## 73. Cycles and Multiple Integrals on Abelian Varieties

By Hisasi MORIKAWA

Department of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Japan (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., June 13, 1955)

In the present note we shall show some relations between cycles and multiple integrals which are similar to the known relations between divisors and simple integrals on abelian varieties.

Let A be an abelian variety of dimension n defined by a period matrix

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{11}, \ldots, \omega_{12n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \omega_{n1}, \ldots, \omega_{n2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{2n}$  the cycles of dimension are on A induced by vectors  ${}^t(\omega_{11}, \ldots, \omega_{n1}), \ldots, {}^t(\omega_{12n}, \ldots, \omega_{n2n})$  respectively. We denote by  $Z_{i_1 \ldots i_r}$   $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$  the cycles of dimension r on A induced by parallelotopes of dimension r

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1i_1} \dots \omega_{1i_r} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \omega_{ni_1} \dots \omega_{ni_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. Denoting by  $dz_1, \ldots, dz_n$  the differentials of the first kind associated with the period systems  $(\omega_{11}, \ldots, \omega_{12n}), \ldots, (\omega_{n1}, \ldots, \omega_{n2n})$  respectively, we mean by  $\Omega^{(p,p)}$  the period matrix of *r*-ple differentials

 $\mathrm{dz}_{j_1} \ldots \mathrm{dz}_{j_p} \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_1} \ldots \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_q}$  whose type (p, q) satisfies  $p-q=r \mod 4$  with the period cycles  $Z_{i_1 \ldots i_p}$ , where  $j_1 < \cdots < j_p$ ,  $k_1 < \cdots < k_q$ ,  $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$ .

We put

$$(\mathbf{dz}_{j_1}\dots\mathbf{dz}_{j_p}\mathbf{dz}_{k_1}\dots\mathbf{dz}_{k_q})^{\dagger} = \varepsilon_{j_1\dots j_n}^{1\dots n} \varepsilon_{k_1\dots k_n}^{1\dots n} \mathbf{dz}_{k_{2+1}}\dots\mathbf{dz}_{k_n} \mathbf{d}\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{j_{p+1}}\dots\mathbf{d}\bar{\mathbf{z}}_{j_n}$$
$$\mathbf{Z}_{i_1}^{\dagger}\dots_{i_r} = \varepsilon_{i_1\dots i_{2n}}^{1\dots 2n} \mathbf{Z}_{i_{r+1}\dots i_{2n}}.$$

We assume that the orders of suffixes of matrix elements in  $\Omega^{(p,q)}$ ,  $\Omega^{(n-q,n-p)}$  are chosen in such a way that

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{z}_{j_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \mathbf{z}_{j_p} \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_q}, \ \mathbf{Z}_{i_1 \dots i_r} \quad \text{corresponds to} \\ (\mathrm{d} \mathbf{z}_{j_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \mathbf{z}_{j_p} \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{k_q})^{\dagger}, \ \mathbf{Z}_{i_1}^{\dagger}^{\dots i_r} \quad \text{respectively.} \end{array}$ 

We denote by  $\sigma$  the homomorphism from  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\sigma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  and denote by  $\sigma^*$  its dual mapping. We mean by  $I(\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y})$  the Kronecker index of  $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$ .

Lemma 1. Let C be a cycle of dimension 2(n-r). Then  $I(Z_{i_1...i_r} \cdot \sigma(C \times Z_{j_1...j_r})) = I(C \cdot \sigma(Z_{i_1...i_r} \times Z_{j_1...j_r})).$ Proof. Putting  $C = \sum a_{l_1...l_{2n-2r}} Z_{l_1...l_{2n-2r}},$ 

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_{l_1 < \cdots < l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_1 \cdots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{Z}_{l_1 \cdots l_{2n-2r}}$$

we get

H. MORIKAWA

[Vol. 31,

$$\sigma(\mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{Z}_{j_1\dots j_r}) = \sum_{\substack{l_1 < \dots < l_{2n-2r} \\ l_1 < \dots < l_{2n-2r}}} \sigma(\mathbf{a}_{l_1\dots l_{2n-2r}}\mathbf{Z}_{l_1\dots l_{2n-2r}}\times\mathbf{Z}_{j_1\dots j_r})$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{l_1 < \dots < l_{2n-2r} \\ l_1 < \dots < l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{a}_{l_1\dots l_{2n-2r}} \varepsilon_{l_1\dots l_{2n-2r}}^{h_1\dots h_{2n-r}} \mathbf{Z}_{h_1\dots h_{2n-r}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{Z}_{i_{1}...i_{r}}\cdot\sigma(\mathbf{C}\times\mathbf{Z}_{j_{1}...j_{r}})) = & \mathbf{I}(\sum_{l_{1}<...< l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{h_{1}...h_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{r}}^{l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{Z}_{i_{1}...i_{r}} \mathbf{Z}_{i_{1}...i_{r}} \mathbf{Z}_{h_{1}...h_{2n-r}}) \\ = & \sum_{l_{1}<...< l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{h_{1}...h_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{j_{1}...j_{r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{l_{1}...h_{2n-r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{h_{1}...h_{2n-r}}) \\ = & \sum_{l_{1}<...< l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...n} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-2r}}^{1...l_{2n-2r}}} \mathbf{z}_{l_{1}...l_{2n-$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{C} \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{Z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}} \times \mathbf{Z}_{j_{1} \dots j_{r}})) = & \mathbf{I}(\sum_{l_{1} < \dots < l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{Z}_{l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}, j_{1} \dots j_{r}} \mathbf{Z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}, j_{1} \dots j_{r}} \mathbf{Z}_{m_{1} \dots m_{2r}}) \\ = & \sum_{l_{1} < \dots < l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}, j_{1} \dots j_{r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{2n-2r}, m_{1} \dots m_{2r}} \\ = & \sum_{l_{1} < \dots < l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}, j_{1} \dots j_{r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{2n-2r}, m_{1} \dots m_{2r}} \\ = & \sum_{l_{1} < \dots < l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{a}_{l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}} \mathbf{z}_{i_{1} \dots i_{r}, i_{r}, l_{1} \dots l_{2n-2r}, j_{1} \dots j_{r}}. \end{split}$$

This proves our Lemma.

**Theorem 1.** Let C be a cycle of type (n-r, n-r) on A. Then there exist matrices  $\Lambda_0(C)$ ,  $\Lambda_1(C)$ , ...,  $\Lambda_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}(C)$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_0(\mathbf{C}) \\ \Lambda_1(\mathbf{C}) \\ \ddots \\ \Lambda_{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega^{(r,0)} \\ \Omega^{(r-2,2)} \\ \vdots \\ \Omega(r^{-2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}, \mathbf{2}\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Omega^{(n,n-r)}}{\Omega^{(n-2,n-r+2)}} \\ \vdots \\ \Omega(n^{-2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}, n^{-r+2\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}) \end{pmatrix} \Big( \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{C} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{Z}_{i_1 \cdots i_r} \times \mathbf{Z}_{j_1 \cdots j_r})) \Big).$$

Moreover  $\Lambda_0(C) = 0$  implies  $\Lambda_1(C) = \cdots = \Lambda_{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]}(C) = 0$ . If C is not of type (n-r, n-r), then there exists no such a matrix  $\Lambda_2(C)$ . **Proof.** 

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \text{root.} \\ & \Omega^{(n-2\nu,n-r+2\nu)}(\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \sigma(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1}\ldots i_{r}} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}}))) \\ &= \left( \int_{z_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} z_{i_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} z_{i_{n-2\nu}} \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}} \right) \left( \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{Z}_{i_{1}\ldots i_{r}} \cdot \sigma(\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{h_{1}\ldots h_{r}}))) \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\sigma(\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}})}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} z_{i_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} z_{i_{n-2\nu}} \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}} \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}}}^{\sigma^{*}} (\mathrm{d} z_{i_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} z_{i_{n-2\nu}} \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{1}}\ldots \mathrm{d} \overline{z}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}}) \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}}}^{\mathrm{C}} (\mathrm{d} u_{i_{1}} + \mathrm{d} v_{i_{1}}) \cdots (\mathrm{d} u_{i_{n-2\nu}} + \mathrm{d} v_{i_{n-2\nu}}) \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{Z}_{j_{1}\ldots j_{r}}}^{\mathrm{C}} (\mathrm{d} \overline{u}_{i_{1}} + \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{i_{1}}) \cdots (\mathrm{d} \overline{u}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}} + \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{du_1, \ldots, du_n\}$ ,  $\{dv_1, \ldots, dv_n\}$  are the systems of the differentials on the first and the second component of  $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$  corresponding to  $\{dz_1, \ldots, dz_n\}$  on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

318

Since C is of type (n-r, n-r),

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{u}_{l_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \mathbf{u}_{l_{n-r\pm s}} \mathrm{d} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_1} \dots \mathrm{d} \overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_{n-r\mp s}} = 0$$

Putting

No. 6]

$$\mathbf{b}_{i_1\cdots i_{n-r},\,j_1\cdots j_{n-r}} = \int_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}_{i_1}\cdots \mathbf{d}\mathbf{u}_{i_{n-r}} \mathbf{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{j_1}\cdots \mathbf{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{j_{n-r}},$$

we get

$$\int_{C\times Z_{j_{1}\cdots j_{r}}} (\mathrm{d} u_{l_{1}} + \mathrm{d} v_{l_{1}}) \cdots (\mathrm{d} u_{l_{n-2\nu}} + \mathrm{d} v_{l_{n-2\nu}}) (\mathrm{d} \overline{u}_{k_{1}} + \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{k_{1}}) \cdots (\mathrm{d} \overline{u}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}} + \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{k_{n-r+2\nu}})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\{a_{1}\cdots a_{2n-2\nu}\}=\{l_{1}\cdots l_{2n-2\nu}\}\\ \{\beta_{1}\cdots \beta_{2n-2\nu}\}=\{l_{1}\cdots l_{2n-2\nu}\}}} \pm b_{a_{1}\cdots a_{n-r},\beta_{1}\cdots \beta_{n-r}} \int_{Z_{j_{1}\cdots j_{r}}} \mathrm{d} v_{a_{n-r+1}} \cdots \mathrm{d} v_{a_{n-2\nu}} \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{\beta_{n-r+1}} \cdots \mathrm{d} \overline{v}_{\beta_{n-r+2\nu}}.$$

This shows that

$$\Omega^{(n-2\nu,n-r+2\nu)}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{C}\sigma(\mathbf{Z}_{i_1\cdots i_r}\times\mathbf{Z}_{j_1\cdots j_r})))=\Lambda_{\nu}(\mathbf{C})\,\Omega^{(r-2\nu,2\nu)}$$

with a matrix  $\Lambda_\nu(C)$  whose elements are  $b_{i_1\cdots i_{n-r},\,k_1\cdots k_{n-r}}$  or zero. On the other hand

$$(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_1) \cdots (\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_n + \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_n) (\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_1} + \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_1}) \cdots (\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_{n-r}} + \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r}})$$
$$= \sum_{\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}=\{1,\ldots,n\}} \pm b_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{n-r},\ k_1\ldots,k_{n-r}} \int_{Z_{j_1,\ldots,j_r}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{n-r+1}} \cdots \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_{\alpha_n}.$$

Hence all  $b_{l_1...l_{n-r}, k_1...k_{n-r}}$  appear in  $\Lambda_0(C)$ . This shows that  $\Lambda_0(C)=0$  implies  $\Lambda_1(C)=\cdots=\Lambda_{\left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor}(C)=0$ : If C is not of type (n-r, n-r), then there exists a differential

 ${\rm d} z_{i_1}\ldots {\rm d} z_{i_{n-r+s}} {\rm d} \bar z_{k_1}\ldots {\rm d} \bar z_{k_{n-r-s}}$  with a non-zero period on C. On the other hand

$$\int_{C\times Z_{j_1\dots j_r}} (\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_1)\cdots(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_n + \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_n)(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_1} + \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_1})\cdots(\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_{n-r}} + \mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r}})$$

$$= \pm \int_{C} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_{l_1}\cdots\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_{l_{n-r+s}}\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_1}\cdots\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{u}}_{k_{n-r-s}}$$

$$\int_{Z_{j_1\dots j_r}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_{l_{n-r+s+1}}\cdots\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}_{l_n}\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r-s+1}}\cdots\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r}} \pm \cdots$$
where of dy,  $d\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r}}$  is  $(r-s, s)$ .

The type of  $\mathrm{dv}_{\iota_{n-r+s+1}}\ldots\mathrm{dv}_{\iota_n}\mathrm{d}\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{k_{n-r-s+1}}\ldots\mathrm{dv}_{k_{n-r}}$  is (r-s,s).

This proves the last assertion.

Lemma 2. Let P be a principal matrix of  $\Omega$  and let  $P^{(r)}$  be the *r*-th compound matrix of P. Then there exists a matrix B such that  $B \Omega^{(r,0)} = \Omega^{(m,n-r)} P^{(r)}.$ 

**Proof.** Since P is a principal matrix of  $\Omega$ , there exists a nonsingular matrix  $B_1$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right)\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(\frac{\overline{\Omega}}{\Omega}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B}_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{1} \end{array}\right).$$

On the other hand

$$\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right)^{t}\left(\frac{\overline{\Omega^{(n,n-1)}}}{\Omega^{(n,n-1)}}\right) = \left|\left(\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}\right)\right| \mathbf{E}.$$

$$\left(\frac{\Omega^{(10)}}{\Omega^{(10)}}\right)\mathbf{P}^{-1}\left(\frac{\Omega^{(n,n-1)}}{\Omega^{(n,n-1)}}\right)^{-1} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_1}{\mathbf{0}}\frac{\mathbf{0}}{\mathbf{B}_1}\right).$$

Taking r-th compound of the both sides, we get

$$\Omega^{(r,0)}\mathbf{P}^{(r)-1} = \mathbf{B}_{1}^{(r)} \Omega^{(n,n-r)}.$$

From Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 we have

**Theorem 2.** Let A be an abelian variety of dimension n defined by a period matrix  $\Omega$ . Let P be principal matrix of  $\Omega$  and let  $P^{(r)}$ be the *r*-th compound matrix of P. Then the module of homology classes of cycles of type (n-r, n-r) is isomorphic with the module of all rational matrices M satisfying

1)  $\Omega^{(r,0)}M = \Lambda \Omega^{(r,0)}$  with a matrix  $\Lambda$ ,

2)  $\mathbf{P}^{(r)}\mathbf{M} = (\mathbf{b}_{i_1 \dots i_r, j_1 \dots j_r})$  is integral,

3)  $\mathbf{b}_{i_1 \dots i_r, j_1 \dots j_r}$   $i_1 < \dots < i_r, j_1 < \dots < j_r$  are skew symmetric on  $\{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r, j_1 \dots j_r\}$ .

320