# 7. On Transformation of the Seifert Invariants 

By Joseph Weier<br>(Comm. by K. Kunugi, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1961)

The theory of continuous transformations of manifolds shows preference to the case that $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} Y$ or $\operatorname{dim} X>\operatorname{dim} Y$ where $X$ is mapped into $Y$. The reason is that every continuous mapping of an $m$-sphere into an $n$-sphere with $m<n$ is homotopic to zero. We will cast a look on the case $\operatorname{dim} X<\operatorname{dim} Y$.

1. Suppose $z, z^{\prime}$ are two disjoint zero-divisors in the compact manifold $X$ such that $\operatorname{dim} z+\operatorname{dim} z^{\prime} \geq(\operatorname{dim} X)-1$. Then the pair $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ determines [1] a rational interlacing cycle, $\sigma\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$, as follows. Let $a, b$ be the smallest positive integers satisfying $a z \sim 0$ and $b z^{\prime} \sim 0$, and let $A, B$ be two finite integral chains in $X$ such that $\partial A=a z$ and $\partial B=b z^{\prime}$. Then, if $f$ denotes the usual intersection function,

$$
\frac{1}{a} f\left(A, z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{a b} f(A, \partial B)= \pm \frac{1}{a b} f(\partial A, B)= \pm \frac{1}{a b} f(a z, B)= \pm \frac{1}{b} f(z, B) .
$$

One thus obtains an expression that does not depend on $A$. Now

$$
\sigma\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{a} f\left(A, z^{\prime}\right)
$$

is Seifert's interlacing cycle.
2. Let $2 \leq m<n$ be integers, let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional and $N$ an $n$-dimensional oriented differentiable compact manifold, moreover $f: M \rightarrow N$ a continuous mapping. Let $P, Q, R, S$ be pairwise disjoint oriented differentiable compact manifolds in $N$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \geq n-m, \quad q \geq n-m, \quad r \geq n-m, \quad s \geq n-m, \\
& p+q+r+s=4 n-m-3, \quad p+q \geq 2 n-m,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p, q, r, s$ are the dimensions of $P, Q, R, S$ respectively. For instance setting

$$
p=q=r=n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad s=n-m
$$

one confirms at once that the above dimensional suppositions are fulfilled.

The algebraic inverse of $P, Q, R, S$ under $f$, defined for instance in [4], will be denoted by $z_{P}, z_{Q}, z_{R}, z_{S}$ respectively. Geometrically one can suppose [5] that the inverses of $P, Q, R, S$ are differentiable manifolds. Then $z_{P}, z_{Q}, z_{R}, z_{S}$ is an integral cycle of dimension $p-(n-m), q-(n-m), r-(n-m)$, and $s-(n-m)$ respectively. Let the manifolds $P, Q, R, S$ be defined in such a way that $z_{P}, z_{Q}, z_{R}, z_{S}$ are zero-divisors. That is always possible as one easily confirms. Let $z_{T}$ denote the above defined Seifert interlacing cycle, $\sigma\left(z_{P}, z_{Q}\right)$. By
$\operatorname{dim} z_{T}=\left(\operatorname{dim} z_{P}\right)+1+\operatorname{dim} z_{Q}-\operatorname{dim} M$

$$
=(p-n+m)+1+(q-n+m)-m=p+q-2 n+m+1
$$

and the supposition $p+q \geq 2 n-m$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} z_{T} \geq 1$.
Let $a, b, c$ be the smallest positive integers such that $c z_{T}$ is an integral cycle and that moreover

$$
a z_{R} \sim 0 \quad \text { and } \quad b z_{S} \sim 0
$$

Let $A, B$ be chains in $M$ satisfying $\partial A=a z_{R}$ and $\partial B=b z_{s}$. Furthermore let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \cdots$ be a base of the integral ( $r+1$ )-cycles in $M$ and $Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots$ be a base of the integral ( $s+1$ )-cycles in $M$. Now $f$ being as above the intersection function, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{i}=f\left(A+Z_{i}, c Z_{T}\right), \\
& \zeta_{i j}=f\left(\zeta_{i}, B+Z_{j}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \zeta_{i j}= & \operatorname{dim} \zeta_{i}+\left(\operatorname{dim} z_{S}\right)+1-\operatorname{dim} M \\
= & \left(\operatorname{dim} z_{R}\right)+1+\operatorname{dim} z_{T}-\operatorname{dim} M_{S}+(\operatorname{dim} z)+1-\operatorname{dim} M \\
= & \left(\operatorname{dim} z_{R}\right)+1+\left(\operatorname{dim} z_{P}\right)+1+\operatorname{dim} z_{Q}-\operatorname{dim} M-\operatorname{dim} M \\
& +\left(\operatorname{dim} z_{S}\right)+1-\operatorname{dim} M \\
= & \operatorname{dim} z_{P}+\operatorname{dim} z_{Q}+\operatorname{dim} z_{R}+\operatorname{dim} z_{s}+3-3 \operatorname{dim} M \\
= & p+q+r+s-4 n-4 m+3-3 m=(4 n-m-3)-4 n+m+3=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $\zeta_{i j}$ are integers. The matrix consisting of these numbers is invariant under deformation of $f$. In order that $f$ is an essential map, it suffices that at least on $\zeta_{i j}$ is not zero. To the matrix $\left(\zeta_{i j}\right)$ there corresponds a comatrix that one obtains by projecting our results in the cohomology rings of $M$ and $N$, see for instance [2,3].
3. Let $r$ be a positive integer $\leq m-1$ such that every integral homology class of dimension $n-r-1$ and likewise every such class of dimension $n-m+r$ of $N$ permits a realization 3 by an oriented differentiable compact manifold. Now let the ( $n-r-1$ )-manifolds $A_{1}$, $A_{2}, \cdots$ and the ( $n-m+r$ )-manifolds $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots$ be bases of the integral ( $n-r-1$ )-cycles and the $(n-m+r)$-cycles of $N$. Let $z_{i}, z_{i}^{\prime}$ be the algebraic inverse of $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ respectively. Suppose that $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are ordered in such a way that $z_{i}$ is zero-divisor for $i=1,2, \cdots, \alpha$ and only for these $i$ 's, and that $z_{i}^{\prime}$ is zero-divisor for $i=1,2, \cdots, \beta$ and only for these $i$ 's. For all pairs ( $i, j$ ) satisfying $i \leq \alpha$ and $j \leq \beta$, now let $\sigma_{i j}$ be Seifert's interlacing number of ( $z_{i}, z_{j}^{\prime}$ ).

Then one again obtains a characteristic matrix ( $\sigma_{i j}$ ) of $f$ that possesses similar properties for the matrix of section 2.
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