## 240. On Integers Expressible as a Sum of Two Powers

By Palahenedi Hewage DIANANDA

Department of Mathematics, University of Singapore, Singapore

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1966)

1. In a recent paper [2] Uchiyama proved the following results.

Theorem 1. For every  $n \ge 1$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying

$$n < x^2 + y^2 < n + 2^{\frac{3}{2}}n^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

Theorem 2. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for every  $n \ge n_0$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying

 $n < x^h + y^h < n + (c + \varepsilon)n^a$ ,

where

$$a = \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right)^2$$
,  $c = h^{2 - \frac{1}{h}}$  and  $h \ge 2$ .

The case h=2 is due to Bambah and Chowla [1] and Theorem 1 is a refinement of their result.

It is the main purpose of this note to obtain the following refinement of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** There is  $n_0$  such that for every  $n \ge n_0$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying

$$n < x^h + y^h < n + cn^a$$
,

where a, c, and h are as in Theorem 2.

This will be deduced from the following result.

Theorem 4. Let  $h \ge 2$ ,

$$N=N(n)=(n^{1/h}+1)^{h}-n+1$$

and

$$g(n) = N - (N^{1/h} - 1)^{h}$$
.

Then for every  $n \ge 1$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying  $n < x^h + y^h < n + g(n)$ .

The case h=2 of Theorem 3 is weaker than Theorem 1 which however can be obtained easily from Theorem 4.

Extensions of Theorems 4 and 2 and another result of Uchiyama to sums of the form  $x^{f} + y^{h}$  (in place of  $x^{h} + y^{h}$ ) will be given later.

Our proofs have similarities with those of Uchiyama and Bambah and Chowla.

2. We omit the proof of Theorem 4 which is a special case of Theorem 4A to be proved later.

Theorem 3 follows easily from Theorem 4 and the following

lemma.

Lemma 1.  $g(n) < cn^a$  for large n. Proof. We have

$$N(n) = (n^{1/h} + 1)^{h} - n + 1$$
  
=  $h n^{1 - \frac{1}{h}} \{ 1 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{h}}) \},$ 

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$egin{aligned} g(n) &= N - (N^{1/\hbar} - 1)^{\hbar} \ &= h \, N^{1 - rac{1}{\hbar}} \Big\{ 1 - rac{h - 1}{2} N^{-rac{1}{\hbar}} + O(N^{-rac{2}{\hbar}}) \Big\} \ &= c n^{a} \Big\{ 1 - rac{h - 1}{2} h^{-rac{1}{\hbar}} n^{-rac{h - 1}{\hbar^{2}}} + O(n^{-rac{1}{\hbar}}) \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $g(n) < cn^a$  for large n.

Remark 1.  $g(n) \sim cn^a$  for large n.

Remark 2. When h=2,  $N(n)=2(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)$  and so, for  $n\geq 3$ , g(n) $=\!2^{\frac{3}{2}}(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}-1\!<\!2^{\frac{3}{2}}n^{\frac{1}{4}}\text{ since }2^{\frac{3}{2}}\!<\!(n^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\!+n^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad \text{Also }g(n)\!\approx\!3.4\!\approx\!2^{\frac{3}{2}}n^{\frac{1}{4}}\text{ for }$ n=2 and  $g(n)=3, 2^{\frac{3}{2}}n^{\frac{1}{4}}\approx 2.8$  for n=1. Hence Theorem 1 follows easily from Theorem 4.

3. We now generalize Theorems 4 and 2.

Theorem 4A. Let f and  $h \ge 2$ ,

$$N = N(n) = (n^{1/f} + 1)^f - n + 1$$

and

$$g(n) = N - (N^{1/h} - 1)^{h}$$
.

Then for every  $n \ge 1$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying  $n < x^f + y^h < n + q(n)$ 

*Proof.* Clearly N increases with n and 
$$g(n)$$
 with N. Thus  $N \ge 2^{f} \ge 4$  and  $g(n) \ge 4 - (4^{1/h} - 1)^{h} \ge 3$ . Thus the theorem is clearly true if  $\lceil n^{1/f} \rceil - n^{1/f}$ . In the rest of the proof we therefore assume

ly true II  $\lfloor n^{\prime\prime\prime} \rfloor = n^{\prime\prime\prime}$ . In the rest of the proof we therefore assume that

 $m = \lceil n^{1/f} \rceil < n^{1/f}.$ (1)

The theorem is clearly true if

$$(m+1)^{f}+1 < n+g(n).$$

In the rest of the proof we therefore assume that

(2) 
$$(m+1)^{f}+1 \ge n+g(n).$$
 Since

 $m^{f} + \{ \lceil (n - m^{f})^{1/h} \rceil + 1 \}^{h} \le m^{f} + \{ (n - m^{f})^{1/h} + 1 \}^{h}$ 

the theorem follows easily from the following lemma.

Lemma 2. (1) and (2) imply that

$$m^{f} + \{(n - m^{f})^{1/h} + 1\}^{h} < n + g(n).$$

*Proof.* From (2)

$$n-m^{f} \leq (m+1)^{f}-m^{f}+1-g(n).$$

Clearly  $(m+1)^{f} - m^{f}$  increases with m. Hence from (1)

1112

No. 10]

$$n-m^{f} < (n^{1/f}+1)^{f}-n+1-g(n)$$
  
=  $N-g(n) = (N^{1/h}-1)^{h}$ .

Hence

$$\begin{split} m^{f} + \{ (n-m^{f})^{1/h} + 1 \}^{h} &= n + \{ (n-m^{f})^{1/h} + 1 \}^{h} - (n-m^{f}) \\ &< n + N - (N^{1/h} - 1)^{h} = n + g(n) \end{split}$$

since  $\{(n-m^{f})^{1/h}+1\}^{h}-(n-m^{f})$  clearly increases with  $n-m^{f}$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 3. In the definitions of N(n) and g(n) in Theorem 4A the roles of f and h can be interchanged to yield a new function g(n).

Theorem 2A. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for every  $n \ge n_0$  there are positive integers x and y satisfying  $n < x^f + y^h < n + (c + \varepsilon)n^a$ ,

where

$$a = \left(1 - \frac{1}{f}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right), \quad c = h f^{1 - \frac{1}{h}} \text{ and } f \text{ and } h \ge 2.$$

This follows from Theorem 4A and the following lemma. Lemma 3.  $g(n) \sim cn^a$  for large n. *Proof.* We have

$$N(n) = (n^{\frac{1}{f}} + 1)^{f} - n + 1 \sim f n^{1 - \frac{1}{f}}$$

for large n. Hence

$$g(n) = N - (N^{\frac{1}{h}} - 1)^{h} \sim h N^{1 - \frac{1}{h}} \sim c n^{a}$$

for large n. This completes the proof.

Remark 4. In Theorem 2A we can replace c by  $C = fh^{1-\frac{1}{f}}$  by interchanging f and h. If f < h there is an improvement since C < c. If f > h it can be proved that  $c + \varepsilon$  can be replaced by C but there is no improvement since  $c + \varepsilon < C$  if  $\varepsilon$  is small.

4. We omit the proof of the following result result.

**Theorem 5.** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set of integers n for which the interval  $(n, n+\varepsilon n^{\alpha})$ , where a, f, and h are as in Theorem 2A, contains an integer of the form  $x^{f}+y^{h}$  has a positive density.

The case f=h is due to Uchiyama [2]. His proof can be easily modified to prove our result.

## References

- [1] R. P. Bambah and S. Chowla: On numbers which can be expressed as a sum of two squares. Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, 13, 101-103 (1947).
- [2] S. Uchiyama: On the distribution of integers representable as a sum of two h-th powers. J. Fac. Sic., Hokkaidô Univ., Ser. I, 18, 124-127(1965).