175. Global Analytic-Hypoellipticity of the $\overline{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem

By Gen KOMATSU Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University (Comm. by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1975)

1. Statement of Theorem. Let $M \subset C^n$ be a domain with compact closure \overline{M} and (real)-analytic boundary bM. We denote by r the distance function to bM measured as positive outside and negative inside M. We define Ω'_{ρ} as the tubular neighborhood of bM in C^n with small width ρ , and set $\Omega_{\rho} = \overline{M} \cap \Omega'_{\rho}$. By T_t we denote the subbundle of the complexified tangent bundle CT over Ω'_{ρ} of all vectors X with $\langle dr, X \rangle = 0$, where \langle , \rangle is the duality between covectors and vectors. Splitting CT as $CT = T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$ with the subbundle $T^{1,0} \cap T_t$ and $T^{0,1}_t = \overline{T}^{1,0}_t$. Then the Levi form at $P \in \Omega'_{\rho}$ is defined on the fibre $(T^{1,0}_t)_P$ of $T^{1,0}_t$ at Pby

$$(T_t^{1,0})_{\mathbb{P}} \times (T_t^{1,0})_{\mathbb{P}} \ni (X_1, X_2) \mapsto \langle \partial \bar{\partial} r, X_1 \wedge \overline{X}_2 \rangle.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ the space of forms of type (p,q) on \overline{M} which have C^{∞} extensions to \mathbb{C}^n , and define the L^2 -inner product by

$$(arphi, \psi) \!=\! \int_{M} \langle arphi, \psi
angle dV, \qquad arphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q},$$

with the pointwise inner product \langle , \rangle and the volume form dV on M. For the Cauchy-Riemann operator $\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1} \to \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ and its formal adjoint $\vartheta : \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}$, integration by parts gives us

$$(\vartheta\varphi,\phi) = (\varphi,\bar{\vartheta}\phi) + \int_{\delta M} \langle \sigma(\vartheta,dr)\varphi,\phi\rangle dS,$$

where $\sigma(\cdot, dr)$ denotes the principal symbol of \cdot at dr, and dS the volume form on bM. We set $\mathcal{D}^{p,q} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}; \sigma(\vartheta, dr)\varphi = 0 \text{ on } bM\}$, and define a quadratic form on $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ by

$$Q(\varphi, \psi) = (\bar{\partial}\varphi, \bar{\partial}\psi) + (\vartheta\varphi, \vartheta\psi) + (\varphi, \psi), \qquad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}.$$

Consider the following variational problem (cf. [1], [3]): Given $\lambda \in C$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ with q > 0, find $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ such that

(1) $Q(\varphi, \psi) + (\lambda \varphi, \psi) = (\alpha, \psi)$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$. Now we have

Theorem. If the Levi form is non-degenerate and does not have exactly q negative eigenvalues in Ω'_{ρ} , then every solution φ of the equation (1) is analytic in Ω_{ρ} whenever α is analytic there.

We remark that this Theorem can easily be generalized to the case of domains M in complex manifolds with analytic hermitian metric.

Global Analytic-Hypoellipticity

Suppl.]

2. A priori estimate and a special vector field. Letting $\mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q}$

denote the space of elements in $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ supported in Ω_{ρ} and setting $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{p,q} = \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q} \cap \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, we define an operator $\overline{n} : \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q}$ of order zero by $\overline{n} = \sigma(-\overline{\partial}\vartheta, dr)$, which is an orthogonal projection relative to the inner product \langle , \rangle . Denoting by $\Gamma(\cdot)$ the space of sections of \cdot over Ω'_{ρ} and letting $\mathcal{V}_X : \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}_{\rho}^{p,q}$ be the (complex) covariant differentiation along $X \in \Gamma(CT)$, we set $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_X = \overline{n} \mathcal{V}_X \overline{n} + (1-\overline{n}) \mathcal{V}_X (1-\overline{n})$, which maps $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{p,q}$ into itself if $X \in \Gamma(T_t)$.

By means of local orthonormal basis (L_1, \dots, L_n) of $T^{1,0}$ with $L_n = R$ = the dual of ∂r , we define a norm on $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{p,q}$ by

$$N(\varphi) = \left(\int_{M} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}}_{L_{i}}\varphi|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}}_{L_{i}}\varphi|^{2} + |\varphi|^{2} \right) dV \right)^{1/2}$$

with $|\varphi|^2 = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle$, which is well-defined when ρ is small.

We say the *basic estimate* holds in $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ if for some C>0,

 $\int_{{}^{bM}} |\varphi|^2 dS \leqslant CQ(\varphi,\varphi) \qquad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q},$

an estimate guaranteed by our assumption (see [2]). Now one has

Lemma 1 (a priori estimate). If the basic estimate holds in $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, then there exists a constant C>0 such that

$$C^{-1}N(\varphi)^2 \leqslant Q(\varphi,\varphi) \leqslant CN(\varphi)^2$$
 for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho}^{p,q}$

We need commutator estimates as usual in proving the regularity. The vector field Y given in the following lemma will play an essential role in our commutator estimates.

Lemma 2. Suppose that the Levi form is non-degenerate in Ω'_{ρ} . If ρ is sufficiently small, then there exists an analytic vector field $Y \in \Gamma(T_t)$ with $\overline{Y} = -Y$ such that

 $\langle \partial r, [X, Y] \rangle = 0 \text{ in } \Omega'_{\rho} \text{ for all } X \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}_t \oplus T^{0,1}_t),$

 $\langle \partial r, [\overline{R}, Y] \rangle = 0 \text{ on } bM \text{ and } \langle \partial r, Y \rangle = 1 \text{ on } bM,$

where $[X_1, X_2]$ denotes the commutator $X_1X_2 - X_2X_1$.

Taking ample vector fields $Z_1, \dots, Z_{2n} \in \Gamma(T_t^{1,0} \oplus T_t^{0,1})$ which are analytic, and letting |K| = l and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_Z^K = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{Z_{\kappa_1}} \cdots \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{Z_{\kappa_l}}$ for ordered integers $K = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_l)$ with $1 \leq \kappa_i \leq 2n$, we set

 $N(arphi ; l,m) \!=\! (l\!+\!m) \,!^{-1} \max_{|K|=l} N(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_Z^K \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_Y^m arphi) \qquad ext{for } arphi \in \mathcal{D}_{
ho}^{p,q}.$

3. Sketch of the proof of Theorem. Recall that the solution φ of the equation (1) satisfies the second order differential equation

 $\Box \varphi + (\lambda + 1) \varphi = \alpha, \quad \text{where } \Box = \bar{\partial} \vartheta + \vartheta \bar{\partial}.$

Then, if we notice that the operator \square has analytic coefficients and is of elliptic type, the analyticity of the solution φ near bM will follow by virtue of the Holmgren's theorem from that of the Cauchy data of φ on bM (see [4]), a fact which is equivalent to

(2) $N(\zeta \varphi; l, m) \leq C_0 C_1^l C_2^m$ for all $l \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$, where $\zeta = \zeta(r)$ is a function of r supported in Ω'_{ρ} and $\zeta = 1$ near bM. In view of Lemma 1, the inequalities (2) will be obtained by estimating the commutators

(3) $Q(D\zeta\varphi, D\zeta\varphi) - Q(\zeta\varphi, D^*D\zeta\varphi), \quad D = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_Z^{\kappa} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_Y^m, |K| = l,$ with D^* denoting the formal adjoint of D, for $\zeta\varphi$ satisfies the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann conditions $\zeta\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and $\bar{\partial}(\zeta\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q+1}$ so that

 $Q(\zeta\varphi, D^*D\zeta\varphi) + (\lambda\zeta\varphi, D^*D\zeta\varphi) = ((\Box + \lambda + 1)\zeta\varphi, D^*D\zeta\varphi).$

The estimates of (3) are carried out by using the properties of Y in Lemma 2, and the proof of (2) can be done in two step induction. One proves first the inequalities (2) for l=0 by inductive use of the corresponding estimates of (3). The case l>0 can be obtained by combining the estimates of (3) with the results for l=0.

References

- G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn: The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 75, Princeton Univ. Press (1972).
- [2] L. Hörmander: L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. Acta Math., 113, 89-152 (1965).
- [3] J. J. Kohn: Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudo-convex manifolds. I. Ann. of Math., 78, 112-148 (1963); II. ibid., 79, 450-472 (1964).
- [4] C. B. Morrey, Jr., and L. Nirenberg: On the analyticity of the solutions of linear elliptic systems of partial differential equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10, 271-290 (1957).