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In the preceding paper [1], we constructed an automorphism group of a hyperfinite continuous factor which is isomorphic to a given enumerably infinite group $G$ and showed the automorphism group is outer if $G$ is torsion free whereas we have remained open that [1, Theorem 2] is valid without exception. In this note we shall answer the question in affirmative, that is, we shall show the following

Theorem. There is an outer automorphism group of the hyperfinite continuous factor which is isomorphic to the given enumerable group.

We use same notations as in [1]. $X$ is the product space of $E_{g}=\{0,1\} \quad(g \in G), \Gamma$ is the subset of $X$ composed of the elements $x=\left[x_{g}\right]$ such that $x_{g}=0$ except for a finite number of $g$ 's. A measure $m$ is defined naturally on $X$ and a group of measure preserving transformations $\left\{T_{r} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$ is constructed isomorphically to $\Gamma$. Hence, by the Murray-von Neumann method, a hyperfinite continuous factor $\boldsymbol{A}$ is generated from operators $L_{\varphi(x)}(\varphi(x)$ denotes bounded measurable functions on $X$ ) and $U_{r}(\gamma \in \Gamma)$ on the Hilbert space $H=L^{2}(\Gamma \times X)$. Furthermore every element $g_{0} \in G$ gives a measure preserving transformation $T_{g_{0}}$ on $X$ such that

$$
x T_{g_{0}}=\left[x_{g_{0} g}\right] \quad \text { for } x=\left[x_{g}\right]
$$

and so $g_{0}$ induces an automorphism of $\boldsymbol{A}$ such that

$$
U_{r}^{g_{0}}=U_{r^{T} g_{0}}, \quad L_{\varphi(x)}^{g_{0}}=L_{\varphi\left(x T T_{g_{0}}\right)} .
$$

These automorphisms give the automorphism group in question.

1. A lemma of I. M. Singer. I. M. Singer [2] has analized in detail inner automorphisms of finite factors constructed by the Murrayvon Neumann method. Espacially he studied the automorphisms which preserve the commutative subalgebra $L$ generated from $\left\{L_{\varphi(x)}\right\}$. Favourably our automorphisms preserve $\boldsymbol{L}$ and his results prepare for us a way to the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1 (I. M. Singer [2, Lemma 2.2]). If ( $\alpha$ ) the ergodic group of the measure preserving transformations $\left\{T_{r} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\right\}$ satisfies the condition:
(*) for a measurable set $E$ with positive measure and every $T_{r}$ there exists a subset $F$ of $E$ such that

$$
m\left(F T_{r} \triangle F\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\triangle$ denotes the symmetric difference and $(\beta)$ a unitary operator $\left.V \approx\left[\xi_{r}(x)\right]\right]$ in $\boldsymbol{A}(c f .[1$, Theorom 2]) induces an inner automorphism of $\boldsymbol{A}$ which preserves $\boldsymbol{L}$, then $\xi_{r}(x)$ 's satisfy the following conditions, where $E_{r}=\left\{x \mid \xi_{r}(x) \neq 0\right\}$,
(i) $m\left(\bigcup_{r \in \Gamma} E_{r}\right)=1$,
(ii) $m\left(E_{r} \cap E_{\delta}\right)=0 \quad$ if $\gamma \neq \delta(\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma)$,
(iii) $m\left(E_{r} T_{r} \cap E_{\delta} T_{\delta}\right)=0 \quad$ if $\gamma \neq \delta$,
(iv) $\sum_{r \in \Gamma}\left|\xi_{r}(x)\right|=1$ a.e. on $X, \quad\left|\xi_{r}(x)\right|=1$ a.e. on $E_{r}$.
2. Proof of the theorem

Lemma 2. The measure preserving transformation $T_{r}$ on $X$ satisfies the condition (*) always.

Proof. Let $E$ be a measurable set with positive measure. If $m\left(E T_{r} \triangle E\right) \neq 0$, we may take $F=E$. Next we assume $m\left(E T_{r} \triangle E\right)=0$ and $\gamma=\left[\gamma_{g}\right]$,

$$
\gamma_{g}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } g=g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $E_{y}$ be the set of elements $x=\left[x_{g}\right] \in E$ such that

$$
x_{g}=y(g) \quad \text { for } \quad g=g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}
$$

where $y(g)$ is a function defined on $\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right\}$ taking values in $\{0,1\}$. Then $E$ decomposes into a finite number of mutually disjoint sets $E_{y_{1}}, E_{y_{2}}, \cdots, E_{y_{m}}$. At least one of these sets has positive measure and it is transformed onto another one by $T_{r}$. Hence an $E_{y_{i}}$ gives a desired set $F$. This proves the lemma.

Now we assume any automorphism $g_{0} \in G$, which is not identity, is inner and a unitary operator $V \approx\left[\left[\xi_{c}(x)\right]\right]$ induces the automorphism. Then since

$$
L_{\varphi(x)}^{g_{0}}=L_{\varphi\left(x T T_{g_{0}}\right.} \in \boldsymbol{L}
$$

the automorphism preserves $L$ and so we can utilize Singer's lemma for $V$.

We take up $\gamma^{h}=\left[\gamma_{g}^{h}\right]$ in $\Gamma$, where

$$
\gamma_{g}^{h}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & g=h \\
0 & \text { if } & g \neq h
\end{array}\right.
$$

As shown in [1, Theorem 2],

$$
U_{r^{h}} \approx\left[\left[\chi_{o}^{\gamma^{h}}(x)\right]\right], \quad U_{r^{h}}^{g_{0}} \approx\left[\left[\chi_{o}^{\gamma^{h} r_{g_{0}}}(x)\right]\right]
$$

where $\quad \chi_{c}^{\gamma^{h}}(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { if } c=\gamma^{h} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{array} \quad \chi_{c}^{\tau_{c}^{h} r_{g_{0}}}(x) \equiv \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } c=\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V U_{\gamma^{h}} \approx\left[\left[\sum_{r} \xi_{r}(x) \chi_{c+r}(x+\gamma)\right]\right]=\left[\left[\xi_{c+\gamma^{h}}(x)\right]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $U_{r^{h}}^{q_{0}} V=V U_{r^{n}}$ implies

$$
\xi_{c+\gamma^{h}}(x)=\xi_{c+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}}\left(x+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}\right) \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

By Singer's result

$$
\left|\xi_{c+r^{h}}(x)\right|= \begin{cases}1 & \text { a.e. on } E_{c+r^{n}} \\ 0 & \text { a.e. } \quad \text { on } X-E_{c+r^{h}} .\end{cases}
$$

Since $m$ is $\Gamma$-invariant

$$
\begin{aligned}
m\left(E_{c+\gamma^{h}}\right)=\int_{X}\left|\xi_{c+r^{h}}(x)\right| d x & =\int_{X}\left|\xi_{c+r^{h} g_{g_{0}}}\left(x+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}\right)\right| d x \\
& =\int_{X}\left|\xi_{c+r^{h} g_{g_{0}}}(x)\right| d x=m\left(E_{c+r^{h} T_{g_{0}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For an arbitrary element $d_{0}$ in $\Gamma$ and different elements $h, h^{\prime}, h^{\prime}, \ldots$ in $G$, we choice elements $c, c^{\prime}, c^{\prime \prime}, \cdots$ in $\Gamma$ such that

$$
d_{0}=c+\gamma^{h}=c^{\prime}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}}=c^{\prime \prime}+\gamma^{h^{\prime \prime}}=\cdots,
$$

then by the above result

$$
m\left(E_{a_{0}}\right)=m\left(E_{c+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}}\right)=m\left(E_{c^{\prime}+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}}\right)=m\left(E_{c+\gamma^{h} / T_{g_{0}}}\right)=\cdots .
$$

On the other hand, since $c+\gamma^{h}=c^{\prime}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}}$,

$$
c+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}=c^{\prime}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}} T_{g_{0}} \text { if and only if } \gamma^{h}+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}=\gamma^{h^{\prime}}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}} T_{g_{0}} .
$$ $\gamma^{h}+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}$ is an element $\gamma=\left[\gamma_{g}\right]$ in $\Gamma$ such that

$$
\gamma_{g}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } g=h \text { or } g_{0}^{-1} h \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Similarly $\gamma^{h^{\prime}}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}} T_{g_{0}}$ is $\gamma^{\prime}=\left[\gamma_{g}^{\prime}\right]$ such that

$$
\gamma_{s}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } g=h^{\prime} \text { or } g_{0}^{-1} h^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Hence
$\gamma^{h}+\gamma^{h} T_{g_{0}}=\gamma^{h^{\prime}}+\gamma^{h^{\prime}} T_{g_{0}}$ if and only if $h=h^{\prime}$ or $h=g_{0}^{-1} h^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}=g_{0}^{-1} h$.
Thus, neglecting null sets, $E_{c+r^{h} T_{g_{0}}}$ is identical with at most one of $E_{c+r^{h} T_{g_{0}}}, E_{c+r^{h \prime} T_{g_{0}}} \cdots$ and disjoint with others. At any rate, an infinite number of sets among $E_{c+r^{h} T_{g_{0}}}, E_{c+r^{h} T_{g_{0}}}, E_{c+\gamma^{h \prime} T_{g_{0}}}, \cdots$ are mutually disjoint with each other ignoring null sets. Since $m(X)=1$, we can conclude $m\left(E_{d_{0}}\right)=0$. This means $V=0$, that is, the automorphism $g_{0}$ is not inner.
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