

32. Notes on Infinite Product Measure Spaces, I.

By Shizuo KAKUTANI.

Mathematical Institute, Osaka Imperial University.

(Comm. by T. TAKAGI, M.I.A., March 12, 1943.)

The purpose of this note is to give a simple and new proof to the existence of an independent product measure on a Cartesian infinite product space.

Let $\{(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of measure spaces satisfying $m^\gamma(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma) = 1$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where we mean by a *measure space* $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{B}, m)$ a triple of a space \mathcal{Q} (without topology), a Borel field \mathfrak{B} of subsets B of \mathcal{Q} , and a countably additive measure $m(B)$ defined on \mathfrak{B} (with $0 < m(\mathcal{Q}) < \infty$). We shall first define a measure space $(\mathcal{Q}^*, \mathfrak{B}^*, m^*)$ which we call the *independent product measure space* of the family $\{(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

The space \mathcal{Q}^* , which is symbolically denoted as

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{Q}^* = \mathbf{P}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{Q}^\gamma$$

is the set of all Γ -sequences (or functions defined on Γ)

$$(2) \quad \omega^* = \{\omega^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

such that $\omega^\gamma \in \mathcal{Q}^\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

A subset R^* of \mathcal{Q}^* is called *rectangular* if it is of the form:

$$(3) \quad R^* = B^{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times B^{\gamma_n} \times \mathbf{P}_{\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}} \mathcal{Q}^\gamma$$

where $B^{\gamma_i} \in \mathfrak{B}^{\gamma_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ is an arbitrary finite system of elements from Γ . R^* is, by definition, the set of all $\omega^* = \{\omega^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\} \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ such that $\omega^{\gamma_i} \in B^{\gamma_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The family of all rectangular sets R^* of \mathcal{Q}^* is denoted by \mathfrak{R}^* .

Further, a subset E^* of \mathcal{Q}^* is called *elementary* if it is of the form:

$$(4) \quad E^* = \mathbf{U}_{i=1}^n R_i^*$$

where $R_i^* \in \mathfrak{R}^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We may assume that the R_i^* in (4) are mutually disjoint. This follows from the fact that the intersection of two rectangular set of \mathcal{Q}^* is again rectangular, and that the complementary of a rectangular set of \mathcal{Q}^* is expressible as the union of a finite number of mutually disjoint rectangular sets of \mathcal{Q}^* . The family of all elementary sets E^* of \mathcal{Q}^* is denoted by \mathfrak{E}^* . It is clear that \mathfrak{E}^* is a field.

We shall next define a set function $m^*(R^*)$ on \mathfrak{R}^* by

$$(5) \quad m^*(R^*) = m^{\gamma_1}(B^{\gamma_1}) \times \cdots \times m^{\gamma_n}(B^{\gamma_n})$$

if R^* is of the form (3), and then $m^*(E^*)$ on \mathfrak{E}^* by

$$(6) \quad m^*(E^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n m^*(R_i^*)$$

if E^* is of the form (4) and if the R_i^* are mutually disjoint. It is easy to see that, although the expressions (3) and (4) are not unique for a given set R^* or E^* , the values $m^*(R^*)$ and $m^*(E^*)$ defined by (5) and (6) are uniquely determined. Further, it is clear that, if an elementary set E^* happens to be a rectangular set R^* , then the two definitions (5) and (6) give the same value. It is also clear that $m^*(E^*)$ is finitely additive on the field \mathfrak{E}^* .

A measure space $(\mathcal{Q}^*, \mathfrak{B}^*, m^*)$ defined on the Cartesian product space \mathcal{Q}^* is called the *independent product measure space* of the family $\{(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, if \mathfrak{B}^* is the Borel field generated by \mathfrak{E}^* , and if $m^*(B^*)$ coincides with $m^*(E^*)$ on \mathfrak{E}^* . This fact is expressed symbolically as

$$(7) \quad (\mathcal{Q}^*, \mathfrak{B}^*, m^*) = \mathbf{P}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma).$$

Then the main purpose of this paper is to give a proof to the following

Theorem. Let $\{(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of measure spaces satisfying $m^\gamma(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma) = 1$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then there exists an independent product measure space $(\mathcal{Q}^*, \mathfrak{B}^*, m^*) = \mathbf{P}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \otimes (\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma)$.

This theorem was proved by A. Kolmogoroff¹⁾ in case when each measure space $(\mathcal{Q}^\gamma, \mathfrak{B}^\gamma, m^\gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is the Lebesgue measure space defined on the closed interval (0,1) (i. e., when \mathcal{Q}^γ is the closed interval (0,1), \mathfrak{B}^γ is the Borel field of all Lebesgue measurable subsets B^γ of (0,1), and $m^\gamma(B^\gamma)$ is the ordinary Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{B}^γ). More general cases were discussed by J. L. Doob²⁾ by reducing them to the case of A. Kolmogoroff. The proof of A. Kolmogoroff, however, is based on the fact that the Cartesian product space \mathcal{Q}^* is compact (=bicomact) with respect to the ordinary weak topology of the product space whenever each factor space \mathcal{Q}^γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma$, is compact. In the following lines we shall give a simple proof to our theorem which is completely free from the notion of topology³⁾.

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the finitely additive measure $m^*(E^*)$ defined on the field \mathfrak{E}^* can be extended to a countably additive measure $m^*(B^*)$ defined on the Borel field \mathfrak{B}^* generated by \mathfrak{E}^* . In order to show this, it suffices to verify that⁴⁾

$$(8) \quad E_k^* \in \mathfrak{E}^*, \quad m^*(E_k^*) \geq \delta > 0, \quad E_k^* \supseteq E_{k+1}^*, \quad k=1, 2, \dots$$

implies $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^* \neq \emptyset$, where \emptyset denotes the empty set. Since every rectangular, and hence every elementary, set is determined by a finite

1) A. Kolmogoroff, Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Berlin, 1933.

2) J. L. Doob, Stochastic processes depending on an integral valued parameter, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938).

3) Z. Lomnicki and S. Ulam, Sur la théorie de la mesure dans les espaces combinatoires et son application au calcul des probabilités I. Variables indépendantes, Fund. Math. **23** (1934), 237-278.

In this paper it is attempted to prove our theorem without appealing to the notions of topology, but unfortunately the proof given here contains a mistake.

4) See, for example, E. Hopf, Ergodentheorie, Berlin, 1937, p. 2.

number of coordinates¹⁾, there exists a sequence of indices $\{\gamma_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ from Γ and an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that the set E_k^* is determined by the coordinates $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n_k}\}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$

Let us now put

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{*(n)} = \mathbf{P}_{\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}} \mathcal{Q}^\gamma$$

$n=1, 2, \dots$, and decompose the whole space \mathcal{Q}^* into factors:

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{Q}^* = \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_n} \times \mathcal{Q}^{*(n)}.$$

We have also

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{*(n)} = \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \times \mathcal{Q}^{*(n+1)}$$

$n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, where we put $\mathcal{Q}^{*(0)} = \mathcal{Q}^*$. In each $\mathcal{Q}^{*(n)}$, we may define rectangular sets $R^{*(n)}$, elementary sets $E^{*(n)}$, and also the measures $m^{*(n)}(R^{*(n)})$ and $m^{*(n)}(E^{*(n)})$ defined on the family $\mathfrak{R}^{*(n)}$ and $\mathfrak{E}^{*(n)}$ of all these sets $R^{*(n)}$ and $E^{*(n)}$ respectively. This can be carried out in exactly the same way as in the case of the space $\mathcal{Q}^{*(0)} = \mathcal{Q}^*$.

Now, for each $\omega^{\gamma_1} \in \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1}$, consider the sets

$$(12) \quad (E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}} = \{\omega^{*(1)} \mid (\omega^{\gamma_1}, \omega^{*(1)}) \in E_k^*\}$$

$k=1, 2, \dots$ $(E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}}$ is, by definition, the set of all $\omega^{*(1)} \in \mathcal{Q}^{*(1)}$ such that $(\omega^{\gamma_1}, \omega^{*(1)}) \in E_k^*$. It is clear that $(E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}} \in \mathfrak{E}^{*(1)}$ and $(E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}} \supseteq (E_{k+1}^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}}$ for each $\omega^{\gamma_1} \in \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1}$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$, and that

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1}} m^{*(1)}((E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}}) m^{\gamma_1}(d\omega^{\gamma_1}) = m^*(E_k^*) \geq \delta > 0$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots$ Since the sequence of functions $\{m^{*(1)}((E_k^*)_{\omega^{\gamma_1}}) \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$, defined and measurable on the measure space $(\mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1}, \mathfrak{B}^{\gamma_1}, m^{\gamma_1})$, is uniformly bounded between 0 and 1, and is monotone non-increasing, there exists an $\omega_0^{\gamma_1} \in \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_1}$ and a positive number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$(14) \quad m^{*(1)}((E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}}) \geq \delta_1 > 0$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

Thus we see that the same condition as (8) is satisfied in $\mathcal{Q}^{*(1)}$ by the sequence of elementary sets $\{(E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}} \mid k=1, 2, \dots\}$. Consequently, by proceeding in this way, we shall be able to obtain a sequence of points $\{\omega_0^{\gamma_n} \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $\omega_0^{\gamma_n} \in \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma_n}$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$, and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_n \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$ satisfying the condition:

$$(15) \quad m^{*(n)}((E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_n}}) \geq \delta_n > 0$$

for $k, n=1, 2, \dots$, where we put

1) A subset A^* of \mathcal{Q}^* is determined by a finite number of coordinates if there exists a finite system of elements $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ from Γ such that a point $\omega_0^* = \{\omega^{\gamma_i} \mid \gamma_i \in \Gamma\} \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ belongs to A^* whenever there exists an $\omega^* = \{\omega^{\gamma_i} \mid \gamma_i \in \Gamma\} \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ belonging to A^* with $\omega^{\gamma_i} = \omega_0^{\gamma_i}$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.

$$(16) \quad (E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_n}} = \{ \omega^{*(n)} \mid (\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_n}, \omega^{*(n)}) \in E_k^* \} \\ = \{ \omega^{*(n)} \mid (\omega_0^{\gamma_n}, \omega^{*(n)}) \in (E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_{n-1}}} \}$$

i. e., $(E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_n}}$ is the set of all points $\omega^{*(n)} \in \mathcal{Q}^{*(n)}$ such that $(\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_n}, \omega^{*(n)}) \in E_k^*$, or equivalently the set of all points $\omega^{*(n)} \in \mathcal{Q}^{*(n)}$ such that $(\omega_0^{\gamma_n}, \omega^{*(n)}) \in (E_k^*)_{\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_{n-1}}}$.

We claim that a point $\omega^* = \{ \omega^\gamma \mid \gamma \in \Gamma \} \in \mathcal{Q}^*$ satisfying $\omega^{\gamma_n} = \omega_0^{\gamma_n}$ for $n=1, 2, \dots$ belongs to E_k^* for $k=1, 2, \dots$. In fact, for each E_k^* , there exists an integer n_k such that E_k^* is determined by the coordinates $\{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n_k} \}$. The relation (15) for $n=n_k$ then implies that there exists an $\omega^{*(n_k)} \in \mathcal{Q}^{*(n_k)}$ such that $(\omega_0^{\gamma_1}, \omega_0^{\gamma_2}, \dots, \omega_0^{\gamma_{n_k}}, \omega^{*(n_k)}) \in E_k^*$ and, since E_k^* is determined by the coordinates $\{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n_k} \}$, we must have $\omega^* \in E_k^*$, $k=1, 2, \dots$, which immediately implies that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^* \neq \emptyset$, as we wanted to prove.
