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#### Abstract

We prove a relationship on the rank of the Floer homology groups of integral homology handles. Moreover we make a conjecture on a certain difference between these ranks.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma$ denote a Seifert homology 3 -sphere $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. By performing 0 -surgery along the $n$-th singular fiber $k_{n}$ of $\Sigma$, we obtain a homology handle $\Sigma+0 \cdot k_{n}$, which is denoted by $N$. The Floer homology group $H F_{*}(N)$ can be calculated from that of homology 3-spheres exploiting the Floer exact triangle. In this paper we shall prove a relationship among the Floer homology groups of integral homology handles $N$. The main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. Let $a_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ be relatively coprime positive integers, and $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ the Seifert homology 3-sphere corresponding to the data $a_{i}$. We denote by $N$ and $N^{*}$ the homology handles $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{n}$ and $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m-a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{n}$ respectively, where $m=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n-1}$. Let $b_{i}(N)$ denote the rank of the Floer homology group $H F_{i}(N)(0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7)$. We then obtain the following relationship between $b_{i}(N)$ and $b_{i}\left(N^{*}\right):$

$$
b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)=b_{2}\left(N^{*}\right)-b_{0}\left(N^{*}\right) .
$$

REMARK. Here, the grading on the Floer homology group $H F_{*}(N)$ is assigned from the triple $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{n}, N\right)$, where $\Sigma$ denotes $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$; See also Theorem in Section 2.

In the last section we would like to form a conjecture on the number $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$ for $N=\Sigma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+0 \cdot k_{3}$. Finally we provide lists on the ranks of the Floer homology groups of $N$, which support our conjecture.

## 2. Review of floer homology groups

The Floer homology group for integral homology 3 -spheres is defined as follows; see

Floer [4]. Let $M$ be an integral homology 3 -sphere and $P$ the trivial $S U(2)$-bundle over $M$. We then obtain a chain complex $C_{*}(M)$ that is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module generated by the gauge equivalence classes of flat connections on $P$. The chain complex has a natural $\mathbf{Z} / 8$-grading via the index theorem, which is called the Floer index. The homology group of $C_{*}(M)$ is the Floer homology group of $M$ and denoted by $H F_{*}(M)$. We shall denote the rank of $H F_{i}(M)$ by $b_{i}(M)(0 \leqslant i \leqslant 7)$. For the details of the Floer homology group, we refer to Donaldson [2].

Floer [5] extended the Floer homology groups to integral homology handles, namely 3manifolds $N$ whose integral homology group is isomorphic to that of $S^{2} \times S^{1}$. In order to define this homology group we need to change some conditions in the setting above. First of all the trivial $S U(2)$-bundle is replaced by a unique non-trivial $S O$ (3)-bundle $Q$ on $N$. Hence there does not exist a trivial flat connection $\theta$ on $Q$. As a result, $H F_{*}(N)$ has no absolute grading of $\mathbf{Z} / 8$.

Consider an integral homology 3 -sphere $M$ and a knot $k$ in $M$. We obtain another integral homology 3 -sphere $M+(-1) \cdot k$ and a homology handle $M+0 \cdot k$ by ( -1 )- and 0 -surgery along $k$ respectively. By handle attaching we also have suitable cobordisms $X, Y, Z$, whose boundary component is either $M, M+(-1) \cdot k$ or $M+0 \cdot k$. These cobordisms give rise to homomorphisms on Floer homology groups. Floer proved the following:

Theorem 2 (Floer [5], Braam-Donaldson [1]). Let $M, M+(-1) \cdot k$ and $M+0 \cdot k$ be as above. We then have the following long exact sequence of Floer homology groups:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & \rightarrow H F_{*+1}(M+0 \cdot k) \xrightarrow{Z_{*}} H F_{*}(M) \xrightarrow{X_{*}} H F_{*}(M+(-1) \cdot k) \\
& \xrightarrow{Y_{*}} H F_{*}(M+0 \cdot k) \rightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $X_{*}, Y_{*}, Z_{*}$ are homomorphisms induced by $X, Y, Z$; see[5] for the details.
The long exact sequence above is called the Floer exact triangle. Owing to the Floer exact triangle above we can determine a grading of $H F_{*}(M+0 \cdot k)$. Then we denote the rank of $H F_{i}(M+0 \cdot k)$ by $b_{i}(M+0 \cdot k)$ in the same way as in the case of homology 3 -spheres.

## 3. Floer homology groups of seifert homology 3-spheres

Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be positive integers which are relatively coprime. Then the Seifert homology 3 -sphere $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is obtained as a Seifert manifold with the Seifert invariant $\left(g,\left(1, b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right)$ which satisfies equations $g=0$ and $b_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} / a_{i}=$ $1 / a_{1} \cdots a_{n}$. The presentation of the Seifert invariant is same as in Neumann-Raymond [9]. In particular, when $n=3, \Sigma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ is called a Brieskorn homology 3 -sphere and $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{3} \mid z_{1}^{a_{1}}+z_{2}^{a_{2}}+z_{3}^{a_{3}}=0\right\} \cap S^{5}$. See [9].

We regard the $i$-th singular fiber corresponding to $a_{i}$ as a knot, and denote it by $k_{i}$. By performing the $(-1)$-surgery ( 0 -surgery ), we obtain a Seifert homology 3 -sphere
$\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+(-1) \cdot k_{i}$ (a Seifert manifold $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{i}$, resp.). It is easy to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+(-1) \cdot k_{n}=\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m+a_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Saveliev [10]. Furthermore, we obtain the following:
THEOREM 3. There is an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism between $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{n}$ and $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m-a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{n}$.

Proof. We first note that $\Sigma=\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ has a Seifert invariant $\left(g ;\left(1, b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)\right)$. Then the Seifert invariant of $N=\Sigma+0 \cdot k_{n}$ is $\left(g ;\left(1, b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\right),(m, r)\right)$; see Saveliev [10]. Here $r$ is an integer that satisfies

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
a_{n} & b_{n} \\
-m & r
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

and hence $r=\left(1-m b_{n}\right) / a_{n}$. Then as a corollary of Theorem 1.1. in [9], it follows that a Seifert invariant of $\bar{N}$ is $\left(g ;\left(1,-b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1},-b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n-1},-b_{n-1}\right),(m,-r)\right)$, where $\bar{N}$ stands for $N$ with the reversed orientation. Put $s=b_{0} m+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_{i} a_{1} \cdots \breve{a}_{i} \cdots a_{n-1}+$ $b_{n}$. Then we obtain a Seifert invariant $\left(g ;\left(1,-b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1},-b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n-1},-b_{n-1}\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(m-a_{n}, s\right)\right)$ of $\Sigma^{*}=\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m-a_{n}\right)$. In the same way as in the case of $N$, we can calculate the Seifert invariant for $N^{*}=\Sigma^{*}+0 \cdot k_{n}$; the Seifert invariant is $\left(g ;\left(1,-b_{0}\right),\left(a_{1},-b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{n-1},-b_{n-1}\right),(m, t)\right)$. Here $t$ is an integer that satisfies

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
m-a_{n} & s \\
-m & t
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

and hence $t=(1-m s) /\left(m-a_{n}\right)$. It then follows that

$$
r+t=\frac{m}{a_{n}\left(m-a_{n}\right)}\left(1-m a_{n}\left(b_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Thus we obtain $t=-r$. Therefore, $N^{*}$ is diffeomorphic to $\bar{N}$ preserving the orientations.
Fintushel and Stern [3] proved that the Floer index of every non-trivial flat connection over a Brieskorn homology 3 -sphere $\Sigma$ is even. Therefore the boundary operator $\partial$ of $C_{*}(\Sigma)$ is trivial, so that we have $H F_{*}(\Sigma)=C_{*}(\Sigma)$. Kirk and Klassen [7] and Saveliev [10] proved the same fact for every Seifert homology 3-sphere. Moreover, Saveliev proved that it also holds for the homology handle obtained from a Seifert homology 3 -sphere by 0 -surgery along a singular fiber $k$. He also proved that its Floer exact triangle is a splitting exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow H F_{*}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{X_{*}} H F_{*}(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k) \xrightarrow{Y_{*}} H F_{*}(\Sigma+0 \cdot k) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

This gives rise to an equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(\Sigma+0 \cdot k)=b_{i}(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k)-b_{i}(\Sigma) \text { for } i=0, \ldots, 7 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Frøyshov [6] further proved that $H F_{i}(\Sigma)$ is isomorphic to $H F_{i+4}(\Sigma)$, so that we obtain $b_{0}(\Sigma)=b_{4}(\Sigma)$ and $b_{2}(\Sigma)=b_{6}(\Sigma)$. Then Taubes' theorem [13] implies $b_{0}(\Sigma)+b_{2}(\Sigma)=$ $b_{4}(\Sigma)+b_{6}(\Sigma)=\lambda(\Sigma)$, where $\lambda(\Sigma)$ is the Casson invariant of $\Sigma$. Also exploiting the identities above, Saveliev [11] proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{2}(\Sigma)-b_{0}(\Sigma)=b_{6}(\Sigma)-b_{4}(\Sigma)=\bar{\mu}(\Sigma), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is Neumann's $\bar{\mu}$-invariant [8] of $\Sigma$. On the other hand Neumann [8] proved that every Seifert homology 3 -sphere $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right)= \pm \bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m \pm a_{n}\right)\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N$ be $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+0 \cdot k_{n}$. With $b_{i}(N)$, we have the following result. When we fix $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$, then $b_{0}(N)+b_{2}(N)$ is independent of $a_{n}$. On the other hand, $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$ depends only on $a_{n}$; See Saveliev [12] p. 156.

## 4. Proof of main theorem and observation

We shall denote $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ by $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m-a_{n}\right)$ by $\Sigma^{*}$. Also we denote $\Sigma+0 \cdot k_{n}$ by $N$ and $\Sigma^{*}+0 \cdot k_{n}$ by $N^{*}$.

As we observed, the triples $\left(\Sigma, \Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{n}, N\right)$ and $\left(\Sigma^{*}, \Sigma^{*}+(-1) \cdot k_{n}, N^{*}\right)$ determines an absolute grading of $H F_{*}(N)$ and $H F_{*}\left(N^{*}\right)$ respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1. The equations (2) and (1) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)= & b_{2}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{n}\right)-b_{2}(\Sigma)-b_{0}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{n}\right)+b_{0}(\Sigma) \\
= & b_{2}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m+a_{n}\right)\right)-b_{2}(\Sigma)-b_{0}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m+a_{n}\right)\right) \\
& +b_{0}(\Sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2}\left(N^{*}\right)-b_{0}\left(N^{*}\right)= & b_{2}\left(\Sigma^{*}+(-1) \cdot k_{n}\right)-b_{2}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)-b_{0}\left(\Sigma^{*}+(-1) \cdot k_{n}\right)+b_{0}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right) \\
= & b_{2}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m-a_{n}\right)\right)-b_{2}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right) \\
& -b_{0}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m-a_{n}\right)\right)+b_{0}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (3) we obtain

$$
b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)=\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m+a_{n}\right)\right)-\bar{\mu}(\Sigma)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{2}\left(N^{*}\right)-b_{0}\left(N^{*}\right) & =\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m-a_{n}\right)\right)-\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right) \\
& =\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m-a_{n}\right)\right)-\bar{\mu}\left(\Sigma\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2 m-\left(m+a_{n}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the formula (4), we have

$$
b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)=b_{2}\left(N^{*}\right)-b_{0}\left(N^{*}\right)
$$

Example 1. We shall denote $\Sigma\left(4,5, a_{3}\right)$ by $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma+0 \cdot k_{3}$ by $N$. The table 1 is about the ranks of $H F_{*}(\Sigma), H F_{*}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{3}\right)$, and $H F_{*}(N)$. In the Table 1 we only list $\left(b_{0}, b_{2}, b_{4}, b_{6}\right)$ since $b_{1}, b_{3}, b_{5}$ and $b_{7}$ are equal to zero.

Table 1. The ranks of $H F_{*}(\Sigma), H F_{*}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{3}\right)$, and $H F_{*}(N)$

| $a$ | $b_{i}(\Sigma)$ | $b_{i}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{3}\right)$ | $b_{i}(N)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(0,0,0,0)$ | $(6,9,6,9)$ | $(6,9,6,9)$ |
| 3 | $(1,1,1,1)$ | $(8,9,8,9)$ | $(7,8,7,8)$ |
| 7 | $(2,3,2,3)$ | $(10,10,10,10)$ | $(8,7,8,7)$ |
| 9 | $(4,3,4,3)$ | $(11,11,11,11)$ | $(7,8,7,8)$ |
| 11 | $(4,4,4,4)$ | $(11,12,11,12)$ | $(7,8,7,8)$ |
| 13 | $(5,5,5,5)$ | $(13,12,13,12)$ | $(8,7,8,7)$ |
| 17 | $(7,6,7,6)$ | $(14,14,14,14)$ | $(7,8,7,8)$ |
| 19 | $(9,6,9,6)$ | $(15,15,15,15)$ | $(6,9,6,9)$ |

The Table 2 shows the difference $b_{2}-b_{0}$ of $H F_{*}(N)$. The Theorem 1 says that the second row $b_{2}-b_{0}$ of the table is symmetric with respect to $10=4 \cdot 5 / 2$.

TABLE 2. A difference $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$

| $a_{3}$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$ | 3 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 3 |

The following conjecture comes from numerous calculations of Floer homology groups based on a computer. The calculations are divided into two parts. The former one is the program to determine the $S U(2)$-representation space of the fundamental group. The latter one is to calculate the Floer index for every representation according to Fintushel-Stern's formula (see [3]) and to apply the Floer exact triangle.

Conjecture 1. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be coprime positive odd integers and $a_{3}$ be the largest integers satisfying $\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)=\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=1$ and $a_{3} \leqslant\left(a_{1} a_{2}-1\right) / 2$. Put $N=\Sigma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+$ $0 \cdot k_{3}$. Then it holds that

$$
b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)=0 .
$$

REMARK. We checked that Conjecture 1 is true if $a_{1}+a_{2} \leqslant 50$. We also see that the assumption in Conjecture 1 is essential. For example, we have $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)=2$ for $N=\Sigma(3,11,14)+0 \cdot k_{3}$ while $b_{2}\left(N^{\prime}\right)-b_{0}\left(N^{\prime}\right)=1$ for $N^{\prime}=\Sigma(2,5,3)+0 \cdot k_{3}$.

Example 2. We shall exhibit a couple of lists below which support Conjecture1. Here $\Sigma=\Sigma\left(3,5, a_{3}\right)$ and $N=\Sigma+0 \cdot k_{3}$. In the Table 3 we list only $\left(b_{0}, b_{2}, b_{4}, b_{6}\right)$ as in the Table 1.

Table 3. The ranks of $H F_{*}(\Sigma), H F_{*}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{3}\right)$, and $H F_{*}(N)$

| $a_{3}$ | $b_{i}(\Sigma)$ | $b_{i}\left(\Sigma+(-1) \cdot k_{3}\right)$ | $b_{i}(N)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(0,0,0,0)$ | $(3,5,3,5)$ | $(3,5,3,5)$ |
| 2 | $(1,0,1,0)$ | $(4,5,4,5)$ | $(3,5,3,5)$ |
| 4 | $(1,1,1,1)$ | $(5,5,5,5)$ | $(4,4,4,4)$ |
| 7 | $(2,2,2,2)$ | $(6,6,6,6)$ | $(4,4,4,4)$ |
| 8 | $(2,2,2,2)$ | $(6,6,6,6)$ | $(4,4,4,4)$ |
| 11 | $(3,3,3,3)$ | $(7,7,7,7)$ | $(4,4,4,4)$ |
| 13 | $(4,3,4,3)$ | $(7,8,7,8)$ | $(3,5,3,5)$ |
| 14 | $(5,3,5,3)$ | $(8,8,8,8)$ | $(3,5,3,5)$ |

Table 4. A difference $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$

| $a_{3}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{2}(N)-b_{0}(N)$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
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