

# Completeness of certain function spaces

Leonhard Frerick

Stanislav Shkarin

## Abstract

We give an example of a complete locally convex  $m$ -topology on the algebra of infinite differentiable functions on  $[0, 1]$  which is strictly coarser than the natural Fréchet-topology but finer than the topology of pointwise convergence. A similar construction works on the algebra of continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ . Using this examples we can separate different notions of diffotopy and homotopy.

## 1 Introduction

Our notation concerning locally convex spaces is standard, we refer e.g. to [2] and [3]. Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a family of compact subsets of  $[0, 1]$  which is closed with respect to finite unions. We introduce locally convex topologies  $\theta_{\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  on  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1])$ , respectively. Namely,  $\theta_{\mathcal{K}}$  is defined by the family of seminorms

$$p_K(f) := \sup_K |f|, \quad K \in \mathcal{K},$$

and  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  is defined by the family of seminorms

$$p_{n,K} := \sup_{0 \leq \nu \leq n} p_K(f^{(\nu)}), \quad K \in \mathcal{K}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

To force the topologies to be finer than the topology of pointwise convergence, we assume, in addition, that  $\cup \mathcal{K} = [0, 1]$ . Equipped with the pointwise multiplication,  $(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]), \theta_{\mathcal{K}})$  and  $(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0, 1]), \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$  are locally  $m$ -convex algebras, i.e. they admit a fundamental system of submultiplicative seminorms.  $\mathcal{K} := \{[0, 1]\}$  leads to the natural topologies. We write  $\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \mathcal{K}_2$  if for every  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$  there exists  $K_2 \in \mathcal{K}_2$  with  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$ . The following proposition is easy to prove.

**Proposition 1** The following statements are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \mathcal{K}_2$ ,
2.  $\theta_{\mathcal{K}_1} \subseteq \theta_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ ,
3.  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}_1} \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{K}_2}$ .

In particular, if  $[0, 1] \notin \mathcal{K}$  then  $\theta_{\mathcal{K}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  are strictly coarser than the natural topologies on  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ , respectively.

## 2 Completeness

Let us denote  $\mathcal{K}_{seq}$  the system of all compact subsets of  $[0, 1]$  having only finitely many accumulation points and let us denote  $\mathcal{K}_{seq}^0$  the system of all compact subsets  $K$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $[0, \varepsilon] \cap K \in \mathcal{K}_{seq}$ .

### Theorem 2

1. If  $\mathcal{K}_{seq} \leq \mathcal{K}$  then  $(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]), \theta_{\mathcal{K}})$  is complete.
2. If  $\mathcal{K}_{seq}^0 \leq \mathcal{K}$  then  $(\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]), \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$  is complete.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\theta := \theta_{\mathcal{K}}$  and let  $\Phi$  be a Cauchy filter in  $(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]), \theta)$ . Since  $\cup \mathcal{K} = [0, 1]$  this filter converges pointwise to a function  $f$  and for any  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  its restriction to  $K$  converges in the Banach space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  to a function  $f_K$  with  $f|_K = f_K$ . In particular,  $f|_K$  is continuous. Since  $\mathcal{K}_{seq} \leq \mathcal{K}$  the function  $f$  is sequentially continuous, hence continuous. Therefore  $\Phi$  converges in all the spaces  $(\mathcal{C}([0, 1]), p_K)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , to  $f$  and this means precisely that it is  $\theta$ -convergent to  $f$ . (2) Set  $\tau := \tau_{\mathcal{K}}$  and let  $\Phi$  be a Cauchy filter in  $(\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]), \tau)$ . Then  $D : (\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]), \tau) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}([0, 1]), \theta)^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ ,  $f \mapsto (f^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is an isomorphism onto its range. Using (1) we obtain that  $D(\Phi)$  converges to some  $F = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ . It remains to show that the continuous functions  $f_n$  are differentiable and  $f'_n = f_{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . To this end, we use that  $\mathcal{K}_{seq}^0 \leq \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $[\varepsilon, 1] \in \mathcal{K}$  for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we see that  $f_n$  is differentiable on  $(0, 1]$  and its derivative is  $f_{n+1}|_{(0, 1]}$ . Since  $f_{n+1}$  is continuous this shows that  $f_n$  is also differentiable at 0 and that  $f'_n(0) = f_{n+1}(0)$ . ■

**Remark 3** If  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{seq}$  then  $(\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]), \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$  is not complete since  $D$  (taken from the preceding proof) has in this case a dense range. Indeed, let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ , and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{seq}$  be given. We may assume that  $K$  has only one accumulation point, say  $x_0$ . We choose a polynomial  $p$  with  $p^{(\nu)}(x_0) = f_\nu(x_0)$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq n$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a neighbourhood of  $x_0$  on which  $|p^{(\nu)} - f_\nu| < \varepsilon$ . Outside this neighbourhood there are only finitely many points of  $K$ , hence we find a smooth function  $g$  which coincide with  $p$  on a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and satisfies  $g^{(\nu)} = f_\nu$  on  $K \setminus U$ .

Theorem 2 allows to construct an example separating two natural notions of diffeotopy of homomorphisms between m-algebras (i.e. complete locally m-convex algebras), see also [1], 1.1.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $m$ -algebras. Two continuous homomorphisms  $\varphi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$  are called diffotopic if there is a continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], B)$  with  $\alpha(\cdot)(0) = \varphi$  and  $\alpha(\cdot)(1) = \psi$ . Here  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], B)$  is identified with the complete  $\pi$ -tensor product  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\pi B$ , where  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$  carries its natural Fréchet-topology. (Since  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$  is nuclear we can choose also the complete  $\varepsilon$ -tensor product)

Let us call  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  pointwise diffotopic if there is a family of continuous homomorphisms  $\alpha_t : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha_0 = \varphi$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \psi$  and for any  $a \in A$  the map  $t \mapsto \alpha_t(a)$  from  $[0, 1]$  to  $B$  is smooth. Let  $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_{seq}^0$  and  $A := (\mathcal{C}^\infty[0, 1], \tau_{\mathcal{K}})$ ,  $B := \mathbb{C}$ .

We show that the evaluations  $\delta_0 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta_1 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are not diffotopic. Assume that there is a continuous homomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$  connecting  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$ . Then  $f \mapsto \alpha(f)(x)$  is a continuous character on  $A$  for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ , hence there is  $g(x) \in [0, 1]$  with  $\alpha(f)(x) = f(g(x))$ . So  $\alpha(f) = f \circ g$  and  $\alpha$  is a composition operator. Applying  $\alpha$  to  $f(x) = x$  we see that  $g$  is smooth. Since  $\alpha$  connects  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  we obtain  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = 1$ . The continuity of  $\alpha$  ensures the existence of  $K \in \mathcal{K}_{seq}^0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $C \geq 1$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(g(x))| \leq C \sup_{0 \leq \nu \leq n} \sup_{y \in K} |f^{(\nu)}(y)|$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ . But there is  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$  with  $g(x_0) \notin K$ . This contradicts the estimate above.

On the other hand, the homomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$ ,  $f \mapsto f$  connects  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$ . If we equip  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1])$  with the topology of pointwise convergence,  $\alpha$  becomes continuous. Hence  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  are pointwise diffotopic.

**Remark 4 i)** With the obvious modifications in the definitions (replace smooth by continuous and  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\pi B$  by  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon B$ ) one can introduce also the concepts of homotopy and pointwise homotopy. Then  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  are not even homotopic.

ii) If  $A$  is ultrabornological (e.g. if  $A$  is Fréchet) and  $\mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\pi B$  has a web (e.g. if  $B$  is Fréchet) then the closed graph theorem implies that both notions of diffotopy coincide. An analogous result holds in case of homotopy.

## References

- [1] J. Cuntz, *Bivariante K-Theorie für lokalkonvexe Algebren und der bivariante Chern-Connes-Charakter*, Docum. Math. J. DMV 2 (1997), 139-182,  
<http://www.mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de/documenta>
- [2] G. Köthe *Topological Vector Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.
- [3] R. Meise, D. Vogt, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997.

Fachbereich IV - Mathematik, Universität Trier,  
D-54286 Trier, Germany  
email:frerick@uni-trier.de

Department of Mathematics, King's College London,  
Strand London WC2R 2LS, UK  
email:stanislav.shkarin@kcl.ac.uk