107. A Characterization of Chebyshev Spaces

By Kazuaki KITAHARA

The Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kobe University

(Communicated by Kôsaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1986)

§1. Introduction. Let M be a finite dimensional linear subspace of C[a, b], the space of real valued continuous functions defined on a finite closed interval [a, b]. Then, for a function $f \in C[a, b]$, we are concerned with the approximation problem :

find $\tilde{f} \in M$ to minimize $||f - \tilde{f}||$,

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the uniform norm. The function $\tilde{f} \in M$ is said to be a best approximation to f from M if \tilde{f} is a solution to the above problem. For an *n*-dimensional subspace M, we put the following two subsets of $C[a, b]: U_M = \{f \mid f \text{ possesses a unique best approximation}\}$ and $A_M = \{g \mid \text{the} error function <math>e = g - \tilde{g}$ has an alternating set of (n+1) points in [a, b] for any best approximation \tilde{g} to g; i.e., there exist (n+1) distinct points $a \leq x_1$ $< \cdots < x_{n+1} \leq b$ such that $|e(x_i)| = ||e||$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$ and $e(x_i) \cdot e(x_{i+1}) \leq 0$, $i = 1, \cdots, n\}$.

As is well known, if M is a Chebyshev space (respectively weak Chebyshev space), that is, every nonzero function in M has no more than n-1 zeros (respectively changes of sign) on [a, b], then they are of great use in this problem. Hence various properties and characterizations of these spaces have been obtained. Young [5] showed that if M is a Chebyshev space then U_M is equal to C[a, b]. Further, by the result of Haar [1], a necessary and sufficient condition that M is a Chebyshev space is that U_M coincides with C[a, b].

As a characterization of a weak Chebyshev space, Jones and Karlovitz [2] proved that M is a weak Chebyshev space if and only if U_M is included in A_M . In this paper, as the above result, we shall give a characterization of a Chebyshev space M by using an inclusion relation between U_M and A_M .

§ 2. Definitions and lemmas. In this section, we prepare several lemmas necessary for the proof of the main theorem. First we begin with some definitions.

Definition 1. For a function $f \in C[a, b]$, two zeros x_1, x_2 of f are said to be *separated* if there is an $x_0, x_1 < x_0 < x_2$, such that $f(x_0) \neq 0$.

For an *n*-dimensional subspace M of C[a, b], we define the followings.

Definition 2. (i) We call a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ vanishing with respect to M if $g(x_0)=0$ for any $g \in M$. In case that no confusion arises, the term "with respect to M" will be omitted.

(ii) M is called *vanishing* if there exists at least one vanishing point in [a, b]. Otherwise, it is called *nonvanishing*.

Definition 3. *M* is said to have (*)-property if a function $g \in M - \{0\}$ vanishes identically on a nondegenerate subinterval of [a, b].

Let G be an n-dimensional weak Chebyshev space of C[a, b]. Then we can show the following three lemmas which are of independent interest.

Lemma A (Stockenberg [4]). (i) If there is a $g \in G$ with n separated, nonvanishing zeros $a \leq x_1 < \cdots < x_n \leq b$, then g(x) = 0 for all $x \in [a, x_1] \cup [x_n, b]$.

(ii) No $g \in G$ has more than n separated, nonvanishing zeros.

Lemma B. Suppose that G does not have (*)-property. Suppose also that G contains a strictly positive function and contains two functions $r, s \in G$ such that

$$\detegin{pmatrix} r(a) & r(b) \ s(a) & s(b) \end{pmatrix}
eq 0.$$

Then G is a Chebyshev space.

We denote by $G|_{[c,d]}$ the space obtained by restricting G to a subinterval [c, d] of [a, b].

Lemma C (Sommer [3]). If $a \leq c < d \leq b$, then the space $G|_{[c,d]}$ is a weak Chebyshev space of C[c,d] with dimension less or equal to n.

Remark 1. From Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 in Stockenberg [4], Lemma B follows immediately.

§ 3. Main theorem. Let M be an *n*-dimensional linear subspace of C[a, b]. We give the result due to Jones and Karlovitz [2] again.

Theorem A. *M* is a weak Chebyshev space if and only if $A_M \supset U_M$. Now we can establish the following

Theorem. M is a Chebyshev space if and only if $A_M = U_M \cup L$, where L denotes the set of all real-valued linear functions on [a, b].

Proof. In one direction, this is trivial. Hence it is sufficient to verify that M is a Chebyshev space under the assumption that $A_M = U_M \cup L$.

First we show that M is a weak Chebyshev space containing a strictly positive function. By Theorem A, it is clear that M is weak Chebyshev. Provided that M does not contain a strictly positive function, then one of the best approximations to the constant function $1 \in L$ from M is 0. But this contradicts the assumption. Hence, in case that n=1, M is Chebyshev. In the rest of the proof, we assume $n \ge 2$.

Next we show that M does not have (*)-property. Suppose that there exists a function $f \in M - \{0\}$ vanishing identically on a nondegenerate subinterval [c, d] of [a, b], where $a \leq c < d \leq b$. Then it follows from the fact that M contains a strictly positive function and Lemma C that $M_1 = M|_{[c,d]}$ obtained by restricting M to a subinterval [c, d] is a nonvanishing weak Chebyshev space such that dim $M|_{[c,d]} < n$. In case that M_1 has (*)-property, we can also consider a nonvanishing weak Chebyshev space $M_1|_{[\alpha,\beta]}$ obtained by the same way with respect to M_1 , where $c \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d$ and dim $M_1|_{[\alpha,\beta]} < \dim M_1$. Since M contains a strictly positive function, by continuing the above procedure at most n-1 times, we consequently obtain a nonvanishing weak Chebyshev space $M|_{[r,\delta]}$ without (*)-property, where $c \leq r < \delta \leq d$ and $m = \dim M|_{[r,\delta]} < n$. Now we consider a function f_0 , which is satisfied with the following conditions:

(i) $f_0(x) = 0$ for $x \in [a, \gamma] \cup [\delta, b]$.

(ii) There are 2(n+m+2) points $\gamma < z_1 < \cdots < z_{2(n+m+2)} < \delta$ of (γ, δ) such that $|f_0(z_i)| = ||f_0|| > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2(n+m+2)$ and $f_0(z_i) \cdot f_0(z_{i+1}) < 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2n+2m+3$. Since M is assumed to have (*)-property, there is such a function $h^* \in M - \{0\}$ that $||h^*|| < ||f_0||$ and $h^*(x) = 0$ for $x \in [c, d]$. Then each function $\lambda \cdot h^*$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, is a best approximation to f_0 from M because M is weak Chebyshev and the error function $f_0 - \lambda \cdot h^*$ has an alternating set of (n+1)-points in [a, b]. On the other hand, since $M|_{[r,\delta]}$ is a nonvanishing weak Chebyshev space without (*)-property, by Lemma A, we can see that each function $f \in M|_{[r,\delta]} - \{0\}$ has at most m zeros in $[\gamma, \delta]$. Providing that there exists a best approximation to f_0 from M which has an alternating set of at most n-points in $[\gamma, \delta]$, then it has at least (m+1) zeros in $[\gamma, \delta]$. This leads to a contradiction. Eventually, by these facts, we conclude that f_0 is contained in A_M but not in $U_M \cup L$, which is the contrary to the assumption.

Finally we show that *M* contains two functions $r, s \in M$ such that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} r(a) & r(b) \\ s(a) & s(b) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Let r be a strictly positive function whose existence is guaranteed in the first half of this proof. As a function s, we choose a best approximation to the linear function l(x) = -x+1/2. Noting that l-s has an alternating set of at least 3-points in [a, b], it holds that $s(a) \cdot s(b) < 0$, because s can not be a best approximation to l in the other cases. Thus we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} r(a) & r(b) \\ s(a) & s(b) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Consequently, from Lemma B, it follows that M is a Chebyshev space.

Corollary. Let G be an n-dimensional nonvanishing weak Chebyshev space of C[a, b]. Then G has (*)-property if and only if $A_a \supseteq U_a$.

Proof. First suppose that G has (*)-property. By using the proof of Theorem, we easily observe that $A_g \supseteq U_g$.

Next suppose that any nonzero function in G has at most n zeros, because, by Lemma A, this is equivalent to the fact that G does not have (*)-property. For any function $g \in A_{\sigma}$, let r, s be best approximations to g from G. Since the function (r+s)/2 is also a best approximation to g, g-(r+s)/2 has an alternating set of (n+1) points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ in [a, b]. Hence, for these points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - (r+s)/2\| &= |g(z_i) - (r(z_i) + s(z_i))/2| \\ &\leq (1/2) \cdot \{|g(z_i) - r(z_i)| + |g(z_i) - s(z_i)|\} \\ &i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1. \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$g(z_i) - r(z_i) = g(z_i) - s(z_i)$$
 $i=1, 2, \dots, n+1.$

Thus r-s has at least (n+1) zeros, which leads to the fact that r is identical with s on [a, b]. Hence we have $A_g = U_g$. It completes the proof.

Remark 2. (1) An important example fitting the condition in Corollary is given by a polynomial spline function space with fixed knots, and some examples which are not Chebyshev spaces without (*)-property are shown in Stockenberg [4].

(2) The assertion in Corollary does not always hold under the assumption having finite vanishing points instead of no vanishing points with respect to the space G. For instance, on $C[0, \pi]$, let us consider the space $G = \{\lambda \cdot \sin x \mid \lambda \in R\}$. Clearly G is a weak Chebyshev space which does not have (*)-property but 2 vanishing points in $[0, \pi]$. Then the best approximation to the linear function $f(x) = -2x + \pi$ is not unique and any best approximation to it has an alternating set of 2 points, 0 and π . Thus we obtain $A_G \supseteq U_G$. Moreover, generalizing this example, we can easily show that $A_G \supseteq U_G$ for every *n*-dimensional weak Chebyshev space G with more than (n+1) vanishing points, which consists of continuously differentiable functions.

References

- A. Haar: Die Minkowskische Geometrie und die Annäherung an stetige Funktionen. Math. Ann., 78, 294-311 (1918).
- [2] R. C. Jones and L. A. Karlovitz: Equioscillation under nonuniqueness in the approximation of continuous functions. J. Approx. Theory, 3, 138-145 (1970).
- [3] M. Sommer: Weak Chebyshev spaces and best L_1 -approximation. ibid., 39, 54-71 (1983).
- [4] B. Stockenberg: On the number of zeros of functions in a weak Tchebyshev-space. Math. Z., 156, 49-57 (1977).
- [5] J. W. Young: General theory of approximation by functions involving a given number of arbitrary parameters. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, 331-344 (1907).