

## On Classification of Real Hypersurfaces in a Complex Space Form with $\eta$ -recurrent Shape Operator

Tee-How LOO

*University of Malaya*

(Communicated by K. Matsuzaki)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we classify real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space with  $\eta$ -recurrent shape operator.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M_n(c)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complete and simply connected non-flat complex space form with complex structure  $J$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ , i.e., it is either a complex projective space  $\mathbf{C}P_n$  (for  $c > 0$ ), or a complex hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{C}H_n$  (for  $c < 0$ ).

Suppose  $M$  is a connected real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$  and  $N$  is a unit normal vector field of  $M$ . We denote by  $\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$  the module of all differentiable sections on the vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $M$ . Let  $\xi = -JN$  be the structure vector field and  $A$  the shape operator on  $M$ . A Hopf hypersurface  $M$  in  $M_n(c)$  is characterized by the condition that the structure vector field  $\xi$  is principal, i.e.,  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ , and it can be shown that this principal curvature  $\alpha$  is a constant.

Typical examples of Hopf hypersurfaces are those with constant principal curvatures, nowadays, so-called real hypersurfaces of type  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$  and  $E$  (resp. of type  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $B$ ) in  $\mathbf{C}P_n$  (resp. in  $\mathbf{C}H_n$ ) (cf. [14], [12]). These real hypersurfaces can be expressed as tubes of constant radius over certain holomorphic or totally real submanifolds, and a self-tube in the ambient space (cf. [1], [2], [5]).

Other than these Hopf hypersurfaces, another example of real hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  are the class of ruled real hypersurfaces. *Ruled real hypersurfaces* in  $M_n(c)$  are characterized by having a one-codimensional foliation whose leaves are complex totally geodesic hyperplanes in  $M_n(c)$ . The geometry of ruled real hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  was studied in [10].

The study of real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form has been an active field in the past few decades. One of the first results is the non-existence of real hypersurfaces with parallel shape operator  $A$ , i.e.,  $\nabla A = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ .

---

Received September 17, 2013; revised February 28, 2014

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53B25 (Primary), 53C15 (Secondary)

*Key words and phrases*: complex space form, Hopf hypersurfaces, ruled real hypersurfaces,  $\eta$ -recurrent shape operator

This work was supported in part by the UMRG research grant (Grant No. RG163/11AFR).

This fact is an immediate consequence of the Codazzi equation of such a real hypersurface. Motivated by this, Kimura and Maeda [6] studied the weaker notion of  $\eta$ -parallelism. The shape operator  $A$  is said to be  $\eta$ -parallel if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\langle (\nabla_X A)Y, Z \rangle = 0$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\mathcal{D} := \text{Span}\{\xi\}^\perp$ , called the (maximal) holomorphic distribution on  $M$ . A number of results concerning real hypersurfaces with  $\eta$ -parallel shape operator have been obtained (cf. [6], [7], [8], [13]). In particular, a complete classification of real hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  with  $\eta$ -parallel shape operator was proved in [8] (cf. Theorem 4).

In another way to weaker the parallelism, Hamada [3] studied the recurrence of the shape operator of real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{CP}_n$ . The shape operator  $A$  is said to be recurrent if  $\nabla A = A \otimes \omega$  for some 1-form  $\omega$  in  $M$ . It was showed in [3] that the recurrence is also too strong to be satisfied by the shape operator of real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{CP}_n$ .

The shape operator  $A$  is said to be  $\eta$ -recurrent if there is a 1-form  $\omega$  on  $M$  such that

$$\langle (\nabla_X A)Y, Z \rangle = \omega(X)\langle AX, Y \rangle$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ . The  $\eta$ -parallelism and recurrence can be considered as special cases of  $\eta$ -recurrence. Hopf hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  with  $\eta$ -recurrent shape operator were classified in [4, 11].

**THEOREM 1** ([4, 11]). *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then the shape operator  $A$  is  $\eta$ -recurrent if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the following spaces:*

- (a) For  $c > 0$  :
  - (A<sub>1</sub>) a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{CP}_{n-1}$ ;
  - (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{CP}_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;
  - (B) a tube over complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ .
- (b) For  $c < 0$  :
  - (A<sub>0</sub>) a horosphere;
  - (A<sub>1</sub>) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{CH}_{n-1}$ ;
  - (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{CH}_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;
  - (B) a tube over totally real hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{RH}^n$ .

The purpose of this paper is to improve the above theorem and classify real hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  with  $\eta$ -recurrent shape operator, i.e., we prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then its shape operator  $A$  is  $\eta$ -recurrent if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to a ruled real hypersurface or one of the following spaces:*

- (a) For  $c > 0$ :
  - (A<sub>1</sub>) a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{CP}_{n-1}$ ;
  - (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{CP}_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;

- (B) a tube over complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ .
- (b) For  $c < 0$ :
- (A<sub>0</sub>) a horosphere;
  - (A<sub>1</sub>) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{CH}_{n-1}$ ;
  - (A<sub>2</sub>) a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{CH}_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;
  - (B) a tube over totally real hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{RH}^n$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall recall some fundamental identities and known results in the theory of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form and fix some notations.

Let  $M$  be a connected real hypersurface isometrically immersed in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $N$  a unit normal vector field on  $M$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the Riemannian metric on  $M$ . We define a tensor field  $\phi$  of type  $(1,1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N, \quad JN = -\xi, \quad \eta(X) = \langle \xi, X \rangle$$

for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then we have

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection and  $A$  the shape operator on  $M$ . Then

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - \langle AX, Y \rangle \xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX \quad (2)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

Let  $R$  be the curvature tensor of  $M$ . Then the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= c\{\langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y + \langle \phi Y, Z \rangle \phi X - \langle \phi X, Z \rangle \phi Y \\ &\quad - 2\langle \phi X, Y \rangle \phi Z\} + \langle AY, Z \rangle AX - \langle AX, Z \rangle AY \end{aligned}$$

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2\langle \phi X, Y \rangle \xi\}.$$

This following lemma is needed in the next section.

LEMMA 3 ([9]). *Let  $M$  be a non-Hopf real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Suppose  $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$  and  $AU = \beta\xi + \gamma U$ , where  $\beta = \|\phi A\xi\| (> 0)$  and  $U = -\beta^{-1}\phi^2 A\xi$ . If there exists a unit vector field  $Z \perp \xi, U, \phi U$  such that  $AZ = \lambda Z$  and  $A\phi Z = \lambda\phi Z$ , then*

$$(\lambda - \gamma)(\lambda^2 - \alpha\lambda - c) - \beta^2\lambda = 0.$$

Finally, we state without proof the following result concerning real hypersurfaces in  $M_n(c)$  with  $\eta$ -parallel shape operator.

**THEOREM 4 ([8]).** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then its shape operator  $A$  is  $\eta$ -parallel if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to a ruled real hypersurface or one of the following spaces:*

(a) *For  $c > 0$  :*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{C}P_{n-1}$ ;*

(A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{C}P_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;*

(B) *a tube over complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ .*

(b) *For  $c < 0$  :*

(A<sub>0</sub>) *a horosphere;*

(A<sub>1</sub>) *a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over hyperplane  $\mathbf{C}H_{n-1}$ ;*

(A<sub>2</sub>) *a tube over totally geodesic  $\mathbf{C}H_k$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;*

(B) *a tube over totally real hyperbolic space  $\mathbf{R}H^n$ .*

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with  $\eta$ -recurrent shape operator, i.e.,

$$\langle (\nabla_X A)Y, Z \rangle = \omega(X)\langle AY, Z \rangle \quad (3)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\omega$  is a 1-form on  $M$ . By virtue of Theorem 1, we only need to consider the non-Hopf case. In this case,  $\beta := \|\phi A\xi\| > 0$  and we may define a unit vector field  $U := -\beta^{-1}\phi^2 A\xi$ .

It suffices to prove that  $A$  is  $\eta$ -parallel or  $\omega = 0$  according to Theorem 4. Suppose to the contrary that  $\omega \neq 0$ . Let  $W'$  be the vector field dual to  $\omega$  and  $b := \|\phi W'\|$ . Then  $b > 0$  at some open subset  $G$  of  $M$ . Since we only study local geometric property, we may identify  $M$  with this open subset  $G$  and define a unit vector field  $W = -b^{-1}\phi^2 W'$ . Hence (3) can be rewritten as

$$\langle (\nabla_X A)Y, Z \rangle = b\langle X, W \rangle \langle AY, Z \rangle \quad (4)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ . It follows from the Codazzi equation, (4) and the fact  $b > 0$  that

$$\langle X, W \rangle \langle AY, Z \rangle = \langle Y, W \rangle \langle AX, Z \rangle.$$

By putting  $X = Z = W$  in the above equation, we obtain  $\phi AW = \gamma\phi W$ , where  $\gamma = \langle AW, W \rangle$ . Hence, after putting  $X = W$  in the above equation, we have

$$\langle AY, Z \rangle = \gamma \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle \quad (5)$$

for any  $Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ .

By (4) and (5), we see that  $\gamma = 0$  is equivalent to  $\omega = 0$ . Hence, we get  $\gamma \neq 0$ . By differentiating covariantly both sides of the above equation in the direction of  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ ; with the help of (1), (2) and 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\nabla_X A)Y, Z \rangle - \beta \langle Y, \phi AX \rangle \langle U, Z \rangle - \beta \langle Z, \phi AX \rangle \langle Y, U \rangle \\ & = d\gamma(X) \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle + \gamma \langle Y, \nabla_X W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle + \gamma \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, \nabla_X W \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By using (4) and (5), the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma b \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle - \gamma \beta \langle Y, \phi W \rangle \langle X, W \rangle \langle U, Z \rangle - \gamma \beta \langle Z, \phi W \rangle \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, U \rangle \\ & = d\gamma(X) \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle + \gamma \langle Y, \nabla_X W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle + \gamma \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, \nabla_X W \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If we let  $Y = Z = W$  in the above equation, then  $\gamma b \langle X, W \rangle = d\gamma(X)$ , for any  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ . With this fact, (7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & -\beta \langle Y, \phi W \rangle \langle X, W \rangle \langle U, Z \rangle - \beta \langle Z, \phi W \rangle \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, U \rangle \\ & = \langle Y, \nabla_X W \rangle \langle Z, W \rangle + \langle Y, W \rangle \langle Z, \nabla_X W \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Next, by letting  $X = W$ ,  $Y = Z = \phi W$  in (8), we have  $\langle \phi W, U \rangle = 0$ . Finally, after putting  $X = W$  and  $Z = \phi W$  in (8), yields  $-\beta U = \langle \phi W, \nabla_W W \rangle W$ . Since both  $U$  and  $W$  are unit vector fields, we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $U = W$ . This, together with (5), yields  $AU = \beta \xi + \gamma U$  and  $AZ = 0$ , for any  $Z \perp U, \xi$ . According to Lemma 3, we can see that  $\gamma = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\gamma \neq 0$  and so the proof is completed.

The following result has been obtained in [7].

**THEOREM 5 ([7]).** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $M$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)Y &= \{-c \langle \phi X, Y \rangle + \eta(AY) \langle X, \phi A\xi \rangle + \eta(AX) \langle Y, \phi A\xi \rangle \\ &+ \varepsilon \langle (\phi A - A\phi)X, Y \rangle\} \xi \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a constant, if and only if  $M$  is locally congruent to one of the spaces stated in Theorem 2.

By Theorem 2 and Theorem 5, we can characterize the  $\eta$ -recurrence of  $A$  by an expression of the covariant derivative of  $A$  on the holomorphic distribution.

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in  $M_n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *the shape operator  $A$  is  $\eta$ -recurrent;*
2.  *$(\nabla_X A)Y = \{-c \langle \phi X, Y \rangle + \eta(AY) \langle X, \phi A\xi \rangle + \eta(AX) \langle Y, \phi A\xi \rangle + \varepsilon \langle (\phi A - A\phi)X, Y \rangle\} \xi$ , for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a constant;*
3.  *$M$  is locally congruent to one of the spaces stated in Theorem 2.*

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author is thankful to the referee for several valuable comments towards the improvement of the present paper.

### References

- [ 1 ] J. BERNDT, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **395**, 132–141 (1989).
- [ 2 ] T. E. CECIL and P. J. RYAN, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **269**, 481–499 (1982).
- [ 3 ] T. HAMADA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space with recurrent second fundamental form, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **11**, 103–107 (1996).
- [ 4 ] T. HAMADA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space with  $\eta$ -recurrent second fundamental tensor, *Nihonkai Math. J.* **6**, 153–163 (1995).
- [ 5 ] M. KIMURA, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **296**, 137–149 (1986).
- [ 6 ] M. KIMURA and S. MAEDA, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Math. Z.* **202**, 299–311 (1989).
- [ 7 ] S. H. KON and T. H. LOO, On characterizations of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form with  $\eta$ -parallel shape operator, *Can. Math. Bull.* **55**, 114–126 (2012).
- [ 8 ] S. H. KON and T. H. LOO, Real hypersurfaces in a complex space form with  $\eta$ -parallel shape operator, *Math. Z.* **269**, 47–58 (2011).
- [ 9 ] S. H. KON, T. H. LOO and S. REN, Real hypersurfaces in a complex space form with a condition on the structure Jacobi operator, *Math. Slovaca* **64**, 1007–1018 (2014).
- [10] M. LOHNHERR and H. RECKZIEGEL, On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, *Geom. Dedic.* **74**, 267–286 (1999).
- [11] S. M. LYU and Y. J. SUH, Real hypersurfaces in complex hyperbolic space with  $\eta$ -recurrent second fundamental tensor, *Nihonkai Math. J.* **8**, 19–27 (1997).
- [12] S. MONTIEL, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **37**, 515–535 (1985).
- [13] Y. J. SUH, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space forms with  $\eta$ -parallel Ricci tensor, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **14**, 27–37 (1990).
- [14] R. TAKAGI, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, *Osaka J. Math.* **10**, 495–506 (1973).

*Present Address:*

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,  
 UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA,  
 50603 KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA.  
*e-mail:* looth@um.edu.my