## 82. The Connection between the Order and the Diameter of a Neighborhood in a Vector Space

By Masatoshi NAKAMURA Kobe University

## (Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., June 11, 1974)

In this paper, we investigate the connection between the order and the convergence exponent of the diameter of a bounded set in a normed space. We apply then the obtained results to a locally convex topological vector space.

1. Let E be a vector space over the field of real or complex numbers and A and B arbitrary sets in E.

For each positive number  $\varepsilon$ , let  $M(A, B; \varepsilon)$  be the supremum of all natural numbers m, for which there exist elements  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$  with  $x_i - x_j \notin \varepsilon B$  for  $i \neq j$   $(1 \leq i, j \leq m)$ . Let  $\rho(A, B)$  be the infimum of all positive numbers  $\rho$ , for which there is a positive number  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $M(A, B; \varepsilon) < \exp(\varepsilon^{-\rho})$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . If no number  $\rho$  with the given property exists we set  $\rho(A, B) = +\infty$ . We then call  $\rho(A, B)$  the order of Awith respect to B; as is easily seen, we have

 $\rho(A,B) = \overline{\lim} \{ \log \log M(A,B;\varepsilon) / \log \varepsilon^{-1} \}.$ 

The infimum  $\delta_n(A, B)$  of all positive numbers  $\delta$ , for which there is a vector subspace F of E of dimension at most n with  $V \subset \delta U + F$  is called the *n*-th diameter of A with respect to B.

Let  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to zero. We call the infimum  $\lambda$ , of those values  $\mu$  for which the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{\mu}$  converges, the *exponent of convergence* of the sequence  $\{1/a_n\}$ , and we call the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $\{\log a_n^{-1}\}$  the *convergence type* of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number, then we have the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\lambda$  be the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $\{1/a_n\}$ . Then  $\lambda = \overline{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}} \{\log m(\varepsilon)/\log \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ , where  $m(\varepsilon)$  denotes the number of terms of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  which are greater than  $\varepsilon$ .

For a proof see [1], p. 89.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\tau$  be the convergence type of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ . Then

 $\tau = \overline{\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}} \{ \log m(\epsilon) / \log \log \epsilon^{-1} \}.$ 

**Proof.** Applying Lemma 1 to the sequence  $\{\log a_n^{-1}\}$ , we see that  $\tau = \overline{\lim}_{\delta \to 0} \{\log l(\delta) / \log \delta^{-1}\} (\delta > 0)$ , where  $l(\delta)$  is the number of terms of  $\{\log a_n^{-1}\}$  greater than  $\delta$ . But obviously  $l(\delta) = m(e^{-1/\delta})$ . Therefore

Nos. 5, 6]

 $\tau = \overline{\lim_{\delta \to 0}} \{ \log m(e^{-1/\delta}) / \log \delta^{-1} \}.$ 

Replacing  $e^{-1/\delta}$  by  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain the lemma.

Let E be a real normed space and U the closed unit ball of E. Then we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 3. For each bounded subset B of E,  $\delta_n(B, U) \leq \varepsilon/4$  implies the inequality

 $M(B, U; \varepsilon) \leq (4\delta_0(B, U)\varepsilon^{-1}+2)^n.$ 

This is shown by a modification of Lemma 1 (p. 144) of [4].

Lemma 4. For each absolutely convex bounded subset B of E, the inequality

$$\delta_0(B, U) \cdots \delta_n(B, U) \leq (n+1)! \varepsilon^{n+1} M(B, U; \varepsilon)$$

is valid for all non-negative integers n and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

For a proof see [4], p. 145.

Lemma 5. Let B be an absolutely convex bounded subset of E, and let  $\lambda$  be the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $\{\delta_n(B, U)^{-1}\}$ . Then  $\rho(B, U) \leq \lambda$ . If  $\rho(B, U) < 1$ , then

 $\lambda \leq \rho(B, U) / \{1 - \rho(B, U)\}.$ 

**Proof.** First, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $m(\varepsilon)$  be the number of terms of the sequence  $\{\delta_n(B, U)\}$  which are greater than  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $m(\varepsilon/4) = n$  implies  $\delta_n(B, U) \leq \varepsilon/4$ , we have

$$M(B, U; \varepsilon) \leq (4\delta_0(B, U)\varepsilon^{-1}+2)^n$$

by Lemma 3. Therefore

 $\{\log \log M(B, U; \varepsilon)\}/\log \varepsilon^{-1} \leq \log m(\varepsilon/4)/\log \varepsilon^{-1} + \gamma(\varepsilon),$ 

where  $\gamma(\varepsilon) = \{\log \log 6\delta_0 \varepsilon^{-1}\}/\log \varepsilon^{-1}$ . But, then since  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \gamma(\varepsilon) = 0$ , we obtain  $\rho(B, U) \leq \lambda$  by Lemma 1.

Next, let  $\rho(B, U) \leq 1$ , then for any  $\rho'$  with  $\rho(B, U) \leq \rho' \leq 1$ , there exist  $\varepsilon_0 \geq 0$  and  $\rho$  such that  $\rho(B, U) \leq \rho < \rho'$  and  $M(B, U; \varepsilon) \leq \exp(\varepsilon^{-\rho})$  for all  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ . Put  $\mu = \rho/\{1-\rho\}$ . If  $n_0$  is an integer with  $(n_0+1)^{(1/\mu+1)}\varepsilon_0 \geq 1$  then

$$\delta_n(B, U) \leq e(n+1)^{-1/\mu} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

In fact, if  $\delta_m(B, U) > e(m+1)^{-1/\mu}$  for some integer  $m \ge n_0$  we obtain the inequality

 $e^{m+1}(m+1)^{-(m+1)/\mu} \leq \delta_0(B, U) \cdots \delta_m(B, U) \leq (m+1)! \varepsilon^{m+1}M(B, U; \varepsilon)$ on the basis of Lemma 4. If we put  $\varepsilon = (m+1)^{-(1/\mu+1)}$ , then the estimates  $M(B, U; \varepsilon) \leq \exp\{(m+1)^{\rho(1/\mu+1)}\}$  and  $(m+1)! \leq (m+1)^{m+1}$  together with multiplication by  $(m+1)^{-(m+1)/\mu}$  and taking natural logarithmus lead to the contradiction  $m+1 \leq (m+1)^{\rho(1/\mu+1)} = m+1$ .

Therefore, for each  $\mu'$  with  $\mu' > \mu$  we have

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \delta_n(B, U)^{\mu'} \leq e \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{-\mu'/\mu} < \infty.$$

Thus we obtain the inequality  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , and so the second inequality in the lemma holds.

Remark. B. S. Mityagin [2] has proved Lemma 5 for a compact set B.

2. In this section we consider a locally convex topological vector space E over the field of real or complex numbers. Let U and V be two zero neighborhoods of E such that V is absorbed by U. Then, from Lemma 5 the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\lambda$  be the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $\{\delta_n(V, U)^{-1}\}$ . Then  $\rho(V, U) \leq \lambda$ . If  $\rho(V, U) < 1$ , then

$$\lambda \leq \rho(V, U) / \{1 - \rho(V, U)\}.$$

A locally convex space E is called *s*-nuclear (cf. [4], p. 161) if for each zero neighborhood U of E, there exists a zero neighborhood V of E such that V is absorbed by U and the canonical mapping from E(V)onto E(U) is of type s. We have the following

Corollary. A locally convex space E is s-nuclear if and only if each zero neighborhood U contains a zero neighborhood V such that  $\rho(V, U)=0$ .

**Proof.** *E* is *s*-nuclear if and only if for each zero neighborhood *U*, there is a zero neighborhood *V* with  $V \subset U$  such that the sequence  $\{\delta_n(V, U)\}$  is rapidly decreasing by Lemma 1 of [3]. But  $\{\delta_n(V, U)\}$  is rapidly decreasing if and only if  $\lambda = 0$ , and  $\lambda = 0$  if and only if  $\rho(V, U) = 0$  by Theorem 5, where  $\lambda$  is the exponent of convergence of the sequence  $\{\delta_n(V, U)^{-1}\}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let U and V be two zero neighborhoods of a locally convex space E such that V is absorbed by U, and let  $\tau(V, U)$  be the convergence type of the sequence  $\{\delta_n(V, U)\}$  and

 $\sigma(V, U) = \overline{\lim} \{ \log \log M(V, U; \varepsilon) / \log \log \varepsilon^{-1} \}.$ 

Then we have

$$\sigma(V, U) \leq \tau(V, U) + 1.$$

**Proof.** Let  $m(\varepsilon) = \sup \{n; \delta_n(V, U) > \varepsilon\}$  and  $m(\varepsilon/4) = n-1$ . Then  $\delta_n(V, U) \leq \varepsilon/4$ . Therefore  $M(V, U; \varepsilon) \leq (4\delta_0(V, U)\varepsilon^{-1}+2)^n$  by Lemma 3. From this it follows that

 $\log \log M(V, U; \epsilon) \leq \log \{m(\epsilon/4) + 1\} + \log (\log 6\delta_0(V, U) + \log \epsilon^{-1}).$ But  $\tau(V, U) = \overline{\lim}_{\epsilon \to 0} \{\log m(\epsilon/4) / \log \log \epsilon^{-1}\}$  by Lemma 2. Thus the relation  $\sigma(V, U) \leq \tau(V, U) + 1$  is proved.

Remark. For a vector space with a bornological structure, replacing two neighborhoods of the theorems above by two absolutely convex bounded sets, we can similarly show that the theorems above are valid for such a space.

## References

- [1] I. M. Gel'fand and N. Ya. Vilenkin: Generalized Functions. 4. Academic Press, New York and London (1964).
- [2] B. S. Mityagin: Relationship between the ε-entropy, approximation rate, and nuclearity of a compactum in a linear space. Soviet Math. Doklady, 1, 1140-1143 (1961).
- [3] M. Nakamura: Sur une caractérisation d'espaces S-nucléaires. Mathematics Seminar Notes (Kobe Univ.), 1 (1973).
- [4] A. Pietsch: Nukleare lokalkonvexe Räume. Academie-Verlag, Berlin (1969).