

Neighborhoods of a Certain Family of Multivalent Functions Defined by Using a Fractional Derivative Operator

M. K. Aouf

Abstract

Making use of a fractional derivative operator, we introduce and investigate two new classes $K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ and $L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ of multivalently analytic functions of complex order. In this paper we obtain the coefficient estimates and inclusion relationships involving the (j, δ) -neighborhood of various subclasses of multivalently analytic functions of complex order.

1 Introduction

Let $T(j, p)$ denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0; p, j \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. In terms of the fractional derivative operator D_z^λ of order λ , defined below, with

$$D_z^0 f(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad D_z^1 f(z) = f'(z), \quad (1.2)$$

Srivastava and Aouf [15] defined and studied the operator :

Received by the editors September 2007.

Communicated by F. Brackx.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 30C45.

Key words and phrases : Multivalent analytic functions, fractional derivative operator, complex order, neighborhood.

$$\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^\lambda D_z^\lambda f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; p \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.3)$$

For each $f(z) \in T(p, j)$, we have

$$(i) \quad \Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^k \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \Omega_z^{(0,p)} f(z) = f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_z^{(1,p)} f(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{p}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now, making use of the operator $\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z)$ given by (1.3) and (1.4), we introduce a new subclass $K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ of the p -valently analytic function class $T(j, p)$ which consist of functions $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ satisfying the following inequality :

$$\left| \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z))'}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z)} - p \right) \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1). \quad (1.6)$$

We note that :

$$(i) \quad K_j(p, 0, b, \beta) = S_j(p, b, \beta)$$

$$= \{f(z) \in T(j, p) : \left| \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right) \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1) \}; \quad (1.7)$$

$$(ii) \quad K_j(p, 1, b, \beta) = C_j(p, b, \beta)$$

$$= \{f(z) \in T(j, p) : \left| \frac{1}{b} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right) \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1) \}. \quad (1.8)$$

Now, following the earlier investigations by Goodman [6], Ruscheweyh [14], and others including Altintas and Owa [1], Altintas et al. ([2] and [3]), Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [8], Raina and Srivastava [13] and Srivastava and Orhan [16] (see also [9], [10] and [18]), we define the (j, δ) -Neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ by (see, for example, [3, p-1668])

$$N_{j,\delta}(f) = \left\{ g : g \in T(j, p), g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, if

$$h(z) = z^p \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.10)$$

we immediately have

$$N_{j,\delta}(h) = \left\{ g : g \in T(j, p), g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (1.11)$$

Also, let $L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ denote the subclass of $T(j, p)$ consisting of functions $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ which satisfy the inequality :

$$\left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \left[(1 - \mu) \frac{\Omega_z^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)}{z^p} + \mu \frac{(\Omega_z^{\lambda, p} f(z))'}{p z^{p-1}} \right] - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0). \quad (1.12)$$

We note that :

$$(i) L_j(p, 0, b, \beta, \mu) = P_j(p, b, \beta, \mu)$$

$$= \{f(z) \in T(j, p) : \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \left[(1 - \mu) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \mu \frac{f'(z)}{p z^{p-1}} - 1 \right] \right\} \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0) \}; \quad (1.13)$$

$$(ii) L_j(p, 1, b, \beta, \mu) = R_j(p, b, \beta, \mu)$$

$$= \{f(z) \in T(j, p) : \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ \left[(p + \mu(1 - p)) \frac{f'(z)}{p z^{p-1}} + \mu \frac{f''(z)}{p z^{p-2}} \right] - p \right\} \right| < p\beta$$

$$(z \in U; p, j \in \mathbb{N}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0) \}; \quad (1.14)$$

$$(iii) L_j(1, 1, b, \beta, \mu) = R_j(b, \beta, \mu) \text{ (Altintas et al. [3])}$$

$$= \{f(z) \in T(j, p) : \left| \frac{1}{b} \left\{ f'(z) + \mu z f''(z) - 1 \right\} \right| < \beta$$

$$(z \in U; j \in \mathbb{N}; b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \mu \geq 0) \}. \quad (1.15)$$

Various operators of fractional calculus (that is, fractional integral and fractional derivative) have been studied in the literature rather extensively (cf., e.g., [5], [11], [17] and [18]). For our present investigation, we recall the following definitions.

Definition 1. (Fractional Integral Operator). The fractional integral operator of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{-\lambda} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z - \zeta)^{1-\lambda}} d\zeta \quad (\lambda > 0), \quad (1.16)$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \zeta)$ to be real when $z - \zeta > 0$.

Definition 2. (Fractional Derivative Operator). The fractional derivative of order λ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^\lambda} d\zeta \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1), \quad (1.17)$$

where $f(z)$ is constrained, and the multiplicity of $(z - \zeta)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.

Definition 3. (Extended Fractional Derivative Operator). Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $n + \lambda$ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z) \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1; n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}). \quad (1.18)$$

2 Neighborhoods for the classes $K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ and $L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving $N_{j,\delta}(h)$, we shall require Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

Lemma 1. Let the function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} (k+\beta|b|-p)a_k \leq \beta|b|. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belong to the class $K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$. Then, in view of (1.4) and (1.6), we obtain the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z))'}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z)} - p \right\} > -\beta|b| \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} (k-p)a_k z^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-p}} \right\} > -\beta|b| \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.3)$$

Setting $z = r(0 \leq r < 1)$ in (2.3), we observe that the expression in the denominator of the left-hand side of (2.3) is positive for $r = 0$ and also for $(0 < r < 1)$.

Thus, by letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, (2.3) leads us the desired assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1.

Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting $|z| = 1$, we find from (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z(\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z))'}{\Omega_z^{(\lambda,p)} f(z)} - p \right| &= \left| \frac{- \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} (k-p)a_k z^{k-p}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k z^{k-p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} (k-p)a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta |b| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k \right\}}{1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_k} = \beta |b|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have $f(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$, which evidently completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Remark 1. (i) Putting $\lambda = 0, \beta = j = 1$ and $b = p - \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < p$, in Lemma 1, we obtain the result obtained by Owa [12, Theorem 2.3];

(ii) Putting $\beta = j = \lambda = 1$ and $b = p - \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < p$, in Lemma 1, we obtain the result obtained by Owa [12, Theorem 2.4].

Similarly, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let the function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ be define by (1.1). Then $f(z) \in L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} [p + \mu(k-p)]a_k \leq p\beta |b|. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2. (i) Putting $\lambda = 0, \beta = j = 1$ and $b = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{p}, (0 \leq \alpha < p)$, in Lemma 2, we obtain the result obtained by Lee et al. [7, Lemma 2];

(ii) Putting $\beta = \lambda = j = 1$ and $b = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{p}, (0 \leq \alpha < p)$, in Lemma 2, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf [4, Theorem 1].

Our first inclusion relation involving $N_{j,\delta}(h)$ is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let

$$\delta = \frac{(j+p)\beta |b| \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)}{(j+\beta |b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \quad (p > |b|), \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta) \subset N_{j,\delta}(h). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$. Then, in view of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)} (j+\beta|b|) \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \\ \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} (k+\beta|b|-p)a_k \leq \beta|b|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

which readily yields

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\beta|b|\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)}{(j+\beta|b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Making use of (2.1) again, in conjunction with (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \beta|b| + \\ (p-\beta|b|) \frac{\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)} \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_k \\ \leq \beta|b| + \frac{\beta|b|(p-\beta|b|)}{(j+\beta|b|)} = \frac{(j+p)\beta|b|}{(j+\beta|b|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{(j+p)\beta|b|\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)}{(j+\beta|b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} = \delta \quad (p > |b|) \quad (2.9)$$

which, by means of the definition (1.11), establishes the inclusion relation (2.6) asserted by Theorem 1.

Remark 3. (i) Putting (a) $\lambda = 0$, (b) $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the corresponding results for the classes $S_j(p, b, \beta)$ and $C_j(p, b, \beta)$, respectively;

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 1 with $\lambda = 0$];

(iii) Putting $\lambda = p = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 1 with $\lambda = 1$].

In a similar manner, by applying the assertion (2.4) of Lemma 2 instead of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1 to functions in the class $L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$, we can prove the following inclusion relationship.

Theorem 2. *If*

$$\delta = \frac{(j+p)p\beta |b| \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)}{(p+\mu j)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)} \quad (\mu > 1) \quad (2.10)$$

then

$$L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu) \subset N_{j,\delta}(h). \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 4. *Putting $p = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 2].*

3 Neighborhoods for the classes $K_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ and $L_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes

$$K_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta) \text{ and } L_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu),$$

which we define as follows. A function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be in the class $K_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < p - \alpha \quad (z \in U; \quad 0 \leq \alpha < p). \quad (3.1)$$

Analogously, a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be in the class $L_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ if there exists a function $g(z) \in L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

Theorem 3. *If $g(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ and*

$$\alpha = p - \frac{\delta(j+\beta |b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(j+p) \{ (j+\beta |b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda) - \beta |b|\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda) \}}, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$N_{j,\delta}(g) \subset K_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\delta \leq p(j+p) \left\{ 1 - \beta |b| \Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda) [(j+\beta |b|)\Gamma(j+p+1)\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)]^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_{j,\delta}(g)$. We find from (1.9) that

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta, \quad (3.5)$$

which readily implies that

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{j+p} \quad (p, j \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (3.6)$$

Next, since $g(z) \in K_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$, we have [cf. equation (2.8)]

$$\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{\beta |b| \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)}{(j+\beta |b|) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}, \quad (3.7)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{j+p} \cdot \frac{(j+\beta |b|) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\{(j+\beta |b|) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda) - \beta |b| \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)\}} \\ &= p - \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

provided that α is given by (3.2). Thus, by the above definition, $f(z) \in K_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta)$ for α given by (3.2). This evidently proves Theorem 3.

Remark 5. (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$, and $p = 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 3 with $\lambda = 0$];

(ii) Putting $\lambda = p = 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 3 with $\lambda = 1$].

The proof of Theorem 4 below is similar to that of Theorem 3 above.

Theorem 4. If $g(z) \in L_j(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu)$ and

$$\alpha = p - \frac{\delta(p + \mu j) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{(j+p) \{(p + \mu j) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda) - p\beta |b| \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda)\}}, \quad (3.9)$$

then

$$N_{j,\delta}(g) \subset L_j^{(\alpha)}(p, \lambda, b, \beta, \mu), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\delta \leq p(j+p) \left\{ 1 - p\beta |b| \Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(j+p+1-\lambda) [(p + \mu j) \Gamma(j+p+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)]^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

(i) Putting $\lambda = 0$, and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the corresponding results for the classes $P_j^{(\alpha)}(p, b, \beta, \mu)$ and $R_j^{(\alpha)}(p, b, \beta, \mu)$, respectively;

(ii) Putting $p = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the result obtained by Altintas et al. [2, Theorem 4].

References

- [1] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Neighborhoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 19(1996), 797-800.
- [2] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Appl. Math. Letters* 13(2000), no.3, 63-67.
- [3] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 47(2004), 1667-1672.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, A subclass of analytic p -valent functions with negative coefficients, *Utilitas Math.* 46(1994), 219-231.
- [5] M. P. Chen, H. Irmak and H. M. Srivastava, Some families of multivalently analytic functions with negative coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 214 (1997), 674-690.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8(1957), 598-601.
- [7] S. K. Lee, S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Basic properties and characterizations of a certain class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Utilitas Math.* 36(1989), 121-128.
- [8] G. Murugusundaramoorthy and H. M. Srivastava, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 5(2)(2004), Article 24, 1-8 (electronic).
- [9] H. Orhan and E. Kadioglu, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Tamsui Oxford J. Math. Sci.* 20 (2004), 135-142.
- [10] H. Orhan and M. Kamali, Neighborhoods of a class of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi. (N.S.)* 21 (2005), no.1, 55-61 (electronic).
- [11] S. Owa, On the distortion theorems. I, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 18(1978), 55-59.
- [12] S. Owa, On certain classes of p -valent functions with negative coefficients, *Simon Stevin* 59(1985), 385-402.
- [13] R. K. Raina and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of some analytic and multivalent functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7(1) (2006), Article 5, 1-6 (electronic).
- [14] St. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1981), 521-527.

- [15] H. M. Srivastava and M.K.Aouf, A certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficients. I and II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 171(1992), 1-13; *ibid.* 192(1995),673-688.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava and H. Orhan, Coefficient inequalities and inclusion some families of analytic and multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Letters*, 20(2007), 686 - 691.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Editors), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.

Faculty of Science
Mansoura University
Mansoura 35516, Egypt
E-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com