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1. Let $M$ be a differentiable ( $4 k-1$ )-manifold which is a homology sphere and the boundary of some parallelizable manifold $W$. (The word "manifold" will mean a "compact" manifold throughout in this note.) The intersection number of two homology classes $\alpha, \beta$ of $W$ will be denoted by $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$. Let $I(W)$ be the index of the quadratic form

$$
\alpha \rightarrow\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle,
$$

where $\alpha$ varies over the Betti group $H_{2 k}(W) /($ torsion $)$. Integer coefficients are to be understood.

Define $I_{k}$ as the greatest common divisor of $I(M)$ where $M$ ranges over all almost parallelizable manifolds ${ }^{1)}$ without boundary of dimension $4 k$. The residue class $\frac{1}{8} I(W)^{2)}$ modulo $\frac{1}{8} I_{k}$ will be denoted by $\lambda^{\prime}(M)$.

Then J. Milnor [1] showed the followings:
(1) $\lambda^{\prime}(M)$ depends only on the $J$-equivalence ${ }^{3)}$ class of $M$,
(2) $\lambda^{\prime}$ gives rise to an isomorphism onto

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}: \Theta^{4 k-1}(\partial \pi) \rightarrow Z_{\frac{1}{8}} \Gamma_{k} \quad \text { provided that } k>1,
$$

where $\Theta^{4 k-1}(\partial \pi)^{4)}$ is the set of all $J$-equivalence classes of homotopy $(4 k-1)$-spheres which are the boundaries of some parallelizable manifolds.

Finally, in the list of unsolved problems (see [1]), he proposed the following:

[^0]Problem. Does there exist a homotopy 3 -sphere $M$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}(M) \neq 0$ ?

In this note we shall give a negative answer to this question, that is,

Theorem 1. For any differentiable 3-manifold $M$ which is a homotopy sphere, $\lambda^{\prime}(M)=0$.

In the course of the proof of this theorem, the following is also proved.

Theorem 2. If any simply connected closed 3 -manifold embedded semi-linearly in the 4 -sphere is homeomorphic to the 3 -sphere $S^{3}$, then any simply connected closed 3 -manifold is homeomorphic to $S^{3}$.
2. Outline of the proofs. Let $M$ be a topological 3-manifold which is a homotopy 3 -sphere and let $\Delta$ be a 3 -simplex of some fixed triangulation ${ }^{5)}$ of $M$. After V. Poénaru [6], ( $\left.M-\operatorname{Int} \Delta\right) \times I^{2}$ is semilinearly homeomorphic to $I^{5}$, where $I^{n}$ means the $n$-cube $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, $0 \leqq x_{i} \leqq 1$. As the boundary of ( $M-\operatorname{Int} \Delta$ ) $\times I^{2}$ is semi-linearly homeomorphic to the boundary of $I^{5}$, we may embed ( $M$-Int $\Delta$ ) semi-linearly in the 4 -sphere $S^{4}$. Let $U$ be the neighborhood ${ }^{6)}$ of ( $M$-Int $\Delta$ ) in $S^{4}$. Then the boundary $N$ of $U$ has the following properties:
(1) $N$ is a simply connected closed 3 -manifold embedded semilinearly in $S^{4}$,
(2) $N$ is homeomorphic to $M \# M$, where $M \# M$ means the combinatorial sum ${ }^{4)}$ of $M$ and $M$. From the above fact, if any simply connected closed 3 -manifold embedded semi-linearly in $S^{4}$ is homeomorphic to $S^{3}, M \# M$ must be homeomorphic to $S^{3}$. After E. E. Moise [2], it may therefore be concluded that $M$ is itself homeomorphic to $S^{3}$. This proves Theorem 2.

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $M$ have a differentiable structure. As $N$ is a 3 -manifold which is semi-linearly embedded in $S^{4}$, we may construct (see [5]) a differentiable 3 -manifold $V$ which is differentiablly embedded in $S^{4}$ and is homeomorphic to $N$. As any 3 -manifold has a uniquely determined differentiable structure by J. Munkres, S. Smale and J. H. C. Whitehead [4], $V$ is diffeomorphic to the differentiable sum ${ }^{4} M \# M$ of $M$ and $M$. $\quad V$ divides $S^{4}$ into two parts, one of which we denote by $W$. Then $W$ is a parallelizable 4-manifold which is differentiablly embedded in $S^{4}$ and has the boundary $V$. As $V$ is simply connected, we obtain $H_{2}(W)=0$ by the Alexander's duality theorem. Thus we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{8} I(W)=\lambda^{\prime}(V)=\lambda^{\prime}(M \# M)=2 \lambda^{\prime}(M)=0 .
$$

This proves Theorem 1.
5) After E. E. Moise [3], any 3-manifold has a triangulation.
6) See J. H. C. Whitehead [8, p. 290].
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[^0]:    1) A manifold $M$ will be called almost parallelizable if there exists a finite subset $F$ so that $M-F$ is parallelizable.
    2) The index $I(W)$ of an almost parallelizable manifold is always divisible by 8 , provided that $\partial W$ is a homology sphere (see J. Milnor [1]).
    3) Two unbounded manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}$ of the same dimension are $J$-equivalent if there exists a manifold $W$ such that
    (1) the boundary $\partial W$ is the disjoint union of $M_{1}$ and $-M_{2}$,
    and
    (2) both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are deformation retracts of $W$.
    4) $\Theta^{4 k-1}(\partial \pi)$ forms an abelian group under the sum operation \#, where \# means the following. Let $M_{1}, M_{2}$ be connected differentiable (or combinatorial) manifolds of the same dimension $n$. The differentiable (or combinatorial) sum $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ is obtained by removing a differentiable (or a combinatorial) $n$-cell from each, and then pasting properly the resulting boundary together (see J. Milnor [1, §2] and H. Seifert-W. Threlfall [7, Problem 3, p. 218]).
