## 75. On Topological-Additive-Group-Valued Measures

By Masahiro TAKAHASHI

Department of Mathematics, Nara Medical College (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1966)

1. Introduction and Summary. A measure has been conceived as a real-valued, usually non-negative set function, whose notable example is the Lebesgue measure. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the notion of a measure to a set function taking values in a topological additive group and to state how such a measure can be extended and completed along the line of the Lebesgue measure theory.

The existence of the Lebesgue measure is, as is well known, verified by at first considering a measure defined for rather simple sets (e.g. half open intervals in one-dimensional case) and next extending it to a measure defined for more complicated sets (i.e. Borel sets or so-called Lebesgue measurable sets), where the outer measure plays an essential role. The construction of the Lebesgue measure is accomplished by completion of the Borel measure.

In this paper, given a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , a topological additive group Gand a G-valued measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{R}$ , we shall define an outer measure, in a generalized sense,  $\mu^*$  on the *hereditary* (i.e. the conditions  $X \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $Y \subset X$  imply  $Y \in \mathcal{H}$ ) ring  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . By using  $\mu^*$ , the measure  $\mu$  will be extended to a measure  $\nu$  on, roughly speaking, a  $\sigma$ -ring S generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . Finally it will be shown that the measure  $\nu$  on S can be extended to a measure  $\overline{\nu}$  on a  $\sigma$ -ring  $\overline{S}$ , which is the completion of  $\nu$ .

One of the main differences of our theory from the ordinary Lebesgue measure theory is that the 'non-negativity' of real numbers is not available. Difficulties arising from this fact are avoided by replacing the condition with the 'bounded variation' property. Anothor difference is the fact that the group G in which the measure takes values does not admit the element ' $\infty$ '. We are now dealing with G-valued measures in the strict sense of the term. For this reason, a measure  $\mu$  on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  can not in general be extended to a measure on, in the strict sense, the  $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ . So our consideration will be restricted, in place of  $\mathcal{S}$ , to the class of sets in  $\mathcal{S}$  each of which is contained in some set in  $\mathcal{R}$ .

We shall state the main results with outlines of their proofs throughout the following sections.

2. Extension of a measure. A non-empty class of subsets of a fixed set is called a *ring* if it contains  $X \cup Y$  and X - Y provided it contains X and Y. A ring  $\mathcal{R}$  will be called a *pseudo-\sigma-ring* if it contains  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , for  $X_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \cdots$ .<sup>1)</sup> We shall define a *measure* as a map  $\mu$  of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  into a topological additive group G satisfying the following conditions:

1)  $\mu(X \cup Y) = \mu(X) + \mu(Y)$  for X, Y in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $X \cap Y = \phi$ , 2)  $\mu(X_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for  $X_i \in \mathcal{R}, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , such that  $X_i \downarrow \phi$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .<sup>2)</sup>

If  $\mu$  is a G-valued measure on a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , and if, for any set X in  $\mathcal{R}$  and for any neighbourhood U of the unit element of G, there exists a positive integer n such that if  $X \supset X_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , and if  $X_i \cap X_k = \phi$   $(j \neq k)$ , then there exists an integer  $i_0$  between 1 and n such that  $\mu(X_{i_0}) \in U$ , then we shall say the measure  $\mu$  is of bounded variation.<sup>3)</sup>

Let M be a fixed set and  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring of subsets of M. Then it is easily verified that there exists the smallest pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of M containing  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e. the pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ , which will be denoted by  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let us assume G is a Hausdorff, complete topological additive group and  $\mu$  is a G-valued measure on the above defined ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

One of the main purposes of this paper is to establish the following result.

**Theorem 1.** If the measure  $\mu$  is of bounded variation, then  $\mu$  is uniquely extended to a G-valued measure  $\nu$  on S and the extended measure  $\nu$  is again of bounded variation.

We shall sketch the outline of the proof of this theorem.

Considering, in the beginning, the class  $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}$  of all sets of the form  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  which is contained in  $X_0$ , where  $X_i, i=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , are sets in  $\mathscr{R}$ , we obtain the following lemma.

1) This condition is equivalent to the one:  $\mathscr{R}$  contains  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  if  $X_i, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , are sets in  $\mathscr{R}$  and if  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \subset X$  for some set X in  $\mathscr{R}$ .

2) This condition is, under the condition 1), equivalent to each of the following two conditions:

2')  $\mu(X_i) \rightarrow \mu(X)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for  $X, X_i$  in  $\mathcal{R}, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , such that  $X_i \uparrow X$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,

 $2'') \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(X_i) \text{ for } X_i \in \mathcal{R}, i=1, 2, \cdots, \text{ such that } X_j \cap X_k = \phi(j \neq k)$ and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

where  $X_i \downarrow \phi$  as  $i \to \infty$ ' and  $X_i \uparrow X$  as  $i \to \infty$ ' imply that  $X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = \phi$ ' and that  $X_1 \supset X_2 \supset \cdots$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = X$ ' respectively.

3) A non-negative real-valued measure is always of bounded variation.

No. 4]

M. TAKAHASHI

[Vol. 42,

Lemma 1. There exists a unique map  $\tilde{\mu}$  of  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  into G such that  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\right)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $X_{i}, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , are sets in  $\mathcal{R}$  and if  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i} \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ .

**Proof.** Under the assumption that the measure  $\mu$  is of bounded variation,<sup>4)</sup> it can be shown that  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \cdots$ , forms a Cauchy sequence in G. Hence, if  $X=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}\in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}, X_{i}\in \mathcal{R}, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , we can, G being complete, define  $\widetilde{\mu}(X)$  as the limiting point of the sequence  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right), n=1, 2, \cdots$ , which is shown to be independent of the choice of  $X_{i}$ 's such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{i}=X$ . The uniqueness of the map  $\widetilde{\mu}$  is obvious.

Let us consider the map  $\tilde{\mu}$  in Lemma 1 and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class  $\{X \mid X \subset Y \text{ for some set } Y \text{ in } \mathcal{R}\}$  of subsets of M (i.e. the hereditary ring generated by  $\mathcal{R}$ ). Then we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. For any fixed set X in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\Gamma(X) = \{Y \mid Y \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}, Y \supset X\}$ is a directed set, when we write  $Y \leq Z$  if and only if  $Y \supset Z$ , for Y, Z in  $\Gamma(X)$ . Moreover,  $\widetilde{\mu}(Y), Y \in \Gamma(X)$ , becomes a Cauchy sequence in G.

Assigning the limiting point of the sequence  $\tilde{\mu}(Y)$ ,  $Y \in \Gamma(X)$ , to each X in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3. There exists a unique map  $\mu^*$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  into G having the following property: if  $X \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $Y \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $X \subset Y$ , then, for any neighbourhood U of the unit element of G, we can find a set Z in  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $X \subset Z \subset Y$  and  $\mu(Z) - \mu^*(X) \in U$ .

Thus we can define a map  $\mu^*$  of the hereditary ring  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}$  into G, which is anologous to (but not the same with) the *outer measure* in the Lebesgue measure theory.

Let S' be the subclass of  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by  $\{X \mid X \in \mathcal{H}, \mu^*(Y) = \mu^*(Y \cap X) + \mu^*(Y - X)$  for any set Y in  $\mathcal{H}\}$ , which may be understood to be the class of the *measurable* sets with respect to  $\mu^*$ .

Then it can be verified that S' is a pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring containing (but, in general, not generated by)  $\mathcal{R}$  and that the map  $\mu^*$  has the following properties:

Lemma 4.  $\mu^*(X) = \mu(X)$  for any X in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Lemma 5.  $\mu^*(X \cup Y) = \mu^*(X) + \mu^*(Y)$  for X, Y in S' such that  $X \cap Y = \phi$ .

<sup>4)</sup> For our present purpose to prove Lemma 1, this condition can be replaced by a slightly weaker one: if  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $X_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $X \supset X_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \cdots$ , and  $X_j \cap X_k = \phi$  for  $j \neq k$ , then, for any neighbourhood U of the unit element of G, we can find a positive integer  $i_0$  such that  $\mu(X_i) \in U$  for any  $i \ge i_0$ . The bounded variation property of the measure  $\mu$  is used in proving Lemma 2.

Lemma 6.  $\mu^*(X_i) \rightarrow \mu^*(X)$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for X,  $X_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \cdots$ , such that  $X_i \uparrow X$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Corollary.  $\mu^*(X_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  for  $X_i \in S', i=1, 2, \cdots$ , such that  $X_i \downarrow \phi$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

This implies that the restriction  $\nu'$  of  $\mu^*$  to S' is a G-valued measure defined on the pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring S'. Since S is contained in S', the restriction  $\nu$  of  $\mu^*$  on S is also a measure. Lemma 4 shows that these measures are extensions of  $\mu$ .

Thus we can prove that there exists at least one G-valued measure  $\nu$  on S which is an extension of  $\mu$ . It is also verified that such an extension is uniquely determined and that the measure  $\nu$  is of bounded variation, which accomplishes the verification of the theorem.

Remark. As is seen above, there exists a measure  $\nu'$  on the pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring  $\mathcal{S}'$  which is an extension of  $\mu$  (and is also an extension of  $\nu$ ), but the uniqueness of  $\nu'$  no longer holds for  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

3. Completion of a measure. Let the notations in section 2 be reserved and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the class of all sets N such that  $N \subset X$ for some set X belonging to the class  $\mathcal{N}_0 = \{X \mid X \in \mathcal{S}, \nu(Y) = 0 \text{ for} any set Y in <math>\mathcal{S}$  such that  $Y \subset X\}$ . Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\overline{S}$  be the class  $\{(X-N) \cup (N-X) | X \in S, N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ . Then  $\overline{S}$  is a pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring containing S together with  $\mathcal{N}$  and there exists a unique G-valued measure  $\overline{\nu}$  on  $\overline{S}$  satisfying the following conditions:

1)  $\overline{\nu}(X) = \nu(X)$  if  $X \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

2)  $\overline{\nu}(N) = 0$  if  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Before giving the proof, we shall give some remarks which are well known. Defining X + Y and XY by  $(X - Y) \cup (Y - X)$  and  $X \cap Y$ , respectively, for each pair X, Y of subsets of M, the class  $\mathcal{M}$  of all the subsets of M becomes a ring in the algebraic sense of the word, and a class  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of M is a ring in the set theoretical sense if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebraic subring of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In the terminology in the above remarks, it can be seen that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an ideal of the ring  $\mathcal{M}$ , so that  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ , which may be written as a sum of a subring  $\mathcal{S}$  and an ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ , is a subring of  $\mathcal{M}$  and consequently is a ring in the set theoretical sense.

Proof of the theorem. The proof of the fact that  $\overline{S}$  is a ring being given above, we shall show that  $\overline{S}$  contains  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  for  $X_i \in \overline{S}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \cdots$ , which assures us that  $\overline{S}$  is a pseudo- $\sigma$ -ring.

No. 4]

<sup>5)</sup> Refer to the footnote 2).

<sup>6)</sup> A regular outer measure in the Lebesgue measure theory has this property ([1] p. 53).

M. TAKAHASHI

By definition, we can write, for  $i=1, 2, \cdots, X_i = Y_i + N_i$ , where  $Y_i$  is a set in S and  $N_i$  is a subset of M which is contained in some set  $Z_i$  in S of which any subset  $W_i$  belonging to S satisfies the condition  $\nu(W_i)=0$ . The formula  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} X_i \subset Y_1 \cup Z_1 \in S$  implies that we may assume that  $N_i, i=1, 2, \cdots$ , are contained in some fixed set in S. Then we have  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  if we write  $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ . When  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$  are denoted by X and Y respectively, we get  $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} ((Y_i - N_i) \cup (N_i - Y_i)) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i \cup N) = Y \cup N$  and  $X \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - N) = Y - N$ , which give us  $X - Y \subset N$  and  $Y - X \subset N$ . Thus we have  $X + Y = (X - Y) \cup (Y - X) \subset N$  which implies that  $X + Y \in \mathcal{N}$ , and accordingly we have  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} X_i = X = Y + (X + Y) \in S + \mathcal{N} = \overline{S}$ . The fact that  $\overline{S}$  contains S and  $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$  is obvious.

The existence of the measure  $\overline{\nu}$  is shown as follows. It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{M}_0 = S \cap \mathcal{M}$  and that there exists a unique map  $\nu_0$  of the residue class ring  $S/\mathcal{M}_0$  into G such that  $\nu_0(\overline{X}) = \nu(X)$  if the residue class  $\overline{X}$  contains X. Let  $\varphi$  be the canonical isomorphism of  $\overline{S}/\mathcal{M} = (S+\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{M}$  onto  $S/\mathcal{M}_0 = S/(S \cap \mathcal{M})$ . Putting, for  $X \in \overline{S}, \overline{\nu}(X) = \nu_0(\varphi(\overline{X}))$ , where  $\overline{X}$  is the residue class containing X, we obtain the measure  $\overline{\nu}$  required. The uniqueness of the measure  $\overline{\nu}$  is clear and thus the theorem is proved.

This measure  $\overline{\nu}$ , which corresponds to the *completion* of  $\nu$  in the Lebesgue measure theory, can be proved to be of bounded variation. It is also seen that  $\mathcal{N}$  coincides with the class  $\{X \mid X \in \overline{S}, \overline{\nu}(Y) = 0$  for any set Y in  $\overline{S}$  such that  $Y \subset X\}$ , which implies that the completion  $\overline{\overline{\nu}}$  of  $\overline{\nu}$  concides with  $\overline{\nu}.^{\tau_1}$ 

We shall state the following theorem without proof.

**Theorem 3.** If G satisfies the first condition of countability, then  $\overline{S}$  and  $\overline{\nu}$  coincide with S' and  $\mu^*$  (strictly speaking, the restriction of  $\mu^*$  on S') respectively.

We shall close this paper by noticing that the Lebesgue measure can be constructed along the line of these theorems taking some sets 'of measure  $\infty$ ' into consideration.

## Reference

[1] P.R. Halmos: Measure Theory. Van Nostrand (1950).

<sup>7)</sup> The completeness of a measure may be defined as follows: given a measure  $\lambda$  on a ring  $\mathcal{P}$  taking values in a topological additive group F, the measure  $\lambda$  is complete if the ring  $\mathcal{P}$  contains the class  $\mathcal{L}=\{X \mid X \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda(Y)=0 \text{ for any set } Y \text{ in } \mathcal{P} \text{ such that } Y \subset X\}$ . Then our measure  $\overline{\nu}$  is a complete measure which is an extention of  $\nu$ .