15. Fourier Series. XII. Bernstein Polynomials

By Shin-ichi IZUMI, Masako SATÔ, and Saburô UCHIYAMA

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidô University, Sapporo, Japan (Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 1957)

1. If f(t) is integrable in the closed interval [0, 1], then the generalized Bernstein polynomials of f(t) are defined as

(1)
$$P_n(x) = P_n(x, f) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (n+1)p_{n,\nu}(x) \int_{\nu/(n+1)}^{(\nu+1)/(n+1)} f(t)dt \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

where

(2)
$$p_{n,\nu}(x) = p_{n,\nu} = {n \choose \nu} x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n-\nu}.$$

It is known that $P_n(x, f)$ tends to f(x) almost everywhere as $n \to \infty$ and carries many properties of the Fejér mean of the Fourier series of f(t) [1]. From this point of view P. L. Butzer [2] considered the polynomials, corresponding to the partial sums of the Fourier series of f(t) such that

(3) $Q_n(x) = Q_n(x, f) = (n+1)P_n(x, f) - nP_{n-1}(x, f)$ $(n=0, 1, 2, \dots)$, and established some fundamental theorems concerning them.

Among others he proved the following

Theorem 1. If f(t) is bounded in the interval (0, 1) and its second derivative exists at t=x, then $Q_n(x, f)$ tends to f(x) as $n \to \infty$.

Further he raised the question:

Does there exist an integrable function f(t) such that the $Q_n(x, f)$ diverges almost everywhere in the interval (0, 1)?

In the present note we wish to prove the following theorems:

Theorem 2. If the derived Fourier series of f(t) converges absolutely, then $Q_n(x, f)$ converges to f(x) everywhere.

Theorem 3. There is a continuous function f(t) with absolutely convergent Fourier series such that $Q_n(x, f)$ diverges almost everywhere.

Clearly Theorem 3 is a stronger solution of the problem of Butzer's. We note that, as will be found incidentally in § 3, our Theorem 2 can not hold in general unless the derived Fourier series of f(t) is absolutely convergent.

2. Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality we may suppose that

$$f(t)$$
 ~ $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} e^{2\pi i \lambda t}$

Then

 $(4) \qquad \qquad Q_n(x,f) - f(x) = \sum a_{\lambda} [Q_n(x,e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) - e^{2\pi i\lambda x}]$

Since we can easily see from [1, p. 21] that*) $|Q_n(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) - e^{2\pi i\lambda x}| \leq A\lambda,$ (4) converges absolutely by the assumption that $\sum \lambda |a_{\lambda}| < \infty$. On the other hand

(5)
$$Q_n(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) - e^{2\pi i\lambda x} \to 0 \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

for all fixed λ .

Let ε be any positive number. Then there is an N such that $\sum_{\lambda=N+1}^{\infty} \lambda |a_{\lambda}| < \varepsilon$, and hence by (5)

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |Q_n(x,f)-f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus we get Theorem 2.

3. Proof of Theorem 3. Let us set

$$f(t)$$
 ~ $\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} e^{2\pi i \lambda t}$

We suppose that $\sum |a_{\lambda}| < \infty$. Then

$$Q_n(x, f) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} a_{\lambda} Q_n(x, e^{2\pi i \lambda t}),$$

where

$$Q_n(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) = (n+1)P_n(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) - nP_{n-1}(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i\lambda} [(n+1)^2 (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/(n+1)}))^n (e^{2\pi i\lambda/(n+1)} - 1)]$$

$$-n^{2}(1-x(1-e^{2\pi i\lambda/n}))^{n-1}(e^{2\pi i\lambda/n}-1)]$$

By the mean value theorem, we have for a ξ between n and n+1

$$\begin{split} & 2\pi i\lambda Q_n(x, e^{2\pi i\lambda t}) = 2\xi (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 1}(e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} - 1) \\ & +\xi^2 (e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} - 1) \bigg[(1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 1} \log (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi})) \\ & - \frac{2\pi i\lambda x}{\xi} e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 2} \bigg] \\ & -\xi^2 (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 1} \frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\xi^2} e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} \\ & = Q' + Q'' + Q''' \end{split}$$

If λ/ξ is sufficiently small, then

$$|Q'| \leq 4(n+1) \left(1 - 4x(1-x)\sin^2\frac{-\pi\lambda}{n}\right)^{n/2} \sin\frac{-\pi\lambda}{n} \leq A\lambda$$

and similarly $|Q^{\prime\prime\prime}|\!\leq\!2\pi\lambda;$ and if $\lambda\!\geq\!\xi$ then

$$|Q'| \leq An, |Q'''| \leq A\lambda.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} |a_{\lambda}| \frac{|Q'| + |Q'''|}{2\pi\lambda} \leq A \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} |a_{\lambda}|$$

Let us now estimate Q'' for sufficiently small λ/ξ . We have

^{*)} Here and hereafter we denote by A an absolute constant which is not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

$$\begin{split} Q^{\prime\prime} &= \xi^2 (e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} - 1) \bigg[(1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 1} \log \left(1 - x(1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi})) \right) \\ &- \frac{2\pi i\lambda x}{\xi} e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 2} \bigg] \\ &= \xi^2 \frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\xi} \bigg[- (1 - x(1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi})))x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}) \\ &- \frac{2\pi i\lambda x}{\xi} e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi} \bigg] (1 - x(1 - e^{2\pi i\lambda/\xi}))^{\xi - 2} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \xi^2 \frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\xi} \bigg(- \frac{x(1 + x)}{2} \bigg(\frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\xi} \bigg)^2 \bigg) e^{2\pi i\lambda x} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= - \frac{x(1 + x)}{2} \frac{(2\pi i\lambda)^3}{n} e^{2\pi i\lambda x} (1 + o(1)) \end{split}$$

We have also for all λ

$$|Q''| \leq A(n^2 + \lambda n)$$

Therefore, if there is an infinitude of n such that a_{λ} vanishes except for $\lambda \leq n$ with sufficiently small λ/n , then we have for every such n

$$Q_{n}(x, f) = 2\pi^{2} \frac{x(1+x)}{n} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \lambda^{2} a_{\lambda}(1+o(1))e^{2\pi i\lambda x} + \theta_{n} n \sum_{\lambda=n+1} |a_{\lambda}| + \tau_{n},$$

where θ_n and τ_n are bounded.

Thus, in order to prove the theorem, it suffices to take a_{λ} for which there is a sequence (n_k) satisfying the above condition, such that

$$\frac{1}{n_k}\sum_{\lambda=1}^{n_k}\lambda^2 \alpha_{\lambda}(1+o(1))e^{2\pi i\lambda x}$$

diverges to infinity almost everywhere and

$$n_k \sum_{\lambda=n_k+1}^{\infty} |a_{\lambda}| = O(1).$$

For example, we may take

$$n_1=2^2$$
, $n_{k+1}=2^{2^{n_k}}$ $(k\geq 2)$

and

$$a_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\nu^2}$$
 for $\lambda = 2^{\nu}$, $n_k \leq \lambda \leq k n_k$ $(k=1, 2, \cdots)$,
=0 otherwise.

References

- [1] G. G. Lorentz: Bernstein Polynomials, Toronto (1953).
- [2] P. L. Butzer: Summability of generalized Bernstein polynomials. I, Duke Math. Jour., 22, 617-627 (1955).

No. 21