

105. A General Local Ergodic Theorem

By Yoshihiro KUBOKAWA

The Institute of Statistical Mathematics

(Comm. by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1972)

1. Introduction and the theorem. The purpose of this note is to prove a local ergodic theorem for a one-parameter semi-group of positive bounded linear operators on $L_1(X)$. A local ergodic theorem for a one-parameter semi-group of positive linear contractions was proved by Krengel [5], Ornstein [6], Akcoglu-Chacon [1] and Terrell [7] under little different conditions. Fong-Sucheston gave a proof of a local ergodic theorem for a special class of one-parameter semi-groups of positive uniformly bounded linear operators [4].

Let (X, \mathfrak{B}, m) be a σ -finite measure space and $L_1(X) = L_1(X, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ be the Banach space of real integrable functions on X . Let $(T_t)(t \geq 0)$ be a strongly continuous one-parameter semi-group of positive bounded linear operators on $L_1(X)$. This means that ① T_t is a positive bounded linear operator on $L_1(X)$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $T_0 = I$ (identity) (The positivity of T means that $Tf \geq 0$, if $f \geq 0$.), ② $T_{t+s}f = T_t \circ T_s f$ for any $t, s \geq 0$ and $f \in L_1(X)$, ③ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T_t f - f\| = 0$ for any $f \in L_1(X)$ (strong continuity). Then there exist constants M, β such that $\|T_t\| \leq M e^{\beta t}$ [9]. (If we can take $M=1, \beta=0$, then (T_t) is said to be a strongly continuous one-parameter semi-group of positive linear contractions.) By the strong continuity of (T_t) , there exists a function $g(t, x)$ such that for a fixed $t \geq 0$, $g(t, x) = (T_t f)(x)$ for a.e. x and $g(t, x)$ is $\mathfrak{L}^+ \times \mathfrak{B}$ -measurable, where \mathfrak{L}^+ is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets on the half real line $[0, \infty)$. The function with this property is uniquely determined in the class of $\mathfrak{L}^+ \times \mathfrak{B}$ -measurable functions [3, 8]. We define the integral

$$\int_a^b (T_t f)(x) dt \quad (0 \leq a < b < \infty) \quad \text{by} \quad \int_a^b g(t, x) dt.$$

We shall prove the following

Theorem. *Let (T_t) be a strongly continuous one-parameter semi-group of positive bounded linear operators on $L_1(X)$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. for any } f \in L_1(X).$$

Corollary. *If $g \geq 0$ and $g \in L_1(X)$, then we have*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt}{\int_0^\alpha (T_t g)(x) dt} = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \quad \text{a.e. for any } f \in L_1(X)$$

on $\{x : g(x) > 0\}$.

2. The proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in L_1(X)$. For a.e. s (with respect to the Lebesgue measure on the half real line) we have*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_{t+s}f)(x) dt = (T_s f)(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

The proof is based upon the Lebesgue theorem that for any real integrable function $f(t)$ on the real line, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha f(t+s) dt = f(s) \quad \text{for a.e. } s.$$

The proof of Lemma 2 in U. Krengel [5] is valid for that of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2 (a maximal ergodic lemma). *Let $f \in L_1(X)$. If*

$$\limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt > 0$$

on E , then we have

$$\int_E f^-(x) dm \leq \int_X f^+(x) dm.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon (0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ be an arbitrary positive number. By the strong continuity of (T_t) , there exists a positive number δ such that

$$(1) \quad \|T_t f - \chi_E\| \geq (I - \varepsilon) \|f - \chi_E\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_t f^+\| \leq (I + \varepsilon) \|f^+\|$$

for any $t (0 \leq t \leq \delta)$,

$$(2) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \delta} \|T_t\| = K < \infty.$$

(χ_G denotes the characteristic function of a set G)

Let us choose a positive number $\eta (0 < \eta < \delta)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \frac{2\eta K}{\delta - \eta} \|f^-\| < \varepsilon.$$

There exists a positive integer l such that there exists a subset F of E with properties,

$$(4) \quad \sup_{0 \leq j \leq [l\eta]} \sum_{i=0}^j (T_{1/i}^i f)(x) > 0 \quad \text{on } F,$$

$$(5) \quad K \int_{E-F} f^-(x) dm < \varepsilon, \quad \text{where } [a] \text{ is the integral part of } a.$$

This may be proved as follows. It follows from the assumption that

$$(6) \quad \sup_{0 < \alpha < \eta} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt > 0 \quad \text{on } E.$$

Since the integral $1/\alpha \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt$ is a continuous function of the variable $\alpha > 0$ for a.e. x ,

$$(7) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{0 < \alpha < \eta \\ \alpha \in Q_p}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt = \sup_{0 < \alpha < \eta} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt$$

for a.e. x ,

where Q_p is the set of fractions with the denominator p (p is a positive

integer.). We can choose a positive number ϵ' by (6) such that

$$(8) \quad \text{if } m(A) < \epsilon', \text{ then } \mu(A) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \text{ and } \mu(E - E(\epsilon')) < \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

where $\mu(A) = K \int_A f^-(x) dm$ and $E(\epsilon') = \left\{ x : \sup_{0 < \alpha < \eta} 1/\alpha \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt > 2\epsilon' \right\} \cap E$.

It follows from (7) by the Egorov's theorem, there exists an integer q such that, if $p \geq q$,

$$(9) \quad \sup_{\substack{0 < \alpha < \eta \\ \alpha \in Q_p}} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt > \epsilon' \quad \text{for any } x \text{ in a set } F_1 \text{ with} \\ F_1 \subset E(\epsilon') \text{ and } \mu(E(\epsilon') - F_1) < \epsilon/3.$$

Since the integral $1/\alpha \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt$ is equal to the strong limit of $1/[n\alpha] \sum_{i=0}^{[n\alpha]} (T_{i/n} f)(x)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) [3, 8], there exists a positive integer l such that

$$(10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{[l(j/q)]} \sum_{i=0}^{[l(j/q)]} (T_{i/l}^j f)(x) - \frac{q}{j} \int_0^{j/q} (T_t f)(x) dt \right\| < \frac{\epsilon'^2}{[q\eta]} \\ (j = 1, 2, \dots, [q\eta]).$$

And it follows from this that

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{[l(j/q)]} \sum_{i=0}^{[l(j/q)]} (T_{i/l}^j f)(x) - \frac{q}{j} \int_0^{j/q} (T_t f)(x) dt \right| < \epsilon' \\ (j = 1, 2, \dots, [q\eta]),$$

except on a set F_2 with $m(F_2) < \epsilon'$. By (8), $\mu(F_2) < \epsilon/3$. Letting $F = F_1 \cap F_2^c$ we have (4) and (5) by (8), (9) and (11).

We denote $T_{1/l}$ by T so that (4) and (5) are written by (12) and (13), respectively.

$$(12) \quad \sup_{0 \leq j \leq [l\eta]} \sum_{i=0}^j (T^i f)(x) > 0 \quad \text{on } F,$$

$$(13) \quad K \int_{E-F} f^-(x) dm < \epsilon.$$

We use the Chacon-Ornstein lemma :

Lemma (Chacon-Ornstein) [2]. *If $\sup_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sum_{i=0}^j (T^i f)(x) > 0$ on F , then there exist sequences of non-negative functions $\{d_k\}$ and $\{f_k\}$ ($0 \leq k \leq N$) such that*

$$(14) \quad T^n f^+ = \sum_{k=0}^n T^{n-k} d_k + f_n \quad (0 \leq n \leq N),$$

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N d_k \leq f^- \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^N d_k = f^- \quad \text{on } F.$$

Remark. Though the lemma was proved by them under the assumption that $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $N = \infty$, conditions (14) and (15) hold good without the assumption.

Let us apply the lemma with $N = [l\eta]$. Put $n = [l(\delta - \eta)]$ and $S_n f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k f$. We have by (1), (14) and $f_N \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad (1 + \varepsilon) \int f^+ dm &\geq \int \frac{S_n}{n} T^N f^+ dm \\
 &\geq \int \frac{S_n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^N d_k dm + \sum_{k=0}^N \int \frac{S_n}{n} (T^{N-k} d_k - d_k) dm.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left| \int S_n/n(T^j d - d) dm \right| \leq (2j/n)K \|d\|$, it follows from (16) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad \int \frac{S_n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^N d_k \chi_F dm &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int f^+ dm + \frac{2KN}{n} \int \sum_{k=0}^N d_k dm \\
 &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int f^+ dm + \frac{2KN}{n} \int f^- dm.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \int_E f^- dm &\leq \int \frac{S_n}{n} f^- \chi_E dm \\
 &= \int \frac{S_n}{n} \sum_{k=0}^N d_k \chi_F dm + \int \frac{S_n}{n} f^- \chi_{E-F} dm.
 \end{aligned}$$

And so by (17) and (2)

$$(19) \quad (1 - \varepsilon) \int_E f^- dm \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int f^+ dm + \frac{2KN}{n} \int f^- dm + K \int f^- \chi_{E-F} dm.$$

If l tends to infinity, $N/n = [l\eta]/[l(\delta - \eta)]$ tends to $\eta/(\delta - \eta)$, and by (19), (3) and (13) we have,

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \int_E f^- dm \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int f^+ dm + 2\varepsilon.$$

Arbitrariness of ε implies the Lemma 2.

The proof of the theorem. If the theorem does not hold, then there exists a positive number $\delta (0 < \delta < 1)$, a function f and a set E such that

$$(20) \quad \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt - f(x) > \delta$$

on E and $0 < m(E) < \infty$.

Let ε' be an arbitrary positive number with $0 < \varepsilon' < 1/10$. Put $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \delta$. By Lemma 1 we can choose a function g such that

$$(21) \quad |f - g| < \varepsilon, \text{ except on a set with a measure less than } \varepsilon \min(m(E), 1),$$

$$(22) \quad \|f - g\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$(23) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t g)(x) dt = g(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then we have by (20), (21) and (23)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha T_t (f - g)(x) dt \\
 = \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t f)(x) dt - f(x) + f(x) - g(x) > \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{on } F,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $F = E \cap \{x : |f - g| < \varepsilon\}$ and therefore by (21)

$$m(E - F) < \varepsilon \min(m(E), 1).$$

Again by Lemma 1 we can choose a non-negative function h (Put $h(x) = (T_s(1 - \varepsilon/2)\chi_F)(x)$ for a suitable s .) such that

$$(25) \quad 1 - \varepsilon \leq h(x) \leq 1 \text{ on } G \text{ with } G \subset F \text{ and } m(F - G) < \varepsilon \min(m(E), 1),$$

$$(26) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha (T_t h)(x) dt = h(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Then we have by (24), (25) and (26),

$$(27) \quad \limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\alpha T_t \left(f - g - \frac{\delta}{2} h \right) (x) dt > 0 \quad \text{on } G.$$

By Lemma 2, $h \geq 0$ and (22), we have

$$(28) \quad \int_G \left(f - g - \frac{\delta}{2} h \right)^-(x) dm \leq \int_x \left(f - g - \frac{\delta}{2} h \right)^+(x) dm < \int (f - g)^+(x) dm < \varepsilon.$$

Since $(f - g - (\delta/2)h)^-(x) > \delta/3$ on G by (21), (24) and (25) we have, remembering $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' \delta$ ($0 < \varepsilon' < 1/10$, $0 < \delta < 1$),

$$(29) \quad m(E) \leq m(G) + 2\varepsilon < 3\varepsilon' + 2\varepsilon < 5\varepsilon'.$$

Arbitrariness of ε' implies that $m(E) = 0$. This contradicts the assumption (20) and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor K. Yosida of Gakushuin University and to Professor S. Tsurumi of Tokyo Metropolitan University for valuable discussions on the subject.

References

- [1] M. A. Akcoglu and R. V. Chacon: A local ratio theorem. *Canad. J. Math.*, **22**, 545-552 (1970).
- [2] R. Chacon and D. Ornstein: A general ergodic theorem. *Ill. J. Math.*, **4**, 153-160 (1960).
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz: *Linear Operators. I.* Interscience (1958).
- [4] H. Fong and L. Sucheston: On the ratio ergodic theorem for semi-groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, **39**, 659-667 (1971).
- [5] U. Krengel: A local ergodic theorem. *Inventiones Math.*, **6**, 329-333 (1969).
- [6] D. Ornstein: The sum of iterates of a positive operator. *Advances in Probability and Related Topics* (Edited by P. Ney), **2**, 87-115 (1970).
- [7] T. R. Terrell: Local ergodic theorem for n -parameter semi-groups of operators. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. 160, 262-278 (1970). Springer-Verlag.
- [8] S. Tsurumi: Ergodic theorems (in Japanese). *Sugaku*, **13**, 80-88 (1961/62).
- [9] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis.* Springer-Verlag (1965).