

Existence of nontrivial weak solutions for a quasilinear elliptic systems with concave-convex nonlinearities*

Honghui Yin Zuodong Yang

Abstract

In this paper, our main purpose is to establish the existence of nontrivial weak solutions to the following systems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + F_u(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v = \theta V(x)|v|^{r-2}v + F_v(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $\lambda, \theta > 0$, $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2}\nabla u)$ is the s -Laplacian of u . We obtain the existence results in two cases: (i) $1 < r < p < N$; (ii) $1 < p < r < p^*$. The existence results of solutions are obtained by variational methods.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in finding multiple nontrivial weak solutions to the following quasilinear elliptic systems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + F_u(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v = \theta V(x)|v|^{r-2}v + F_v(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No.11171092). This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China(No.12KJB110002, No.08KJB110005)

Received by the editors November 2011 - In revised form in May 2012.

Communicated by P. Godin.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 35J50, 35J62, 35J92.

Key words and phrases : Laplacian operator, critical exponent, concave-convex nonlinearities, Mountain pass theorem.

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , $\lambda, \theta > 0$, and $1 < r < p^*$, $r \neq p$, $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $p < N$ and $p^* = \infty$ if $p \geq N$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\Delta_s u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{s-2} \nabla u)$ is the s -Laplacian of u .

Recently, more and more attention have been paid to the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial weak solutions for the elliptic problems involving concave-convex nonlinearities and critical Sobolev exponent. For $p = 2$, see [2,8,15-16,23], and the references therein. For the quasilinear problems, the corresponding results can be found in [4,17,19,25-26]. By the results of the above papers we know that the number of nontrivial solutions for problem (1.1) is affected by the concave-convex nonlinearities.

If $p = 2$, $u = v$ and $F_u = |u|^{2^*-2}u$, (1.1) can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + |u|^{2^*-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

which is a normal Schrodinger equation and has been widely studied, see [10,12,21].

The solutions of problem (1.2) corresponding to the critical points of the energy functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u|^r dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2^*} dx$$

defined on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. When $r = 2$, the pioneer result of Brezis-Nirenberg [8] studied problem (1.2) and showed that under some suitable conditions, problem (1.2) possesses a positive solution in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. For more results see [9,18] and reference therein.

The typically difficulty in dealing with problem (1.2) is that the corresponding functional $I(u)$ doesn't satisfy (PS) condition due to the lack of compactness of the embedding: $H_0^1 \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\Omega)$. Hence we couldn't use the standard variational methods. However, if $1 < r < 2$, the situation is quite different, see [6,24]. The main essence is that when $1 < r < 2$, the functional $I(u)$ is sublinear, when λ is small enough, $I(u)$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < 0$, so we can look for critical points of negative critical values of $I(u)$.

Many authors studied the following general p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda V(x)|u|^{r-2}u + |u|^{p^*-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

many results valid for problem (1.2) has been extended to problem (1.3). For example, see [4,19,26]. The main difficulty in extending the results for problem (1.2) to the corresponding results for problem (1.3) is that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is not a Hilbert space in general, then more analysis is needed.

We recall some results about problem (1.1) now. When $V(x) \equiv 1$ and $F(x, u, v) = \frac{2}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta$, $\alpha + \beta = p^*$, (1.1) becomes the following case

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{r-2}u + \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v = \theta|v|^{r-2}v + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^\alpha|v|^{\beta-2}v, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

when $p = r = 2$, Alves et al [2] considered (1.4) and proved the existence of least energy solutions for any $\lambda, \theta \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and generalized the corresponding results of [8] to the case of systems (1.4), here λ_1 denote the first eigenvalue of operator $-\Delta$. Subsequently, Han [15] considered the existence of multiple positive solutions for(1.4) and in [17] T.S.Hsu studied systems (1.4) when $1 < r < p < N, \alpha + \beta = p^*$, with the help of the Nehari manifold, he proved that problem (1.4) has at least two positive solutions if the pair of the parameters (λ, μ) belongs to a certain subset of \mathbf{R}^2 . More results for problem (1.1) see [16,23,25] etc..

In this paper, we will consider the existence and infinitely many weak solutions of problem (1.1). Let us denote the Banach space $H = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ throughout this paper, and for the functions $V(x), F(x, u, v)$, we add the following assumptions:

- (d₁) Suppose $V(x) \in L^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}(\Omega)$ and $V(x) > \sigma > 0$ in Ω ;
- (d₂) $F : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is a C^1 function and $F(x, tu, tv) = t^{p^*} F(x, u, v)$ ($t > 0$), $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2$;
- (d₃) $F(x, 0, v) = F(x, u, 0) = F_v(x, 0, v) = F_u(x, u, 0) = 0$, where $u, v \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (d₄) $F(x, u, v)$ is even respect to u, v ;
- (d₅) there exist $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $k > \frac{N-p}{p-1}$ such that

$$F(x_0, 1, 1) = \max_{x \in \Omega} F(x, 1, 1) \geq 2^{\frac{N}{N-p}} M$$

and

$$F(x, 1, 1) = F(x_0, 1, 1) + o(|x - x_0|^k) \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_0,$$

where $M = \max_{\{(x,s,t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ : s^p + t^p = 1\}} F(x, s, t) > 0$.

Then we have the following results:

Theorem 1.1 Assume $1 < r < p < N$, and $(d_1) - (d_4)$ hold. Then there is a positive constant Λ^* such that for any $0 < \lambda + \theta \leq \Lambda^*$, problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many weak solutions in H .

Theorem 1.2 Assume $1 < r < p < N$, $(d_1) - (d_3)$ and (d_5) hold. Then there is a positive constant Λ^{**} such that for any $0 < \lambda + \theta \leq \Lambda^{**}$, problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solutions in H .

Theorem 1.3. Assume $1 < p < r < p^*$ and $(d_1) - (d_3)$ hold. Then there is a positive constant Λ_* , such that for any $(\lambda + \theta) > \Lambda_*$, problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solutions in H .

Remark 1.4. In [4], J.G.Azvrero and I.P.Alonson obtained that there exists a nontrivial solution for (1.3) with $V(x) \equiv 1$ by the Mountain Pass Lemma. In fact, Theorem 1.3 is an extension of Theorem 3.2 in [4] to systems (1.1).

Remark 1.5. Assume $1 < p < N$, Ω be a bounded domain in R^N ,

$$F(x, u, v) = f_1(x)(|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta + |u|^\beta |v|^\alpha) + f_2(x)|u|^{\frac{p^*}{2}} |v|^{\frac{p^*}{2}},$$

where $\alpha + \beta = p^*$, $f_i \in C^1(\Omega)$ and satisfy $f_i(x_0) = \max_{x \in \Omega} f_i(x)$,

$$f_i(x) = f_i(x_0) + o(|x - x_0|^k) \text{ as } x \rightarrow x_0$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then it's easy to see that $F(x, u, v)$ satisfy $(d_2) - (d_5)$, and it is not contained in the previous works.

The present paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we give some preliminary results; in section 3- 5, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.1-1.3 respectively.

2 Preliminaries results

Let H' be dual of H , $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality pairing between H' and H , the norm on H is given by

$$\|z\| = \|(u, v)\| = (\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and the norm on $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ is given by

$$|z| = |(u, v)| = (|u|_p^p + |v|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

where $z = (u, v) \in H$ and $\|\cdot\|_p, |\cdot|_p$ are the norm on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ respectively, that is,

$$\|u\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad |u|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote weak convergence by \rightharpoonup , and denote strong convergence by \rightarrow , also we denote positive constants(possibly different) by C_i .

From (d_2) , we have the so-called Euler identity

$$z \cdot \nabla F(x, z) = p^* F(x, z) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$F(x, z) \leq M|z|^{p^*} \text{ for all } z \in \mathbf{R}^2, \tag{2.2}$$

where M is given in section 1.

As usually, we also denote by

$$S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}}$$

the best Sobolev constant for the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$. It is known that S is independent of Ω and is never achieved except when $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$. Define

$$S_F := \inf_{(u,v) \in H} \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} : \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx > 0 \right\}.$$

According to (2.2) and the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} &\leq M^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \left[\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx\right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}\right] \\ &\leq M^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \frac{1}{S} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain that

$$S_F \geq SM^{-\frac{p}{p^*}} > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.1), the corresponding energy functional of problem (1.1) is defined by

$$E(z) = E(u, v) = \frac{1}{p} (\|u\|_p^p + \|v\|_p^p) + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} V(x) (\lambda|u|^r + \theta|v|^r) dx + \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx$$

for $z = (u, v) \in H$. Under the hypotheses of our theorems, it is obvious that E is a C^1 functional. It is well known that any critical point of E in H is a weak solution of problem (1.1). Hence, in order to obtain the nontrivial solutions of problem (1.1), we only need to look for the nontrivial critical points of E in H .

Now, we define the Palais-Smale(PS)-sequence, (PS)-value, and (PS)-conditions in H for E as follows.

Definition 2.1. (I) For $c \in R$, a sequence $\{z_n\} \in H$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for E if $E(z_n) = c + o(1)$ and $E'(z_n) = o(1)$ strongly in H' as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(II) $c \in R$ is a (PS)-value for E if there exists a $(PS)_c$ -sequence in H for E .

(III) E satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition in H if every $(PS)_c$ -sequence in H for E contains a convergent sub-sequence.

Now we give some results for the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.2. Assume $1 < r < p^*, r \neq p$ and $(d_1) - (d_2)$ hold. If $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E , then $\{z_n\}$ is bounded in H .

Proof. Let $z_n = (u_n, v_n)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E . We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\bar{z}_n = (\bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) = \frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|} = \left(\frac{u_n}{\|z_n\|}, \frac{v_n}{\|z_n\|}\right).$$

Then $\|\bar{z}_n\| = 1$, we may assume that $\bar{z}_n \rightharpoonup \bar{z} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ in H . Thus we have that

$$\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u}, \bar{v}_n \rightarrow \bar{v} \text{ in } L^s(\Omega), 1 \leq s < p^*$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |\bar{u}_n|^r + \theta V(x) |\bar{v}_n|^r dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |\bar{u}|^r + \theta V(x) |\bar{v}|^r dx + o(1). \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E and $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^p + |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx - \frac{\|z_n\|^{r-p}}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |\bar{u}_n|^r + \theta V(x) |\bar{v}_n|^r dx - \\ \|z_n\|^{p^*-p} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) dx = o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^p + |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx - \|z_n\|^{r-p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |\bar{u}_n|^r + \theta V(x) |\bar{v}_n|^r dx - p^* \|z_n\|^{p^*-p} \int_{\Omega} F(x, \bar{u}_n, \bar{v}_n) dx = o(1). \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.4)-(2.6), we can deduce that

$$\left(\frac{p^*}{p} - 1\right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^p + |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx = \left(\frac{p^*}{r} - 1\right) \|z_n\|^{r-p} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |\bar{u}|^r + \theta V(x) |\bar{v}|^r dx + o(1).$$

Since $1 < r < p^*, r \neq p$ and $\|z_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce that when $r < p$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^p + |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

when $r > p$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{u}_n|^p + |\nabla \bar{v}_n|^p dx \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is contrary to the fact $\|\bar{z}_n\| = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. Assume $1 < r < p$ and $(d_1) - (d_3)$ hold. If $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for E , then there exist $z \in H$ and $B > 0$ such that

$$E(z) \geq -B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}},$$

where B will be given later.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we know that z_n is bounded in H , there is a $z = (u, v) \in H$ and a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$, still denoted by $\{z_n\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} z_n = (u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) = z, & \text{in } H; \\ z_n = (u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) = z, & \text{in } L^s(\Omega) \times L^s(\Omega), \ 1 \leq s < p^*; \\ z_n = (u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) = z, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega; \\ \nabla z_n = (\nabla u_n, \nabla v_n) \rightarrow (\nabla u, \nabla v) = \nabla z, & \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, passing to the limit in $\langle E'(z_n), (\phi, \varphi) \rangle$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, together with $(d_1) - (d_3)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u|^{r-2} u \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} F_u(x, u, v) \phi dx = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} V(x) |v|^{r-2} v \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} F_v(x, u, v) \varphi dx = 0$$

for all $(\phi, \varphi) \in H$.

It shows that z is a critical point of E , then we have $\langle E'(z), z \rangle = 0$ and

$$\|z\|^p - \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|u|^r dx = p^* \int_{\Omega} F(x, u, v) dx.$$

Thus,

$$E(z) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right)\|z\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r dx.$$

By the Hölder inequality, the Young inequality, and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &\geq \frac{1}{N}\|z\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}} (\lambda|u|_{p^*}^r + \theta|v|_{p^*}^r) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N}\|z\|^p - \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) S^{-\frac{r}{p}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}} (\lambda + \theta) \|z\|_p^r. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the following function,

$$g(x) = C_1 x^p - C_2 (\lambda + \theta) x^r, \quad x > 0$$

where $C_1 = \frac{1}{N}, C_2 = \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) S_q^{-\frac{r}{q}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}$ are positive constants. It is easy to see

the function obtains its absolute minimum (for $x > 0$) at point $x_0 = \left(\frac{C_2 r (\lambda + \theta)}{C_1 p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}}$, then we have

$$g(x) \geq g(x_0) = -B (\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}},$$

where $B = C_1^{\frac{-r}{p-r}} C_2^{\frac{p}{p-r}} \left(\frac{r}{p}\right)^{\frac{r}{p-r}} (1 - \frac{r}{p}) > 0$ is independent of λ, θ . Then we obtain

$$E(z) \geq -B (\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}. \square$$

In addition, we need the following version of the Brezis-Lieb lemma[7].

Lemma 2.4. Assume that $G \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}, R^2)$ with $G(x, 0, 0) = 0$ and $|\frac{\partial G}{\partial u}(z)|, |\frac{\partial G}{\partial v}(z)| \leq C|z|^{s-1}$ for some $1 \leq s < \infty$. Let z_n be a bounded sequence in $L^s(\Omega) \times L^s(\Omega)$, and such that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in H . Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega} G(x, z_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} G(x, z_n - z) dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, z) dx + o(1).$$

Lemma 2.5. Assume $1 < r < p$ and $(d_1) - (d_3)$ hold. Then E satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition with c satisfying

$$c < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^* - \frac{p}{p^*})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B (\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Suppose $\{z_n = (u_n, v_n)\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of E with $c < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^* - \frac{p}{p^*})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B (\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}$, i.e.,

$$E(z_n) = c + o(1), E'(z_n) = o(1),$$

by Lemma 2.2, we may assume there exist a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$ and $z = (u, v) \in H$ such that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in H . By the argument in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u_n|^r + \theta V(x)|v_n|^r dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r dx + o(1)$$

and

$$\langle E'(z), z \rangle = 0.$$

Let $\tilde{v}_n = u_n - u, \tilde{v}_n = v_n - v$ and $\tilde{z}_n = (\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)$. Then by Lemma 2.4, we deduce that

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p = \|z_n\|^p - \|z\|^p + o(1). \tag{2.8}$$

Since (d_2) and (d_3) hold, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, z_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{z}_n) dx + \int_{\Omega} F(x, z) dx + o(1). \tag{2.9}$$

From $E(z_n) = c + o(1), E'(z_n) = o(1)$ and (2.8),(2.9), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{z}_n) dx = c - E(z) + o(1) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p - p^* \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{z}_n) dx = o(1). \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.11), we may suppose that

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p \rightarrow l, \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{z}_n) dx \rightarrow \frac{l}{p^*},$$

if $l = 0$, then we have $z_n \rightarrow z$ in H , we complete the proof. On the contrary, we assume $l > 0$, by the definition of S_F , we have

$$\|\tilde{z}_n\|^p \geq S_F \left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, \tilde{z}_n) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}},$$

then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that

$$l \geq (S_F p^{* - \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

On the other hand, from (2.10) and Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$c = \frac{l}{p} - \frac{l}{p^*} + E(z) \geq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{* - \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}},$$

which contradicts $c < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{* - \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}$. ■

The following is the classical Deformation Lemma:

Lemma 2.6.(see[1]) Let $f \in C^1(X, \mathbf{R})$ and satisfy (PS) condition. If $c \in \mathbf{R}$ and N is any neighborhood of $K_c \doteq \{u \in X | f(u) = c, f'(u) = 0\}$, there exist $\eta(t, x) \equiv \eta_t(x) \in C([0, 1] \times X, X)$ and constants $\bar{\epsilon} > \epsilon > 0$ such that

- (1) $\eta_0(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$,
- (2) $\eta_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in f^{-1}[c - \bar{\epsilon}, c + \bar{\epsilon}]$,
- (3) $\eta_t(x)$ is a homeomorphism of X onto X for all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- (4) $f(\eta_t(x)) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in X, t \in [0, 1]$,
- (5) $\eta_1(A_{c+\epsilon} - N) \subset A_{c+\epsilon}$, where $A_c = \{x \in X | f(x) \leq c\}$ for any $c \in \mathbf{R}$,
- (6) if $K_c = \emptyset$, $\eta_1(A_{c+\epsilon}) \subset A_{c-\epsilon}$,
- (7) if f is even, η_t is odd in x .

Remark 2.7. Lemma 2.6 is also true if f satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < c_0$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbf{R}$.

At the end of this section, we recall some concepts in minimax theory.

Let X be a Banach space, and

$$\Sigma = \{A \subset X \setminus \{0\} | A \text{ is closed, } -A = A\},$$

and

$$\Sigma_k = \{A \in \Sigma | \gamma(A) \geq k\},$$

where $\gamma(A)$ is the Z_2 genus of A , that is

$$\gamma(A) = \begin{cases} \inf\{n : \text{there exist odd, continuous } h : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ +\infty, \text{ if it doesn't exist odd, continuous } h : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}_+, \\ 0, \text{ if } A = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

The main properties of genus are contained in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8.(see[20]) Let $A, B \in \Sigma$. Then

- (1) If there exists $f \in C(A, B)$, odd, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.
- (2) If $A \subset B$, then $\gamma(A) \leq \gamma(B)$.
- (3) If there exists an odd homeomorphism between A and B , then $\gamma(A) = \gamma(B)$.
- (4) If S^{N-1} is the sphere in \mathbf{R}^N , then $\gamma(S^{N-1}) = N$.
- (5) $\gamma(A \cup B) \leq \gamma(A) + \gamma(B)$.
- (6) If $\gamma(A) < \infty$, then $\gamma(\overline{A - B}) \geq \gamma(A) - \gamma(B)$.
- (7) If A is compact, then $\gamma(A) < \infty$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\gamma(A) = \gamma(N_\delta(A))$, where $N_\delta(A) = \{x \in X | d(x, A) \leq \delta\}$.
- (8) If X_0 is a subspace of X with codimension k , and $\gamma(A) > k$, then $A \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for systems(1.1) in this section. We try to use Lusternik-Schnirelman's theory for Z_2 -invariant functional (see [20]). But since the functional $E(z)$ defined in section 2 is not bounded from below, so we following [4] to consider a truncated functional $E_\infty(z)$ which will be constructed later.

At first, let's consider the functional $E(z)$, using the Sobolev's inequality with the hypothesis $1 < r < p < N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(z) &= \frac{1}{p}\|z\|^p - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|u|^r dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x,z)dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p}\|z\|^p - \frac{1}{r} S^{-\frac{r}{p}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}} (\lambda + \theta) \|z\|_p^r - S_F^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} \|z\|^{p^*} \\ &= C_3 \|z\|^p - C_4 (\lambda + \theta) \|z\|^r - C_5 \|z\|^{p^*} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = \frac{1}{p}$, $C_4 = \frac{1}{r} S^{-\frac{r}{p}} |V(x)|_{\frac{p^*}{p^*-r}}$, $C_5 = S_F^{-\frac{p^*}{p}}$ are all positive constants.

We now consider function

$$h(x) = C_3 x^p - C_4 (\lambda + \theta) x^r - C_5 x^{p^*}, \quad x > 0$$

by the hypothesis $1 < r < p < p^*$, we easily know that there exists a $\Lambda^* > 0$ such that for any $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \leq \Lambda^*$, we have the following results hold:

(a) $h(x)$ reaches its positive maximum;

(b) $\frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*- \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B (\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}} \geq 0$, where B is given in Lemma 2.3.

From the structure of $h(x)$, we see that there are two positive solutions $R_1 < R_2$ of $h(x) = 0$. Then we can easily know that

$$h(x) \begin{cases} < 0, & x \in (0, R_1) \cup (R_2, \infty), \\ > 0, & x \in (R_1, R_2). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We let $\tau : R^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be C^∞ and nonincreasing function such that

$$\tau(x) = 1, \quad \text{if } x \in (0, R_1),$$

$$\tau(x) = 0, \quad \text{if } x \in (R_2, \infty).$$

Let $\varphi(z) = \tau(\|z\|)$, we consider the truncated functional

$$E_\infty(z) = \frac{1}{p}\|z\|^p - \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x,z)\varphi(z)dx,$$

similar as above, we consider the function

$$\bar{h}(x) = C_3 x^p - C_4 (\lambda + \theta) x^r - C_5 x^{p^*} \tau(x),$$

and have that

$$E_\infty(z) \geq \bar{h}(\|z\|). \quad (3.2).$$

By further analysis, we can see that $\bar{h}(x) \geq h(x)$, for all $x \in (0, \infty)$; and $\bar{h}(x) = h(x)$, for $x \in (0, R_1]$; and $\bar{h}(x) \geq 0$, for $x \in [R_2, \infty)$. So we have that $E(z) = E_\infty(z)$ when $\|z\| \in (0, R_1]$, and since $\tau \in C^\infty$, we get $E_\infty(z) \in C^1(H, R)$. Also we obtain the following results.

Lemma 3.1. (1) If $E_\infty(z) < 0$, then $\|z\| \in (0, R_1)$, and $E(w) = E_\infty(w)$ for all w in a small enough neighborhood of z .

(2) There exists a $\Lambda^* > 0$, such that when $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \leq \Lambda^*$, $E_\infty(z)$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < 0$.

Proof. We prove (1) by contradiction, assume $E_\infty(z) < 0$ and $\|z\| \in [R_1, \infty)$. Then if $\|z\| \in [R_1, R_2]$, by (3.1),(3.2), we see that

$$E_\infty(z) \geq \bar{h}(\|z\|) \geq h(\|z\|) \geq 0.$$

If $\|z\| \in (R_2, \infty)$, by (3.2) and above analysis, we also have that

$$E_\infty(z) \geq \bar{h}(\|z\|) \geq 0.$$

Thus $\|z\| \in (0, R_1)$, (1) holds.

Now, we prove (2), let Λ^* as above. If $c < 0$ and $\{z_n\} \subset H$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of E_∞ , then we may assume that $E_\infty(z_n) < 0$ and $E'_\infty(z_n) = o(1)$, by (1), $\|z_n\| \in (0, R_1)$, hence $E(z_n) = E_\infty(z_n)$ and $E'(z_n) = E'_\infty(z_n)$. Since (b) hold when $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \leq \Lambda^*$, By Lemma 2.5, $E(z)$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < 0$. Thus $E_\infty(z)$ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c < 0$, (2) holds. ■

Now we prove our main result via genus.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Sigma_k = \{A \subset H - \{(0,0)\}, A \text{ is closed, } A = -A, \gamma(A) \geq k\}$, $c_k = \inf_{A \in \Sigma_k} \sup_{z \in A} E_\infty(z)$, $K_c = \{z \in H \mid E_\infty(z) = c, E'_\infty(z) = 0\}$, and suppose that $0 < (\lambda + \theta) \leq \Lambda^*$, Λ^* is as above.

We claim that if $k, l \in N$ are such that $c = c_k = c_{k+1} = \dots = c_{k+l}$, then $\gamma(K_c) \geq l + 1$.

In fact, we assume

$$E_\infty^{-\varepsilon} = \{z \in H \mid E_\infty(z) \leq -\varepsilon\},$$

we will show for any $k \in N$, there exist an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(k) > 0$, such that

$$\gamma(E_\infty^{-\varepsilon}(z)) \geq k.$$

Fix $k \in N$, denote H_k be an k -dimensional subspace of H , choose $z = (u, v) \in H_k$, with $\|z\| = 1$, for $0 < \rho < R_1$, we have

$$E(\rho z) = E_\infty(\rho z) = \frac{1}{p}\rho^p - \frac{\rho^r}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda V(x)|u|^r + \theta V(x)|v|^r dx - \rho^{p^*} \int_\Omega F(x, z) dx. \tag{3.3}$$

For H_k is a finite dimension space, all the norms in H_k are equivalent. So we can define

$$\alpha_k = \sup\{|z|_{p^*}^p \mid z \in H_k, \|z\| = 1\} < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\beta_k = \inf\{|z|_r^r \mid z \in H_k, \|z\| = 1\} > 0, \tag{3.5}$$

from (3.3)-(3.5), we have

$$E_\infty(\rho z) \leq \frac{1}{p}\rho^p - \sigma\beta_k \frac{\min\{\lambda, \theta\}\rho^r}{r} + \rho^{p^*} M\alpha_k.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and an $0 < \rho < R_1$ such that $E_\infty(\rho z) \leq -\varepsilon$ for $z \in H_k, \|z\| = 1$, let $S_\rho = \{z \in H \mid \|z\| = \rho\}$, then $S_\rho \cap H_k \subset E_\infty^{-\varepsilon}$. By Lemma 2.8, we obtain that

$$\gamma(E_\infty^{-\varepsilon}(z)) \geq \gamma(S_\rho \cap H_k) = k. \tag{3.6}$$

Since E_∞ is continuous and even, with (3.6), we have $E_\infty^{-\varepsilon} \in \Sigma_k$ and $c = c_k \leq -\varepsilon < 0$. As E_∞ is bounded from below, we see that $c = c_k > -\infty$ (This is the main reason that we consider E_∞ instead of E). Then by Lemma 3.1 E_∞ satisfies $(PS)_c$ condition and it is easy to see that K_c is a compact set.

Now we prove our claim by contradiction, suppose on the contrary $\gamma(K_c) \leq l$. By Lemma 2.8, there is a closed and symmetric set U with $K_c \subset U$ and $\gamma(U) \leq l$. Since $c < 0$, we also can assume that the closed set $U \subset E_\infty^0$. By Lemma 2.6, there exists an odd homeomorphism

$$\eta : H \rightarrow H$$

such that $\eta(E_\infty^{c+\delta} - U) \subset E_\infty^{c-\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta < -c$.

From the definition of $c = c_{k+l}$, we know that there is an $A \in \Sigma_{k+l}$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in A} E_\infty(z) < c + \delta,$$

i.e., $A \subset E_\infty^{c+\delta}$, and

$$\eta(A - U) \subset \eta(E_\infty^{c+\delta} - U) \subset E_\infty^{c-\delta},$$

that's meaning

$$\sup_{z \in \eta(A-U)} E_\infty(z) \leq c - \delta. \tag{3.7}$$

Again by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\gamma(\eta(\overline{A-U})) \geq \gamma(\overline{A-U}) \geq \gamma(A) - \gamma(U) \geq k.$$

Thus we have $\eta(\overline{A-U}) \in \Sigma_k$ and $\sup_{z \in \eta(\overline{A-U})} E_\infty(z) \geq c_k = c$, which contradicts to (3.7). So we have proved our claim.

Now let's complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. If for all $k \in N$, we have $\Sigma_{k+1} \subset \Sigma_k, c_k \leq c_{k+1} < 0$. If all c_k are distinct, then $\gamma(K_{c_k}) \geq 1$, and we see that $\{c_k\}$ is a sequence of distinct negative critical values of E_∞ ; if for some k_0 , there is a $l \geq 1$ such that $c = c_{k_0} = c_{k_0+1} = \dots = c_{k_0+l}$, then by the claim, we have

$$\gamma(K_c) \geq l + 1,$$

which shows that K_c contains infinitely many distinct elements.

By Lemma 3.1, we know $E(z) = E_\infty(z)$ when $E_\infty(z) < 0$, so we show that there are infinitely many critical points of $E(z)$. Theorem 1.1 is proved. ■

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2.

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2 by the following general version of the Mountain Pass Lemma(see[3]).

Lemma 4.1. Let I be a functional on a Banach space H , $I \in C^1(H, R)$. Let us assume that there exists $\rho, R > 0$ such that

(i) $I(z) > \rho, \forall z \in H$ with $\|z\| = R$.

(ii) $I(0) = 0$, and $I(w_0) < \rho$ for some $w_0 \in H$, with $\|w_0\| > R$.

Let us define $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = w_0\}$, and

$$\mu = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)). \quad (4.1)$$

Then there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset H$, such that $I(z_n) \rightarrow \mu$, and $I'(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ in H' (dual of H) as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Define, for $\eta > 0$,

$$u_\eta(x) = \frac{\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}} \psi(x)}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}},$$

where $\psi(x) \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 2\delta_0))$ is such that $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$, $\psi(x) \equiv 1$ on $B(x_0, \delta_0)$ and $|\nabla \psi| \leq C$ for some positive constant C .

After a detailed calculation, we have the following estimate

$$\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\eta|^p dx}{(\int_\Omega |u_\eta|^{p^*} dx)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} = S + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}), \quad \eta \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Now we show that (4.2) is valid. Indeed, we have

$$\nabla u_\eta(x) = \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p(p-1)}} \left(\frac{\nabla \psi}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}} - \frac{N-p}{p-1} \frac{\psi |x - x_0|^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} x}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N}{p}}} \right).$$

Let $x = x_0 + \eta y$, by the definition of ψ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\eta|^p dx &= \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \int_\Omega \frac{|x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \\ &= \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \int_{R^N} \frac{|x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \\ &= \int_{R^N} \frac{|y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}{(1 + |y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dy + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \\ &= |\nabla U|_{L^p(R^N)}^p + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |u_{\eta}|^{p^*} dx &= \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\psi^{p^*}}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\
 &= \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} \frac{1}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx + O(\eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}}) \\
 &= \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_{R^N} \frac{1}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx + O(\eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}}) \\
 &= \int_{R^N} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dy + O(\eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}}) \\
 &= |U|_{L^{p^*}(R^N)}^{p^*} + O(\eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $U(x) = (1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \in W^{1,p}(R^N)$ satisfies

$$\frac{|\nabla U|_{L^p(R^N)}^p}{|U|_{L^{p^*}(R^N)}^p} = S = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(R^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|_{L^p(R^N)}^p}{|u|_{L^{p^*}(R^N)}^p}.$$

A direct calculation, we deduce that (4.2) holds.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By the analysis in section 3, see (a), we know that when $\lambda + \theta < \Lambda^*$ there exist $R, \rho > 0$ such that

$$E(z) > \rho, \text{ for all } \|z\| = R.$$

On the other hand, since F is positive homogenous of degree p^* and $1 < r < p < p^*$, for any $z_0 \in H$, it's easy to see that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(tz_0) = -\infty.$$

Choose $t_0 > 0$ large enough such that $E(t_0z_0) < \rho$ and $\|t_0z_0\| > R$, set $w_0 = t_0z_0$, then we know that the functional E has the mountain pass geometry.

From (2.7) and (4.1), we only need to show

$$\mu < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}, \tag{4.3}$$

then Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 2.5 give the existence of the critical point of E .

Let we take $z_{\eta} = (u_{\eta}, u_{\eta})$, and

$$g(t) = J(tz_{\eta}) = \frac{2t^p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\eta}|^p dx - t^{p^*} \int_{\Omega} F(x, 1, 1) |u_{\eta}|^{p^*} dx.$$

We can easily see that $g(t)$ attains its maximum at $t_{\eta} = \left(\frac{2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\eta}|^p dx}{p^* \int_{\Omega} F(x, 1, 1) |u_{\eta}|^{p^*} dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}$.

Using the definition of u_{η} and F , we obtain $t_{\eta} < \infty$. We also have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J(tz_{\eta}) = J(t_{\eta}z_{\eta}) = \Phi(\eta) + \Psi(\eta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\eta) &= \frac{2t_\eta^p}{p} |\nabla u_\eta|^p - F(x_0, 1, 1) t_\eta^{p^*} \int_\Omega |u_\eta|^{p^*} dx, \\ \Psi(\eta) &= t_\eta^{p^*} \int_\Omega (F(x_0, 1, 1) - F(x, 1, 1)) |u_\eta|^{p^*} dx.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from (2.3) and (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\eta) &\leq \frac{1}{N} p^{*-\frac{N}{p^*}} (F(x_0, 1, 1))^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} \left[\frac{2 \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\eta|^p dx}{\left(\int_\Omega |u_\eta|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}} \right]^{\frac{N}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} p^{*-\frac{N}{p^*}} (F(x_0, 1, 1))^{-\frac{N-p}{p}} (2S)^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

here we use the assumption that $2^{\frac{N}{N-p}} M \leq F(x_0, 1, 1)$.

It follows from (d₅) that there exists $\rho_0 \in (0, \delta_0)$ such that

$$0 \leq F(x_0, 1, 1) - F(x, 1, 1) \leq |x_0 - x|^k \text{ for all } x \in B(x_0, \rho_0).$$

From $k > \frac{N-p}{p-1}$, noticing that $t_\eta < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\eta) &= t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_\Omega \frac{(F(x_0, 1, 1) - F(x, 1, 1)) \psi^{p^*}}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &\leq t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_{R^N \setminus B(x_0, \rho_0)} \frac{2F(x_0, 1, 1)}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &\quad + t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} \int_{B(x_0, \rho_0)} \frac{|x - x_0|^k}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^N} dx \\ &\leq 2t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} F(x_0, 1, 1) \int_{R^N \setminus B(x_0, \rho_0)} |x - x_0|^{-\frac{pN}{p-1}} dx + \\ &\quad \frac{t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}}{N} \int_{B(x_0, \rho_0)} |x - x_0|^{k - \frac{p(N-1)}{p-1}} dx \\ &= N\omega_N 2t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} F(x_0, 1, 1) \int_{\rho_0}^{+\infty} r^{-\frac{N}{p-1}-1} dr + \omega_N t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \int_0^{\rho_0} r^{k-1 - \frac{N-p}{p-1}} dr \\ &= (p-1)\omega_N 2t_\eta^{p^*} \eta^{\frac{N}{p-1}} F(x_0, 1, 1) \rho_0^{-\frac{N}{p-1}} + \frac{(p-1)\omega_N t_\eta^{p^*}}{k(p-1) - N + p} \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} \rho_0^{k - \frac{N-p}{p-1}} \\ &= O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_N = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{N\Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}$ denotes the volume of the unit ball $B(0, 1) \subset R^N$.

Then we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} J(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}).$$

By the definition of $E(z)$ and u_η , and $(d_1), (d_2)$, we have

$$E(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{2}{p} t^p \int_\Omega |\nabla u_\eta|^p dx = \frac{2}{p} t^p [|\nabla U|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}})].$$

Then there exist a $T \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for $\lambda + \theta < \delta_1$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*- \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}.$$

For $t \geq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \geq T} E(tz_\eta) &= \sup_{t \geq T} [J(tz_\eta) - \frac{t^r}{r} \int_\Omega \lambda V(x) |u_\eta|^r + \theta V(x) |u_\eta|^r dx] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*- \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) - \sigma(\lambda + \theta) \frac{T^r}{r} \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} |u_\eta|^r dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta \in (0, \delta_0]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} |u_\eta|^r dx &= \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} \frac{1}{(\eta^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x - x_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p}}} dx \\ &\geq \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} \frac{1}{(2\delta_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p}}} dx \\ &= C_6 \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_6 = \int_{B(x_0, \delta_0)} \frac{1}{(2\delta_0^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p}}} dx$.

Then for any $0 < \eta \leq \delta_0$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq T} E(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*- \frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} + O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) - C_6 \sigma \frac{T^r}{r} (\lambda + \theta) \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}}.$$

For any positive constants C_7, C_8 and any $\lambda, \theta > 0$, choose $\eta < \min\{\delta_0, (\frac{C_8(\lambda + \theta)}{C_7})^{\frac{p(p-1)}{(p-r)(N-p)}}\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_7 \eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}} - 2C_8(\lambda + \theta) \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} &< \delta_0^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} (C_7 \eta^{\frac{(p-r)(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} - 2C_8(\lambda + \theta)) \\ &< -C_8 \delta_0^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} (\lambda + \theta), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that there exist $\eta_0 > 0$ and $C_9 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda, \theta > 0$ and $0 < \eta < \eta_0$,

$$O(\eta^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}) - C_6 \sigma \frac{T^r}{r} (\lambda + \theta) \eta^{\frac{r(N-p)}{p(p-1)}} < -C_9(\lambda + \theta).$$

Then there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ such that when $\lambda + \theta < \delta_2$, we have

$$-C_9(\lambda + \theta) < -B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}.$$

Then for any $\eta \in (0, \eta_2)$, $\lambda + \theta \in (0, \delta_2)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq T} E(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}.$$

Set $\Lambda^{**} = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \Lambda^*\}$, then for all $\lambda + \theta \in (0, \Lambda^{**})$ and $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E(tz_\eta) \leq \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}} - B(\lambda + \theta)^{\frac{p}{p-r}}.$$

Then we obtain (4.3). This completes the proof of theorem 1.2. ■

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3.

We will study the case $1 < p < r < p^*$ and prove theorem 1.3 in this section.

Similar to Lemma 2.5 in section 2, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. Assume $1 < p < r < p^*$ and $(d_1) - (d_3)$ hold. Then E satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition with c satisfying

$$c < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we know that z_n is bounded in H , there is a $z = (u, v) \in H$ and a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$, still denoted by $\{z_n\}$ such that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$. A standard argument shows that z is a critical point of E , this implies that $\langle E'(z), z \rangle = 0$ and

$$\|z\|^p - p^* \int_{\Omega} F(x, z) dx = \int_{\Omega} \lambda V(x) |u|^r + \theta V(x) |v|^r dx.$$

Thus,

$$E(z) = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{r}\right) \|z\|^p + \left(\frac{p^*}{r} - 1\right) \int_{\Omega} F(x, z) dx.$$

For $p < r < p^*$, we deduce that $E(z) > 0$ for any $\lambda, \theta > 0$, the following is similar to lemma 2.5. we omit it here. ■

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. From (4.1) and (5.1), we only need to show

$$\mu < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}}, \tag{5.2}$$

then Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 5.1 give the existence of the critical point of E .

To obtain (5.2), Let us choose $z_0 = (u_0, u_0) \in H$, with

$$\int_{\Omega} F(x, 1, 1) u_0^{p^*} dx > 0, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(tz_0) = -\infty,$$

then there exists a $t_{\theta\lambda} > 0$ such that $\sup_{t \geq 0} E(tz_0) = E(t_{\theta\lambda}z_0)$ holds, and then $t_{\theta\lambda}$ satisfies

$$0 = t_{\theta\lambda}^{p-1} \|z_0\|^p - (\lambda + \theta)t_{\theta\lambda}^{r-1} \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u_0|^r dx - p^* t_{\theta\lambda}^{p^*-1} \int_{\Omega} F(x, 1, 1)u_0^{p^*} dx,$$

then we get

$$(\lambda + \theta) \int_{\Omega} V(x)|u_0|^r dx = t_{\theta\lambda}^{p-r} \|z_0\|^p - p^* t_{\theta\lambda}^{p^*-r} \int_{\Omega} F(x, 1, 1)u_0^{p^*} dx,$$

from $p < r < p^*$, we get $t_{\theta\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ as $(\lambda + \theta) \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $\Lambda_* > 0$ such that for any $(\lambda + \theta) > \Lambda_*$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E(tz_0) < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

Now we take $w_0 = t_0 z_0$ with t_0 large enough to verify $E(w_0) < 0$, we get

$$\alpha \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} E(\gamma_0(t)),$$

where $\gamma_0(t) = tw_0$. Therefore,

$$\mu \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} E(tw_0) < \frac{1}{N} (S_F p^{*-\frac{p}{p^*}})^{\frac{N}{p}}.$$

then we have proved (5.2). The proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed. ■

References

- [1] A.Ambrosetti, P.H.Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and application, *J.Funct.Anal.*14(1973)349-381.
- [2] C.O.Alves, D.C.de Morais Filho, M.A.S.Souto, On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.*42(2000)771-787.
- [3] J.P.Aubin, I.Ekeland, *Applied nonlinear analysis*, Wiley, New York.(1984).
- [4] J.G.Azvrero, I.P.Aloson, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term, *Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.*323(1991)877-895.
- [5] J.G.Azorero, I.P.Alonso, Some results about the existence of a second positive solution in a quasilinear critical problem, *Indiana Univ.Math.J.*43(1994), no.3, 941-957.
- [6] A.Ambrosetti, H.Brezis, G.Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *J.Funct.Anal.*122(1994)519-543.

- [7] H.Brézis, E.lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.88(1983)486-490.
- [8] H.Brézis, L.Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Comm.Pure Appl.Math.36(1983)437-477.
- [9] H.Brezis, Nonlinear equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent-survey and perspectives//Crandall M C, et al, ed.Directions in Partial.Diff.Equations.New York:Academic Press Inc, (1987)17-36.
- [10] J.Byeon, Z.Wang, Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Archive for Rational Mechanics.Anal.165(4)(2002)295-316.
- [11] C.M.Chu, C.L.Tang, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for semilinear elliptic systems with Sobolev critical exponents, Nonlinear Anal.71(2009)5118-5130.
- [12] W.Ding, W.Ni, On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation, Archive for Rational Mechanics.Anal.31(4)(1986)283-328.
- [13] P. Drábek and Y.Huang, Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in R^N with critical Sobolev exponent, J.Diff. Equations.140(1)(1997) 106-132.
- [14] D.G, de Figueiredo, J.P.Gossez, P.Ubilla, Local superlinearity and sublinearity for the p-Laplacian, J.Funct.Anal.3(2009)721-752.
- [15] P.Han, High-energy positive solutions for critical growth Dirichlet problem in noncontractible domains, Nonlinear Anal.60(2005)369-387.
- [16] P.Han, The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of elliptic systems involving critical Sobolev exponents, Houston.J.Math.32(2006)1241-1257.
- [17] T.S.Hsu, Multiple positive solutions for a critical quasilinear elliptic system with concave-convex nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal.71(2009)2688-2698.
- [18] H.Liu, Multiple positive solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation with critical Sobolev exponent, J.Math.Anal.Appl.354(2009)451-458.
- [19] H.Liu, Multiple positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation involving singular potential and critical Sobolev exponent, Nonlinear Anal.71(2009)1684-1690.
- [20] P.H.Rabinowitz, Minimax methods in critical points theory with application to differential equations, CBMS.Regional.ConfSer in Math.Vol 65.Providence, RI:Amer. Math.Soc.(1986).
- [21] J.Su, Z.Wang, M.Willem, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with unbounded and decaying radial potentials, Communication in Contemporary Mathematics.9(4)(2007)571-583.

- [22] J.L.Vázquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Appl.Math. Optim.*12(3)(1984)191-202.
- [23] T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving sign-changing weight functions, *Nonlinear Anal.*68(2008)1733-1745.
- [24] T.F.Wu, On semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents and sign-changing weight function, *Com.Pure.Appl.Anal.*7(2008)383-405.
- [25] H.H.Yin, Z.D.Yang, Multiplicity results for a class of concave-convex elliptic systems involving sign-changing weight, *Ann.Polon.Math.*102(2011)51-71.
- [26] X.Zhu, Nontrivial solution of quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Sciences Sinica.Ser A*, 31(1988)1166-1181.

Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210023, China;
and School of Mathematical Sciences,
Huaiyin Normal University, Jiangsu Huaian 223001, China
email: yinh@hytc.edu.cn.

Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences,
Nanjing Normal University, Jiangsu Nanjing 210023, China
email: zdyangjin@263.net