

On symmetric and periodic solutions of parametric weakly nonlinear ODE with time-reversal symmetries

Nataliya Dilna* Michal Fečkan†

Abstract

We show the existence of periodic and symmetric solutions of parametric weakly nonlinear ODE possessing time-reversal symmetries. Local asymptotic behaviours of these solutions are established as well. Concrete examples are presented to illustrate the general theory.

1 Introduction

We consider the systems of differential equations under symmetric assumptions. More concretely, we consider a weakly nonlinear ordinary differential equation of the form

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon f(x, \mu, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

with parameters $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where ε is small, and with a C^∞ -smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ symmetric in x , i.e. it holds

$$Af(x, \mu, t) = -f(Ax, \mu, -t - \tau), \quad (1.2)$$

*The first author was supported in part by the Fond of Štefan Schwarz and by the Grants VEGA-SAV 2/0124/10 and APVV-0134-10.

†The second author was supported in part by the Grants VEGA-MS 1/0098/08, VEGA-SAV 2/0124/10 and APVV-0414-07.

Received by the editors February 2011.

Communicated by J. Mawhin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 34C14, 34C15, 34D20.

Key words and phrases : Periodic solution, symmetric systems, stability of solution, parametric equations.

where $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular linear map, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed and, moreover, function f is T -periodic on t , i.e. it holds

$$f(x, \mu, t) = f(x, \mu, t + T). \quad (1.3)$$

A survey of dynamical systems with time-reversal symmetries is given in [21]. Note condition (1.2) represents such a kind of symmetry for (1.1).

On the other hand, there are several papers [15, 16, 24, 30, 34, 35] studying ODE with symmetries when (1.2) is replaced with the following assumption

$$Af(Ax, \mu, t) = -f(x, \mu, -t - \tau). \quad (1.4)$$

Moreover, most of these papers suppose additional condition $A^2 = \mathbb{I}$, and then (1.4) is called as property E. Furthermore, clearly property E is our assumption (1.2) with $A^2 = \mathbb{I}$. Consequently, our results are generalizations of some earlier results for weakly nonlinear ordinary differential equations with property E.

Note

$$g(x, \mu, t) := f(x, \mu, t - \tau/2)$$

satisfies (1.2) with $\tau = 0$, so without loss of generality, we suppose

$$Af(x, \mu, t) = -f(Ax, \mu, -t) \quad (1.5)$$

instead of (1.2). We introduce a vector space

$$X := \left\{ x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \mid x(t) = Ax(-t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Definition 1. By a *symmetric solution* x of equation (1.1) we mean $x \in X$ satisfying this equation.

The main goal of this paper is to find symmetric and periodic solutions (see Section 4) for equation (1.1) and to study their asymptotic properties (see Sections 5 and 6). We also present examples to illustrate the theory in Section 8.

The results presented in this note are also generalizations of achievements for anti-periodic problems with $A = -\mathbb{I}$ [1, 2], and continuations of [13]. Doubly symmetric solutions of reversible systems are studied in [28]. Symmetric properties of periodic solutions of nonlinear nonautonomous ordinary differential equations are studied also in [9, 10, 11]. We can also apply numerical methods from [31] for computation of symmetric solutions of (1.1). More results on periodic solutions in dynamical systems and ordinary differential equations are presented in [12, 23, 32].

Furthermore, when in addition, f is odd in x , i.e. it holds

$$f(-x, \mu, t) = -f(x, \mu, t), \quad (1.7)$$

then we extend our result to the study of *antisymmetric* and periodic solutions of (1.1), i.e. satisfying (cf Section 7)

$$-x(-t) = Ax(t) \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1.8)$$

instead of $x \in X$.

Finally, results of this paper are closely related to bifurcations of periodic solutions presented in the books [7, 6, 14, 20, 36], but we remind that we also study asymptotic properties of found periodic solutions of (1.1), not just their existence.

2 Classical Results on Existence of Periodic Solutions

Before studying the existence of symmetric and periodic solutions of (1.1), we recall the following classical results [27, 33].

Theorem 1. *If there exist $\bar{\eta}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{\mu}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that*

$$\int_0^T f(\bar{\eta}_0, \bar{\mu}_0, s) ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T D_{\eta, \mu} f(\bar{\eta}_0, \bar{\mu}_0, s) ds : \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{is onto.} \quad (2.1)$$

Then there are decompositions $\mathbb{R}^k = \bar{X}_1 \oplus \bar{X}_2$, $\mathbb{R}^n = \bar{Y}_1 \oplus \bar{Y}_2$ with $\dim \bar{X}_1 + \dim \bar{Y}_1 = n$ and constants $\bar{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$, $\bar{\delta}_1^0 > 0$, $\bar{\delta}_2^0 > 0$, $\bar{\delta}_3^0 > 0$, $\bar{\delta}_4^0 > 0$ along with unique C^∞ -smooth functions $\bar{\mu}_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon) \in \bar{X}_1$, $\bar{\eta}_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon) \in \bar{Y}_1$, $\varepsilon \in (-\bar{\varepsilon}_0, \bar{\varepsilon}_0)$, $|\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2^0| < \bar{\delta}_2^0$, $|\eta_2 - \bar{\eta}_2^0| < \bar{\delta}_4^0$ such that $\bar{\mu}_1(\bar{\eta}_2^0, \bar{\mu}_2^0, 0) = \bar{\mu}_1^0$, $\bar{\eta}_1(\bar{\eta}_2^0, \bar{\mu}_2^0, 0) = \bar{\eta}_1^0$ for $\bar{\mu}_0 = (\bar{\mu}_1^0, \bar{\mu}_2^0) \in \bar{X}_1 \times \bar{X}_2$, $\bar{\eta}_0 = (\bar{\eta}_1^0, \bar{\eta}_2^0) \in \bar{Y}_1 \times \bar{Y}_2$ with the following properties: For any $|\mu_1 - \bar{\mu}_1^0| < \bar{\delta}_1^0$, $|\mu_2 - \bar{\mu}_2^0| < \bar{\delta}_2^0$, $|\eta_1 - \bar{\eta}_1^0| < \bar{\delta}_3^0$, $|\eta_2 - \bar{\eta}_2^0| < \bar{\delta}_4^0$ and $0 < |\varepsilon| < \bar{\varepsilon}_0$, equation (1.1) has a T -periodic solution with $x(0) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ if and only if $\mu_1 = \bar{\mu}_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$, $\eta_1 = \bar{\eta}_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$, moreover this solution is unique and located near $\bar{\eta}_0$.

Theorem 2. *If there are compact subsets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ such that*

$$\min_{x \in \Omega, \mu \in \Gamma} \left| \int_0^T f(x, \mu, s) ds \right| > 0,$$

then (1.1) has no T -periodic solutions in Ω for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small and $\mu \in \Gamma$.

3 Existence of symmetric solutions

We suppose for simplicity that f is globally Lipschitz continuous in x . From the property

$$Ax(t) = x(-t) \quad (3.1)$$

we have that

$$Ax(0) = x(0). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 1. *A solution x of (1.1) is symmetric if and only if it satisfies (3.2).*

Proof. Let us put

$$y(t) := A^{-1}x(-t).$$

Then, taking into account (1.5), we get

$$\dot{y}(t) = -A^{-1}\dot{x}(-t) = -A^{-1}\varepsilon f(x(-t), \mu, -t) = \varepsilon f(A^{-1}x(-t), \mu, t) = \varepsilon f(y(t), \mu, t)$$

and

$$y(0) = A^{-1}x(0) = x(0).$$

So $x(t) = y(t)$. Consequently, (3.1) holds. ■

Remark 1. It follows from the above considerations that any symmetric and T -periodic solution is not asymptotically stable, but it can be stable (cf Example 2). Moreover, if a symmetric and T -periodic solution is hyperbolic then the dimensions of its stable and unstable manifolds are equal and so n is even.

From (3.2) we have

$$x(0) \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A).$$

Let us consider equation (1.1) with initial value condition

$$x(0) = \eta, \quad \eta \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \quad (3.3)$$

and take its unique C^∞ -smooth solution $x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$, $x : \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Summarizing we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 3. *The Cauchy problem (1.1), (3.3) has a unique C^∞ -smooth solution $x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$ which is also symmetric, and any symmetric solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) satisfies (3.3).*

4 Existence of symmetric and periodic solutions

If $x(t)$ is T -periodic and satisfying (3.1) then we get

$$x(T/2) = x(-T/2) = Ax(T/2),$$

so

$$x(T/2) \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A). \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, if $x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, T/2) \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$ then

$$x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, -T/2) = x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, T/2),$$

so $x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$ is T -periodic. Consequently, in order to find symmetric and periodic solutions of (1.1), we have to study the following equation

$$F(\eta, \mu, \varepsilon) := Sx(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, T/2) = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mathbb{I} - S : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$ is a A -invariant projection, i.e. $AS = SA$. Let

$$V := \ker(\mathbb{I} - S).$$

Note

$$p := \dim V = n - \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A).$$

Since

$$F(\eta, \mu, 0) = S\eta = 0,$$

we solve equation

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}F(\eta, \mu, \varepsilon) = 0, \quad \varepsilon \neq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

To state the next results we introduce the following function

$$H_1(\eta, \mu) := D_\varepsilon F(\eta, \mu, 0).$$

Now we suppose that

$$m := \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) + k \geq p. \quad (4.4)$$

Then note $H_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^p)$.

Remark 2. Let us consider decomposition

$$x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = \eta + \varepsilon x_1(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon^2 x_2(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon^3 x_3(\eta, \mu, t) + \dots \quad (4.5)$$

for the Cauchy problem (1.1), (3.3). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{x}_1(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon \dot{x}_2(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon^2 \dot{x}_3(\eta, \mu, t) + \dots \\ &= f(\eta + \varepsilon x_1(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon^2 x_2(\eta, \mu, t) + \varepsilon^3 x_3(\eta, \mu, t) + \dots, \mu, t), \\ & x_j(\eta, \mu, 0) = 0 \forall j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Putting $\varepsilon = 0$ in (4.6), we have

$$x_1(\eta, \mu, t) = \int_0^t f(\eta, \mu, s) ds. \quad (4.7)$$

Similarly, differentiating (4.6) by ε once and twice at $\varepsilon = 0$, we derive

$$x_2(\eta, \mu, t) = \int_0^t D_x f(\eta, \mu, s) \int_0^s f(\eta, \mu, z) dz ds, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_3(\eta, \mu, t) &= \int_0^t D_x f(\eta, \mu, s) \int_0^s D_x f(\eta, \mu, z) \int_0^z f(\eta, \mu, u) du dz ds \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t D_{xx} f(\eta, \mu, s) \left(\int_0^s f(\eta, \mu, z) dz, \int_0^s f(\eta, \mu, z) dz \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

respectively.

Then, taking into account (4.7), we return to (4.2)

$$H_1(\eta, \mu) = D_\varepsilon F(\eta, \mu, 0) = S x_1(\eta, \mu, T/2) = S \int_0^{T/2} f(\eta, \mu, s) ds.$$

Next, (1.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} A H_1(\eta, \mu) &= A S \int_0^{T/2} f(\eta, \mu, s) ds = -S \int_0^{T/2} f(A\eta, \mu, -s) ds \\ &= -S \int_0^{T/2} f(\eta, \mu, T-s) ds = -S \int_{T/2}^T f(\eta, \mu, s) ds \\ &= -S \int_0^T f(\eta, \mu, s) ds + H_1(\eta, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

By using $1 \notin \sigma(A/V)$ and $H_1(\eta, \mu) \in V$, we derive

$$H_1(\eta, \mu) = (\mathbb{I} - A)^{-1} S \int_0^T f(\eta, \mu, s) ds. \quad (4.10)$$

We first study the nondegenerate case:

4.1 The case $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = \{0\}$

Then $S = \mathbb{I}$ and by (4.4), $m = k \geq p = n$. Now we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4. *If there exists $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that*

$$\int_0^{T/2} f(0, \mu_0, s) ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{T/2} D_\mu f(0, \mu_0, s) ds : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{is onto.} \quad (4.11)$$

Then there is a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^k = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $\dim X_1 = n$ and constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\delta_1^0 > 0$, $\delta_2^0 > 0$ along with a unique C^∞ -smooth function $\mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon) \in X_1$, $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, $|\mu_2 - \mu_2^0| < \delta_2^0$ such that $\mu_1(\mu_2^0, 0) = \mu_1^0$ for $\mu_0 = (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0) \in X_1 \times X_2$ with the following properties: For any $|\mu_1 - \mu_1^0| < \delta_1^0$, $|\mu_2 - \mu_2^0| < \delta_2^0$ and $0 < |\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$, equation (1.1) has a T -periodic and symmetric solution if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon)$, moreover this solution is unique, so that it is given by $x(0, \varepsilon, \mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2, t)$ and thus it is located near 0 in \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. By (4.11) there is a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^k = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $\dim X_1 = n$ such that

$$\det \left[\int_0^{T/2} D_{\mu_1} f(0, \mu_1^0, \mu_2^0, s) ds \right] \neq 0,$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$. We set a function

$$G(\mu_1, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F(0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \varepsilon) & \text{for } \varepsilon \neq 0, \\ H_1(0, \mu_1, \mu_2) & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly that G is C^∞ -smooth. We solve

$$G(\mu_1, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

From our assumptions we have

$$G(\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0, 0) = H_1(0, \mu_1^0, \mu_2^0) = 0$$

and

$$\det D_{\mu_1} G(\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0, 0) = \det D_{\mu_1} H_1(0, \mu_1^0, \mu_2^0) \neq 0.$$

Now applying Implicit Function Theorem on (4.12) the proof is finished. \blacksquare

Using topological degree methods from [25] we can get the next result.

Theorem 5. *Assume a decomposition $\mathbb{R}^k = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $\dim X_1 = n$ and the existence of an open bounded subset $\Omega \subset X_1$ along with $\mu_2^0 \in X_2$ such that $0 \notin H_1(0, \partial\Omega, \mu_2^0)$ and $\deg(H_1(0, \cdot, \mu_2^0), \Omega, 0) \neq 0$, then for any small $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and μ_2 near μ_2^0 there exists $\mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon) \in \Omega$ such that (1.1) with $\mu_1 = \mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon)$ has a T -periodic and symmetric solution.*

Next we have the following result.

Theorem 6. *Assume $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = \ker(\mathbb{I} - A^2) = \{0\}$. Then $x(t) = 0$ is the only symmetric solution of (1.1) for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small.*

Proof. By (1.5) we obtain $A^2 f(0, \mu, t) = f(0, \mu, t)$ and so $f(0, \mu, t) \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A^2)$. Hence $f(0, \mu, t) = 0$ and the proof is finished. \blacksquare

4.2 The case $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \neq \{0\}$

Then $p = \dim V < n$. We recall (4.4). Now we are ready to prove the following result.

Theorem 7. *If there exist $\eta_0 \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$ and $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that*

$$S \int_0^{T/2} f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S \int_0^{T/2} D_\mu f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \quad \text{is onto.} \tag{4.13}$$

Then there are decompositions $\mathbb{R}^k = X_1 \oplus X_2$, $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ with $\dim X_1 + \dim Y_1 = n$ and constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\delta_1^0 > 0$, $\delta_2^0 > 0$, $\delta_3^0 > 0$, $\delta_4^0 > 0$ along with unique C^∞ -smooth functions $\mu_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon) \in X_1$, $\eta_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon) \in Y_1$, $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$, $|\mu_2 - \mu_2^0| < \delta_2^0$, $|\eta_2 - \eta_2^0| < \delta_4^0$ such that $\mu_1(\eta_2^0, \mu_2^0, 0) = \mu_1^0$, $\eta_1(\eta_2^0, \mu_2^0, 0) = \eta_1^0$ for $\mu_0 = (\mu_1^0, \mu_2^0) \in X_1 \times X_2$, $\eta_0 = (\eta_1^0, \eta_2^0) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ with the following properties: For any $|\mu_1 - \mu_1^0| < \delta_1^0$, $|\mu_2 - \mu_2^0| < \delta_2^0$, $|\eta_1 - \eta_1^0| < \delta_3^0$, $|\eta_2 - \eta_2^0| < \delta_4^0$ and $0 < |\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$, equation (1.1) with (3.3) has a T -periodic and symmetric solution if and only if $\mu_1 = \mu_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$, $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$, moreover this solution is unique, so that it is given by $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t) := x(\eta_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon), \eta_2, \varepsilon, \mu_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2, t)$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 4, so we omit details (cf [5, 7, 20]). ■

Similarly we can extend Theorem 5, but we leave this to the reader. Next, taking

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \oplus \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \oplus W \tag{4.14}$$

with $AW = W$ and $\pm 1 \notin \sigma(A_0)$ for $A_0 := A/W$. We note

$$A(\eta, y, z) = (\eta, -y, A_0 z) \tag{4.15}$$

for $\eta \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$, $y \in \ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$ and $z \in W$. Then (1.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\eta, y, z, \mu, t) &= -f_1(\eta, -y, A_0 z, \mu, -t), \\ f_2(\eta, y, z, \mu, t) &= f_2(\eta, -y, A_0 z, \mu, -t), \\ A_0 f_3(\eta, y, z, \mu, t) &= -f_3(\eta, -y, A_0 z, \mu, -t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} f(\eta, y, z, \mu, t) &= (f_1(\eta, y, z, \mu, t), f_2(\eta, y, z, \mu, t), f_3(\eta, y, z, \mu, t)) \\ &\in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \times \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \times W. \end{aligned}$$

Then $S(\eta, y, z) = (0, y, z)$ and $V = \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \oplus W$. Moreover from

$$A_0^2 f_3(\eta, y, z, \mu, t) = f_3(\eta, y, A_0^2 z, \mu, t),$$

we have

$$A_0^2 f_3(\eta, y, 0, \mu, t) = f_3(\eta, y, 0, \mu, t).$$

So if $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) = \{0\}$ then $f_3(\eta, y, 0, \mu, t) = 0$ and symmetric solutions lie in a subspace $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \oplus \ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$. Hence a bifurcation function is reduced to

$$\hat{H}_1(\eta, \mu) := \int_0^{T/2} f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) dt \tag{4.17}$$

instead of $H_1(\eta, \mu)$ (cf (4.10)).

5 Asymptotic properties of symmetric and periodic solutions: The case $A = -\mathbb{I}$

In order to investigate asymptotic properties of symmetric and periodic solutions derived in Section 4, we first consider the case $A = -\mathbb{I}$. Now $S = \mathbb{I}$ and (1.5) has the form

$$-f(x, \mu, t) = -f(-x, \mu, -t),$$

which gives

$$-D_x f(x, \mu, t) = D_x f(-x, \mu, -t). \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$\phi(\xi, \mu, \varepsilon) := x(\xi, \varepsilon, \mu, T).$$

Note by Theorem 4 that $\xi = 0$ is a fixed point of $\phi(\cdot, \mu, \varepsilon)$ if and only if $\mu = \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon) := (\mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2)$ and it corresponds to a unique symmetric and periodic solution of (1.1) for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small and μ_2 near μ_2^0 . So we set

$$\psi(\xi, \mu_2, \varepsilon) := \phi(\xi, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \varepsilon),$$

and a linear asymptotic property of $\xi = 0$ for $\psi(\cdot, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$ is determined by the spectrum $\sigma(D_\xi \psi(0, \mu_2, \varepsilon))$ of $D_\xi \psi(0, \mu_2, \varepsilon)$. Since (5.1) implies

$$\int_0^T D_x f(0, \mu, t) dt = 0,$$

the usual first order averaging methods cannot be applied (cf Theorem 13, [27]). For this reason, from (1.1) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_\xi(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t) &= \varepsilon A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(t) x_\xi(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t) \\ x_\xi(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), 0) &= \mathbb{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where

$$A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(t) := D_x f(x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t), \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t).$$

Next it holds

$$\begin{aligned} -x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t) &= x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -t), \\ x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t + T) &= x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Then (5.1) and (5.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(t) &= -D_x f(x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t), \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t) \\ &= D_x f(-x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t), \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -t) \\ &= D_x f(x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -t), \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -t) = A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(-t). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Since

$$A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(t + T) = A_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}(t),$$

from the Floquet theory [19] we have

$$x_\xi(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t + T) = x_\xi(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t) B_{\mu_2, \varepsilon} \quad (5.5)$$

for a regular matrix $B_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}$. Moreover, by (5.4), clearly $x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \varepsilon, -t)$ satisfies (5.2), from the uniqueness of initial value problem, it follows

$$x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -t) = x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t).$$

Then (5.5) gives

$$x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), T/2) = x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), -T/2)B_{\mu_2, \varepsilon} = x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), T/2)B_{\mu_2, \varepsilon}$$

and so

$$B_{\mu_2, \varepsilon} = \mathbb{I}.$$

Consequently, we arrive at

$$x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t + T) = x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), t),$$

that is

$$D_{\bar{\zeta}}\psi(0, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = x_{\bar{\zeta}}(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\mu_2, \varepsilon), T) = \mathbb{I}. \quad (5.6)$$

Summarizing, we cannot apply the linear asymptotic theory in this case for symmetric and periodic solutions. Furthermore, (1.1) implies

$$\psi(\bar{\zeta}, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = \bar{\zeta} + \varepsilon \int_0^T f(\bar{\zeta}, \mu_0, t) dt + O\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon(\mu_2 - \mu_2^0)|\right),$$

which gives

$$D_{\bar{\zeta}\bar{\zeta}}\psi(0, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon \int_0^T D_{xx}f(0, \mu_0, t) dt + O\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon(\mu_2 - \mu_2^0)|\right). \quad (5.7)$$

We immediately arrive at the following result [17, 19, 26].

Theorem 8. *Suppose $n = 1$ in Theorem 4. If in addition*

$$\int_0^T D_{xx}f(0, \mu_0, t) dt \neq 0,$$

then the T -periodic and symmetric solution $x(0, \varepsilon, \mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2, t)$ is a saddle-node.

Proof. We have

$$\psi(\bar{\zeta}, \mu_2, \varepsilon) = \bar{\zeta} + \varepsilon \frac{\bar{\zeta}^2}{2} \int_0^T D_{xx}f(0, \mu_0, t) dt + O\left(\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon(\mu_2 - \mu_2^0)|\right) \bar{\zeta}^2 + \varepsilon \bar{\zeta}^3\right),$$

which immediately gives the proof. ■

The case $n > 1$ is more complicated. We intend to apply some results from papers [3, 4]. First we recall for the reader convenience the following theorem of [4].

Theorem 9. If a mapping $F = (F^1, F^2) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ has a form

$$\begin{aligned} F^1(x, y) &= x + a_0 x^2 + x \langle b_0, y \rangle + \langle A_0 y, y \rangle + O(|z|^3), \\ F_j^2(x, y) &= y + x \langle b_j, y \rangle + \langle A_j y, y \rangle + O(|z|^3), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product on \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $F^2 = (F_1^2, \dots, F_{n-1}^2)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b_0, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $A_0, A_j \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ are symmetric matrices, $a_0 < 0$ and $\Re\sigma(B) > 0$ for $B := (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})^* \in L(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Then there is a $t_0 > 0$ and a local curve $K \in C^1((-t_0, t_0), \mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^\infty((-t_0, t_0) \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ passing through $(0, 0)$ which is invariant for F and the dynamics of F restricted on K is equivalent to the local dynamics of a polynomial $R : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $R(t) = t + a_0 t^2 + O(t^3)$. Moreover it holds $K(t) = (t, 0) + O(t^2)$.

The next theorem gives a condition on F to have the form of (5.8).

Theorem 10. If a mapping $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ has a form $F(0) = 0$, $DF(0) = \mathbb{I}$, $\frac{1}{2}D^2F(0) = \mathcal{B} \neq 0$ and there are $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|x_0| = 1$ such that $\mathcal{B}x_0^2 = \lambda_0 x_0$. Then F has a form of (5.8) near 0 for the orthogonal decomposition $\mathbb{R}^n = [x_0] \oplus [x_0]^\perp$.

Proof. Let $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [x_0]$ be the orthogonal projection. Then $z = xx_0 + y$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in [x_0]^\perp$, $F^1 = PF$ and $F^2 = QF$ for $Q = \mathbb{I} - P$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(x, y) &= QF(xx_0 + y) = Q \left(xx_0 + y + \mathcal{B}(xx_0 + y)^2 + O(|z|^3) \right) \\ &= y + Q \left(x^2 \mathcal{B}x_0^2 + 2x \mathcal{B}x_0 y + \mathcal{B}y^2 \right) + O(|z|^3) = y + Q \left(x^2 \lambda_0 x_0 + 2x \mathcal{B}x_0 y + \mathcal{B}y^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O(|z|^3) = y + 2x Q \mathcal{B}x_0 y + Q \mathcal{B}y^2 + O(|z|^3), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$F^1(x, y) = PF(xx_0 + y) = \left(x + x^2 \lambda_0 \right) x_0 + 2x P \mathcal{B}x_0 y + P \mathcal{B}y^2 + O(|z|^3).$$

We see that F has a form of (5.8) with $a_0 = \lambda_0$ and $B = 2Q \mathcal{B}x_0 \cdot |[x_0]^\perp$. The proof is finished. \blacksquare

Now we show a perturbation stability condition for (5.8).

Theorem 11. Let a symmetric quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ have a form $\frac{1}{2}D^2F(0)$ of (5.8) with $a_0 < 0$ and $\Re\sigma(B) > 0$. If a symmetric quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B}_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is sufficiently near to \mathcal{B}_0 then \mathcal{B}_1 has a form of (5.8) as well.

Proof. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{B}_0 satisfies assumptions of Theorem 10 for $x_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\lambda_0 = a_0$. The vector space of all symmetric and quadratic mappings from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n can be identified with \mathbb{R}^M for $M := n(n+1)/2$. Then we consider a mapping $\mathcal{H} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1+M}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(z, \lambda, \mathcal{B}) := \left(\mathcal{B}z^2 - \lambda z, |z|^2 - 1 \right).$$

Clearly $\mathcal{H}(x_0, a_0, \mathcal{B}_0) = 0$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D_{(z,\lambda)}\mathcal{H}(x_0, a_0, \mathcal{B}_0)(u, \theta) = (2\mathcal{B}_0x_0u - a_0u - \theta x_0, 2\langle x_0, u \rangle) \\ &= (a_0v + \langle b_0, w \rangle - \theta, \langle b_j, w \rangle - a_0w_j, 2v) , \\ u &= (v, w) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

when $v = 0$, $Bw = a_0w$ and $\theta = \langle b_0, w \rangle$. Since $\Re\sigma(B) > 0$, we get $w = 0$ and then $\theta = 0$. Consequently, we can apply Implicit Function Theorem for equation

$$\mathcal{H}(z, \lambda, \mathcal{B}_1) = 0$$

to obtain its local C^∞ -solution $z = z(\mathcal{B}_1)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\mathcal{B}_1)$ for any \mathcal{B}_1 near \mathcal{B}_0 . Then $a_0(\mathcal{B}_1) = \lambda(\mathcal{B}_1)$ and $B(\mathcal{B}_1) = 2Q_{\mathcal{B}_1}\mathcal{B}_1z(\mathcal{B}_1) \cdot |[z(\mathcal{B}_1)]^\perp$ with the orthogonal projection $Q_{\mathcal{B}_1} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [z(\mathcal{B}_1)]^\perp$. Note $a_0(\mathcal{B}_0) = a_0 < 0$ and $B(\mathcal{B}_0) = B$. Hence $\Re\sigma(B(\mathcal{B}_1)) > 0$. The proof of Theorem 11 is finished. ■

Now we can prove the following result.

Theorem 12. *Suppose $n > 1$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 4 be satisfied. If in addition*

$$\mathcal{B} := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T D_{xx}f(0, \mu_0, t) dt$$

has a negative eigenvalue with eigenvector x_0 such that $\Re\sigma(B) > 0$ for $B := 2Q_{\mathcal{B}}x_0 \cdot |[x_0]^\perp$ with the orthogonal projection $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [x_0]^\perp$ then the T -periodic and symmetric solution $x(0, \varepsilon, \mu_1(\mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2, t)$ has a local saddle-node dynamics. Hence it is unstable.

Proof. The proof follows directly from (5.7) and Theorems 9, 10 and 11. ■

Concrete examples are presented in Section 8.1.

6 Asymptotic properties of symmetric and periodic solutions: The case $A \neq -\mathbb{I}$

6.1 Hyperbolicity of periodic solutions

To study stability of the T -periodic and symmetric solution of equation (1.1) we recall the approach of [9, 10, 29]. For this aim we consider a C^∞ -mapping

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2}(\eta) := x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon), \mu_2, T) \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2}(\eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2)) = \eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2)$ for $\eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2) := (\eta_1(\eta_2, \mu_2, \varepsilon), \eta_2)$. By Remark 2, its linearization at $\eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2)$ has the decomposition (cf (4.5) and (4.7))

$$\begin{aligned} D\Phi_{\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2}(\eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2)) &= \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon \int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds \\ &+ O\left(\varepsilon^2 + |\varepsilon(\eta_2 - \eta_2^0)| + |\varepsilon(\mu_2 - \mu_2^0)|\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

By following [9, 27, 29] we obtain the following well-known result.

Theorem 13. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the symmetric and T -periodic solution $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t)$ of (1.1) from Theorem 7 has the following asymptotic properties:

- If $\Re \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds \right) \right\} \subset (-\infty, 0)$ then $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t)$ is asymptotically stable.
- If $\Re \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds \right) \right\} \cap (0, \infty) \neq \emptyset$ then $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t)$ is unstable.
- If $\Re \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds \right) \right\} \subset (0, \infty)$ then $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t)$ is a repeller.
- If $\Re \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds \right) \right\} \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$ then $x(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2, t)$ is hyperbolic with the same hyperbolicity type as $\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds$.

By (4.16) after some computations we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_\eta f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_\eta f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t), & D_y f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= D_y f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t) \\
 D_z f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_z f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t)A_0, & D_\eta f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= D_\eta f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t) \\
 D_y f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_y f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t), & D_z f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= D_z f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t)A_0 \\
 A_0 D_\eta f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_\eta f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t) \\
 A_0 D_y f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= D_y f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t) \\
 A_0 D_z f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_z f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, -t)A_0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.2}$$

By (6.2) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T D_\eta f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, & \int_0^T D_y f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 2 \int_0^{T/2} D_y f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt \\
 \int_0^T D_z f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &(\mathbb{I} + A_0) = 0 \\
 \int_0^T D_\eta f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 2 \int_0^{T/2} D_\eta f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt \\
 \int_0^T D_y f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, & \int_0^T D_z f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &(\mathbb{I} - A_0) = 0 \\
 (\mathbb{I} + A_0) \int_0^T D_\eta f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, & (\mathbb{I} - A_0) \int_0^T D_y f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0 \\
 A_0 \int_0^T D_z f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= - \int_0^T D_z f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt A_0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.3}$$

Then (6.3) and $\pm 1 \notin \sigma(A_0)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T D_z f_1(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, & \int_0^T D_z f_2(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, \\
 \int_0^T D_\eta f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0, & \int_0^T D_y f_3(\eta, 0, 0, \mu, t)dt &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6.4}$$

Summarizing by (6.3) and (6.4) it holds

$$\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \int_0^T D_y f_1(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt & 0 \\ \int_0^T D_\eta f_2(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \int_0^T D_z f_3(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt \end{pmatrix}$$

for matrices

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^T D_y f_1(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt &: \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \rightarrow \ker(\mathbb{I} - A), \\
 \int_0^T D_\eta f_2(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt &: \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \rightarrow \ker(\mathbb{I} + A), \\
 \int_0^T D_z f_3(\eta_0, \mu_0, t)dt &: W \rightarrow W.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if $\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds$ is hyperbolic then $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$. On the other hand, if $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) \neq 0$ then $\int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds$ is hyperbolic if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda^2 \in \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_y f_1(\eta_0, \mu_0, t) dt \int_0^T D_\eta f_2(\eta_0, \mu_0, t) dt \right) \right\} \cap \{0\} = \emptyset,$$

$$\Re \left(\sigma \left(\int_0^T D_z f_3(\eta_0, \mu_0, t) dt \right) \right) \cap \{0\} = \emptyset.$$

Of course when $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = 0$ then we suppose

$$\Re \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_z f_3(0, \mu_0, t) dt \right) \right\} \cap \{0\} = \emptyset.$$

6.2 *k*-Hyperbolicity

To study more sophisticated hyperbolicity of periodic solutions of equation (1.1) we need the following results from [9, 29].

Definition 2. A continuous matrix function $L_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and such that $L_0 = \mathbb{I}$, is *k-hyperbolic* if for every matrix function N_ε defined for $\varepsilon \geq 0$ satisfying $N_\varepsilon = o(\varepsilon^k)$, there exists an interval $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$ in which $L_\varepsilon + N_\varepsilon$ is hyperbolic of the same type (i.e., with the same number of eigenvalues on each side of the unit circle).

Definition 3. A continuous matrix function $L_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and such that $L_0 = \mathbb{I}$, is *strongly k-hyperbolic* if there exists a continuous real matrix C_ε defined in an interval $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ such that C_ε is regular (even for $\varepsilon = 0$) and such that

$$C^{-1} L_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} A_\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & B_\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, where $A_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon$ are $r \times r$ and $s \times s$ blocks, respectively, and $\|A_\varepsilon\| < 1 - c\varepsilon^k, \|B_\varepsilon^{-1}\| < 1 - c\varepsilon^k$, for some $c > 0$.

Theorem 14 ([29, Theorem 2.2]). *If $L_\varepsilon = \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon L_1 + \dots + \varepsilon^k L_k$, if the eigenvalues of L_1 are distinct numbers on the unit circle, and if the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(\varepsilon)$ of L_ε suitably numbered satisfy $|\lambda_i(\varepsilon)| < 1 - c\varepsilon^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, r, |\lambda_i(\varepsilon)| > 1 + c\varepsilon^k$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, n$, for some constant $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small, then L_ε is strongly *k-hyperbolic*.*

If $m = n$ then $\Phi_{\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2}$ and $\eta(\varepsilon, \eta_2, \mu_2)$ depend only on ε , so we have Φ_ε and $\eta(\varepsilon)$. Now we can improve Theorem 13 as follows.

Theorem 15. *Suppose $m = n$. Let $D\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta(\varepsilon)) = \mathbb{I} + \varepsilon M_1 + \dots + \varepsilon^k M_k + o(\varepsilon^k)$. Suppose that all eigenvalues of M_1 ($= \int_0^T D_x f(\eta_0, \mu_0, s) ds$) are distinct complex numbers on the unit circle. If the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(\varepsilon)$ of $\mathbb{I} + \varepsilon M_1 + \dots + \varepsilon^k M_k$ suitably numbered satisfy $|\lambda_i(\varepsilon)| < 1 - c\varepsilon^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, r, |\lambda_i(\varepsilon)| > 1 + c\varepsilon^k$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, n$, for some constant $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Then the symmetric and T -periodic solution $x_\varepsilon(t)$ of (1.1) from Theorem 7 is hyperbolic for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small.*

6.3 A particular case

We consider the splitting (4.14) with

$$\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \neq 0. \quad (6.5)$$

So we do not have variable η in (4.15) and function f_1 in (4.16) as well. Moreover we know that the only symmetric solution of (1.1) has the form

$$x(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = (y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t)) = (y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0) \quad (6.6)$$

with $y(0, \varepsilon, \mu, -t) = -y(0, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$. Next (4.16) implies

$$\begin{aligned} D_y f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_y f_2(-y, 0, \mu, -t) \\ D_z f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) &= D_z f_2(-y, 0, \mu, -t) A_0 \\ A_0 D_y f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) &= D_y f_3(-y, 0, \mu, -t) \\ A_0 D_z f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) &= -D_z f_3(-y, 0, \mu, -t) A_0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

From (6.7), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} D_z f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) &= D_z f_2(-y, 0, \mu, -t) A_0 = D_z f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) A_0^2 \\ &\Rightarrow D_z f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) (\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) = 0, \\ A_0^2 D_y f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) &= A_0 D_y f_3(-y, 0, \mu, -t) = D_y f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) \\ &\Rightarrow (\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) D_y f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Since $\mathbb{I} - A_0^2 : W \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism, (6.8) gives

$$D_z f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) = 0, \quad D_y f_3(y, 0, \mu, t) = 0.$$

Consequently, the variational equation of (1.1) along the symmetric solution (6.6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_y \dot{y}(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) &= \varepsilon D_y f_2(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0, \mu, t) D_y y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) \\ &\quad D_y y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, 0) = \mathbb{I}, \\ D_z \dot{y}(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) &= \varepsilon D_y f_2(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0, \mu, t) D_z y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) \\ &\quad D_z y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, 0) = 0, \\ D_y \dot{z}(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) &= \varepsilon D_z f_3(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0, \mu(\varepsilon), t) D_y z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) \\ &\quad D_y z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, 0) = 0, \\ D_z \dot{z}(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) &= \varepsilon D_z f_3(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0, \mu(\varepsilon), t) D_z z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) \\ &\quad D_z z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, 0) = \mathbb{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

which yields to $D_z y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = 0$ and $D_y z(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since by (6.7)

$$-D_y f_2(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t), 0, \mu, t) = D_y f_2(y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, -t), 0, \mu, -t)$$

the first equation of (6.9) implies $D_y y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = D_y y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, -t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By assuming a T -periodicity of $y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$ in t , then like in Section 5 we arrive at $D_y y(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, T) = \mathbb{I}$. Consequently, it holds

$$D_{(y,z)} x(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, T) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{zz}(0, 0, \varepsilon, \mu, T) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we again cannot apply Theorem 13. On the other hand, we have

$$\int_0^T D_{(y,z)} f(0, 0, \mu, t) dt = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \int_0^T D_z f_3(0, 0, \mu, t) dt \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T f(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt &= 0 \text{ for some } \mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k \\ \text{and } \Re \sigma \left(\int_0^T D_z f_3(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt \right) \cap \{0\} &= \emptyset, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

then we can apply a local center manifold method to (1.1) near $x \sim 0$ and $\mu \sim \mu_0$ to get the situation of Section 5. Note (4.16) gives

$$\int_0^T f_2(0, 0, \mu, t) dt = 2 \int_0^{T/2} f_2(0, 0, \mu, t) dt, \quad (\mathbb{I} + A_0) \int_0^T f_3(0, 0, \mu, t) dt = 0,$$

which implies $\int_0^T f_3(0, 0, \mu, t) dt = 0$. Consequently the equation $\int_0^T f(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt = 0$ is equivalent to $\int_0^{T/2} f_2(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt = 0$ (cf (4.17)).

Next we assume that A is unitary, i.e. $\|A\| = 1$. This holds among others when $A^p = \mathbb{I}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ by taking a new scalar product on \mathbb{R}^n given by [9]

$$(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{j=1}^p \langle A^j x_1, A^j x_2 \rangle.$$

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we apply a local center manifold method to (1.1) near $x \sim 0$ and $\mu \sim \mu_0$ to get a local C^r -mapping $\Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$ which is T -periodic in t , $y \sim 0$, $\varepsilon \sim 0$, $\mu \sim \mu_0$, $\Phi \in W$ and satisfying

$$A_0 \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = \Phi(-y, \varepsilon, \mu, -t) \tag{6.11}$$

along with

$$\varepsilon f_3(y, \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t), \mu, t) = \varepsilon D_y \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t) f_2(y, \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t), \mu, t) + D_t \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t). \tag{6.12}$$

Expanding

$$\Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t) = \Phi_0(y, \mu) + O(\varepsilon),$$

and using (6.12) we derive

$$\bar{f}_3(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) = D_y \Phi_0(y, \mu) \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu), \tag{6.13}$$

where $\bar{f}_i := \int_0^T f_i(y, z, t) dt$, $i = 1, 2$. Note

$$\Phi_0(0, \mu_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_y \Phi_0(0, \mu_0) = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

Of course, (6.13) means that $\Phi_0(y, \mu)$ is a graph of a local center manifold of the averaged equation of (1.1) given by $\dot{x} = \varepsilon \bar{f}(x, \mu)$ for $x \sim 0$ and $\mu \sim \mu_0$. The reduced ODE on the local center manifold is given by

$$\dot{y} = \varepsilon g(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t) := \varepsilon f_2(y, \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t), \mu, t). \quad (6.15)$$

Note (4.16) and (6.11) imply

$$\begin{aligned} g(-y, \varepsilon, \mu, -t) &= f_2(-y, \Phi(-y, \varepsilon, \mu, -t), \mu, -t) = f_2(-y, A_0 \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t), \mu, -t) \\ &= f_2(y, \Phi(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t), \mu, t) = g(y, \varepsilon, \mu, t). \end{aligned}$$

Next we compute

$$D_y \bar{g}(y, 0, \mu) = D_y \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) + D_z \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) D_y \Phi_0(y, \mu)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_{yy} \bar{g}(y, 0, \mu) &= D_{yy} \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) + 2D_{yz} \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) D_y \Phi_0(y, \mu) \\ &\quad + D_{zz} \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) (D_y \Phi_0(y, \mu), D_y \Phi_0(y, \mu)) \\ &\quad + D_y \bar{f}_2(y, \Phi_0(y, \mu), \mu) D_{yy} \Phi_0(y, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Using (6.3) and (6.14), we obtain

$$D_y \bar{g}(0, 0, \mu_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{yy} \bar{g}(0, 0, \mu_0) = D_{yy} \bar{f}_2(0, 0, \mu_0). \quad (6.16)$$

Similarly we derive (cf (6.4))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{T/2} g(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt &= \int_0^{T/2} f_2(0, \Phi_0(0, \mu_0), \mu_0, t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \bar{f}(0, 0, \mu_0) = 0, \\ \int_0^{T/2} D_\mu g(0, 0, \mu_0, t) dt &= \int_0^{T/2} D_z f_2(0, \Phi_0(0, \mu_0), \mu_0, t) dt D_\mu \Phi_0(0, \mu_0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{T/2} D_\mu f_2(0, \Phi_0(0, \mu_0), \mu_0, t) dt = \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \bar{f}_2(0, 0, \mu_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Consequently, if $k \geq \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$ then we can apply results of Sections 4.1 and 5 to this particular case (6.15).

Next by Section 4.1, when $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) = 0$ then $f_3(y, 0, \mu) = 0$, so the reduced equation is now

$$\dot{y} = \varepsilon f_2(y, 0, \mu, t) \quad (6.18)$$

and symmetric solutions lie in $\ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$. A 3-dimensional example is given in Section 8.2.4.

Finally, when $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = 1$ then we can apply Theorems 4 and 8 to show that for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small, there is a surface S_ε of codimension 1 splitting \mathbb{R}^k near μ_0 in two parts $P_{1,\varepsilon}$ and $P_{2,\varepsilon}$ such that: if $\mu \in P_{1,\varepsilon}$ then (1.1) has no small periodic solutions, if $\mu \in S_\varepsilon$ then (1.1) has a unique small periodic solution which is in addition symmetric and unstable, and if $\mu \in P_{2,\varepsilon}$ then (1.1) has exactly two small periodic solutions $x_{1,\varepsilon}(t)$ and $x_{2,\varepsilon}(t)$, which are hyperbolic and nonsymmetric but satisfying $x_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = Ax_{2,\varepsilon}(-t)$ and $x_{2,\varepsilon}(t) = Ax_{1,\varepsilon}(-t)$. So $x_{i,\varepsilon}(t) = A^2 x_{i,\varepsilon}(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, i.e. $x_{i,\varepsilon}(t) \in \ker(\mathbb{I} - A^2)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note $\ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \subset \ker(\mathbb{I} - A^2)$. This is a saddle-node bifurcation with symmetries.

7 Antisymmetric and periodic solutions

Assuming in addition (1.7), we can directly extend the above results to antiperiodic solutions (cf (1.8)). So we only state some results without proofs.

Theorem 16. *The Cauchy problem (1.1) with*

$$x(0) = \theta \in \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) \quad (7.1)$$

has a unique C^∞ -smooth solution $x(\theta, \varepsilon, \mu, t)$ which is also antisymmetric, and any antisymmetric solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) satisfies (7.1).

We see that in order to study antisymmetric solutions, it is enough to replace $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A)$ with $\ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$ in the arguments dealing for the symmetric case, and so the projection $\mathbb{I} - S$ is replaced with an A -invariant projection $\mathbb{I} - \tilde{S} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$ in the above sections.

8 Applications

In this section, we present concrete weakly nonlinear ODE to illustrate our theory. We separately consider two cases when either $A = -\mathbb{I}$ or $A \neq -\mathbb{I}$. We start with the first one.

8.1 The case $A = -\mathbb{I}$

8.1.1 Scalar equations

Let us consider scalar equation (1.1) with a form

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon (\cos x + \mu(1 + \sin t)), \quad \tau = -\pi, \quad Ax = -x. \quad (8.1)$$

It is easy to see that condition (1.5) is satisfied. Really, we verify

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x, \mu, t) &= -(\cos x + \mu(1 + \sin t)) \\ &= -(\cos(-x) + \mu(1 + \sin(-t + \pi))) = -f(Ax, \mu, -t - \tau). \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = \{0\}$, so now $\eta_0 = 0$. Then

$$H_1(\mu) = H_1(0, \mu) = \int_0^\pi (\cos 0 + \mu(1 + \sin(s + \pi/2))) ds = \pi(1 + \mu).$$

Since $H_1(\mu_0) = 0$ if and only if $\mu_0 = -1$ and $H_1'(-1) = \pi \neq 0$, we can apply Theorem 4 to get a unique symmetric and 2π -periodic solution $x_\varepsilon(t) = x(0, \mu(\varepsilon), \varepsilon, t)$ of (8.1) (only for $\mu = \mu(\varepsilon)$) with $\mu(0) = -1$. So it holds

$$-x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t) = x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), -t + \pi), \quad x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t + 2\pi) = x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t),$$

which imply

$$x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), 3\pi/2 + t) = -x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), 3\pi/2 - t). \quad (8.2)$$

Next, since

$$\int_0^{2\pi} D_x f(0, -1, s) ds = 0,$$

we cannot apply the usual first order averaging methods for establishing asymptotic properties of $x_\varepsilon(t)$. So we need to study in more details the mapping (cf Section 5 and Theorem 8)

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta) = x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), 5\pi/2) \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note $\Phi_\varepsilon(0) = 0$ and $x(t) = x(\eta, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \varepsilon (\cos x + \mu(\varepsilon)(1 + \sin t)), \\ x(\pi/2) &= \eta. \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

Consequently, we have $\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta + 2\pi) = \Phi_\varepsilon(\eta) + 2\pi$, and so $\Phi_\varepsilon : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ for the unit circle. Moreover, $\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta)$ has the only fixed point $\eta_0 = 0$ in S^1 . Now we compute $\Phi'_\varepsilon(0) = D_\eta x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), 5\pi/2)$. By using (8.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{D}_\eta x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t) &= -\varepsilon \sin(x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), t)) D_\eta x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), 5\pi/2), \\ D_\eta x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), \pi/2) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

Then using (8.2), we obtain

$$\Phi'_\varepsilon(0) = e^{-\varepsilon \int_{\pi/2}^{5\pi/2} \sin(x(0, \varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon), s)) ds} = 1.$$

Next, (8.3) also implies

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta) = \eta + 2\pi\varepsilon(\cos \eta - 1) + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

which gives

$$\Phi''_\varepsilon(0) = -4\pi\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) < 0$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Summarizing we see [17, 19, 26] that 0 is a global saddle-node of $\Phi_\varepsilon : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small: it is attracting from the right and repelling from the left. The orientation of the dynamics of $\Phi_\varepsilon : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is reverse for $\varepsilon < 0$ small.

8.1.2 Planar equations

Example 1. First we consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \varepsilon (-(x + y + \sin t)x + \mu_1) \\ \dot{y} &= \varepsilon ((x + y + \sin t)y + \mu_2) \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

with $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Now $k = n = 2$, $T = 2\pi$ and $\int_0^\pi f(0, \mu, t) dt = \pi\mu$. So assumptions of (4.11) are satisfied. On the other hand, (8.5) has a trivial symmetric solution $x = 0, y = 0$ for any ε and $\mu = 0$. The uniqueness of $\mu(\varepsilon)$ implies $\mu(\varepsilon) = 0$, and hence (8.5) has no symmetric and periodic solutions for any $\mu \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small. Next, we get

$$\mathcal{B}(x, y)^2 = (-x^2 - xy, y^2 + xy).$$

Since now

$$\mathcal{B}((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \left(-x_1x_2 - \frac{x_1y_2 + x_2y_1}{2}, y_1y_2 + \frac{x_1y_2 + x_2y_1}{2} \right),$$

$$x_0 = (1, 0), \quad \lambda_0 = -1, \quad [x_0]^\perp = [(0, 1)], \quad 2Q\mathcal{B}((1, 0), (0, y)) = y.$$

Clearly Theorem 12 can be applied. So the symmetric and periodic solution $(x, y) = 0$ of (8.5) with $\mu = 0$ is unstable for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small. In order to find general periodic solutions of (8.5), we apply Theorems 1 and 2. So we solve the averaged equation

$$\begin{aligned} -(x+y)x + \mu_1 &= 0 \\ (x+y)y + \mu_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

which implies $(x+y)^2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. So we need $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq 0$, then (8.6) has no solution as well. If $\mu_1 > \mu_2$, then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, & y_1 &= -\frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, \\ x_2 &= -\frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, & y_2 &= \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Next, the characteristic polynomial of the linearization of (8.6) is as follows

$$-2(x+y)^2 + (x-y)\lambda + \lambda^2.$$

Since $(x_1 + y_1)^2 = (x_2 + y_2)^2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$, we see that for any $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ both (8.7) give rise to hyperbolic/unstable 2π -periodic solutions $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$ of (8.5) for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ located near (8.7), respectively. Moreover $z_2(-t) = -z_1(t)$. Here $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. If $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ and $\mu \neq 0$ then (8.5) has no 2π -periodic solutions for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ in any bounded domains.

Example 2. Now we modify the system (8.5) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \varepsilon(-(x+y+\sin t)y + \mu_1) \\ \dot{y} &= \varepsilon((x+y+\sin t)x + \mu_2) \end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

with $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We again derive $\mu(\varepsilon) = 0$ and (8.8) has a symmetric and periodic solution only if $\mu = 0$ and it is a zero one. So we study

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -\varepsilon(x+y+\sin t)y \\ \dot{y} &= \varepsilon(x+y+\sin t)x. \end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

Clearly any solution of (8.9) satisfies $x^2(t) + y^2(t) = x^2(0) + y^2(0)$. So the symmetric and periodic solution $(x, y) = 0$ of (8.9) is uniformly stable for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small, but not asymptotically (cf Remark 1). In order to find general periodic solutions of (8.8), we apply Theorems 1 and 2. So we solve the averaged equation

$$\begin{aligned} -(x+y)y + \mu_1 &= 0 \\ (x+y)x + \mu_2 &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

which implies $(x + y)^2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$. So we need $\mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$. If $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then (8.10) has no solution. If $\mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$, then we derive

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -\frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, & y_1 &= \frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}, & y_2 &= -\frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.11)$$

Next, the characteristic polynomial of the linearization of (8.10) is as follows

$$2(x + y)^2 + (y - x)\lambda + \lambda^2.$$

Since $(x_1 + y_1)^2 = (x_2 + y_2)^2 = \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$ and $y_1 - x_1 = -(y_2 - x_2) = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1 - \mu_2}}$, we see that for any $\mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$ and $\mu_1 + \mu_2 \neq 0$ both (8.11) give rise to hyperbolic 2π -periodic solutions $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$ of (8.5) for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ located near (8.11), respectively. Moreover $z_2(-t) = -z_1(t)$, and $z_1(t)$ is asymptotically stable (a repeller) and $z_2(t)$ is a repeller (asymptotically stable), when $\mu_1 + \mu_2 > (<) 0$, respectively, and $\varepsilon > 0$ small; it is opposite for $\varepsilon < 0$. If $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$ then (8.5) has no 2π -periodic solutions for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ in any bounded domains.

Now we proceed with the second possibility.

8.2 The case $A \neq -\mathbb{I}$

8.2.1 Planar equations with an involution symmetry

Let us consider a planar differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \varepsilon (f_1(x_1, x_2) + \mu h_1(t)) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \varepsilon (f_2(x_1, x_2) + \mu h_2(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

with C^∞ -smooth functions $f_{1,2}, h_{1,2}$, $\dim \mu = k = 1$ and with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note $A^2 = \mathbb{I}$, so A is an involution. Then symmetry condition (1.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2) &= f_2(-x_2, -x_1), & f_2(x_1, x_2) &= f_1(-x_2, -x_1), \\ h_1(t) &= h_2(-t - \tau), & h_2(t) &= h_1(-t - \tau). \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

Symmetry conditions (8.13) are satisfied, for instance, to the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2) &= a_0 x_1 + b_0 x_2 + \sum_{j,p,j+p>1}^m (a_{jp} x_1^j x_2^p + b_{pj} x_1^p x_2^j), \\ f_2(x_1, x_2) &= -b_0 x_1 - a_0 x_2 + \sum_{j,p,j+p>1}^m (-1)^{j+p} (b_{pj} x_1^j x_2^p + a_{jp} x_1^p x_2^j), \\ h_1(t) &= \sin t, & h_2(t) &= -\sin t, \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

and $\tau = 0$. Since in general polynomials (8.14) are difficult to handle, we consider the following particular case

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= \varepsilon \left(ax_1 - x_2 + x_1^2 x_2 - bx_1 x_2^2 + \mu \sin t \right), \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \varepsilon \left(x_1 - ax_2 + bx_1^2 x_2 - x_1 x_2^2 - \mu \sin t \right),\end{aligned}\tag{8.15}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters. Now

$$\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = [(1, -1)], \quad \ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = [(1, 1)]$$

and hence

$$S(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}(1, 1), \quad (\mathbb{I} - S)(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{2}(1, -1).$$

We derive

$$H_1(\eta, \mu) = \pi\eta \left(a + 1 - (b + 1)\eta^2 \right)\tag{8.16}$$

identifying $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A) = [(1, -1)] \sim \mathbb{R}$. Applying Theorem 7 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 17. *If $a \neq -1$, then (8.15) has a unique symmetric and 2π -periodic solution $z_1(t)$ located near $(0, 0)$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small and $\mu \neq 0$ fixed. If $(a + 1)(b + 1) > 0$ then (8.15) has unique symmetric and 2π -periodic solutions $z_2(t)$, $z_3(t)$ located near $\left(\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}, -\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}\right)$ and $\left(-\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}, \sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}\right)$, respectively. Here $z = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

We intend to find more 2π -periodic solutions of (8.15). For this reason, we solve by Theorem 1 the averaged equation of (8.15) over $[0, 2\pi]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}ax_1 - x_2 + x_1^2 x_2 - bx_1 x_2^2 &= 0, \\ x_1 - ax_2 + bx_1^2 x_2 - x_1 x_2^2 &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{8.17}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 - x_2)(1 + a + (1 + b)x_1 x_2) &= 0, \\ (x_1 + x_2)(1 - a + (b - 1)x_1 x_2) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{8.18}$$

For $x_1 \neq \pm x_2$ from (8.18) we derive $ab = 1$. Hence we suppose $ab \neq 1$. Then:

Either $x_1 = -x_2$ and (8.17) implies

$$x_1 \left(a + 1 - (1 + b)x_1^2 \right) = 0.$$

If $a \neq -1$ and $(a + 1)(b + 1) > 0$, we obtain the following 3 solutions

$$x_{1,1} = x_{2,1} = 0; \quad x_{1,2} = -x_{2,2} = -\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}; \quad x_{1,3} = -x_{2,3} = \sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}},\tag{8.19}$$

which give symmetric and periodic solutions $z_1(t)$, $z_2(t)$ and $z_3(t)$ from Theorem 17, respectively.

Or $x_1 = x_2 \neq 0$ and (8.17) implies

$$x_1 \left(a - 1 + (1 - b)x_1^2 \right) = 0.$$

If $a \neq 1$ and $(a - 1)(b - 1) > 0$, we obtain the following 2 solutions

$$x_{1,4} = x_{2,4} = -\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}; \quad x_{1,5} = x_{2,5} = \sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}, \quad (8.20)$$

which give another periodic solutions $z_4(t)$ and $z_5(t)$, respectively, which are not symmetric. But since A is an involution, from the proof of Lemma 1, we see that $z_5(t) = Az_4(-t)$.

Now we study the hyperbolicity of these periodic solutions by applying Theorem 13. So we find eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a + 2x_1x_2 - bx_2^2 & x_1^2 - 1 - 2bx_1x_2 \\ 1 + 2bx_1x_2 - x_2^2 & bx_1^2 - a - 2x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in points (8.19) and (8.20), which are as follows:

$$\pm\sqrt{a^2 - 1}, \quad \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-ab)(a+1)}{b+1}}, \quad \pm 2\sqrt{\frac{(a-1)(1-ab)}{b-1}}.$$

Summarizing we obtain the following result.

Theorem 18. For any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small and $\mu \neq 0$ fixed, the following holds:

- $z_1(t)$ is hyperbolic for $|a| > 1$.
- $z_2(t)$ and $z_3(t)$ are hyperbolic for $1 > ab$ and $a > -1, b > -1$.
- $z_4(t)$ and $z_5(t)$ are hyperbolic for $1 > a$ and $1 > b$.

We note that all periodic solutions $z_1(t), \dots, z_5(t)$ cannot be simultaneously hyperbolic.

8.2.2 Odd and planar equations with an involution symmetry

Now we consider modified planar ODEs from Section 8.2.1 which is in addition odd of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \varepsilon \left(ax_1 - x_2 + x_1^2x_2 - bx_1x_2^2 + \mu x_1 \sin t \right) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \varepsilon \left(x_1 - ax_2 + bx_1^2x_2 - x_1x_2^2 + \mu x_2 \sin t \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8.21)$$

So (8.21) satisfies (1.3) and (1.5) with A from Section 8.2.1 and $T = 2\pi$. First we note that symmetric and periodic solutions are derived in the same way as above, so we get these solutions $\tilde{z}_1(t), \tilde{z}_2(t)$ and $\tilde{z}_3(t)$ located near (8.19), if $a \neq -1$ and $(a + 1)(b + 1) > 0$. Note $\tilde{z}_1(t) = 0$. Next to find antisymmetric and periodic solutions, we take $\tilde{S} = \mathbb{I} - S$ and we derive

$$\tilde{H}_1(\eta, \mu) = \pi\eta \left(a - 1 - (b - 1)\eta^2 \right)$$

identifying $\ker(\mathbb{I} + A) = [(1, 1)] \sim \mathbb{R}$. Simple roots of $\tilde{H}_1(\eta, \mu)$ are $0, \pm\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}$ provided $(a - 1)(b - 1) > 0$, which give antisymmetric and periodic solutions $\tilde{z}_1(t) = 0, \tilde{z}_4(t)$ and $\tilde{z}_5(t)$ located near (8.20). Note $A\tilde{z}_4(t) = -\tilde{z}_4(-t)$ and $A\tilde{z}_5(t) = \tilde{z}_5(-t)$, so $\tilde{z}_4(t) = -\tilde{z}_5(t)$. To find the possible remaining periodic solutions (non-symmetric and non-antisymmetric ones), we solve by Theorem 1 the averaged equation of (8.21) over $[0, 2\pi]$ given by (8.17). But we know that there are no more solutions of (8.17). Summarizing, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 19. *If $a \neq -1$, then (8.21) has a unique symmetric and 2π -periodic solution $\tilde{z}_1(t) = 0$ located near $(0, 0)$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small. If $(a + 1)(b + 1) > 0$ then (8.21) has unique symmetric and 2π -periodic solutions $\tilde{z}_2(t), \tilde{z}_3(t)$ located near $\left(\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}, -\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}\right)$ and $\left(-\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}, \sqrt{\frac{a+1}{b+1}}\right)$, respectively. If $(a - 1)(b - 1) > 0$ then (8.21) has unique antisymmetric and 2π -periodic solutions $\tilde{z}_4(t), \tilde{z}_5(t)$ located near $\left(\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}, \sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}\right)$ and $\left(-\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}, -\sqrt{\frac{a-1}{b-1}}\right)$, respectively. There are no more 2π -periodic solutions. The statement of Theorem 18 remains for this case.*

8.2.3 Planar equations with a rotational symmetry

In this section, we consider planar ODEs of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \varepsilon f_1(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \varepsilon f_2(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) \end{aligned} \tag{8.22}$$

where f_1, f_2 are C^∞ -smooth and periodic in T and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. We suppose that (8.22) is symmetric (cf. (1.5)) with respect to a rotation matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it holds

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) = -f_2(-x_2, x_1, \mu, -t), \quad f_2(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) = f_1(-x_2, x_1, \mu, -t). \tag{8.23}$$

Then (8.23) gives

$$-f_1(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) = f_1(-x_1, -x_2, \mu, t), \quad -f_2(x_1, x_2, \mu, t) = f_2(-x_1, -x_2, \mu, t).$$

So (8.22) is also odd (cf (1.7)). Clearly $\ker(\mathbb{I} - A^2) = \ker(\mathbb{I} \pm A) = \{0\}$. So the only symmetric and antisymmetric periodic solution of (8.22) is $z_1(t) = 0$ for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small (cf Theorem 6). To get more results, we pass to the following concrete ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \varepsilon \left(x_1 - x_2 + x_1^2 x_2 - b x_1 x_2^2 + \mu x_1 \sin t \right) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \varepsilon \left(-x_1 - x_2 + b x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 + \mu x_2 \sin t \right) \end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

where $b, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are parameters. For finding further periodic solutions, we again consider the averaged equation

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 + x_1^2 x_2 - b x_1 x_2^2 &= 0 \\ -x_1 - x_2 + b x_1^2 x_2 + x_1 x_2^2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.25)$$

If $x_1 = 0$ then $x_2 = 0$, and $x_2 = 0$ then $x_1 = 0$. So we suppose $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$. Then we take $x_2 = \zeta/x_1$ in (8.25) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(1 + \zeta) - (1 + b\zeta)x_2^2 &= 0 \\ \zeta(b\zeta - 1) - (1 - \zeta)x_2^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies either $\zeta_+ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{b^2+1}}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{+,+} &= \sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2+\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}}, & x_2^{+,-} &= \sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2-\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}} \\ x_1^{-,+} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2+\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}}, & x_2^{-,-} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2-\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}} \end{aligned} \quad (8.26)$$

or $\zeta_- = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{b^2+1}}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{+,-} &= \sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2-\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}}, & x_2^{-,+} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2+\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}} \\ x_1^{-,-} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2-\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}}, & x_2^{+,+} &= \sqrt{\frac{2+2b^2+\sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1}}{(1+b)(1+b^2)}} \end{aligned} \quad (8.27)$$

Note $2 + 2b^2 \pm \sqrt{2}(b-1)\sqrt{b^2+1} > 0$ for any $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$, so $x_{1,2}^{\pm,\pm}$ are defined only for $b > -1$. Moreover, it holds and

$$x_{1,2}^{\pm,-} \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad x_{1,2}^{\pm,+} \rightarrow 0$$

as $b \rightarrow -1_+$. Now we study the hyperbolicity of these periodic solutions by applying Theorem 13. So we find the characteristic polynomial of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2x_1 x_2 - b x_2^2 & x_1^2 - 1 - 2b x_1 x_2 \\ 2b x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 - 1 & b x_1^2 - 1 + 2x_1 x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (8.28)$$

at (8.26), which is

$$\lambda^2 - \lambda \frac{2\sqrt{2}(2+b+b^2)}{(1+b)\sqrt{1+b^2}} + 8,$$

and at (8.27), which is

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda \frac{2\sqrt{2}(2+b+b^2)}{(1+b)\sqrt{1+b^2}} + 8,$$

Then the eigenvalues λ_{\pm}^+ of (8.28) at (8.26) satisfy $\Re\lambda_{\pm}^+ > 0$ and the eigenvalues λ_{\pm}^- of (8.28) at (8.27) satisfy $\Re\lambda_{\pm}^- < 0$ for any $b > -1$. Next, the eigenvalues of (8.28) at $x_1^0 = x_2^0 = 0$ are $\pm\sqrt{2}$.

Finally, we easily see that (8.25) has the only solution $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ for $b = -1$. Summarizing, we arrive at the following result.

Theorem 20. *If $b \leq -1$ then (8.24) has the only 2π -periodic solution $z_0(t) = 0$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small which is hyperbolic. If $b > -1$ then (8.24) has in addition four 2π -periodic solutions $z_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small which are neither symmetric nor antisymmetric. Moreover, $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$ are asymptotically stable (repellers) while $z_3(t)$ and $z_4(t)$ are repellers (asymptotically stable) for any small $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon < 0$), respectively.*

Note $Az_1(t) = z_4(-t)$, $Az_2(t) = z_3(-t)$, $Az_3(t) = z_1(-t)$, $Az_4(t) = z_2(-t)$, and hence $z_2(t) = -z_1(t)$, $z_4(t) = -z_3(t)$. So the set of solutions $\{z_i(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ is invariant by A .

8.2.4 3-dimensional systems

Now we present an example illustrating the case 6.3 given by the following 3-dimensional ODE

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= \varepsilon \left(y^2 + (z_1^2 + z_2^2) \cos t + \mu (1 + \cos t) \right), \\ \dot{z}_1 &= \varepsilon \left(z_1 - z_2 + (z_1^3 + z_2^3) y^2 + \mu z_1 y \sin t \right), \\ \dot{z}_2 &= \varepsilon \left(-z_1 - z_2 + (z_1^3 - z_2^3) y^2 - \mu z_2 y \sin t \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8.29)$$

with $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then clearly (6.5) holds and $1 = k = \dim \ker(\mathbb{I} + A)$. Next we derive $\bar{f}_2(0, 0, \mu) = 2\pi\mu$ and so we take $\mu_0 = 0$, since $\bar{f}_2(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and $D_\mu \bar{f}_2(0, 0, 0) = 2\pi \neq 0$. Moreover $\sigma \left(D_{(y,z)} \bar{f}(0, 0, 0) \right) = \{0, \pm 2\sqrt{2}\pi\}$ (cf (6.10)) and $\dim \ker(\mathbb{I} - A_0^2) = 0$. Consequently, (6.18) has the form

$$\dot{y} = \varepsilon \left(y^2 + \mu (1 + \cos t) \right), \quad (8.30)$$

and there is a C^∞ -function $\mu(\varepsilon)$ defined for ε small with $\mu(0) = 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small, (8.29) possesses a (unique) symmetric and 2π -periodic solution $x_{\varepsilon, \mu}(t)$ only for $\mu = \mu(\varepsilon)$ and $x_{0, \mu(0)}(t) = 0$. On the other hand, (8.29) has a solution $x(t) = 0$ for $\mu = 0$, so the uniqueness implies $x_{\varepsilon, \mu(\varepsilon)}(t) = x_0(t) = 0$ and $\mu(\varepsilon) = \mu_0 = 0$ as well. To study other (nonsymmetric) 2π -periodic solutions of (8.29), we solve the averaged equation

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 + \mu &= 0, \\ z_1 - z_2 + (z_1^3 + z_2^3) y^2 &= 0, \\ -z_1 - z_2 + (z_1^3 - z_2^3) y^2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.31)$$

We see that there are no solutions for $\mu > 0$, while the only zero one for $\mu = 0$ and this corresponds to the trivial one $x_0(t) = 0$. For $\mu < 0$ we get $y_\pm = \pm \sqrt{-\mu}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 - z_2 - (z_1^3 + z_2^3) \mu &= 0, \\ -z_1 - z_2 - (z_1^3 - z_2^3) \mu &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $z_2 = -\mu z_1^3$, and then $z_1(1 + \mu^4 z_1^8) = 0$, which implies $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. Summarizing, for $\mu < 0$, (8.31) has precisely two solutions $x_{\pm}^{\mu} = \pm(\sqrt{-\mu}, 0, 0)$ which gives exactly two 2π -periodic solutions $x_{\pm}^{\mu}(t) = (y_{\pm}^{\mu}(t), 0, 0)$ of (8.29) which are located near x_{\pm}^{μ} for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ small. Note $x_{+}^{\mu}(t) = -x_{-}^{\mu}(-t)$. So there are saddle-node and symmetry breaking bifurcations of 2π -periodic solutions of (8.29) as μ is crossing 0. Since $\sigma(D_x \bar{f}(x_{\pm}^{\mu}, \mu)) = \{\pm 4\pi\sqrt{-\mu}, -2\sqrt{2}\pi, 2\sqrt{2}\pi\}$, periodic solutions $x_{\pm}^{\mu}(t)$ are hyperbolic. These results corresponds with arguments at the end of Section 6.3.

Acknowledgement. We thank for useful referee's comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] AIZICOVICI, S., AND FEČKAN, M.: *Forced symmetric oscillations of evolution equations*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **64** (2006), 1621–1640.
- [2] AIZICOVICI, S., AND PAVEL, N.H.: *Anti-periodic solutions to a class of nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert space*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **99** (1991), 387–408.
- [3] BALDOMÁ, I., AND FONTICH, E.: *Stable manifolds associated to fixed points with linear part equal to identity*, *J. Differential Equations* **197** (2004), 45–72.
- [4] BALDOMÁ, I., FONTICH, E., DE LA LLAVE, R., AND MARTÍN, P.: *The parameterization method for one-dimensional invariant manifolds of higher dimensional parabolic fixed points*, *Discr. Cont. Dyn. Syst.* **17** (2007), 835–865.
- [5] BANCROFT, S., HALE, J.K., AND SWEET, D.: *Alternative problems for nonlinear functional equations*, *J. Differential Equations* **4** (1968), 40–56.
- [6] CHOSSAT, P., AND LAUTERBACH, R.: *Methods in Equivariant Bifurcations and Dynamical Systems*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 2000.
- [7] CHOW, S.N., AND HALE, J.K.: *Methods of Bifurcation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [8] DEMIDOWICH, B.P.: *Lectures on the Mathematical Theory of Stability*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian).
- [9] DILNA, N., AND FEČKAN, M.: *On the uniqueness and stability of symmetric and periodic solutions of weakly nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, *Misc. Math. Notes* **10** (2009), 11–40.
- [10] DILNA, N., AND FEČKAN, M.: *About the uniqueness and stability of symmetric and periodic solutions of weakly nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, *Reports Nat. Acad. Sci. Ukr.* **5** (2009), 22–28 (in Russian).
- [11] DILNA, N., AND FEČKAN, M.: *Weakly non-linear and symmetric periodic systems at resonance*, *J. Nonlinear Studies* **16** (2009), 149–170.
- [12] FARKAS, M.: *Periodic Motions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

- [13] FEČKAN, M., MA, R., AND THOMPSON, B.: *Forced symmetric oscillations*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. **14** (2007), 73–85.
- [14] FIEDLER, B.: *Global Bifurcation of Periodic Solutions with Symmetry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1309**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [15] HALE, J.K.: *Oscillations in Nonlinear Systems*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
- [16] HALE, J.K.: *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [17] HIRSCH, M.W., AND SMALE, S.: *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and Linear Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [18] IOOSS, G., AND ADELMAYER, M.: *Topics in Bifurcation Theory and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Comp., Singapore, 1991.
- [19] IRWIN, M.C.: *Smooth Dynamical Systems*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [20] KIELHÖFER, H.: *Bifurcation Theory: An Introduction with Applications to PDEs*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [21] LAMB, J.S.W., AND ROBERTS, J.A.G.: *Time-reversal symmetry in dynamical systems: A survey*, Physica D **112** (1998), 1–39.
- [22] MARSDEN, J.E.: *Qualitative methods in bifurcation theory*, Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 1125–1148.
- [23] MAWHIN, J.: *Familles de solutions périodiques dans les systèmes différentiels faiblement non linéaires*, Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liège **36** (1967), 500–509.
- [24] MAWHIN, J.: *Degré topologique et solutions périodiques des systèmes différentiels non linéaires*, Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liège **38** (1969), 308–398.
- [25] MAWHIN, J.: *Topological Degree Methods in Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979.
- [26] MEDVEĎ, M.: *Fundamentals of Dynamical Systems and Bifurcation Theory*, Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, 1992.
- [27] MITROPOLOLSKII, YU.A.: *The Method of Averaging in Nonlinear Mechanics*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1971 (in Russian).
- [28] MUCOZ-ALMARAZ, F.J., FREIRE, E., GALAN-VIOQUE, J., AND VANDERBAUWHEDE, A.: *Continuation of normal doubly symmetric orbits in conservative reversible systems*, Celestial Mech. Dyn. Astr. **97** (2007), 17–47.
- [29] MURDOCK, J., AND ROBINSON, C.: *Qualitative dynamics from asymptotic expansions: local theory*, J. Differential Equations **36** (1980), 425–441.
- [30] RONTO, A., AND RONTÓ, M.: *On certain symmetry properties of periodic solutions*, Nonlin. Oscillations **6** (2003), 82–107.

- [31] RONTÓ, M., AND SAMOILENKO, A. M.: *Numerical-Analytic Methods in the Theory of Boundary-Value Problems*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [32] ROUCHE, N., AND MAWHIN, J.: *Equations Différentielles Ordinaires*, vol. 2, Masson, Paris, 1973.
- [33] SANDRES, J.A., VERHULST, F., AND MURDOCK, J.: *Averaging Methods in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems*, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [34] VANDERBAUWHEDE, A.: *Alternative problems and symmetry*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **62** (1978), 483-494.
- [35] VANDERBAUWHEDE, A.: *Alternative problems and invariant subspaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **63** (1978), 1-8.
- [36] VANDERBAUWHEDE, A.: *Local Bifurcation and Symmetry*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 75, Pitman, London, 1982.

Mathematical Institute of Slovak Academy of Sciences
Štefánikova 49, 814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia
and Institute of Mathematics of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
Tereshchenkivska 3 St., 01601 Kiev-4, Ukraine
email:nataliya.dilna@mat.savba.sk

Department of Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Mathematics
Comenius University, Mlynská dolina
842 48 Bratislava, Slovakia
and Mathematical Institute of Slovak Academy of Sciences
Štefánikova 49
814 73 Bratislava, Slovakia
email:Michal.Feckan@fmph.uniba.sk