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In the present note we shall show some relations between cycles and multiple integrals which are similar to the known relations between divisors and simple integrals on abelian varieties.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an abelian variety of dimension $n$ defined by a period matrix

$$
\Omega=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\omega_{11}, \ldots, & \omega_{12 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\omega_{n 1}, \ldots, & \omega_{n 2 n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Z}_{2 n}$ the cycles of dimension are on $\mathbf{A}$ induced by vectors ${ }^{t}\left(\omega_{11}, \ldots, \omega_{n 1}\right), \ldots,{ }^{t}\left(\omega_{12 n}, \ldots, \omega_{n 2 n}\right)$ respectively. We denote by $\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}$ the cycles of dimension $r$ on $\mathbf{A}$ induced by parallelotopes of dimension $r$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\omega_{1 i_{1}} & \cdots & \omega_{1 i_{r}} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\omega_{n i_{1}} & \ldots & \omega_{n i_{r}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively. Denoting by $d z_{1}, \ldots, d z_{n}$ the differentials of the first kind associated with the period systems $\left(\omega_{11}, \ldots, \omega_{12 n}\right), \ldots,\left(\omega_{n 1}, \ldots, \omega_{n 2 n}\right)$ respectively, we mean by $\Omega^{(p, q)}$ the period matrix of $r$-ple differentials
$\mathrm{dz}_{j_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{j_{p}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}_{k_{1}}} \ldots \mathrm{~d}_{\bar{z}_{q}}$ whose type ( $p, q$ ) satisfies $p-q=r$ mod. 4 with the period cycles $\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{r}}$, where $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{p}, k_{1}<\cdots<k_{q}$, $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}$.

We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{dz}_{j_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{j_{p}} \mathrm{dz}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{k_{q}}\right)^{\dagger}=\varepsilon_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}}^{1 \ldots \ldots} \varepsilon_{k_{1} \ldots k_{n}}^{1 \cdots n} \mathrm{dz}_{k_{q+1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{k_{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{j_{p+1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{j_{n}} \\
& Z_{i_{1}}{ }^{\dagger} \ldots i_{r}=\varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{2 n}}^{1 \ldots 2 n} Z_{i_{r+1} \ldots i_{2 n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that the orders of suffixes of matrix elements in $\Omega^{(p, q)}, \Omega^{(n-q, n-p)}$ are chosen in such a way that
$\mathrm{dz}_{j_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{j_{p}} \mathrm{~d}_{\bar{k}_{1_{1}}} \ldots \mathrm{~d}_{k_{k_{q}}}, \mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}$ corresponds to
$\left(\mathrm{dz}{j_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} z_{j_{p}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{q}}\right)^{\dagger}, \mathrm{Z}_{i_{1}}{ }^{\dagger} \ldots i_{r} \quad$ respectively.
We denote by $\sigma$ the homomorphism from $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$ onto $\mathbf{A}$ such that $\sigma(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$ and denote by $\sigma^{*}$ its dual mapping. We mean by $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y})$ the Kronecker index of $\mathrm{X} \cdot \mathrm{Y}$.

Lemma 1. Let C be a cycle of dimension $2(n-r)$. Then

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Putting

$$
\mathbf{C}=\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<2_{n} n-2 r} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \cdots l_{2 n-2 r}} \mathbf{Z}_{l_{1} \cdots l_{2 n-2 r}}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma\left(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)=\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{n-2 r}} \sigma\left(\mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \mathbf{Z}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-S r}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{2 n-2 r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \varepsilon_{l_{1} \ldots 2_{2 n-2 r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}^{n_{1} \ldots n_{2 n-r}} \mathbf{Z}_{h_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I\left(Z_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{C} \times Z_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)\right)=I\left(\sum_{l_{1}<\ldots<l_{\Sigma n-\Omega r}} a_{l_{1} \ldots l_{\Sigma n-\Omega r}} \varepsilon_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}^{h_{1} \ldots Z_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{r}} Z_{h_{1} \ldots h-r} \ldots\left(h_{2 n-r}\right)\right. \\
& =\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{2 n-2 r}} a_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \varepsilon_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}^{h_{1} \ldots h_{2 n-r}} \varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r} h_{1} \ldots h_{2 n-r}}^{I_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{2 n-2 r}} \boldsymbol{a}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r} l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{2 n-3 r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \mathbf{Z}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}^{m_{1} \ldots j_{1} \ldots j_{r}} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{1} \cdots m_{2 r} r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{c_{1}<\cdots<2_{2}-2 r} a_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} r_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}^{m_{1} \ldots m_{2}} \varepsilon_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}}^{1 \ldots m_{1} \ldots m_{2 r}} \\
& =\sum_{l_{1}<\cdots<l_{2 n-2 r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{2 n-2 r}} \varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots l_{r}}^{1 \ldots l_{1}} l_{1} \ldots 2_{2 n-2 r} j_{1} \cdots j_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves our Lemma.
Theorem 1. Let C be a cycle of type $(n-r, n-r)$ on $\mathbf{A}$. Then there exist matrices $\Lambda_{0}(\mathrm{C}), \Lambda_{1}(\mathrm{C}), \ldots, \Lambda_{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}(\mathrm{C})$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\Lambda_{0}(\mathrm{C}) & & \\
& \Lambda_{1}(\mathrm{C}) & \\
& \ddots & \\
& \Lambda_{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\Omega_{\Omega^{(r, 0)}}^{(r--2,2)} \\
\vdots \\
\left.\Omega_{\left(r-2\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]\right.}, 2\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Moreover $\Lambda_{0}(\mathrm{C})=0$ implies $\Lambda_{1}(\mathrm{C})=\cdots=\Lambda_{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}(\mathrm{C})=0$. If C is not of type $(n-r, n-r)$, then there exists no such a matrix $\Lambda_{2}(\mathrm{C})$.

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega^{(n-2 \nu, n-r+2 \nu)}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1} \cdots j_{r}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathrm{z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}^{\dagger}} \mathrm{dz}_{l_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{{l_{n-2}}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{n-r+2 \nu}}\right)\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1}, i_{r}} \cdot \sigma\left(\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{h_{1} \cdots h_{r}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{\sigma\left(\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{z}_{\left.j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right)}\right.}^{\mathrm{dz}_{l_{1}}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{z}_{l_{n-2 \nu}} \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{n-r}}{ }^{2 \nu}\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}} \sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{dz}_{{l_{1}}_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{z}_{l_{n-2 \nu}} \mathrm{~d}_{\bar{z}_{k_{1}}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{n-r+2 \nu}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\int_{\mathrm{c} \times \mathrm{z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}}\left(\mathrm{du}_{l_{1}}+\mathrm{dv}_{l_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{du}_{l_{n-2 v}}+\mathrm{dv}_{l_{n-2 v}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(d \bar{u}_{k_{1}}+d \bar{v}_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(d \bar{u}_{k_{n-r+2 \nu}}+d \bar{v}_{k_{n-r+2 \nu}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\mathrm{du}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{du}_{n}\right\},\left\{\mathrm{dv}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{dv}_{n}\right\}$ are the systems of the differentials on the first and the second component of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$ corresponding to $\left\{\mathrm{dz}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{dz}_{n}\right\}$ on $\mathbf{A}$.

Since C is of type ( $n-r, n-r$ ),

$$
\int_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{du}_{l_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{du}_{l_{n-r \pm s}} d \bar{u}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \bar{u}_{k_{n-r}}=\mathrm{s}=0 .
$$

Putting

$$
b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{n-r}, s_{1} \cdots j_{n-r}}=\int_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{du}_{i_{1}} \ldots d u_{i_{n-r}} d \bar{u}_{j_{1}} \ldots d \bar{u}_{j_{n-r}},
$$

we get

This shows that

$$
\Omega^{(n-2 \nu, n-r+2 \nu)}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C} \sigma\left(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)\right)\right)=\Lambda_{\nu}(\mathrm{C}) \Omega^{(r-2 \nu \nu, 2 \nu)}
$$

with a matrix $\Lambda_{\nu}(\mathrm{C})$ whose elements are $\mathrm{b}_{l_{1} \cdots l_{n-r}, k_{1} \cdots k_{n-r}}$ or zero. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d u_{1}+d v_{1}\right) \cdots\left(d u_{n}+d v_{n}\right)\left(d \bar{u}_{k_{1}}+d \bar{v}_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(d \overline{\mathrm{u}}_{k_{n-r}}+d \bar{v}_{k_{n-r}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left\{a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right\} \cdots(1 \ldots n\}} \pm b_{x_{1} \ldots s_{n-r}, k_{1} \ldots k_{n-r}} \int_{z_{j_{1}} \ldots \xi_{r}} \operatorname{dv}_{a_{n-r}} \ldots \mathrm{dv}_{a_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence all $\mathrm{b}_{l_{1} \ldots l_{n-r}, k_{1} \ldots k_{n-r}}$ appear in $\Lambda_{0}(\mathrm{C})$. This shows that $\Lambda_{0}(\mathrm{C})=0$ implies $\Lambda_{1}(\mathrm{C})=\cdots=\Lambda_{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}(\mathrm{C})=0$ : If C is not of type $(n-r, n-r)$, then there exists a differential
$\mathrm{d}{\overline{l_{1}}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{z}_{k_{n-r+s}} \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{k_{n-r-s}}$ with a non-zero period on C.
On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathrm{c} \times \mathrm{z}_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}}\left(\mathrm{du} \mathbf{u}_{j_{r}}+d \mathrm{v}_{1}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{du}_{n}+\mathrm{dv}\right)\left(d \overline{\mathrm{u}}_{k_{1}}+\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{v}}_{k_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{u}}_{k_{n-r}}+\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{v}}_{k_{n-r}}\right) \\
& = \pm \int_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{du}_{l_{1}} \ldots d u_{l_{n-r+s}} d \bar{u}_{k_{1}} \ldots d \bar{u}_{k_{n-r-s}} \\
& \int_{z_{j_{1}} \ldots v_{r}} \mathrm{dv}_{l_{n-r+s+1}} \ldots \mathrm{dv}_{l_{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\bar{v}_{n_{n}-r-s+1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{v}}_{k_{n-r}} \pm \ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

The type of $\mathrm{dv}_{l_{n-r+s+1}} \ldots \mathrm{dv}_{l_{n}} \mathrm{D}_{\bar{v}_{n-r-s+1}} \ldots \mathrm{dv}_{k_{n-r}}$ is $(r-s, s)$.
This proves the last assertion.
Lemma 2. Let $P$ be a principal matrix of $\Omega$ and let $\mathbf{P}^{(r)}$ be the $r$-th compound matrix of P . Then there exists a matrix B such that $\mathrm{B} \Omega^{(r, 0)}=\Omega^{(n, n-r)} \mathrm{P}^{(r)}$.
Proof. Since $P$ is a principal matrix of $\Omega$, there exists a nonsingular matrix $B_{1}$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right) \mathrm{P}^{-1}\left(\frac{\bar{\Omega}}{\Omega}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{B}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \bar{B}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

On the other hand

$$
\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right)^{t} \overline{\left(\frac{\Omega^{(n, n-1)}}{\Omega^{(n, n-1)}}\right)}=\left|\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right)\right| \mathrm{E} .
$$

Hence we get

$$
\left(\frac{\Omega^{(10)}}{\Omega^{(10)}}\right) \mathrm{P}^{-1}\left(\frac{\Omega^{(n, n-1)}}{\Omega^{(n, n-1)}}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{B}_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Taking $r$-th compound of the both sides, we get

$$
\Omega^{(r, 0)} \mathrm{P}^{(r)-1}=\mathrm{B}_{1}^{(r)} \Omega^{(n, n-r)} .
$$

From Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 we have
Theorem 2. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an abelian variety of dimension $n$ defined by a period matrix $\Omega$. Let P be principal matrix of $\Omega$ and let $\mathrm{P}^{(r)}$ be the $r$-th compound matrix of $P$. Then the module of homology classes of cycles of type ( $n-r, n-r$ ) is isomorphic with the module of all rational matrices $M$ satisfying

1) $\Omega^{(r, 0)} \mathrm{M}=\Lambda \Omega^{(r, 0)}$ with a matrix $\Lambda$,
2) $\mathrm{P}^{(r)} \mathrm{M}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{i_{1} \ldots t_{r}, j_{1} \ldots j_{r}}\right)$ is integral,
3) $\mathrm{b}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}, j_{1} \ldots j_{r}} i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}, j_{1}<\cdots<j_{r}$ are skew symmetric on $\left\{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{r} j_{1} \ldots j_{r}\right\}$.
