li_2 \cdots i_p} N^h = p(\overline{V}_h \alpha^h_{i_2 \cdots i_p}) \alpha^{li_2 \cdots i_p} N_l \\ + p H^l_{l} \overline{\alpha}_{i_2 \cdots i_p} \overline{\alpha}^{i_2 \cdots i_p} - (p-1) p H_{ij} \overline{\alpha}^{i}_{i_3 \cdots i_p} \overline{\alpha}^{ji_3 \cdots i_p} \end{array},$$

where  $\overline{\alpha} = (\overline{\alpha}_{i_2 \cdots i_p})$  is a (p-1)-form defined by

$$lpha_{li_2\cdots i_p}N^l=\overline{lpha}_{j_2\cdots j_p}(\partial v^{j_2}/\partial u^{i_2})\cdot\cdot\cdot(\partial v^{j_p}/\partial u^{i_p})$$
 .

3. Assume that the manifold M is of odd dimension n=2m+1 and admits a metric which is positively k-pinched, and let  $\alpha$  be a harmonic 2-form on the manifold M. Then for any point P of the manifold there is a special basis  $(X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*)$  in the vector space  $M_P^*$  such that at the point P,  $\alpha$  can be written as

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha = \alpha_{12}X_1^* \wedge X_2^* + \alpha_{34}X_3^* \wedge X_4^* + \cdots + \alpha_{2m-1,2m}X_{2m-1}^* \wedge X_{2m}^*.$$

Now consider the 2m-form  $\beta$  defined by

$$\beta = \frac{1}{m!} \alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha, (m \text{ times}),$$

which becomes, in consequence of (3.1),

$$\beta = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{34}\cdots\alpha_{2m-1,2m}X_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge X_{2m}^*.$$

Since the manifold M is k-pinched,  $Q_2(\alpha)$  and  $Q_{2m}(\beta)$  satisfy the inequalities [8]:

$$(3.4) \frac{1}{2}Q_2(\alpha) \leq -2(2m-1)k|\alpha|^2 + \frac{8}{3}(1-k)\delta,$$

(3.5) 
$$\frac{1}{2[(2m-1)!]}Q_{2m}(\beta) \leq -2mk|\beta|^2,$$

where

$$(3.6) \qquad \frac{|\alpha|^2 = \alpha_{12}^2 + \alpha_{34}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{2m-1,2m}^2, \qquad |\beta|^2 = \alpha_{12}^2 \alpha_{34}^2 \cdots \alpha_{2m-1,2m}^2}{\delta = \alpha_{12} \alpha_{34} + \cdots + \alpha_{12} \alpha_{2m-1,2m} + \cdots + \alpha_{2m-3,2m-2} \alpha_{2m-1,2m}}.$$

Applying the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  to the function  $|\beta|^2$ , we get  $\Delta(|\beta|^2) = \delta d(|\beta|^2)$  and therefore

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}} \varDelta(|\beta|^2)\eta = \int\limits_{\mathcal{U}} \delta d(|\beta|^2)\eta \ .$$

By means of (2.5) and (2.9), the above relation becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(N,d(|\beta|^{2}))\overline{\eta}=\int_{\mathbb{M}}\Big[(\beta,\Delta\beta)-|\nabla\beta|^{2}+\frac{1}{2[(2m-1)\,!\,]}Q_{2m}(\beta)\Big]\eta\;,$$

which takes the form, due to (2.1),

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (N, d(|\beta|^2)) \overline{\eta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ -|d\beta|^2 - |\delta\beta|^2 + |\overline{V}\beta|^2 - \frac{Q_{2m}(\beta)}{2[(2m-1)!]} \right] \eta \ .$$

By virtue of (3.5) and the relation  $d\beta = 0$ , a consequence of (3.2), from (3.7) it follows that

$$(3.8) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} (N, (d|\beta|^2)) \overline{\eta} \geq \int_{M} [-|\delta\beta|^2 + 2mk|\beta|^2] \eta.$$

For a harmonic 2-form  $\alpha$  tangential or normal to the boundary B, formula (2.5) becomes [10, pp. 435–436]

$$rac{1}{2}(\Delta|\alpha|^2) = -|\nabla\alpha|^2 + rac{1}{2}Q_2(\alpha)$$
,

from which we get

$$\frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2m-2}\Delta(|\alpha|^2) = -|\alpha|^{2m-2}|\nabla\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^{2m-2}Q_2(\alpha) ,$$

or

$$rac{1}{2}\int\limits_{M} |lpha|^{2m-2} {\it \Delta}(|lpha|^2) \eta = \int\limits_{M} \left[ -|lpha|^{2m-2} \, |{\it V}lpha|^2 \, + \, rac{1}{2} |lpha|^{2m-2} {\it Q}_2(lpha) 
ight] \eta \; ,$$

which together with (3.4) gives

(3.9) 
$$-m \int_{M} |\alpha|^{2m-2} \Delta(|\alpha|^{2}) \eta \geq \int_{M} \left[ 2m |\alpha|^{2m-2} |\nabla \alpha|^{2} + 4m(2m-1)k |\alpha|^{2m} - \frac{16}{3} m(1-k)\delta |\alpha|^{2m-2} \right] \eta.$$

It is well known that the following relation holds:

$$\Delta[(|\alpha|^2)^m] = m|\alpha|^{2m-2}\Delta(|\alpha|^2) - m(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-4}(d(|\alpha|^2))^2,$$

which implies the inequality

$$\Delta[(|\alpha|^2)^m] \leq m|\alpha|^{2m-2}\Delta(|\alpha|^2) ,$$

or

(3.10) 
$$\int_{M} \Delta[(|\alpha|^{2})^{m}] \eta \leq \int_{M} m|\alpha|^{2m-2} \Delta(|\alpha|^{2}) \eta.$$

By means of (2.9), the relation (3.10) takes the form

(3.11) 
$$\int_{B} (N, d[(|\alpha|^{2})^{m}]) \overline{\eta} \geq -\int_{M} m|\alpha|^{2m-2} \Delta(|\alpha|^{2}) \eta.$$

From (3.9) and (3.11) follows immediately the inequality

$$(3.12) \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} (N, |\alpha|^{2m-2} d(|\alpha|^{2})) \overline{\eta} \ge \int_{M} \left[ |\alpha|^{2m-2} |\overline{V}\alpha|^{2} - \frac{8}{3} (1-k) \delta |\alpha|^{2m-2} + 2(2m-1)k |\alpha|^{2m} \right] \eta.$$

By means of the inequality

(3.13) 
$$|\delta\beta|^2 \le \frac{(2m-1)(m-1)}{m^{m-2}} |\nabla\alpha|^2 |\alpha|^{2m-2}$$

proved in [8], from (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.14) \frac{m^{m-2}}{2(2m-1)(m-1)} \int_{B} (N, d(|\beta|^{2})) \overline{\eta} \geq \int_{M} \left[ -|\overline{\Gamma}\alpha|^{2} |\alpha|^{2m-2} + \frac{2m^{m-1}}{(2m-1)(m-1)} |\beta|^{2} \right] \eta.$$

Thus addition of (3.12) to (3.14) gives readily

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{B} 3(N, m^{m-2}d(|\beta|^{2}) + (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2}d(|\alpha|^{2}))\overline{\eta} \\ &\geq \int_{M} 2[3(2m-1)^{2}(m-1)k|\alpha|^{2m} - 4(2m-1)(m-1)(1-k)\delta|\alpha|^{2m-2} \\ &+ 3m^{m-1}k|\beta|^{2}]\eta \;, \end{split}$$

or

$$\int_{B} 3[m^{m-2}(\nabla_{l}\beta_{hi_{2}\cdots i_{2m}})\beta^{hi_{2}\cdots i_{2m}}N^{l}, \qquad (h < i_{2} < \cdots < i_{2m}) 
+ (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2}(\nabla_{l}\alpha_{hi_{2}})\alpha^{hi_{2}}N^{l}, \qquad (h < i_{2})]\overline{\eta} 
\geq \int_{M} 2[3(2m-1)^{2}(m-1)k|\alpha|^{2m} - 4(2m-1)(m-1)(k-1)\delta|\alpha|^{2m-2} 
+ 3km^{m-1}|\beta|^{2}]\eta .$$

If the harmonic 2-form  $\alpha$  is tangential to B, then by means of (2.10),  $d\alpha = 0$  and  $d\beta = 0$ , (3.15) becomes

$$\int_{B} 3H_{ij} [2m^{m-1}\bar{\beta}^{i}_{i_{2}\cdots i_{2m}}\bar{\beta}^{ji_{2}\cdots i_{2m}}, \qquad (i_{2} < \cdots < i_{2m}) 
+ 2(2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2}\bar{\alpha}^{i}_{i_{2}}\bar{\alpha}^{ji_{2}}]\bar{\eta} 
\geq \int_{M} 2[3(2m-1)^{2}(m-1)k|\alpha|^{2m} - 4(2m-1)(m-1)\delta|\alpha|^{2m-2} 
+ 3km^{m-1}|\beta|^{2}]\eta .$$

We can prove with the same technique as in [8] that if k satisfies the inequality

$$(3.17) \quad k > \lambda = 2(2m-1)(m-1)^2 m / [m(m-1)(2m-1)(8m-5) + 3],$$

then the second member of (3.16) is positive. Hence we have the following theorem and corollary.

**Theorem I.** Let M be a compact k-pinched Riemannian manifold of dimension n = 2m + 1 with boundary B. If  $k > \lambda$ , given by (3.17), and the second fundamental form on the boundary is semi-negative, then the second absolute Betti number  $A_2$  of the manifold vanishes.

**Corollary I.** For a compact k-pinched Riemannian manifold M of dimension n = 2m + 1 with a totally geodesic boundary,  $A_2 = 0$  if

$$k > 2(2m-1)(m-1)^2m/[m(m-1)(2m-1)(8m-5)+3]$$
.

If the harmonic 2-form  $\alpha$  is normal to B, then by means of (2.11) and  $\delta\alpha = 0$ , (3.15) takes the form

$$\int_{B} 6\{m^{m-1}(\nabla_{h}\beta^{h}{}_{i_{2}\cdots i_{2m}})\beta^{li_{2}\cdots i_{2m}}N_{l} + H^{l}{}_{l}[m^{m-1}\overline{\beta}{}_{i_{2}\cdots i_{2m}}\overline{\beta}^{i_{2}\cdots i_{2m}} + (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2}\overline{\alpha}{}_{i_{2}}\overline{\alpha}^{i_{2}}] - H_{ij}[(2m-1)m^{m-1}\overline{\beta}^{i}{}_{i_{3}\cdots i_{2m}}\overline{\beta}^{ji_{3}\cdots i_{2m}} + (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2}\overline{\alpha}^{i}\overline{\alpha}^{j}]\}\overline{\eta}, \quad (i_{2} < i_{3} < \cdots < i_{2m})$$

$$\geq \int_{M} 2[3(2m-1)^{2}(m-1)k|\alpha|^{2m} - 4(2m-1)(m-1)(k-1)\delta|\alpha|^{2m-2} + 3km^{m-1}|\beta|^{2}]\eta.$$

Denote the following quadratic form by  $L(\alpha, \alpha)$ :

$$L(\alpha,\alpha) = m^{m-1}(\overline{V}_h \beta^h_{i_2 \cdots i_{2m}}) \beta^{li_2 \cdots i_{2m}} N^l \\ + H^l_{l}[m^{m-1} \overline{\beta}_{i_2 \cdots i_{2m}} \overline{\beta}^{i_2 \cdots i_{2m}} + (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2} \overline{\alpha}_{i_2} \overline{\alpha}^{i_2}] \\ - H_{ij}[(2m-1)m^{m-1} \overline{\beta}^i_{i_3 \cdots i_{2m}} \overline{\beta}^{ji_3 \cdots i_{2m}} \\ + (2m-1)(m-1)|\alpha|^{2m-2} \overline{\alpha}^i \overline{\alpha}^j] , \quad (i_2 < i_3 < \cdots < i_{2m}) .$$

Therefore from (3.18) and (3.19) we conclude the theorem:

**Theorem II.** Let M be a compact k-pinched Riemannian manifold with boundary B. If  $k > \lambda$  given by (3.17), and the quadratic form  $L(\alpha, \alpha)$  defined by (3.19) is semi-negative, then the second relative Betti number  $R_2$  of the manifold (mod. B) is zero.

**4.** In this section we use the same technique as in § 3 to improve the number  $\lambda$  if the dimension of the manifold is 5. By estimating the norm  $|\delta\beta|^2$  at the point P and using the inequality

$$2(AB + CD)^2 \le A^2(3B^2 + D^2) + C^2(B^2 + 3D^2)$$

we obtain

$$|\delta\beta|^2 \le 5|\nabla\alpha|^2 |\alpha|^2/2.$$

By means of (4.1) and m = 2, the inequality (3.8) becomes

$$(4.2) \qquad \frac{1}{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (N, d(|\beta|^2)) \overline{\eta} \geq \int_{\mathbb{M}} \left[ -|\nabla \alpha|^2 |\alpha|^2 + \frac{8}{5} k |\beta|^2 \right] \eta.$$

Moreover for m = 2, (3.12) is reduced to

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{B} (N, |\alpha|^{2} d(|\alpha|^{2})) \overline{\eta} \geq \int_{M} \left[ |\alpha|^{2} |\overline{V}\alpha|^{2} - \frac{8}{3} (1 - k) \delta |\alpha|^{2} + 6k |\alpha|^{4} \right] \eta,$$

which together with (4.2) implies

$$\begin{split} & \int\limits_{B} (N, 6d(|\beta|^2) \, + \, 15|\alpha|^2 d(|\alpha|^2)) \overline{\eta} \\ & \geq \int\limits_{M} [180k|\alpha|^4 - \, 80(1 \, - \, k)\delta|\alpha|^2 \, + \, 48k|\beta|^2] \eta \; , \end{split}$$

or

$$(4.4) \int_{B} [6(\overline{V}_{l}\beta_{hi_{2}i_{3}i_{4}})\beta^{hi_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}N^{l} + 15|\alpha|^{2}(\overline{V}_{l}\alpha_{hi_{2}})\alpha^{hi_{2}}N^{l}]\overline{\eta}, \qquad (h < i_{2} < i_{3} < i_{4})$$

$$\geq \int_{M} [90k|\alpha|^{4} - 40(1-k)\delta|\alpha|^{2} + 24k|\beta|^{2}]\eta.$$

If the harmonic 2-form  $\alpha$  is tangential to B, then (4.4) takes the form

$$\begin{split} & \int\limits_{B} 3H_{ij} [8 \overline{\beta}^{i}{}_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}} \overline{\beta}^{ji_{2}i_{3}i_{4}} + 10 |\alpha|^{2} \overline{\alpha}^{i}{}_{i_{2}} \overline{\alpha}^{ji_{2}}] \overline{\eta}, \qquad (i_{2} < i_{3} < i_{4}) \\ & \geq \int\limits_{M} [90k |\alpha|^{4} - 40(1-k)\delta |\alpha|^{2} + 24k |\beta|^{2}] \eta \; . \end{split}$$

If k > 10/58, then the second member of the above inequality is positive. Thus we obtain the following theorem and corollary.

**Theorem III.** Let M be a compact k-pinched Riemannian manifold of dimension 5 with boundary B. If k > 10/58 and the second fundamental form on B is semi-negative, then  $A_2 = 0$ .

**Corollary II.** For a compact k-pinched Riemannion manifold M of dimension 5 with a totally geodesic boundary, if k > 10/58, then  $A_2 = 0$ .

If the harmonic 2-form  $\alpha$  is normal to the boundary B, then (4.4) becomes

$$\int_{B} 3[8(\overline{V}_{h}\beta^{h}_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}})\beta^{li_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}N_{l} + H^{l}_{l}(8\overline{\beta}_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}\overline{\beta}^{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}} + 10|\alpha|^{2}\overline{\alpha}_{i_{2}}\overline{\alpha}^{i_{2}})$$

$$- H_{ij}(24\overline{\beta}^{i}_{i_{3}i_{4}}\overline{\beta}^{ji_{3}i_{4}} + 10|\alpha|^{2}\overline{\alpha}^{i}\overline{\alpha}^{j})]\overline{\eta}, \qquad (i_{2} < i_{3} < i_{4})$$

$$\geq \int_{M} [90k|\alpha|^{4} - 40(1 - k)\delta|\alpha|^{2} + 24k|\beta|^{2}]\eta.$$

From the relation (4.5) and the quadratic form

$$G(\alpha, \alpha) = 8(\overline{V}_{h}\beta^{h}_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}})\beta^{l_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}}N_{l} + H^{l}_{l}(8\overline{\beta}_{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}}\overline{\beta}^{i_{2}i_{3}i_{4}} + 10|\alpha|^{2}\overline{\alpha}_{i_{2}}\overline{\alpha}^{i_{2}})$$

$$- H_{ij}(24\overline{\beta}^{i}_{i_{3}i_{4}}\overline{\beta}^{ji_{3}i_{4}} + 10|\alpha|^{2}\overline{\alpha}^{i}\overline{\alpha}^{j}),$$

$$(i_{2} < i_{3} < i_{4}),$$

we thus have

- **Theorem IV.** Let M be a compact k-pinched Riemannian manifold of dimension 5 with boundary B. If k > 10/58 and the quadratic form  $G(\alpha, \alpha)$  defined by (4.6) is semi-negative, then the second relative Betti number  $R_2$  of the manifold M (mod. B) vanishes.
- 5. If the boundary  $\partial M = B = \phi$  and the dimension of the manifold is 5, then from the relation (4.4) we obtain the following theorem and corollary:

**Theorem V.** Let M be a compact orientable k-pinched Riemannian manifold of dimension 5 without boundary. If k > 10/58, then  $H^2(M, \mathbf{R}) = 0$ .

**Corollary III.** If a compact orientable k-pinched Riemannian manifold M of dimension 5 without boundary is homeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^3$ , then  $k \le 10/58$ .

## References

- [1] M. Berger, Sur les variétés (4/23)-pinchées de dimension 5, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **257** (1963) 4122-4125.
- [2] P. E. Conner, The Green's and Neumann's problems for differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40 (1954) 1151-1155.
- [3] G. F. D. Duff, Differential forms in manifolds with boundary, Ann. of Math. 56 (1952) 115-127.
- [4] G. F. D. Duff & D. C. Spencer, Harmonic tensors on Riemannian manifolds with boundary, Ann. of Math. 56 (1952) 128-156.
- [5] C. C. Hsiung, Curvature and Betti numbers of a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary, Politec. Torino Rend. Sem. Mat. 17 (1957/58) 95-131.
- [6] A. Lichnerowicz, Théorie globale des connexions et des groupes d'holonomie, Cremonese, Rome, 1955.
- [7] —, Géométrie des groupes de transformation, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- [8] G. Tsagas, An improvement of the method of Lichnerowicz-Bochner on the Betti numbers nad curvature of a compact manifold, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV)83 (1969) 227-234.
- [9] K. Yano, On harmonic and Killing vector fields in Riemannian spaces with boundary, Ann. of Math. 69 (1959) 588-597.
- [10] ——, Harmonic and Killing tensor fields in Riemannian spaces with boundary,J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1958) 430–437.

University of Bonn

