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It is well known that any function $\Phi\left(v^{i}, w_{j}\right)$ of the components of any two vectors, one of which $v^{i}$ is contravariant and the other $w_{j}$ covariant, is to be the function $\Psi(\rho)$ of only their scalar product $\rho=v^{i} w_{i}$, if it is not only a scalar but also invariant in its functional form under every regular point transformation of class $\omega$, that is, $\Phi\left(v^{i}, w_{j}\right)=$ $\Phi\left(v^{r}, w_{s}\right)$. This fact shows that there exists essentially one and only one scalar product of two vectors $v^{i}, w_{j}$.

In connection with the extended point transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{i}=x^{i}\left(x^{r}\right), \quad x^{\prime i}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x^{r}} x^{\prime r}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N \\
x^{\prime \prime i}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x^{r}} x^{\prime \prime r}+\frac{\partial^{2} x^{i}}{\partial x^{r} \partial x^{s}} x^{\prime r} x^{\prime s}, \quad x^{(M) i}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial x^{r}} x^{(M) r}+\cdots,
\end{gathered}
$$

the extensor due to H. V. Craig ${ }^{1}$ is defined, for example, by the relationship

$$
T_{s \cdot}^{a r}{ }_{\beta t}=T_{j \cdot}^{\gamma i}{ }_{\delta k} X_{(\gamma) i}^{(a) r} X_{s}^{j} X_{(\beta) t}^{(\delta) k} \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma=0,1, \ldots, M,
$$

where we put

$$
X_{s}^{j}=\frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial x^{s}}, \quad X_{(r) i}^{(\alpha) r}=\frac{\partial x^{(\alpha) r}}{\partial x^{(r) i}}
$$

The following relations hold for $X_{(r) r}^{(\alpha) r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(\beta) i}^{(\alpha) r} X_{(r) s}^{(\beta) i}=\delta_{r}^{\alpha} \delta_{s}^{r}, \quad X_{(r h u}^{(a) r}=X_{(\beta) i}^{(\alpha) r} X_{(r \mu u}^{(\beta) i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{(\beta) i}^{(\alpha) r}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha<\beta  \tag{2}\\
& =\binom{\alpha}{\beta} X_{i}^{\gamma(a-\beta)} \text { for } \quad \alpha \geqq \beta .{ }^{2)}
\end{align*}
$$

Although there is only one kind of contraction $\rho=v^{i} w_{i}$ for the ordinary vectors $v^{i}, w_{j}$, for the extensors $V^{a i}, W_{\beta j}$ we have not one but $M+1$ kinds of contraction :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{[a]}=\sum_{\beta=a}^{M}\left({ }_{a}^{\beta}\right) V^{\beta-a, i} W_{\beta i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) H. V. Craig, On tensors relative to the extended point transformation, American Journal of Mathematics, 59 (1937), 764-774.
2) $X_{i}^{r(a-\beta)}$ means $\frac{d^{a-\beta}}{d t^{a-\beta}} X_{i}^{r}$ and we adopt the notation $F^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{d^{a} F}{d t^{a}}$ through this paper.

Do not more other kinds of contractions exist? This question will be answered by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Any function $\Phi\left(V^{a i}, W_{\beta j}\right)$ of the components of any two extensors $V^{a i}, W_{\beta j}$, one of which is contravariant and the other covariant, is the function $\Phi\left(\rho^{[a]}\right)$ of only $M+1$ quantities $\rho^{[a]}$, if it is not only a scalar but also invariant in its functional form under every regular extended point transformation.

Proof. We shall proceed to establish this theorem by induction. By virtue of the hypotheses it is true that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(V^{a i}, W_{\beta j}\right) & =\Phi\left(V^{\tau r}, W_{\partial \delta}\right) \\
& =\Phi\left(V^{a i} X_{(\alpha) i}^{(\gamma) r}, W_{\beta j} X_{(\delta) s, j}^{(\beta)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to $X_{i}^{r(M)}$, we obtain

$$
0=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M r}} V^{0 i}-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{0 \mathrm{~s}}} W_{M j} X_{s}^{i} X_{r}^{j} .{ }^{1)}
$$

Putting $X_{s}^{i}=\delta_{s}^{i}$ the last equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M j}} V^{0 i}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{0 i}} W_{M j}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M j}}=\lambda W_{M j}, \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{0 i}}=\lambda V^{0 i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be assumed $W_{M 1} \neq 0$ without loss of generality, for at least one of $W_{M j}$ is not equal to zero. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{M 1}=\frac{1}{W_{M 1}}\left(\rho^{[0]}-\sum_{j=2}^{N} V^{M j} W_{M j}-\sum_{a=0}^{M-1} V^{a i} W_{a i}\right) . .^{2)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute the right member of (6) for $V^{M 1}$ in $\Phi$ and denote it with $\phi^{[1]}$, then we get by virtue of (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[\square]}}{\partial V^{M j}}=-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M 1}} \frac{W_{M j}}{W_{M 1}}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M j}}=0, \quad j \geqq 2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand it will be seen from (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[\square]}}{\partial W_{0 i}}=-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial V^{M 1}} \frac{V^{0 i}}{W_{M 1}}+\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W_{0 i}}=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (7) and (8) show that $\Phi^{[J]}$ is independent of $V^{M i}$ and $W_{0 i}$.

1) Differentiating equations (1) with respect to $X_{i}^{r(M)}$ it follows owing to (2)
hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{\gamma_{M}^{0}}^{0} \delta_{M}^{a} \delta_{r}^{t} X_{s}^{i}+X_{(\beta) j}^{(\alpha) t} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{i}^{r(M)}} X_{(\gamma) s}^{(\beta) j_{s}}=0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{i}^{r(M)}} X_{(\gamma) s}^{(\beta) j}=-\delta_{r}^{0} X_{(M) r}^{(\beta) j} X_{s}^{i}=-\delta_{\gamma}^{0} \delta_{M}^{\beta} X_{r}^{j} X_{s}^{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

2) Capital indices it will be recalled are not summed.

Let $\Phi^{[K]}$ be a scalar dependent upon $\rho^{[\lambda]}, V^{a i}$ and $W_{\beta j}$, where $\lambda=0,1, \ldots, K-1 ; \alpha=0,1, \ldots, M-K ; \beta=K, K+1, \ldots, M$, and invariant in its functional form under any regular extended point transformation :

$$
\Phi^{[K]}\left(\rho^{[\lambda]}, V^{a i}, W_{\beta j}\right)=\Phi^{[K]}\left(\rho^{[\lambda]}, V^{r r}, W_{\delta s}\right) .
$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to $X_{i}^{r(M-K)}$ and putting $X_{s}^{i}=\delta_{s}^{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V^{M-K, j}} V^{0 i}-\left(\frac{M}{K}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial W_{K i}} W_{M j}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{i}^{r(M-K)}} X_{(\gamma) s}^{(\beta) j}=-X_{(\alpha) t}^{(\beta) j}\left(\frac{\alpha}{K}\right) \delta_{M}^{\alpha} \delta_{r}^{t} X_{(r) s}^{(K) i}=-\delta_{M}^{\beta} \delta_{r}^{K}\left(\frac{M}{K}\right) X_{r}^{j} X_{s}^{i} .
$$

From (9) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V^{M-K, j}}=\mu W_{M j} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replace

$$
V^{M-K, 1}=\left(\frac{M}{K}\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{W_{M 1}}\left(\rho^{[K]}-\left(\frac{M}{K}\right) \sum_{j-2}^{N} V^{M-K, j} W_{M j}-\sum_{\theta-K}^{M-1} V^{\beta-K, i} W_{\beta i}\right)
$$

for $V^{M-K, 1}$ in $\Phi^{[K]}$ and denote it with $\Phi^{[K+1]}$, then we get according to (10)
(11) $\quad \frac{\partial \Phi^{[K+1]}}{\partial V^{M-K, j}}=-\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V^{M-K, 1}} \frac{W_{M j}}{W_{M 1}}+\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V^{M-K, j}}=0, \quad j \geqq 2$.

On the other hand we get from (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi^{[K+1]}}{\partial W_{K i}}=-\frac{\partial \varphi^{[K]}}{\partial V^{M-K, 1}} \frac{V^{0 i}}{W_{M 1}}\left(\frac{M}{K}\right)^{-1}+\frac{\partial \varphi^{[K]}}{\partial W_{K i}}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (11) and (12) show that $\Phi^{[K+1]}$ is independent of $V^{M-K, i}$ and $W_{K i}$. Hence $\Phi^{[M]}$ must be independent of $V^{0 i}$ and $W_{M i}$ :

$$
\Phi\left(V^{\alpha i}, W_{\beta j}\right)=\Phi^{[M]}\left(\rho^{[a]}\right)
$$

and our theorem is proved.
This theorem may be generalized as follows:
Theorem 2. Any function $\Phi\left(\underset{\lambda}{V^{a i}}, \underset{\omega}{W_{\beta j}}\right)$ of the components of any $H(\leqq N)$ contravariant extensors $V_{\lambda}^{V^{a i}}(\lambda=1,2, \ldots, H)$ and of any $L(\leqq N)$ covariant extensors ${\underset{\omega}{\beta j}}^{W_{\beta j}}(\omega=1,2, \ldots, L)$ must be dependent upon only $H L(M+1)$ scalars

$$
\rho_{\lambda \omega}^{[a]}=\sum_{\beta=a}^{M}\binom{\beta}{a} V_{\lambda}^{\beta-a, i}{ }_{\omega}^{W} W_{\beta i},
$$

whenever it is a scalar and also invariant in its functional form under any regular extended point transformation.

Proof. This theorem can be proven in a similar way as in Theorem 1. That is, in the place of (9) the following relations stand:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}} V_{\lambda}^{0 i}-\binom{M}{K} \frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial W_{\omega i}} W_{\omega} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi^{[K]}$ is a scalar dependent on only $\rho_{\lambda \omega}^{[x]}, V_{\lambda}^{a i}$ and $W_{\omega j}$ for $x \leqq K-1$, $\alpha \leqq M-K$ and $\beta \geqq K$. It may be assumed without loss of generality the $L$ ordinary vectors $W_{\omega j}$ be linearly independent and the determinant $W=\left|W_{\omega j}\right|, \omega, j=1,2, \ldots, L$, does not vanish, for $L$ extensors $\underset{\omega}{W_{\beta j}}$ may be taken arbitrarily. Likewise, we may assume also that the $H$ ordinary vectors $V_{\lambda}^{0 i}$ are linearly independent. Then $\partial \Phi^{[K]} / \partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}$ must be expressed in terms of $\underset{\omega}{W_{M j}}$ linearly :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}}=\mu_{\omega}^{\lambda \omega} \underset{\omega j}{ } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Were it not so and the ordinary vector

$$
\hat{X}_{j}^{\lambda}=\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}}-\mu^{\lambda \omega} W_{\omega}{ }^{1)}
$$

were linearly independent of $\underset{\omega}{W_{M j}}$, we should obtain ${\underset{X}{X}}_{\lambda}^{\lambda} V^{0 i}=0$, which contradicts the linear independency of $V_{\lambda} V^{0 i}$.

Solving $V_{\lambda} V^{M-K, \omega}$ from the system of equations

$$
\left.\rho_{\lambda \omega}^{[K]}=\sum_{\beta=K}^{M}(\beta){ }_{\lambda}^{\beta}\right) V^{\beta-K, i}{\underset{\omega}{\beta i}}^{W_{\beta i}},
$$

it is obtained
where $\stackrel{x}{W}^{\omega}$ is the algebraic complement of the element $W_{M \omega}$ in the determinant $W$. Replace $V_{2}^{M-K, \omega}$ in $\Phi^{[K]}$ by the right member of (15) and denote it with $\Phi^{[K+1]}$, then we have owing to (14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K+1]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}} & =-\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, \omega}} \frac{\stackrel{x}{W} \omega}{W} W_{\alpha} W_{M j}+\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}} \\
& =-\mu_{\tau}^{\lambda \tau} \underset{\tau}{W}{\underset{\sim}{M \omega}}^{W^{\omega}} \underset{\alpha}{W} W_{M j}+\mu^{\lambda \tau} \underset{\tau}{W}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\underset{\tau}{W}{ }_{M \omega} \stackrel{x}{W}{ }^{\omega}=\delta_{\tau}^{x} W$. Moreover it follows from (13)

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K+1]}}{\partial W_{\omega}^{K i}} & =-\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, \tau}} V_{\lambda}^{0 i}\left(\frac{M}{K}\right)^{-1} \frac{\stackrel{\omega}{W}^{\tau}}{W}+\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial W_{\omega}^{K i}} \\
& =-\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial \underset{\alpha}{W^{K i}}}{\underset{x}{ }}_{W_{M \tau}} \frac{\stackrel{\omega}{ }^{\top}}{W}+\frac{\partial \Phi^{[K]}}{\partial W_{\omega}^{K i}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Hence $\Phi^{[K+1]}$ does not contain $\underset{\lambda}{V^{M-K, i}}$ and $\underset{\omega}{W_{K j}}$.
Repeating this method the result is at last attained

$$
\Phi\left(V_{\lambda}^{a i}, \underset{\omega}{W_{\beta j}}\right)=\Phi^{[M]}\left(\rho^{[a]}\right) .
$$

Consequently, the theorem is established.
Quite similarly we can prove
Theorem 3. Any ordinary tensor $T\left(\underset{\lambda}{V^{a i}},{ }_{\omega} W_{\beta j}\right)$, whose components are dependent on the components of any $H(\leqq N)$ contravariant extensors ${ }_{\lambda} V^{a i}$ and of any $L(\leqq N)$ covariant extensors ${ }_{\omega}^{W}{ }_{\beta}$, must be dependent upon only $H L M$ scalars $\rho_{\lambda \omega}^{[a]}, \alpha=0,1, \ldots, M-1$, and the ordinary vectors $V_{\lambda}^{V^{0 i}}$ and ${\underset{\omega}{\omega}}_{W_{m j}}$, when $T$ is invariant in its functional form under every regular extended point transformation.

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 hold also, when $V_{k}^{a i}$ (or $V^{k a i}$ ) and $W^{k}{ }_{\beta j}$ (or $W_{k \beta j}$ ) stand for $V_{i}^{a i}$ and ${ }_{\omega} W_{\beta j}$ respectively.


[^0]:    1) $\partial \Phi[K] / \partial V_{\lambda}^{M-K, j}$ is an ordinary covariant vector as can be easily seen.
