## 168. The Relation between $(N, p_n)$ and $(\overline{N}, p_n)$ Summability. II

## By Kazuo Ishiguro

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1965)

§ 1. The present note is a continuation of the previous paper by the author  $\lceil 2 \rceil$ . We suppose, throughout this note, 1) that

$$p_n > 0, \qquad \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = \infty, \ P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n, \; n = 0, 1, \cdots.$$

The Nörlund transformation  $(N, p_n)$  is defined as transforming the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  into the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  by means of the equation

$$(1) t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu}.$$

As is well known, this transformation is regular if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{p_n}{P_n}=0.$$

See Hardy [1], p. 64.

The discontinuous Riesz transformation  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  is defined as transforming the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  into the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  by means of the equation

$$u_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{\nu} s_{\nu}.$$

This transformation is regular (see Hardy [1], p. 57).

From (1) we see easily

$$\sum_{
u=0}^n P_{n-
u} s_
u = \sum_{
u=0}^n P_
u t_
u$$

Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 1.  $(N, P_n)$  is equivalent<sup>2</sup> to the iteration product  $(\overline{N}, P_n) \cdot (N, p_n)$ .

§ 2. We shall prove here the following

Theorem 2. If

(4)  $\{p_n\}$  is non-increasing, and if

<sup>1)</sup> In Lemma, we need not assume  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = \infty$  generally.

<sup>2)</sup> Given two summability methods A, B, we say that A implies B if any series or sequence summable A is summable B to the same sum. We say that A and B are equivalent if A implies B and B implies A.

(5) 
$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \ge \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}}, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

then  $(N, p_n)$  implies  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$ .

In order to prove the theorem, we require the following

Lemma. If 
$$p(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}p_nx^n$$
 is convergent for  $|x|<1$ , and if  $p_n>0$ ,  $n=0,1,\cdots$ , 
$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}\geq \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}}, \qquad n=1,2,\cdots,$$

then

$$\{p(x)\}^{-1} = \frac{1}{p_0} + q_1 x + q_2 x^2 + \cdots,$$

where

$$q_n \le 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |q_n| \le \frac{1}{p_0}.$$

If 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = \infty$$
, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |q_n| = \frac{1}{p_0}$ .

For the proof of this lemma, see, e.g., Hardy [1], Theorem 22. We now give the proof of our theorem. From (4) we see easily that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n}=1,$$

that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n x^n$  is convergent for |x| < 1, and that  $p(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n$  also converges for |x| < 1. Since  $p_0 \neq 0$ ,  $q(x) = \{p(x)\}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n$  has a non-zero radius of convergence. Now the transformation inverse to (1) is

$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n q_{n-k} P_k t_k.$$

See Kuttner [3]. From (3) and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n} &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} p_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} q_{\nu-k} P_{k} t_{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{k} t_{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-k} p_{k+\nu} q_{\nu} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{nk} t_{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_{nk} = \frac{P_k}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-k} p_{k+\nu} q_{
u}$$
.

Now if  $s_{\nu}=1$  for all  $\nu$ , then  $t_n=1$ ,  $u_n=1$  for all n. Hence  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{nk} = 1$  for all n. Also, since  $P_n \to \infty$  and  $q_n \to 0$ , we see easily

that  $b_{nk} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any fixed k. Hence a necessary and sufficient condition for the transformation (7) to be regular is that

(8) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |b_{nk}| = O(1).$$

Since

$$egin{aligned} b_{nk} = & rac{P_k}{P_n} (p_k q_0 + p_{k+1} q_1 + \; \cdots \; + p_n q_{n-k}) \ & \geq & rac{P_k}{P_n} \Big\{ rac{p_k}{p_0} - p_k (|\; q_1 \, | + | q_2 \, | + \; \cdots \; + |\; q_{n-k} \, |) \Big\} \ & > & 0 \end{aligned}$$

from (4) and the lemma, we get (8).

This proves our assertion.

Combining the last theorem and Theorem 1 of the previous paper [2], we obtain the following

Theorem 3. If 
$$\{p_n\}$$
 is non-increasing, and if  $p_n \ge \sigma > 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , 
$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \ge \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then  $(N, p_n)$  and  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  are equivalent.

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy: Divergent Series. Oxford (1949).
- [2] K. Ishiguro: The relation between  $(N, p_n)$  and  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  summability. Proc. Japan Acad., 41, 120-122 (1965).
- [3] B. Kuttner: The high indices theorem for discontinuous Riesz means. Jour. London Math. Soc., 39, 635-642 (1964).