

## 88. A Remark concerning Probabilities on Inheritance in Consanguineous Families

By Yūsaku KOMATU and Han NISHIMIYA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology

(Comm. by T. FURUHATA, M.J.A., June 13, 1955)

In a series of papers<sup>1)</sup> we have systematically derived formulas for probabilities of various combinations of consanguineous genotypes.

Having commenced with simple lineal combination, we first have shown that the reduced probability of mother- $n$ th descendant combination is given by<sup>2)</sup>

$$\kappa_n(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta) = \bar{A}_{\xi_\eta} + 2^{-n+1}Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta),$$

and then that the reduced probability of parents- $n$ th descendant combination is given by<sup>3)</sup>

$$\epsilon_n(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta) = \bar{A}_{\xi_\eta} + 2^{-n+1}E(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta).$$

The values of the quantities  $Q$  and  $E$  are also fully set out there.

Comparison of these listed tables shows now that there holds a very remarkable relation

$$E(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta) = Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta) + Q(\gamma\delta; \xi_\eta).$$

It is to be regretted that we have overlooked this important fact. If it had been perceived at that time, several relations concerning  $E$  would have been much simply established.

Especially, the notation  $C(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta)$  introduced<sup>4)</sup> for expressing the probability of ancestor-parent-descendant combination would then become superfluous, since it is dependent. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta) &= 2 \sum_{a \leq b} C_0(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; ab) \kappa(ab; \xi_\eta) \\ &= 2 \sum_{a \leq b} C_0(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; ab) Q(ab; \xi_\eta) \\ &= 4 \sum_{\substack{c \leq d; a \leq b}} Q(\alpha\beta; cd) \epsilon(cd, \gamma\delta; ab) Q(ab; \xi_\eta) \\ &= 2 \sum_{c \leq d} Q(\alpha\beta; cd) E(cd, \gamma\delta; \xi_\eta) \\ &= 2 \sum_{c \leq d} Q(\alpha\beta; cd) \{Q(cd; \xi_\eta) + Q(\gamma\delta; \xi_\eta)\} \\ &= Q(\alpha\beta; \xi_\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the probability of ancestors-descendant combination

1) Y. Komatu and H. Nishimiya, Probabilities on inheritance in consanguineous families. I–XIII. Proc. Japan Acad. **30** (1954), 42–45; 46–48; 49–52; 148–151; 152–155; 236–240; 241–244; 245–247; 636–640; 641–649; 650–654; **31** (1955), 186–189; 190–194.

2) Cf. I, p. 43.

3) Cf. I, p. 44.

4) Cf. IV, p. 149.

$\epsilon_{\mu\nu;n}(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta)$ , the distinction in the formulas according to  $\mu=\nu=0$ ,  $\mu>0=\nu$  (or  $\mu=0<\nu$ ), and  $\mu, \nu>0$  is really unnecessary provided  $n>1$ . Three formulas<sup>5)</sup> may be unified, in general, into a single formula

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu;n}(\alpha\beta, \gamma\delta; \xi\eta) = \bar{A}_{\xi\eta} + 2^{-n+1} \{2^{-\mu} Q(\alpha\beta; \xi\eta) + 2^{-\nu} Q(\gamma\delta; \xi\eta)\}$$

valid for any  $\mu, \nu \geq 0$  provided  $n > 1$ .

Based on the identity just mentioned, several relations would then be accordingly more readily deducible.

---

5) Cf. I, p. 44; IV, p. 149; IV, p. 150.