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1. Introduction. If we modify the paper [3] as follows, then Smoothability Theorem of that paper can be extended the case of bounded combinatorial manifold. For general terminology and definition, see [3].

Let $M$ be a compact bounded combinatorial $n$-manifold piecewise linearly imbedded in a combinatorial ( $n+k$ )-manifold $W^{n+k}$ without boundary and $X, Y, Z$ be simplicial divisions of $M, \partial M, W$ such that $X$ and $Y$ are subcomplexes of $Z$ and $X$ respectively. Then $N(X, Z) \bmod Y$ denotes the star neighborhood of $X$ in $Z \bmod Y$, that is, the polyhedron consists of simplices of $Z$ containing simplices whose interior is contained in $|X-Y|$.

Definition 1. Let $M$ be a compact bounded $n$-manifold imbedded piecewise linearly in euclidean $(n+k)$-space $R, k \geqq 1$. We say that $M$ is in smoothable position in $R$ if the following is satisfied.

Let $K_{0}$ and $L_{0}$ be simplicial divisions of $M$ and $R$ respectively, where $K_{0}$ is a complete subcomplex of $L_{0}$. And let $H_{0}$ be simplicial division of $\partial M$, where $H_{0}$ is a complete subcomplex of $K_{0}$.

Then there exist piecewise linear proper imbeddings

$$
\varphi_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow \partial\left(N\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Int} N\left(H_{i}^{\prime}, \partial\left(N\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for each $0 \leqq i \leqq k-1$, where $M_{0}=M$ and for $1 \leqq i \leqq k, M_{i}=\varphi_{i-1}\left(M_{i-1}\right)$ and where $K_{i}, H_{i}$, and $L_{i}$ are simplicial subdivisions of $M_{i}, \partial M_{i}$ and $\partial\left(N\left(K_{i-1}^{\prime}, L_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Int} N\left(H_{i-1}^{\prime}, \partial\left(N\left(K_{i-1}^{\prime}, L_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

In the text, however, $W_{i}$ stands for
$\partial\left(N\left(K_{i-1}^{\prime}, L_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{Int} N\left(H_{i-1}^{\prime}, \partial\left(N\left(K_{i-1}^{\prime}, L_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$
and $L_{i}$ will be the subcomplex of $L_{i-1}^{\prime}$ covering $W_{i}$ for each $1 \leqq i \leqq k$.
Then $\partial W_{i}=\partial N\left(H_{i-1}^{\prime}, \partial\left(N\left(K_{i-1}^{\prime}, L_{i-1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
Note that $M_{i}$ is a combinatorial $n$-manifold with boundary, which is combinatorially equivalent to $M$, and $W_{i}$ is a combinatorial ( $n+k-i$ )manifold with boundary, for each $1 \leqq i \leqq k$, satisfying $M_{i} \subset W_{i}$ and $W_{1} \supset W_{2} \supset \cdots \supset W_{k}$. Furthermore $N\left(K_{0}^{\prime}, L_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{0}^{\prime}$ is a regular neighborhood of $M \bmod \partial M$ in $R^{n+k}$ and $N\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{i}^{\prime}$ is a regular neighborhood of $M_{i} \bmod \partial M_{i}$ in $W_{i}$ in the sense of [1], $i=1$.

The extended result of [3] is the following.

Theorem 1. If a compact bounded combinatorial n-manifold $M$ is in smoothable position in $(n+k)$-space $R, k \geqq 1$, then $M$ is smoothable.

Theorem 2. If the regular neighborhood $U$ of $M \bmod \partial M$ in $R$ is combinatorially equivalent to $M \times B^{k}$ where $B^{k}$ is a combinatorial $n$ ball, then $M$ is smoothable.

Proof. Using the uniqueness theorem for relative regular neighborhood [4 p. 21 Theorem 4.9] or [2], $U=N\left(K_{0}^{\prime}, L_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{0}^{\prime}$ keeping $M$ fixed where $K_{0}, L_{0}, H_{0}$ are similar to Definition 1. Hence $N\left(K_{0}^{\prime}, L_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ $\bmod H_{0}^{\prime}=M \times B^{k}$ and by Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that $M$ is smoothable position in $R$ under the above condition. Since $N\left(K_{0}^{\prime}, L_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ $\bmod H_{0}^{\prime}=M \times B^{k-1}$ there is a proper imbedding

$$
\varphi_{0}: M \rightarrow W_{1}=M \times S^{k-1}
$$

defined by taking $\varphi_{0}(x)=\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ for $x \in M_{0}$ where $S^{k-1}=\partial B^{k}$ is a combinatorial ( $k-1$ )-sphere and $x_{0}$ is a fixed point of $S^{k-1}$.

Let $B^{k-1}$ be a combinatorial $(k-1)$-ball of $S^{k-1}$ containing $x_{0}$ in the interior.

It is clear that $M \times B^{k-1}$ is a regular neighborhood of $M \times\left\{x_{0}\right\}\left(=M_{1}\right) \bmod \partial M_{1}$ in $M \times S^{k-1}\left(=W_{1}\right)$ and since there exists a subdivision $L_{1}$ of $M \times S^{k-1}$ such that $M \times B^{k-1}, N\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{1}^{\prime}$ are satisfying the condition of [4, Theorem 4.9], $N\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}\right) \bmod H_{1}^{\prime}=M \times B^{k-1}$ and there is a proper imbedding

$$
\varphi_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow W_{2} \cong M \times S^{k-2}
$$

defined by taking $y_{1}(y)=\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ for $y \in M$ where $S^{k-2}=\partial B^{k-1}$ and $y_{0}$ is a fixed point of $S^{k-2}$, and so on. Hence $M$ is smoothable position in $R$, and therefore Theorem 2 is proved.

Suppose that a combinatorial $n$-manifold $M$ is in smoothable position in $R$. Using Definition $1, M_{k}$ is combinatorially equivalent to $M$. Therefore Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 3 below in accordance with [7, p. 159].

Theorem 3. Let a compact bounded n-manifold $M$ be in smoothable position in euclidean $(n+k)$-space, $k \geqq 1$. Then $M_{k}$ admits a transverse $k$-plane field over $M_{k}$.

Theorem 4. If the $n$-ball $B^{n}$ is piecewise linearly imbedded in ( $n+k$ )-space $R, k \geqq 2$, then it is arbitrarily approximated by the $n$-ball which is in smoothable position.

Since proof of Theorem 3 is completely analogous to [3], it is omitted. In the following we prove Theorem 4.
2. Proof of Theorem 4. Let $B^{n}$ be an $n$-ball piecewise linearly and locally flatly imbedded in $R^{n+k}$, then there exist simplicial divisions $K, L$ of $B^{n}, R^{n+k}$ respectively such that $\left(N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \bmod H^{\prime}, B^{n}\right)$ is an unknotted ball pair $\left(B^{n+k}, B^{n}\right)$ where $H$ is a simplicial division of $\partial B$ compatible with $K$ [1, Corollary 10].

In fact if a pair is unknotted then it is locally flat, because we triangulate with a standard pair.

Since ( $B^{n+k}, B^{n}$ ) is an unknotted ball pair, there exists a $P L$ homeomorphism

$$
h:\left(B^{n+k}, B^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(I^{n+k}, I^{n}\right)
$$

where $I=(-1,1)$ and where $I^{i}$ is imbedded in $I^{i+1}$ as $I^{i} \times 0$ for $0 \leqq i \leqq n$ $+k-1$. Hence $N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right) \bmod H^{\prime} \cong B^{n} \times B^{k}$ therefore $B^{n}$ is in smoothable position in $R^{n+k}$ by Theorem 2.

On the other hand after Zeeman [8] locally knotting can not occur in codimension greater than 3.

Furthermore by [5, Corollary 1] any locally knotted proper embedding $f: B^{n} \rightarrow B^{n+2}$ is arbitrarily approximated by a locally flat embedding.

Therefore by the above remark we obtain the result.
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