

A proof of the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity via Kleshchev multipartitions

By Shunsuke TSUCHIOKA

Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology,
Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

(Communicated by Masaki KASHIWARA, M.J.A., Feb. 13, 2023)

Abstract: We give another proof of the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity by Kashiwara crystals.

Key words: Integer partitions; Rogers-Ramanujan identities; Kashiwara crystals; quantum groups; Hecke algebras.

1. Introduction. In [9], Lepowsky and Milne observed a similarity between the characters of the level 3 standard modules of the affine Lie algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{ch} V(2\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1) &= \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty} \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty}, \\ \operatorname{ch} V(3\Lambda_0) &= \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty} \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

and the infinite products of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} &= \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty}, \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q; q)_n} &= \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the q -Pochhammer symbols are defined for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (a; q)_n &= \prod_{0 \leq j < n} (1 - aq^j), \\ (a_1, \dots, a_k; q)_n &= (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_k; q)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Later, Lepowsky and Wilson promoted the observation to a vertex operator proof and gave a Lie theoretic interpretation of the infinite sums in the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [10]. The goal of this paper is to show that a result of Kashiwara crystals which is motivated by the representation theory of Hecke algebras [1, Corollary 9.6] promotes the equality (1) into a proof of the second Rogers-

Ramanujan identity (2). Note that it is well-known that the Rogers-Ramanujan identities and the solvable lattice models from which the quantum groups originated are related (see [3, Chapter 8]). Several relationships between Rogers-Ramanujan type identities and Kashiwara crystals are also known (see [5] and the references therein). The author was inspired by a recent work of Corteel which gave a proof of (2) using the cylindric partitions and the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence [4].

2. The main result. A partition (resp. strict partition) is a weakly (resp. strictly) decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of positive integers, i.e., $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell \geq 1$ (resp. $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_\ell \geq 1$). We denote the set of partitions (resp. strict partitions) by Par (resp. Str). We also denote the size $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell$ (resp. the length ℓ) of λ by $|\lambda|$ (resp. $\ell(\lambda)$). When λ is empty (i.e., $\ell(\lambda) = 0$), we put $\lambda_1 = 0$.

Theorem 2.1 ([1, (The transposed version of Proposition 9.7)]). *Let $k \geq 1$. Under the $A_1^{(1)}$ -crystal isomorphism $\operatorname{Str} \cong B(\Lambda_0)$ due to Misra-Miwa [11], the canonical image $B(k\Lambda_0)$ in the tensor product $B(\Lambda_0)^{\otimes k}$ coincides with*

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}) \\ &\in \operatorname{Str}^k \mid \ell(\lambda^{(i)}) \geq (\lambda^{(i+1)})_1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k\}. \end{aligned}$$

This result is credited to Mathas in [1, §9]. It is also a Corollary of [7, Theorem 3.8] and [8, Theorem 10.1]. An element of the connected component S_k is called a Kleshchev multipartition in the context of the representation theory of Hecke algebras. For a generalization to $A_p^{(1)}$ -crystal, where $p \geq 2$, see [1, Corollary 9.6]. For a different charac-

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11P84;
Secondary 17B37.

terization, see [6].

Theorem 2.2. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda \in S_k} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{a=1}^k a \binom{1-i_a}{2} + \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq k} a i_a i_b}}{(q; q)_{i_1} \cdots (q; q)_{i_k}} x^{\sum_{a=1}^k a i_a}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for a k -tuple of strict partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \text{Str}^k$, the size $|\lambda|$ and the length $\ell(\lambda)$ are defined as follows:

$$|\lambda| = |\lambda_1| + \cdots + |\lambda_k|, \quad \ell(\lambda) = \ell(\lambda_1) + \cdots + \ell(\lambda_k).$$

3. A proof of Theorem 2.2. As usual (see [2, Definition 3.1]), we define the q -binomial coefficient

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_m (q; q)_{n-m}}$$

for $n \geq m \geq 0$. It is well-known (see [2, Theorem 3.1]) that we have

$$(3) \quad \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_q = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \text{Par} \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq m \\ \lambda_1 \leq n-m}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

For $i, j \geq 0$, considering the staircase $\Delta_j = (j, j-1, \dots, 1) \in \text{Str}$, we see

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Str} \\ \ell(\mu)=j \\ \mu_1 \leq i+j}} q^{|\mu|} = q^{|\Delta_j|} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \text{Par} \\ \ell(\lambda) \leq j \\ \lambda_1 \leq i}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *For $k \geq 1$ and $j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0$, there is a size preserving bijection*

$$f_{j_1, \dots, j_k} : V_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \rightarrow W_{j_1, \dots, j_k},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{j_1, \dots, j_k} &= \{\lambda = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}) \in \text{Str}^k \mid \ell(\lambda^{(i)}) \\ &\quad = j_i + \cdots + j_k \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}, \\ V_{j_1, \dots, j_k} &= S_k \cap A_{j_1, \dots, j_k}, \\ W_{j_1, \dots, j_k} &= \{\lambda \in A_{j_1, \dots, j_k} \mid ((\lambda^{(i)})_{j_{i+1}}, \dots, (\lambda^{(i)})_{\ell(\lambda^{(i)})}) \\ &\quad = \Delta_{\ell(\lambda^{(i+1)})} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is trivial.

Similarly to (4), for $i, j \geq 0$ we see

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in V_{i,j}} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} &= \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Str} \\ \ell(\mu)=j \\ \mu_1 \leq i+j}} q^{|\mu|} \frac{q^{|\Delta_{i+j}|}}{(q; q)_{i+j}}, \\ \sum_{(\lambda, \mu) \in W_{i,j}} q^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} &= \frac{q^{|\Delta_{i+j}|}}{(q; q)_i} \frac{q^{|\Delta_j|}}{(q; q)_j}, \end{aligned}$$

which are equal to each other thanks to (3) and (4). This settled the case $k = 2$.

For $k \geq 3$, it is easily seen that the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}) \mapsto \mu = (\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}) \\ &:= (f_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k}(\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}), \lambda^{(3)}, \dots, \lambda^{(k)}) \\ &\mapsto (\mu^{(1)}, f_{j_2, \dots, j_k}(\mu^{(2)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)})) \end{aligned}$$

is a size preserving bijection from V_{j_1, \dots, j_k} to W_{j_1, \dots, j_k} . \square

Theorem 2.2 is proved as follows: Clearly, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in S_k} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0} x^{j_1+2j_2+\cdots+kj_k} \sum_{\lambda \in V_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

By Proposition 3.1, the right hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0} x^{j_1+2j_2+\cdots+kj_k} \sum_{\lambda \in W_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

Similarly to (5), we see

$$\sum_{\lambda \in W_{j_1, \dots, j_k}} q^{|\lambda|} = \prod_{a=1}^k \frac{q^{|\Delta_{j_a, \dots, j_k}|}}{(q; q)_{j_a}}.$$

Using $|\Delta_{s+t}| = |\Delta_s| + |\Delta_t| + st$ for $s, t \geq 0$, we have

$$|\Delta_{j_a, \dots, j_k}| = \sum_{b=a}^k |\Delta_{j_b}| + \sum_{a \leq b < b' \leq k} j_b j_{b'}$$

and thus we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^k |\Delta_{j_a, \dots, j_k}| = \sum_{a=1}^k a |\Delta_{j_a}| + \sum_{1 \leq b < b' \leq k} b j_b j_{b'}.$$

4. A proof of the second Rogers-Ramanujan identity. In the proof, let

$$F(x, q) = \sum_{s, t, u \geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{s+1}{2} + 2\binom{t+1}{2} + 3\binom{u+1}{2} + st + su + 2tu} x^{s+2t+3u}}{(q; q)_s (q; q)_t (q; q)_u},$$

$$G(x, q) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{q^{s(s+1)} x^{2s}}{(q; q)_s}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *We have the following q -difference equation.*

$$G(x, q) = (1 + x^2 q^2 + x^2 q^3) G(xq, q) - x^4 q^7 G(xq^2, q).$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that for all $M \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$(1 - q^M) g_M - q^M (1 + q) g_{M-2} + q^{2M-1} g_{M-4} = 0,$$

where $g_{2s} = q^{s(s+1)} / (q; q)_s$ for $s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $g_M = 0$ for $M \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus 2\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *We have the following q -difference equation.*

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, q) &= (1 + xq)(1 + x^2 q^2 + x^2 q^3) F(xq, q) \\ &\quad - x^4 q^7 (1 + xq)(1 + xq^2) F(xq^2, q). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our proof is a typical application of a q -version of Wegschaider's improvement of Sister Celine's technique (see [12]).

Let $F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} f_n(q) x^n$ and put

$$f(n, t, u) = \frac{q^{\binom{n-2t-3u+1}{2} + 2\binom{t+1}{2} + 3\binom{u+1}{2} + (n-2t-3u)(t+u) + 2tu}}{(q; q)_{n-2t-3u} (q; q)_t (q; q)_u}$$

for $n, t, u \in \mathbf{Z}$, where we regard $\frac{1}{(q; q)_v} = 0$ if $v < 0$. Because $f(n, t, u)$ is q -proper hypergeometric (see [12, §2.1]), one can automatically derive a q -holonomic recurrence for f_n thanks to $f_n = \sum_{t, u \in \mathbf{Z}^2} f(n, t, u)$.

Let $(Ng)(n, t, u) = g(n-1, t, u)$, $(Tg)(n, t, u) = g(n, t-1, u)$, $(Ug)(n, t, u) = g(n, t, u-1)$ be the shift operators for $g : \mathbf{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(q)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (1 - q^n) - q^n N - q^n (1 + q) (N^2 + N^3) \\ &\quad + q^{2n-1} N^4 + q^{2n-2} ((1 + q) N^5 + N^6), \\ B &= (q^n - q^{2t+u}) + q^n (-1 + q^t + q^u) N \\ &\quad + q^{n+u} (1 + q^{1+t}) N^2 + q^{n+2t+u} UN^3, \\ C &= q^n (1 - q^t) N + q^{1+n+u} (1 - q^t) N^2 \\ &\quad + q^n (1 + q^{1+u}) N^3 - q^{2n-1} N^4 \\ &\quad - q^{2n-2} ((1 + q) N^5 + N^6). \end{aligned}$$

One can check that

$$(A + (1 - T)B + (1 - U)C)f(n, t, u) = 0.$$

By this certificate recurrence operator (see [12, §3] and [13, §7.1]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q^n) f_n - q^n f_{n-1} - q^n (1 + q) (f_{n-2} + f_{n-3}) \\ + q^{2n-1} f_{n-4} + q^{2n-2} ((1 + q) f_{n-5} + f_{n-6}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. This is equivalent to the q -difference equation in the Proposition. \square

Corollary 4.3. *We have $F(x, q) = (-xq;$*

$$q)_{\infty} G(x, q).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, $F(x, q)$ and $(-xq; q)_{\infty} G(x, q)$ satisfy the same q -difference equation presented in Proposition 4.2. Then, the equality follows from the fact that both the coefficients of x^0 (resp. x^n for $n < 0$) in $F(x, q)$ and $(-xq; q)_{\infty} G(x, q)$ are equal to 1 (resp. 0). \square

Remark 4.4. After submission to arXiv of the first version of this paper, we learned from Ole Warnaar that Corollary 4.3 is easily deduced by a trick to use $f_n = \sum_{t, u \in \mathbf{Z}} f(n, t-u, u)$ instead of $f_n = \sum_{t, u \in \mathbf{Z}} f(n, t, u)$ noticing

$$f(n, t-u, u) = \frac{q^{\binom{n+1}{2} + t(t-n)}}{(q; q)_{n-2t} (q; q)_t} \frac{(q^{-t}; q)_u (q^{-(n-2t)}; q)_u}{(q; q)_u}.$$

Thanks to the q -Chu-Vandermonde identity ${}_2\phi_1(a, q^{-m}; 0; q, q) = a^m$ for a nonnegative integer m (see [3, (2.41)]), we have

$$f_n = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{\binom{n+1}{2} + t(t-n) - t(n-2t)}}{(q; q)_{n-2t} (q; q)_t} = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{q^{\binom{n-2t+1}{2} + t(t+1)}}{(q; q)_{n-2t} (q; q)_t}.$$

This is equivalent to Corollary 4.3 by Euler's identity $(-xq; q) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{q^{\binom{m+1}{2}} x^m}{(q; q)_m}$.

The second Rogers-Ramanujan identity (2) is proved as follows: By Theorem 2.2, Lepowsky-Milne's observation (1) is translated to

$$F(1, q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty}}.$$

By Corollary 4.3 and Euler's identity $(q; q^2)_{\infty} (-q; q)_{\infty} = 1$, we have

$$G(1, q) = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty}}.$$

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his sincere thanks to Ole Warnaar for sharing a simple proof with the author. The author was supported by JSPS Kakenhi Grant 20K03506, the Inamori Foundation, JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR2113, Japan and Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, MEXT, Japan.

References

- [1] S. Ariki, V. Kreiman and S. Tsuchioka, On the tensor product of two basic representations of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e)$, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 1, 28–86.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1976.

- [3] G. E. Andrews, q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra, in *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics (Washington, DC, 1985)*, 117–130, Regional conference series in mathematics, 66, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [4] S. Corteel, Rogers-Ramanujan identities and the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth correspondence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 5, 2011–2022.
- [5] J. Dousse and J. Lovejoy, On a Rogers-Ramanujan type identity from crystal base theory, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146** (2018), no. 1, 55–67.
- [6] N. Jacon, Kleshchev multipartitions and extended Young diagrams, *Adv. Math.* **339** (2018), 367–403.
- [7] M. Kim and S. Kim, On the connection between Young walls and Littelmann paths, *J. Algebra* **323** (2010), no. 8, 2326–2336.
- [8] P. Littelmann, A plactic algebra for semisimple Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.* **124** (1996), no. 2, 312–331.
- [9] J. Lepowsky and S. C. Milne, Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities, *Adv. in Math.* **29** (1978), no. 1, 15–59.
- [10] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), no. 2, 199–290.
- [11] K. C. Misra and T. Miwa, Crystal base for the basic representation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **134** (1990), no. 1, 79–88.
- [12] A. Riese, qMultiSum—a package for proving q -hypergeometric multiple summation identities, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **35** (2003), no. 3, 349–376.
- [13] S. Tsuchioka, An example of A2 Rogers-Ramanujan bipartition identities of level 3, arXiv:2205.04811.