

### 34. On the Periods of Enriques Surfaces. I

By Eiji HORIKAWA  
University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., March 12, 1977)

**§ 1. Introduction.** A non-singular algebraic surface  $S$  is called an Enriques surface if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The geometric genus and the irregularity both vanish.
- (ii) If  $K$  is a canonical divisor on  $S$ ,  $2K$  is linearly equivalent to 0.

Historically speaking Enriques surfaces were the first example of non-rational algebraic surfaces which satisfy the above condition (i). In this paper we are mainly interested in Enriques surfaces over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

From the condition (ii), it follows that there exists a two-sheeted unramified covering  $\pi: T \rightarrow S$  such that  $T$  is a  $K3$  surface. Since every  $K3$  surface is known to be simply-connected by Kodaira [6],  $T$  is the universal covering of  $S$ . We take a holomorphic 2-form  $\psi$  on  $T$  which is non-zero everywhere, and consider the integrals

$$(1) \quad \int_{\gamma} \psi \quad \text{for } \gamma \in H_2(T, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We let  $\tau$  denote the covering transformation  $T \rightarrow T$  over  $S$  so that  $\tau^2 = \text{id}$ . Since  $S$  has no holomorphic 2-form, we have  $\tau^*\psi = -\psi$ . On the other hand,  $\tau$  acts on  $H_2(T, \mathbb{Z})$  as an involution. If  $\gamma$  is invariant by  $\tau$ , then the above integral (1) vanishes. Therefore the periods of  $\psi$  are determined by the integrals (1) over those 2-cycles  $\gamma$  satisfying  $\tau\gamma = -\gamma$ . Our main result is that the isomorphism class of  $S$  is uniquely determined by these periods. A more precise statement will be given in § 4. Details will be published elsewhere.

**§ 2. Elliptic surfaces of index 2.** It is known that an Enriques surface  $S$  has a structure of an elliptic surface (see [1], [8]). That is, there exists a surjective holomorphic map  $g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  whose general fibre  $C$  is an elliptic curve. Moreover there exists a divisor  $G$  on  $S$  with  $CG = 2$ . From Kodaira's formula for the canonical bundles of elliptic surfaces ([6], p. 772), it follows that  $g$  has two multiple fibres, both being of multiplicity 2. We view  $S$  as an elliptic curve over the function field of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $G$  is a divisor of degree 2 on this curve. Hence  $G$  defines a rational map  $f_1$  of degree 2 of  $S$  onto a rational ruled surface  $W_1$ . This map induces, for each generic fibre  $C$ , a double covering  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  which is ramified at 4 points. Let  $B_1$  be the branch

locus of  $f_1$ . By applying elementary transformations defined in [3] we can modify  $f_1$  into a similar rational map  $f: S \rightarrow W$  onto a rational ruled surface  $W$  such that its branch locus  $B$  has only the following singularities:

(i) At most a simple triple point, that is, without infinitely near triple points (see [2]).

(ii)  $B$  contains a fibre  $\Gamma$  and  $B_0 = B - \Gamma$  has a double point  $s$  on  $\Gamma$  which, on performing a quadratic transformation at  $s$ , gives a double point of its proper transform on the proper transform of  $\Gamma$ .

A singularity of the second type corresponds to a double fibre. Hence in our case  $B$  has exactly two of them. Here we remark that the choice of  $W$  is not unique because, if we apply an elementary transformation at  $s$  as in (ii), we obtain another ruled surface and the new branch locus still satisfies the above condition. Because of this phenomenon, we may always take  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  as  $W$ . In this way we obtain a birational model of  $S$  which is a double covering of  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ . This model is closely related to the model studied in [1] and [8], which is a double covering of  $\mathbf{P}^2$ .

§ 3. Two propositions. The construction in § 2 proves the following

**Proposition 1.** *Any two Enriques surfaces are deformation to each other.*

To state the second proposition we recall that, if  $T$  is a  $K3$  surface,  $H_2(T, \mathbf{Z})$  is an even unimodular euclidean lattice of signature (3, 19). Hence it is isomorphic to

$$A = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus U_3 \oplus E_8 \oplus E'_8,$$

where  $U_i = \mathbf{Z}x_i + \mathbf{Z}y_i$  with  $x_i y_i = 1$ ,  $x_i^2 = y_i^2 = 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $E_8, E'_8$  are copies of the unique even unimodular negative-definite lattice of rank 8. We define an involution  $\rho: A \rightarrow A$  by the conditions  $\rho|U_1 = -id$ ,  $\rho(x_2) = x_3$ ,  $\rho(y_2) = y_3$ ,  $\rho(E_8) = E'_8$  and that  $\rho$  induces the identity  $E_8 \rightarrow E'_8$ . We fix  $(A, \rho)$  once and for all.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $T$  be the universal covering of an Enriques surface  $S$ . Then there exists an isomorphism of euclidean lattices  $\varphi: H_2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow A$  which satisfies  $\varphi \circ \tau = \rho \circ \varphi$ , where  $\tau$  denotes the involution on  $H_2(T, \mathbf{Z})$  induced by the covering transformation.*

By Proposition 1, it suffices to prove Proposition 2 for one special  $S$ . On the other hand, if  $g: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  has a singular fibre of type  $\text{II}^*$  (see Kodaira [5], p. 565), i.e., a singular fibre which has the configuration of the extended Dynkin diagram of type  $\tilde{E}_8$ , then it is easy to prove the proposition for  $S$ . Therefore the proof is reduced to constructing an Enriques surface with a singular fibre of type  $\text{II}^*$ . This can be done by using the construction described in § 2.

§ 4. **Main Theorem.** ( $A, \rho$ ) being as above, we let  $A(-1)$  denote the  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $\rho$ . Then  $A(-1)$  is a euclidean lattice of signature  $(2, 10)$  with determinant  $2^{10}$ . Let  $S$  be an Enriques surface and let  $\varphi: H_2(T, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow A$  be as in Proposition 2. Then the integrals (1) determines, via  $\varphi$ , a linear map  $\omega: A(-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  which satisfies the Riemann bilinear relation (see [7]). Hence  $\omega$  can be viewed as a point of an open set  $D$  in a quadric in  $P^{11}$ .  $D$  is a disjoint union of two copies of a 10-dimensional symmetric bounded domain of type IV. We let  $\Gamma'$  denote the group of those automorphisms of  $A$  which commute with the involution  $\rho$ . Then  $\Gamma'$  induces a group  $\Gamma$  of automorphism of  $A(-1)$ , and  $\Gamma$  acts discontinuously on  $D$ . The image  $\lambda(S)$  of  $\omega$  on  $D/\Gamma$  is uniquely determined by  $S$  and does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi$ . We call  $\lambda(S)$  the *period* of  $S$ .

**Main Theorem.** *The isomorphism class of an Enriques surface  $S$  is uniquely determined by its period  $\lambda(S) \in D/\Gamma$ .*

The proof uses the Torelli theorem for  $K3$  surfaces due to Piateckii-Shapiro and Shafarevich [7]. Suppose that two Enriques surfaces  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  have the same period. Then, if  $\pi_i: T_i \rightarrow S_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) are the universal coverings, there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi: H_2(T_1, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(T_2, \mathbf{Z})$  which is compatible with involutions and preserves periods. If  $\varphi$  maps effective cycles into effective cycles, then  $\varphi$  is induced by a unique isomorphism  $\Phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ , and  $\Phi$  is compatible with involutions. Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are isomorphic to each other. If  $\varphi$  does not preserve effective cycles, then we can compose  $\varphi$  with a reflexion

$$\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma + (\gamma \cdot \pi_2^* e) \pi_2^* e$$

with respect to the class  $e$  of a rational curve on  $S_2$ . Composing  $\varphi$  with a finite number of such reflexions we may assume that either  $\varphi$  or  $-\varphi$  preserves effective cycles, and then we are through as above.

**Remarks.** 1) From the explicit description of  $(A, \rho)$ , it follows that  $D/\Gamma$  is connected.

2) It can also be proved that  $\Gamma$  is an arithmetic subgroup of  $SO(2, 10)$  with respect to the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -structure defined by  $A(-1)$ .

3) It is very likely that our method in [4] can be applied to study the image of the period map  $\lambda$  for Enriques surfaces.

4) Our construction in § 2 also works over any algebraically closed field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Using this construction we can prove that any Enriques surface in characteristic  $\neq 2$  can be lifted to characteristic 0.

## References

- [1] Artin, M.: On Enriques' surfaces. Ph. D. thesis, Harvard Univ. (1960).
- [2] Horikawa, E.: Algebraic surfaces of general type with small  $c_1^2$ , I. Ann.

- of Math., **104**, 357–387 (1976).
- [3] —: On algebraic surfaces with pencils of genus 2. Iwanami Shoten and Cambridge Univ. Press (to appear in “Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry”, a volume dedicated to K. Kodaira).
  - [4] —: Surjectivity of the period map of  $K3$  surfaces of degree 2 (to appear).
  - [5] Kodaira, K.: On compact complex analytic surfaces II. Ann. of Math., **77**, 563–626 (1963).
  - [6] —: On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces I. Amer. J. Math., **86**, 751–798 (1964).
  - [7] Piateckii-Shapiro, I. I., and Shafarevich, I. R.: A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type  $K3$ . Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR, **35**, 530–572 (1971).
  - [8] Shafarevich, I. R., *et al.*: Algebraic surfaces. Proc. Steklov Inst., **75** (1965); English translation. Amer. Math. Soc. (1967).