

Zariski tuples for a smooth cubic and its tangent lines

By Shinzo BANNAI^{*}) and Hiro-o TOKUNAGA^{**})

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M.J.A., Jan. 14, 2020)

Abstract: In this paper, we study the geometry of two-torsion points of elliptic curves in order to distinguish the embedded topology of reducible plane curves consisting of a smooth cubic and its tangent lines. As a result, we obtain a new family of Zariski tuples consisting of such curves.

Key words: Elliptic curves; torsion points; Zariski pairs; splitting numbers.

Introduction. Let $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ be a pair of reduced complex plane curves in \mathbf{P}^2 . The pair $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is said to be a Zariski pair if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) both \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 have the same combinatorics (see [2] for the details of the combinatorics of curves),
- (ii) $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is not homeomorphic to $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ as a pair of topological spaces.

In (ii) above, a homeomorphism of pairs of topological spaces $h : (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a homeomorphism $h : \mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ such that $h(\mathcal{B}_1) = \mathcal{B}_2$. $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_1)$ is not homeomorphic to $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ if no such homeomorphisms exist. An N -tuple of reduced plane curves $(\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_N)$ is said to be a Zariski N -tuple if $(\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{B}_j)$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq N$) is a Zariski pair.

The first example of a Zariski pair is given by Zariski in [14], and for these 25 years much progress has been made. For example, see [2]. Also see [3–8, 13] for recent results on Zariski pairs for the arrangements consisting of curves of low degree. In particular, in [1, 4, 8, 11], Zariski pairs for a smooth curve and its tangent lines have been studied. In this article, we continue to study such objects: Zariski pairs for a smooth cubic and its tangents.

Note that there exists no Zariski pair for a smooth conic and its n tangent lines as such curves are parametrized by an open set in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} , the set of effective divisors of degree n . Hence a smooth cubic

and its tangent lines is the first object to be studied. In fact, in [1, 4], Zariski pairs for a smooth cubic and its inflectional tangent lines are studied. In this note, we study Zariski pairs for a smooth cubic and its $2n$ simple tangents. Let us explain the combinatorics considered in this article as follows:

Choose distinct points P_1, \dots, P_n on a smooth cubic, none of which is an inflection point. For each P_i , there exist 4 lines $L_{P_i, j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) through P_i which are tangent to E at $Q_{i, j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$), respectively. Choose two of them, $L_{P_i, j_i}, L_{P_i, k_i}$ and put

$$\mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(j_i, k_i)} = L_{P_i, j_i} + L_{P_i, k_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(j_i, k_i)}.$$

The combinatorics considered in this article is the one given by $E + \mathcal{L}$ such that no three lines are concurrent. (See Lemma 3.1 for the existence of such curves.) Now our result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. *For the combinatorics as above, there exists a Zariski $y(n)$ -tuple. Here $y(n)$ is the number of 3-partitions for n , i.e., it is given as follows:*

$$y(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12}(n^2 + 6n + 12) & n \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{1}{12}(n+1)(n+5) & n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{1}{12}(n+2)(n+4) & n \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{1}{12}(n+3)^2 & n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

In previous articles [1, 4], inflection points which are regarded as three-torsions play key roles. On the other hand, in our proof, a description of torsion points of order 2 on E plays an important

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H52; Secondary 14E20.

^{*}) National Institute of Technology, Ibaraki College, 866 Nakane, Hitachnaka, Ibaraki 312-8508, Japan.

^{**}) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan.

role. More precisely we represent a two-torsion via intersection points $L_{P_i, j_i} \cap E$, $L_{P_i, k_i} \cap E$ given in Section 1. This is the new feature in this article.

1. Preliminaries.

1.1. Splitting numbers. In [10], T. Shirane introduced the notion of *splitting numbers* and used it to distinguish the embedded topology of curves. In this subsection, we restate the definition and propositions concerning splitting numbers to fit our setting and simplify the presentation.

Let $B \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be a plane curve of even degree and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be the double cover of \mathbf{P}^2 branched along B . Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be an irreducible curve.

Definition 1.1. The number of irreducible components of $\phi^*(C)$ is called the splitting number of C with respect to ϕ and will be denoted by $s_\phi(C)$.

Note that since we are considering double covers only, $s_\phi(C) = 1$ or 2 . Let $B_1, B_2 \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be two plane curves of even degree.

The following proposition allows us to distinguish the embedded topology of curves. For $i = 1, 2$ let $\phi_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be the double cover branched along B_i . Furthermore, let $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ be irreducible curves.

Proposition 1.1. *If there exists a homeomorphism of pairs $h : (\mathbf{P}^2, B_1 + C_1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^2, B_2 + C_2)$ with $h(B_1) = B_2$, $h(C_1) = C_2$ then $s_{\phi_1}(C_1) = s_{\phi_2}(C_2)$.*

Proof. The statement follows directly from [10, Corollary 1.4]. \square

1.2. Pairs of tangents and two-torsion points of E . Let E be a smooth cubic curve and choose an inflection point $O \in E$. It is well-known that we can endow E with an abelian group structure on E with O being the zero element (see [9,12], for example). We denote the addition and subtraction on E by $\dot{+}$ and $\dot{-}$. By definition, for three points $P, Q, R \in E$, $P \dot{+} Q \dot{+} R = O$ if they are collinear. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ be the set of non-trivial two-torsion points of E . For a point $P \in E$ which is not an inflection point, it is known that there exists four lines that pass through P and is tangent to E at a point distinct from P . Let, $L_{P,i}$, ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) be such four lines and let Q_i be the tangent points. By the geometric description of the group law on E , we have

$$P \dot{+} 2Q_i = O.$$

Then, for $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have

$$2(P \dot{+} Q_i \dot{+} Q_j) = (P \dot{+} 2Q_i) \dot{+} (P \dot{+} 2Q_j) = O,$$

hence $P \dot{+} Q_i \dot{+} Q_j \in \mathcal{T}$. Note that P, Q_i, Q_j cannot be collinear.

Definition 1.2. For a pair $\mathcal{L}_P^{(i,j)} = L_{P,i} + L_{P,j}$ of tangent lines through P , the two-torsion point $T = P \dot{+} Q_i \dot{+} Q_j$ is called the two-torsion point associated to $\mathcal{L}_P^{(i,j)}$.

Lemma 1.1. *Under the above setting,*

$$\begin{aligned} P \dot{+} Q_1 \dot{+} Q_2 &= P \dot{+} Q_3 \dot{+} Q_4 = T_1 \\ P \dot{+} Q_1 \dot{+} Q_3 &= P \dot{+} Q_2 \dot{+} Q_4 = T_2 \\ P \dot{+} Q_1 \dot{+} Q_4 &= P \dot{+} Q_2 \dot{+} Q_3 = T_3 \end{aligned}$$

for a suitable choice of labels for Q_i , ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Moreover, every non-trivial two-torsion point T_i of E can be obtained as an associated two-torsion point of $\mathcal{L}_P^{(i,j)}$ for a suitable choice of pairs of tangent lines.

Proof. For $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ we have

$$2(Q_i \dot{-} Q_j) = (P \dot{+} 2Q_i) \dot{-} (P \dot{+} 2Q_j) = O,$$

hence $Q_i \dot{-} Q_j$ also becomes a two-torsion point of E .

Since $Q_1 \dot{-} Q_2, Q_1 \dot{-} Q_3, Q_1 \dot{-} Q_4$ are distinct non-trivial two-torsion points, we can assume that

$$Q_1 \dot{-} Q_2 = T_1, Q_1 \dot{-} Q_3 = T_2, Q_1 \dot{-} Q_4 = T_3$$

for a suitable choice of labels for Q_i , ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Also, since the subgroup of two-torsion points is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, we have $T_i \dot{+} T_j = T_k$ for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. These combined with $P \dot{+} 2Q_i = O$ give the desired equalities. \square

2. The case of four tangent lines. In this section we consider the fundamental case of a smooth cubic and four of its tangent lines.

Let $P_1, P_2 \in E$, $P_1 \neq P_2$ be non-inflection points. Then for each P_i ($i = 1, 2$), there exist four lines $L_{P_i, j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) passing through P_i and tangent to E at $Q_{i, j}$ as in Section 1.2. We assume that the points $Q_{i, j}$ are labeled so that at each point P_i the equalities in Lemma 1.1 are satisfied. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(i,j),(k,l)} &= \mathcal{L}_{P_1}^{(i,j)} + \mathcal{L}_{P_2}^{(k,l)} \\ &= L_{P_1, i} + L_{P_1, j} + L_{P_2, k} + L_{P_2, l}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\phi^{(i,j),(k,l)} : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ be the double cover of \mathbf{P}^2 branched along $\mathcal{L}^{(i,j),(k,l)}$. Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let T, T' be the two-torsion points associated to $\mathcal{L}_{P_1}^{(i,j)}, \mathcal{L}_{P_2}^{(k,l)}$ respectively, and let s be the splitting number of E with respect to $\phi^{(i,j),(k,l)}$. Then $s = 2$ if and only if $T = T'$.*

Proof. The statement follows from [10, Proposition 2.5]. In our case, the divisor $D'_{B,C}$ in [10, Proposition 2.5] coincides with $T + T'$. Then

$T = T'$ if and only if the order of $[\mathcal{O}_{\hat{E}}(D'_{B,C})]$ equals 1, which is equivalent to $s = 2$ by [10, Proposition 2.5]. \square

Now, we consider two curves

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = E + \mathcal{L}^{(i_1, j_1), (k_1, l_1)}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = E + \mathcal{L}^{(i_2, j_2), (k_2, l_2)},$$

each consisting of E and four tangent lines. Note that since P_1, P_2 are non-inflectional points, the combinatorics of \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are the same for any choice of $(i_1, j_1), (k_1, l_1), (i_2, k_2), (j_2, l_2) \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. For $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *The pair $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a Zariski pair if the parity of*

$$|\{i_1, j_1\} \cap \{k_1, l_1\}|$$

and

$$|\{i_2, j_2\} \cap \{k_2, l_2\}|$$

are distinct, assuming that the labeling of $L_{P_i, j}$ satisfy the equalities in Lemma 1.1.

Proof. Let, T, T' be the torsion sections associated to $\mathcal{L}_{P_1}^{(i, j)}, \mathcal{L}_{P_2}^{(k, l)}$ respectively. Then by the equalities in Lemma 1.1, $T = T'$ if and only if $|\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\}|$ is even. Now, if the parity of $|\{i_1, j_1\} \cap \{k_1, l_1\}|$ and $|\{i_2, j_2\} \cap \{k_2, l_2\}|$ are distinct, this implies that the splitting number of E with respect to $\phi^{(i_1, j_1), (k_1, l_1)}$ and $\phi^{(i_2, j_2), (k_2, l_2)}$ are distinct by Lemma 2.1. Hence, the pair $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a Zariski pair by [10, Corollary 1.4]. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove the following lemma that assures the existence of the curves $E + \mathcal{L}$ with the desired combinatorics. Let $P_1, \dots, P_n \in E, L_{P_i, j} (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(j_i, k_i)}$ be as in the introduction.

Lemma 3.1. *For any positive integer n , there exist points $P_1, \dots, P_n \in E$ such that any three of the lines in $\mathcal{L} = \sum \mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(j_i, k_i)}$ are non-concurrent for any choice of $\mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(j_i, k_i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n, \{j_i, k_i\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$).*

Proof. We will prove this lemma by induction on n . The case for $n = 1$ is trivial. Suppose the statement holds for $P_1, \dots, P_k \in E$. Consider the set of all intersection points of the lines $L_{P_i, j} (i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, 4)$ which is a finite set. Then the set of tangent lines of E passing through at least one of these intersection points is also finite, since there is only a finite number of tangent lines passing

through each intersection point. Then there exists $P_{k+1} \in E$ which is not an inflection point and that does not lie on any of the above tangent lines. Then $P_1, \dots, P_{k+1} \in E$ will satisfy the desired condition. \square

Now, let \mathcal{T} be as before and let $\underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L})$ be a set of subarrangements given by

$$\underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}) = \{E + \mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(k_i, l_i)} \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Define a map

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}} : \underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

by setting $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}(E + \mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(k_i, l_i)})$ to be the two-torsion associated to $\mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(k_i, l_i)}$. With $\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}$, we have a 3-partition of $\underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L})$ by $\bigcup_i \Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(T_i)$. In the following we denote the subarrangement $E + \mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(k_i, l_i)}$ by $[P_i, k_i, l_i]$ to simplify the notation.

Definition 3.1. Under the above settings, the 3-partition (m_1, m_2, m_3) of n associated to $E + \mathcal{L}$ is defined to be a triple of non-negative integers (m_1, m_2, m_3) such that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{m_1, m_2, m_3\} \\ &= \{|\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(T_1)|, |\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(T_2)|, |\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(T_3)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above definition, $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n$. The integer m_i need not be equal to $|\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(T_i)|$, the labels may be rearranged. Also, Lemma 1.1 implies that every 3-partition (m_1, m_2, m_3) of n can be obtained as a 3-partition associated to $E + \mathcal{L}$ by choosing $\mathcal{L}_{P_i}^{(k_i, l_i)}$ suitably.

Now, Theorem 1 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = E + \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = E + \mathcal{L}'$$

and

$$(m_1, m_2, m_3), \quad (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3)$$

be the associated 3-partitions of n respectively. If there exists a homeomorphism of pairs $h : (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$, then $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3)$.

Proof. Suppose there exists a homeomorphism of pairs $h : (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{B}_2)$. Then h naturally induces a bijection

$$h_{\natural} : \underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}').$$

Furthermore,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}([P_{i_1}, k_{i_1}, l_{i_1}]) = \Phi_{\mathcal{L}'}([P_{i_2}, k_{i_2}, l_{i_2}])$$

if and only if

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{L}'}(h_{\natural}([P_{i_1}, k_{i_1}, l_{i_1}])) = \Phi_{\mathcal{L}'}(h_{\natural}([P_{i_2}, k_{i_2}, l_{i_2}]))$$

since the splitting number of E with respect to the double cover branched along

$$L_{P_{i_1}, k_{i_1}} + L_{P_{i_1}, l_{i_1}} + L_{P_{i_2}, k_{i_2}} + L_{P_{i_2}, l_{i_2}}$$

and

$$h(L_{P_{i_1}, k_{i_1}} + L_{P_{i_1}, l_{i_1}} + L_{P_{i_2}, k_{i_2}} + L_{P_{i_2}, l_{i_2}})$$

must be equal by Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 2.1. Moreover, h naturally induces a bijection $h_{\flat} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{L}}} & \mathcal{T} \\ h_{\natural} \downarrow & & \downarrow h_{\flat} \\ \underline{\text{Sub}}_{\wedge}(E, \mathcal{L}') & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{L}'}} & \mathcal{T} \end{array}$$

Hence, we have $(m_1, m_2, m_3) = (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3)$. \square

Acknowledgement. The first and second authors are partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (18K03263 and 17K05205), respectively.

References

[1] E. Artal Bartolo, Sur les couples de Zariski, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **3** (1994), no. 2, 223–247.
 [2] E. Artal Bartolo, J. I. Cogolludo and H. Tokunaga, A survey on Zariski pairs, in *Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005*, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 50, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008, pp. 1–100.
 [3] S. Bannai, A note on splitting curves of plane quartics and multi-sections of rational elliptic surfaces, *Topology Appl.* **202** (2016), 428–439.
 [4] S. Bannai, B. Guerville-Ballé, T. Shirane and H. Tokunaga, On the topology of arrangements of a cubic and its inflectional tangents, *Proc.*

Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **93** (2017), no. 6, 50–53.
 [5] S. Bannai and H. Tokunaga, Geometry of bisections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski N -plets for conic arrangements, *Geom. Dedicata* **178** (2015), 219–237, doi:10.1007/s10711-015-0054-z.
 [6] S. Bannai and H. Tokunaga, Geometry of bisections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski N -plets II, *Topology Appl.* **231** (2017), 10–25.
 [7] S. Bannai, H. Tokunaga and M. Yamamoto, Rational points of elliptic surfaces and Zariski N -ples for cubic-line, cubic-conic-line arrangements, arXiv:1710.02691. (to appear in *Hokkaido Math. J.*).
 [8] S. Bannai, H. Tokunaga and M. Yamamoto, A note on the topology of arrangements for a smooth plane quartic and its bitangent lines, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **49** (2019), no. 2, 289–302.
 [9] J. W. S. Cassels, *Lectures on elliptic curves*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
 [10] T. Shirane, A note on splitting numbers for Galois covers and π_1 -equivalent Zariski k -plets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), no. 3, 1009–1017.
 [11] T. Shirane, Connected numbers and the embedded topology of plane curves, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **61** (2018), no. 3, 650–658, doi:10.4153/CMB-2017-066-5.
 [12] J. H. Silverman and J. T. Tate, *Rational points on elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015.
 [13] H. Tokunaga, Sections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski pairs for conic-line arrangements via dihedral covers, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **66** (2014), no. 2, 613–640.
 [14] O. Zariski, On the Problem of Existence of Algebraic Functions of Two Variables Possessing a Given Branch Curve, *Amer. J. Math.* **51** (1929), no. 2, 305–328.