

6. On the Convergence Character of Fourier Series. II

By Masakiti KINUKAWA

Natural Science Division, International Christian University, Tokyo

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1956)

1. Let $f(x)$ be an integrable function with period 2π and $s_n(x)$ be the n th partial sum of its Fourier series. S. Izumi¹⁾ has proved the following

Theorem I. *If $f(x)$ belongs to the Lip α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) class, then the series*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |s_n(x) - f(x)|^2 / n^\beta (\log n)^\gamma$$

converges uniformly, where $\beta = 1 - 2\alpha$ and $\gamma > 1$ or > 2 , according as $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ or $1/2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

In a previous paper,²⁾ we have shown that Theorem I is still valid even if the restriction $\gamma > 2$ is replaced by $\gamma > 1$ for $\alpha = 1/2$. The object of this paper is to show that the restriction $\gamma > 2$ in Theorem I may be replaced by $\gamma > 1$ for $\alpha \geq 1/2$. In fact we prove

Theorem 1. *Let $1 \geq \alpha > 0$ and $k > 0$. If $f(x)$ belongs to the Lip α class, then the series*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|s_n(x) - f(x)|^k}{n^\delta (\log n)^\gamma}$$

converges uniformly, where $\delta = 1 - k\alpha$ and $\gamma > 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.³⁾ we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) \sin(n+1/2)t / \{2 \sin t/2\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) p(t) \sin nt dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi_x(t) \cos nt dt, \\ &= P_n(x) + Q_n(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_x(t) = \varphi(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)$ and $p(t) = \cos t/2 / \{2 \sin t/2\}$.

We may take a number p' such that $p' \geq 2$, $p' \geq k$ and $p' > 1/\alpha$ for given α and k .

By the Hausdorff-Young inequality, we get⁴⁾

1) S. Izumi: Some trigonometrical series. III, Proc. Japan Acad., **31**, 257-260 (1955).

2) M. Kinukawa: On the convergence character of Fourier series, Proc. Japan Acad., **31**, 513-516 (1955).

3) M. Kinukawa: Some strong summability of Fourier series (to appear).

4) A denotes an absolute constant, which may be different in each occurrence, and p' denotes the conjugate number of p , that is, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |P_n(x) \sin nh|^{p'} \right\}^{p/p'} &\leq A \int_0^{\pi} |\varphi(t+h)p(t+h) - \varphi(t-h)p(t-h)|^p dt \\ &\leq A \left\{ \int_0^{\pi} |\varphi(t+h) - \varphi(t-h)|^p |p(t+h)|^p dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\pi} |\varphi(t-h)|^p |p(t+h) - p(t-h)|^p dt \right\} \\ &= A \{I(x) + J(x)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1) \quad I(x) \leq h^{p\alpha} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{dt}{(t+h)^p} \leq Ah^{p\alpha-p+1}$$

We divide $J(x)$ into two parts such that

$$J(x) = \int_0^h + \int_h^{\pi} = J_1(x) + J_2(x),$$

where

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} J_1(x) &\leq A \int_0^h |\varphi(t)|^p \{ |p(t+2h)|^p + |p(t)|^p \} dt \leq \int_0^h t^{\alpha p-p} dt \\ &\leq Ah^{\alpha p-p+1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha p - p > -1$ by the assumption $\alpha > 1/p'$, and

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_2(x) &= \int_h^{\pi} |\varphi(t-h)|^p |p(t+h) - p(t-h)|^p dt \\ &= \int_0^{\pi-h} |\varphi(t)|^p |p(t+2h) - p(t)|^p dt \leq \int_0^h + \int_h^{\pi} \\ &\leq Ah^{\alpha p-p+1} + Ah^p \int_h^{\pi} t^{\alpha p-2p} dt \leq Ah^{\alpha p-p+1}, \text{ for } 0 < h < 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha p - 2p < -1$.

Summing up the estimations (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |P_n(x) \sin nh|^{p'} \right\}^{p/p'} \leq Ah^{\alpha p-p+1}$$

Let $h = \pi/2^{(\lambda+1)}$, then we can easily see that

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |P_n(x)|^{p'} \right\}^{p/p'} \leq A 2^{\lambda(p-1-\alpha p)}$$

Thus we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |P_n(x)|^{p'} \leq A 2^{\lambda(p-1-\alpha p)p'/p} \leq A 2^{\lambda(1-p'\alpha)}.$$

We may consider the case $0 < k < p'$. In this case we get by the Hölder inequality,

$$\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |P_n(x)|^k \leq 2^{\lambda/q} \left(\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |P_n(x)|^{kq'} \right)^{1/q'},$$

where $kq' = p'$ and $q = p'/(p' - k)$. Hence, by (4),

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |P_n(x)|^k \leq A 2^{\lambda[1/q+(1-p'\alpha)/q']} \leq A 2^{\lambda(1-k\alpha)}.$$

In the case $p = k$, we get also (5).

For the proof of the theorem, it is sufficient to show that the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |P_n(x)|^k / n^\delta (\log n)^\gamma$$

is convergent, since the corresponding series containing $Q_n(x)$ converges obviously.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |P_n(x)|^k / n^\delta (\log n)^\gamma &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^\lambda} |P_n(x)|^k / n^\delta (\log n)^\gamma \\ &\leq A \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\lambda\delta} \lambda^\gamma} \sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^\lambda} |P_n(x)|^k \leq A \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^\gamma} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the theorem completely.

2. In this section we shall prove

Theorem 2. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < k$. If

$$|f(x+t) - f(x)| \leq A |t|^\alpha \left/ \left(\log \frac{1}{|t|} \right)^\gamma \right.,$$

uniformly, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |s_n(x) - f(x)|^k / n^\delta$$

converges uniformly, where $\delta = 1 - k\alpha$ and $\gamma > 1/k$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Using the notation in §1, we have

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |P_n(x) \sin nh|^{p'} \right\}^{p/p'} \leq A \{I(x) + J(x)\},$$

where

$$I(x) \leq A h^{p\alpha-p+1} \left/ \left(\log \frac{1}{h} \right)^{\gamma p} \right.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J(x) &\leq A h^{p\alpha-p+1} \left/ \left(\log \frac{1}{h} \right)^{\gamma p} \right. \\ &\quad + h^p \left\{ \int_h^{h^\mu} + \int_{h^\mu}^\pi \right\} t^{p\alpha-2p} \left/ \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\gamma p} dt \right. \quad (0 < \mu < 1) \\ &\leq A h^{p\alpha-p+1} \left/ \left(\log \frac{1}{h} \right)^{\gamma p} \right. . \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get, by the same way used in §1,

$$\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^\lambda} |P_n(x)|^{p'} \leq A 2^{\lambda(1-p'\alpha)} / \lambda^{\gamma p'}.$$

Hence, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^\lambda} |P_n(x)|^k \leq A 2^{\lambda(1-k\alpha)} / \lambda^{\gamma k}, \quad (\lambda = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Summing up these inequalities with respect to λ , we get easily the theorem.