## Elliptic mod $\ell$ Galois representations which are not minimally elliptic

Luis Dieulefait

## Abstract

In a recent preprint (see [C]), F. Calegari has shown that for  $\ell = 2, 3, 5$ and 7 there exist 2-dimensional irreducible representations  $\rho$  of  $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with values in  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  coming from the  $\ell$ -torsion points of an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but not minimally, i.e., so that any elliptic curve giving rise to  $\rho$  has prime-to- $\ell$  conductor greater than the (prime-to- $\ell$ ) conductor of  $\rho$ . In this brief note, we will show that the same is true for any prime  $\ell > 7$ .

## The result and its proof

In this article, we are going to prove the following result:

**Theorem 0.1.** For any prime  $\ell > 7$  the Galois representation  $\rho$  obtained from the  $\ell$  torsion points of the elliptic curve

$$E^{\ell}$$
:  $Y^2 = X(X - 3^{\ell})(X - 3^{\ell} - 1)$ 

is irreducible and unramified at 3, but  $E^{\ell}$  and any other elliptic curve giving rise to the same mod  $\ell$  Galois representation have bad reduction at 3. Thus, these representations arise from elliptic curves but not minimally.

On the other hand, if we consider a modular abelian variety  $A_f$  with good reduction at 3 also giving rise to  $\rho$  (it follows from the modularity of elliptic curves and lowering the level that such a variety always exists) then as  $\ell$  varies the dimension of  $A_f$  tends to infinity with  $\ell$ .

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 13 (2006), 455-457

Received by the editors February 2005.

Communicated by M. Van den Bergh.

It was shown in [C] that also for primes  $\ell < 11$  there exist irreducible residual representations that arise from elliptic curves but not minimally, thus the property is true for every prime.

We will show that for every  $\ell > 7$  the curve  $E^{\ell}$  is semistable outside 2, has bad reduction at 3, the associated mod  $\ell$  Galois representation  $\rho$  is irreducible, unramified at 3, and there is no elliptic curve with good reduction at 3 whose associated mod  $\ell$  representation is isomorphic to  $\rho$ .

In page 9 of [C], the example for  $\ell = 7$  is constructed from the elliptic curve E:

$$y^2 + yx + y = x^3 - 89x + 316$$

which has semistable reduction at 2 and its discriminant  $\Delta$  has 2-adic valuation equal to 7. This implies that the mod 7 Galois representation  $\rho$  attached to E is unramified at 2 (the prime-to-7 part of its conductor is 55) and by Tate's theory satisfies:  $a_2 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}$ , where  $a_2$  is the trace of  $\rho$ (Frob 2). The representation can not correspond to an elliptic curve with good reduction at 2 because for such an elliptic curve E' we have  $c_2 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ , where  $c_2$  denotes the trace of the image of Frob 2 for the compatible family of Galois representations attached to E, and therefore we would get  $\pm 3 \equiv a_2 \equiv c_2 \pmod{7}$ , a contradiction.

The same argument proves the result for higher primes: take  $\ell > 7$  and consider the elliptic curve  $E^{\ell}$ . From the definition of  $E^{\ell}$  we see that it has bad reduction at 2 and 3 and good reduction at 5 and  $\ell$ .

The same argument used for the case of the Frey-Hellegouarch curves related to Fermat's Last Theorem (cf. [H], pags. 368-369) shows that this curve is semistable outside 2 (i.e., it has semistable reduction at every odd prime of bad reduction) and that the corresponding mod  $\ell$  representation  $\rho$  is unramified at 3 (because the 3-adic valuation of the minimal discriminant is multiple of  $\ell$ ). From this and the fact that  $E^{\ell}$  has bad semistable reduction at 3 it follows that:  $a_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$ .

It is easy to check that  $\rho$  is irreducible: in fact, this follows from the fact that it is semistable outside 2 and has good reduction at 5 (and comes from an elliptic curve). We indicate a short proof for the reader convenience: assume that  $\rho$  is reducible, then (after semisimplifying, if necessary) we get:  $\rho \cong \epsilon \oplus \epsilon^{-1}\chi$  (\*), where  $\chi$  denotes the mod  $\ell$  cyclotomic character and  $\epsilon$  is a character unramified outside 2 (here we use semistability outside 2). Evaluating at Frob 5 and taking traces we get:  $a_5 \equiv r + 5r^{-1} \pmod{\ell}$  (\*\*), where  $r = \epsilon(5)$ . Since the 2-part of the conductor of any elliptic curve is known to be at most 256 it follows from (\*) that the conductor of  $\epsilon$  is at most 16. Thus, since the image of  $\epsilon$  is cyclic (it is contained in the multiplicative group of a finite field) we conclude that the order of  $\epsilon$  is at most 4, and in particular that  $r^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ .

On the other hand, we know that  $a'_5 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4$ , where  $a'_5$  denotes the trace of the image of Frob 5 for the  $\ell$ -adic representation attached to  $E^{\ell}$ , and thus  $(a'_5 \mod \ell) = a_5$ . With these restrictions on r and  $a_5$ , we can solve (\*\*): squaring both sides and using  $r^2 = \pm 1$  and the above list of values for  $a'_5$ , we check that the only possibility for (\*\*) to hold is, if we restrict to  $\ell \geq 11$ ,  $\ell = 17$  with  $a'_5 = \pm 1$  (@).

This proves irreducibility for every  $\ell \geq 11$ , except for  $\ell = 17$ . To rescue this last prime, observe that if we take the curve  $E^{17}$  we can count its number of points modulo 5: it has 8 points. This gives  $a'_5 = -2$  for  $\ell = 17$ . Hence, since  $-2 \neq \pm 1$ , the case (@) never happens, and we also get irreducibility for  $\ell = 17$ .

Since  $a_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$  and  $\ell \geq 11$ , it is clear that this representation can not correspond to an elliptic curve unramified at 3, because for such an elliptic curve the corresponding trace  $c_3$  at Frob 3 ( in characteristic 0) satisfies  $c_3 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ , thus  $a_3 \equiv c_3 \pmod{\ell}$  gives a contradiction.

Since all elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are modular, by level-lowering we know that there exists a weight 2 newform f of level prime to 3 (and equal to the prime-to- $\ell$  part of the conductor of  $\rho$ ) such that  $\ell$  splits totally in the field  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  generated by the eigenvalues of f and for a prime  $\lambda \mid \ell$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_f$  the mod  $\lambda$  representation  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  attached to f is isomorphic to  $\rho$ . Of course, due to the result proved above, it must hold  $\mathbb{Q}_f \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , so that the abelian variety  $A_f$  associated to f is not an elliptic curve.

Moreover, it is not hard to see that given any dimension d, for almost every prime  $\ell$  any abelian variety  $A_f$  realizing  $\rho$  with minimal ramification as above (i.e., with the level of f equal to the prime-to- $\ell$  part of the conductor of  $\rho$  and the residual representation attached to f isomorphic to  $\rho$ ) must be of dimension grater than d. This follows from the fact that if the dimension is bounded by d, the degree of the field generated by  $c_3$ , the trace at Frob 3 of the Galois representations attached to f, is also bounded by d, and from this it follows (using the bound for the complex absolute values of  $c_3$  and its Galois conjugates) that there are only finitely many possible values for  $c_3$ . Since (again)  $c_3 \neq \pm 4$ , the congruence  $a_3 \equiv c_3$  gives

$$c_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$$

which can only be satisfied by finitely many primes  $\ell$  (for a fixed d), and this is what we wanted to prove.

## References

- [C] Calegari, F., Mod p representations on Elliptic Curves, preprint, available at http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.NT/0406244
- [H] Hellegouarch, Y., Invitation to the Mathematics of Fermat-Wiles, Academic Press, 2002

Dept. d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Universitat de Barcelona; Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585; 08007 - Barcelona; Spain. e-mail: ldieulefait@ub.edu