

39. A New Proof of the Andersen's Theorem.

By Shin-ichi IZUMI.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku Imperial University, Sendai.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Mar. 12, 1934.)

$$1. \text{ Let } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \quad (1)$$

be the given series. We put

$$A_n^{(m)} = \binom{m+n}{n},$$

$$S_n^{(r)} = \sum_{v=0}^n A_{n-v}^{(r-1)} s_v,$$

where $s_v = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_v$.

$$\text{If the limit of } \frac{S_n^{(r)}}{A_n^{(r)}} \quad (2)$$

exists and $=s$, then (1) is said to be (C, r) -summable to sum s , and

we write $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(C, r)$. If (2) is bounded, then (1) is said to be

(C, r) -bounded, and we write $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = o(1)(C, r)$.

The object of this paper is to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $\sigma > \rho > -1$. If

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = O(1)(C, \rho)$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(C, \sigma),$$

then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s(C, \tau)$ for any $\tau > \rho$.

Theorem 2. Let $\sigma > \rho > -1$. If

$$|S_n^{(\rho)}| < A_n^{(\rho)}$$

$$\text{and } |S_n^{(\sigma)}| < A_n^{(\sigma)},$$

$$\text{then } |S_n^{(\tau)}| < \left(2 + \frac{\Gamma(\tau - \rho + 1)\Gamma(\sigma - \tau + 1)}{\Gamma(\sigma - \rho + 1)} + o(1) \right) A_n^{(\tau)} \quad (3)$$

for any $\tau > \rho$.

These theorems are due to Andersen.¹⁾ The constant in (3) seems to be new.

1) Andersen: Studier over Cesàro Summabilitetsmetode, 1921. Cf. Zygmund, Math. Zeits., 25 (1926).

In the following, we will prove Theorem 2. Simply modifying the proof, we get the proof of Theorem 1.

2. In order to prove Theorem 2, we transform it in a convenient form.

$$\text{We put } \Delta_*^\alpha v_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(-\alpha-1)} v_{n-\nu}.$$

Then $\Delta_*^r (\Delta_*^s v_n) = \Delta_*^{r+s} v_n$ for any real r and s .

$$\text{If we put } \alpha = \tau - \rho, \quad \beta = \sigma - \tau$$

$$\text{and } S_n^{(\tau)} = v_n,$$

$$\text{then } \Delta_*^\alpha v_n = S_n^{(\rho)}, \quad \Delta_*^{-\beta} v_n = S_n^{(\sigma)}.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 becomes

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. If

$$|\Delta_*^\alpha v_n| < A_n^{(\rho)} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{and } |\Delta_*^{-\beta} v_n| < A_n^{(\sigma)}, \tag{5}$$

$$\text{then } |v_n| < \left(2 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} + o(1) \right) A_n^{(\tau)}.$$

3. We will now prove Theorem 3.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(\beta)} v_n &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} v_n \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} (v_n - v_{n-\nu}) + \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} v_{n-\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu-1} \Delta_* v_{n-\mu} + \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} v_{n-\nu} \\ &= \sum_1 + \sum_2, \quad \text{say.} \\ \sum_1 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} (A_n^{(\beta)} - A_\nu^{(\beta)}) \Delta_* v_{n-\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_n^{(\beta)} - A_\nu^{(\beta)}) \Delta_*^{-\alpha+1} (\Delta_*^\alpha v_{n-\nu}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_n^{(\beta)} - A_\nu^{(\beta)}) \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-\nu} A_\mu^{(\alpha-2)} \Delta_*^\alpha v_{n-\nu} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_n^{(\beta)} A_\nu^{(\alpha-1)} - A_\nu^{(\alpha+\beta-1)}) \Delta_*^\alpha v_{n-\nu}. \\ \sum_2 &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} \Delta_*^\beta (\Delta_*^{-\beta} v_{n-\nu}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_\nu^{(\beta-1)} \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-\nu} A_\mu^{(-\beta-1)} \Delta_*^{-\beta} v_{n-\nu-\mu} = \Delta_*^{-\beta} v_n. \end{aligned}$$

By (4),

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_1| &\leq A_n^{(\rho)} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (A_n^{(\beta)} A_\nu^{(\alpha-1)} + A_\nu^{(\alpha+\beta-1)}) \\ &= A_n^{(\rho)} (A_n^{(\beta)} A_n^{(\alpha)} + A_n^{(\alpha+\beta)}). \end{aligned}$$

By (5), $|\sum_2| < A_n^{(\sigma)}$.

Thus the theorem is proved.

4. We can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\sigma > \rho > -1$. If

$$S_n^{(\rho)} < A_n^{(\rho)}$$

and

$$S_n^{(\sigma)} < A_n^{(\sigma)},$$

then $S_n^{(\tau)} < \left(2 + \frac{\Gamma(\tau - \rho + 1)\Gamma(\sigma - \tau + 1)}{\Gamma(\sigma - \rho + 1)} + o(1)\right) A_n^{(\tau)}$

for any $\tau > \rho$.