## 1. Note on Paracompactness

By Kiiti MORITA

## Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Education (Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1961)

1. Suggested by a well-known theorem of C. H. Dowker [1] that a topological space is countably paracompact and normal if and only if the product space  $X \times I$  is normal, we have established the following theorem in a previous paper [2].

**Theorem 1.1.** A topological space X is m-paracompact and normal if and only if the product space  $X \times I^m$  is normal, where m is an infinite cardinal number.

Here a topological space X is called m-paracompact if any open covering of power  $\leq m$  admits a locally finite open refinement, and  $I^m$ means the product space of m copies of I, where m is a cardinal number and I is the closed line interval [0, 1]. A topological space X is, by definition, paracompact if X is m-paracompact for any cardinal number m; furthermore, X is paracompact if X is m-paracompact for a cardinal number m not less than the power of an open base of X. Accordingly, Theorem 1.1 gives a new characterization of paracompact spaces. Of course, " $\aleph_0$ -paracompact" is nothing else "countably paracompact".

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** A topological space X is m-paracompact and normal if and only if the product space  $X \times C^m$  is normal, where C is any compact metric space containing at least two points and  $C^m$ means the product space of m copies of C, and m is an infinite cardinal number.

As a special case where C is a space consisting of exactly two points we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** A topological space X is m-paracompact and normal if and only if the product space  $X \times D^m$  is normal, where D is a discrete space consisting of two points and  $D^m$  means the product space of m copies of D, and m is a cardinal number  $\geq 1$ .

The space  $D^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is called a Cantor space, and  $D^{\mathfrak{K}_0}$  is the Cantor discontinuum.

It should be noted that in case  $m = \aleph_0$ , as far as the "if" part is concerned Theorem 1.3 gives a stronger form than Dowker's theorem while Theorem 1.1 gives a weaker form, and that for a finite cardinal number  $m \ge 1$ , Theorem 1.3 is true but Theorem 1.1 is not.

## K. MORITA

2. We shall begin with a lemma concerning closed mappings.

Lemma 2.1. Let  $f_i$  be a closed continuous mapping of a topological space  $X_i$  onto another topological space  $Y_i$  such that  $f_i^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each point y of  $Y_i$ , i=1,2. If we put  $g(x_1, x_2)=(f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$  for  $x_i \in X_i$ , i=1,2, then g is a closed continuous mapping of  $X_1 \times X_2$  onto  $Y_1 \times Y_2$ .

**Proof.** Let A be any closed subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$ . Suppose that  $(y_1, y_2) \in \overline{g(A)}$ . Then, for any open set  $H_i$  of  $Y_i$  such that  $y_i \in H_i$  we have  $(H_1 \times H_2) \frown g(A) \neq \phi$ . Hence  $(f_1^{-1}(H_1) \times f_2^{-1}(H_2)) \frown A \neq \phi$ . Therefore we have  $(f_1^{-1}(y_1) \times f_2^{-1}(y_2)) \frown A \neq \phi$ ; because, otherwise there would exist an open set  $G_1$  of  $X_1$  and an open set  $G_2$  of  $X_2$  such that  $(G_1 \times G_2) \frown A = \phi$ ,  $f_i^{-1}(y_i) \frown G_i$ , i=1, 2 since  $f_i^{-1}(y_i)$  is compact for i=1, 2, and we would have  $(f_1^{-1}(L_1) \times f_2^{-1}(L_2)) \frown A = \phi$  where  $L_i = Y_i - f_i(X_i - G_i)$ , i=1, 2, since  $f_i^{-1}(L_i) \frown G_i$  because of the closedness of  $f_i$ . Therefore  $(y_1, y_2) \in g(A)$ . This shows that g is a closed mapping.

**Remark.** If for at least one *i*,  $f_i$  does not satisfy the condition that  $f_i^{-1}(y)$  be compact for each point y of  $Y_i$ , the closedness of the mapping g is not concluded in general. We shall give an example.

Let  $X_1$  be the space of real numbers and  $Y_1$  the quotient space obtained from  $X_1$  by contracting the set of all integers to a point  $y_0$ ; let  $f_1$  be the identification map. Let  $f_2: X_2 \to Y_2$  be the identity map with  $X_2 = Y_2 = I$ . Then  $g: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$  defined by  $g(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$  is not a closed mapping; because, if  $A = \forall \{n \times [0, 1 - 1/(1+|n|)\} | n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \}$ , we have  $(y_0, 1) \in \overline{g(A)} - g(A)$ .

3. Let Q be a compact Hausdorff space. We shall say that a topological space X is Q-paracompact, if  $X \times Q$  is normal.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let Q and Q' be any two compact Hausdorff spaces. If Q' is either a closed subset of Q or a continuous image of Q, then every Q-paracompact space is Q'-paracompact.

**Proof.** Suppose that Q' is a continuous image of Q; let f be a continuous mapping of Q onto Q'. Let X be a Q-paracompact space and put g(x,q)=(x, f(q)) for  $x \in X$ ,  $q \in Q$ . Then g is a closed continuous mapping of  $X \times Q$  onto  $X \times Q'$  by Lemma 2.1. Since X is Q-paracompact,  $X \times Q$  is normal, and hence  $X \times Q'$  is normal. Therefore X is Q'-paracompact. In case Q' is a closed subset of Q, every Q-paracompact space is clearly Q'-paracompact.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. To prove Theorem 1.2 it is sufficient to prove the following theorem in view of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be an infinite cardinal number. Let X be a topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(a) X is  $I^{m}$ -paracompact.

(b) X is  $C^{m}$ -paracompact.

No. 1]

(c) X is  $D^{\mathfrak{m}}$ -paracompact.

Here C is a compact metric space containing at least two points and D is a discrete space consisting of two points.

*Proof.*  $C^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of  $I^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Hence we have the implication (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b) by Theorem 3.1. Similarly (b)  $\rightarrow$  (c) is proved since  $D^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a closed subspace of  $C^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Since every compact Hausdorff space with an open base of power  $\leq \mathfrak{m}$  is a continuous image of a closed subset of  $D^{\mathfrak{m}}$ ,  $I^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a continuous image of a closed subset of  $D^{\mathfrak{m}}$  and hence the implication (c)  $\rightarrow$  (a) is proved by Theorem 3.1.

## References

 C. H. Dowker: On countably paracompact spaces, Can. J. Math., 3, 219-224 (1951).

[2] K. Morita: Paracompactness and product spaces, forthcoming.