The two smallest minimal blocking sets of $Q(2n, 3), n \ge 3$

J. De Beule^{*} L. Storme[†]

Abstract

We describe the two smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, 3), $n \ge 3$. To obtain these results, we use the characterization of the smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(6, 3), different from an ovoid. We also present some geometrical properties of ovoids of Q(6, q), q odd.

1 Introduction

Let $Q(2n,q), n \ge 2$, be the non-singular parabolic quadric in PG(2n,q). An ovoid of the polar space Q(2n,q) is a set of points \mathcal{O} of Q(2n,q), such that every maximal singular subspace (or generator) of Q(2n,q) intersects \mathcal{O} in exactly one point. For Q(2n,q), the generators are spaces of dimension n-1. A blocking set of the polar space Q(2n,q) is a set of points \mathcal{K} of Q(2n,q) such that every generator intersects \mathcal{K} in at least one point. If \mathcal{O} is an ovoid of Q(2n,q), then \mathcal{O} has size $q^n + 1$. So if \mathcal{K} is a blocking set of Q(2n,q) different from an ovoid, then \mathcal{K} has size $q^n + 1 + r$, with r > 0. A blocking set \mathcal{K} is called minimal if for every point $p \in \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K} \setminus \{p\}$ is not a blocking set, or equivalently, if for every point $p \in \mathcal{K}$, there is a generator α such that $\alpha \cap \mathcal{K} = \{p\}$.

We suppose in this article that q is odd. We recall known results about ovoids of the parabolic quadric in 4, 6 and 8 dimensions.

Theorem 1. (Ball [1]) Suppose that \mathcal{O} is an ovoid of Q(4,q), $q = p^h$, p prime, $h \ge 1$, then every elliptic quadric $Q^-(3,q)$ of Q(4,q) intersects \mathcal{O} in 1 mod p points.

This result has interesting applications. One of them is the classification of all ovoids of Q(4, q), q prime.

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 12 (2005), 735-742

^{*}This author thanks the Prof. Dr. Wuytack Fund for a research grant.

[†]This author thanks the Fund for Scientific Research - Flanders (Belgium) for a research grant.

Theorem 2. (Ball et al. [2]) All ovoids of Q(4, q), q prime, are elliptic quadrics $Q^{-}(3, q)$.

When $q = p^h$, p an odd prime, h > 1, and $q = 2^{2h+1}$, $h \ge 1$, other classes of ovoids of Q(4,q) are known ([9, 15, 18, 19]).

The classification of the ovoids of Q(4, q), q prime, leads to the following theorem, using a result of [13].

Theorem 3. When q is an odd prime, $q \ge 5$, Q(6,q) does not have ovoids.

When $q = 3^h$, $h \ge 1$, Q(6, q) always has ovoids ([9, 16, 17]), and when q is even, then Q(6, q) does not have ovoids ([17]). For all other values of q, the existence or non-existence of ovoids of Q(6, q) is not known, although it is conjectured in [13] that Q(6, q) has ovoids if and only if $q = 3^h$, $h \ge 1$.

Finally, we recall the following theorem about ovoids of higher dimensional parabolic quadrics.

Theorem 4. (Gunawardena and Moorhouse [8]) The parabolic quadric Q(8,q), q odd, does not have ovoids. This implies also that Q(2n,q), q odd, $n \ge 5$, does not have ovoids.

We now recall known results about blocking sets different from ovoids. Suppose that $\alpha \mathcal{B}$ is a cone with vertex the k-dimensional subspace α and base some set \mathcal{B} of points, lying in some subspace $\pi, \pi \cap \alpha = \emptyset$. Then the *truncated cone* $\alpha^* \mathcal{B}$ is defined as $\alpha \mathcal{B} \setminus \alpha$, hence, as the set of points of the cone $\alpha \mathcal{B}$ where the points of the vertex α are removed from. If α is the empty subspace, then $\alpha^* \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}$.

The case q = 3 of the following theorem was proved in [5]. The theorem for q > 3 odd prime was proved in [4]. We denote the polarity associated to the quadric by \perp .

Theorem 5. The smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(6,q), q an odd prime, different from an ovoid of Q(6,q), are truncated cones $p^*Q^-(3,q)$, $p \in Q(6,q)$, $Q^-(3,q) \subseteq p^{\perp} \cap Q(6,q)$, and have size $q^3 + q$.

When q > 3 is an odd prime, this theorem generalizes to the following theorem.

Theorem 6. ([5]) The smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q), q > 3 prime, $n \ge 4$, are truncated cones $\pi_{n-3}^*Q^-(3,q)$, $\pi_{n-3} \subseteq Q(2n,q)$, $Q^-(3,q) \subseteq \pi_{n-3}^{\perp} \cap Q(2n,q)$, and have size $q^n + q^{n-2}$.

Ovoids of Q(6, q) can be used to construct smaller examples in higher dimension. For q = 3, the following result is known.

Theorem 7. ([5]) The smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 4$, are truncated cones $\pi_{n-4}^* \mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6, q = 3), $\mathcal{O} \subset \pi_{n-4}^{\perp}, \pi_{n-4} \subset Q(2n, q)$, and have size $q^n + q^{n-3}$.

Theorems 6 and 7 express the difference between q > 3 odd prime and q = 3. Furthermore, considering Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 4$, it is clear that a truncated cone $\pi_{n-3}^*Q^-(3,q)$, contained in Q(2n,q), constitutes a minimal blocking set of size $q^n + q^{n-2}$. We show in this article that minimal blocking sets of Q(2n,3) of size k, $q^n + q^{n-3} < k < q^n + q^{n-2}$ do not exist, and we characterize the minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3) of size $q^n + q^{n-2}$, as described in the following theorem. Finally, we show that minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 3$, of size $q^n + q^{n-2} + 1$ do not exist.

Theorem 8. The minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, 3), $n \ge 3$, of size at most $3^n + 3^{n-2}$, are truncated cones $\pi_{n-4}^* \mathcal{O}$, $\pi_{n-4} \subseteq Q(2n, 3)$, $\pi_{n-4}^\perp \cap Q(2n, 3) = \pi_{n-4}Q(6, 3)$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6, 3), and $\pi_{n-3}^*Q^-(3, 3)$, $\pi_{n-3} \subseteq Q(2n, 3)$, $\pi_{n-3}^\perp \cap Q(2n, 3) = \pi_{n-3}Q(4, 3)$, $Q^-(3, 3) \subseteq Q(4, 3)$. Furthermore, a minimal blocking set of size $3^n + 3^{n-2} + 1$ of Q(2n, 3) does not exist.

Finally, we mention that blocking sets of other classical polar spaces such as $Q^{-}(2n+1,q)$ and W(2n+1,q) were studied by K. Metsch, [11, 12].

Before presenting the proof of the preceding theorem, we first mention some geometrical properties of ovoids of Q(6, q), q odd.

2 Geometrical results on ovoids of Q(6, q), q odd

For the next three lemmas, we suppose that Q(6, q) has ovoids. This implies that q is odd, since Q(6, q), q even, does not have ovoids [17], and this hypothesis is satisfied when $q = 3^h$, $h \ge 1$. Denote an ovoid of Q(6, q) by \mathcal{O} .

Lemma 1. The ovoid \mathcal{O} spans the 6-dimensional space PG(6, q).

Proof. Let $\Omega = \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$.

It is impossible that $\Omega \cap Q(6, q)$ is a singular quadric. For, assume that $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \cap Q(6, q) = \pi_s Q$, a cone with vertex π_s , an s-dimensional subspace, $s \ge 0$, and with base Q, a non-singular quadric of dimension at most 4. Then π_s projects \mathcal{O} onto an ovoid of Q. However, no non-singular quadric of dimension at most four has ovoids of size $q^3 + 1$.

If $\Omega \cap Q(6, q) = Q(4, q)$, then \mathcal{O} must necessarily be an ovoid of Q(4, q); impossible since $|\mathcal{O}| > q^2 + 1$. If $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \cap Q(6, q) = Q^+(5, q)$, then \mathcal{O} must be an ovoid of $Q^+(5, q)$; impossible since $|\mathcal{O}| > q^2 + 1$. Finally, $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \cap Q(6, q) = Q^-(5, q)$ is impossible, since $Q^-(5, q)$ does not have ovoids [14].

Lemma 2. No elliptic quadric $Q^{-}(3,q)$ is contained in \mathcal{O} .

Proof. Suppose that some $Q^{-}(3,q) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Consider a point $p \in \mathcal{O} \setminus Q^{-}(3,q)$. The 4-space $\alpha = \langle p, Q^{-}(3,q) \rangle$ intersects Q(6,q) in a parabolic quadric Q(4,q) or in a cone $rQ'^{-}(3,q)$. If α intersects Q(6,q) in a Q(4,q) then it contains at least $q^2 + 2$ points of \mathcal{O} , a contradiction, since any Q(4,q) can intersect \mathcal{O} in at most $q^2 + 1$ points, the number of points of an ovoid of Q(4,q). If α intersects Q(6,q) in a cone $rQ'^{-}(3,q)$, then \mathcal{O} contains at least two points spanning a line of Q(6,q), a contradiction.

The following lemma is an application of Theorem 1.

Lemma 3. The ovoid \mathcal{O} does not contain an ovoid \mathcal{O}' of Q(4,q), with Q(4,q) contained in Q(6,q).

Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., suppose that there is some ovoid \mathcal{O}' of $Q(4,q) \subseteq Q(6,q)$, with $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. By the previous lemma, we may suppose that \mathcal{O}' is not an elliptic quadric and hence, $\langle \mathcal{O}' \rangle$ is a 4-dimensional projective space α , such that $\alpha \cap Q(6,q) = Q(4,q)$. Since \mathcal{O} spans the 6-dimensional space, we can choose a point $p \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \alpha$. Since $\alpha \cap \mathcal{O}$ contains an ovoid of $Q(4,q), p \notin \alpha^{\perp}$, hence $p^{\perp} \cap Q(4,q) = Q^{\pm}(3,q)$, or $p^{\perp} \cap Q(4,q) = rQ(2,q)$ which is a tangent cone to Q(4,q). If $p^{\perp} \cap Q(4,q) = rQ(2,q)$ or $p^{\perp} \cap Q(4,q) = Q^{+}(3,q)$, then p^{\perp} contains a generator of $Q(4,q) = Q^{-}(3,q)$, then Theorem 1 implies that p^{\perp} contains a point of \mathcal{O}' , a contradiction.

We call a hyperplane α of PG(6, q) hyperbolic, elliptic respectively, if $\alpha \cap Q(6,q) = Q^+(5,q)$, $\alpha \cap Q(6,q) = Q^-(5,q)$ respectively.

Corollary 1. Any hyperbolic hyperplane α has the property that $\langle \alpha \cap \mathcal{O} \rangle = \alpha$.

Proof. Suppose that α is a hyperbolic hyperplane. Then necessarily α intersects \mathcal{O} in an ovoid \mathcal{O}' of a $Q^+(5,q)$. Since any ovoid of Q(4,q) is not contained in \mathcal{O} , the ovoid \mathcal{O}' spans the 5-dimensional space α .

It is known that Q(6,3) has, up to collineations, a unique ovoid [10]. In [20], one finds an explicit list, related to a chosen Q(6,3), of the coordinates in PG(6,3) of the points of this ovoid. With the aid of the software package pg [3], we can compute all hyperplanes of PG(6,3), select the elliptic hyperplanes from that list and check whether such an elliptic hyperplane is spanned by the points of the ovoid it contains. The software package pg is a package written in the language of the computer algebra system GAP [7]. Checking the mentioned property can be done with a few commands of the package pg. We found the following result.

Lemma 4. Any elliptic hyperplane α of PG(6,3) has the property that $\langle \alpha \cap \mathcal{O} \rangle = \alpha$.

We end this section with the following result. It was proved in [2], using Theorem 1.

Theorem 9. (Ball, Govaerts and Storme [2]) Suppose that Q(6,q), $q = p^h$, $h \ge 1$, p an odd prime, has an ovoid \mathcal{O} . Then any elliptic hyperplane intersects \mathcal{O} in 1 mod p points.

3 Small minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, 3), $n \ge 3$

We consider the parabolic quadric Q(2n, 3), $n \ge 3$. Some lemmas are restricted to $n \ge 4$. In that case, we assume that the following hypothesis is true for Q(2k, 3), $k = 3, \ldots, n - 1$.

The minimal blocking sets of size at most $q^k + q^{k-2} + 1$ in Q(2k, q = 3) are truncated cones $\pi_{k-4}^* \mathcal{O}$, $\pi_{k-4}^\perp \cap Q(2k, q = 3) = \pi_{k-4}Q(6, q = 3)$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6, q = 3); and truncated cones $\pi_{k-3}^*Q^-(3, q = 3)$, $\pi_{k-3}^\perp \cap Q(2k, q = 3) = \pi_{k-3}Q^-(3, q = 3)$, π_i an *i*-dimensional subspace contained in Q(2k, q = 3). These examples have respectively size $q^k + q^{k-3}$ and $q^k + q^{k-2}$.

To prove this hypothesis for n = 4, we will consider Q(6, 3).

Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a minimal blocking set of size at most $q^n + q^{n-2} + 1$ of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 3$. Since the smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 4$, of size $q^n + q^{n-3}$, are already classified [5], we also assume that $|\mathcal{K}| \ge q^n + q^{n-3} + 1$ when $n \ge 4$.

The next two lemmas can be proved by techniques of [6].

Lemma 5. For every point $r \in \mathcal{K}$, $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^{n-2} + 1$.

Lemma 6. Consider a point $r \in Q(2n,q) \setminus \mathcal{K}$, then the points of $r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}$ are projected from r onto a minimal blocking set \mathcal{K}_r of Q(2n-2,q), with Q(2n-2,q) the base of the cone $r^{\perp} \cap Q(2n,q)$.

We call a line of Q(2n, q) meeting \mathcal{K} in *i* points an *i*-secant to \mathcal{K} . The next lemma and its corollary are restricted to n = 3 but will be generalized to $n \ge 4$. We use the fact that a minimal blocking set of Q(4, 3), different from an ovoid, contains at least $12 = q^2 + q$ points, with q = 3. This is proved in e.g. [5].

Lemma 7. There are no lines of Q(6,3) meeting \mathcal{K} in exactly 2 points.

Proof. Suppose that L is a 2-secant to \mathcal{K} . Consider a generator π of Q(6,3) on L such that $\pi \cap \mathcal{K} = L \cap \mathcal{K}$; Lemma 5 implies that such a generator exists. Count the number of pairs (u, v), $u \in \pi \setminus L$, $v \in \mathcal{K} \setminus L$, $u \in v^{\perp}$. Since the projection of the set of points $u^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}$ from u is a minimal blocking set of Q(4,3), and since it cannot be an ovoid of Q(4,3), it must contain at least $q^2 + q$ points of Q(4,3). We obtain $q^2(q^2 + 1)$ as lower bound for this number. Using the size of \mathcal{K} , we find $(q^3 + q - 1)q = q^4 + q^2 - q$ as upper bound, hence, $q^2(q^2 + 1) \leq q^4 + q^2 - q$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2. Every generator π of Q(6, q = 3) intersects \mathcal{K} in 1 point, or in 3 or 4 collinear points.

Proof. Since there are no 2-secants to \mathcal{K} , 2 points of \mathcal{K} in π give rise to 3 or 4 collinear points of \mathcal{K} in π . If there would be 3 points of \mathcal{K} spanning π , then π would contain at least 7 points of \mathcal{K} , a contradiction with Lemma 5.

To generalize these two propositions, we rely now on the induction hypothesis.

Lemma 8. No generator π_{n-1} of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 4$, intersects \mathcal{K} in exactly 2 points.

Proof. Suppose that for some generator π_{n-1} of Q(2n,q), $|\pi_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{K}| = 2$, where the two points of $\pi_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{K}$ lie on the line L. Count the number of pairs (u, v), $u \in \pi_{n-1} \setminus L$, $u \in v^{\perp}$, $v \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \pi_{n-1}$. Since no minimal blocking set of size at most $q^{n-1} + q^{n-3} + 1$ of Q(2n-2,q) has a 2-secant, we find $|u^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \ge q^{n-1} + q^{n-3} + 2$. Hence, the lower bound on the number of pairs is $(q^{n-1} + \ldots + q^2)(q^{n-1} + q^{n-3})$. As upper bound, we find $(q^n + q^{n-2} - 1)(q^{n-2} + \ldots + q)$, which is smaller than the lower bound, a contradiction.

Corollary 3. No line L of Q(2n, 3), $n \ge 4$, intersects K in exactly 2 points.

Proof. Suppose that L is a 2-secant to \mathcal{K} . By the minimality of \mathcal{K} and Lemma 5, there exists a generator π_{n-1} on L such that $L \cap \mathcal{K} = \pi_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{K}$, a contradiction.

In three steps, we now prove Theorem 8 for n = 3.

Lemma 9. Suppose that L is a line of Q(6,3) meeting \mathcal{K} in 3 or 4 points. Suppose that π is a generator of Q(6,3) on L, then $L \cap \mathcal{K} = \pi \cap \mathcal{K}$, and $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^2 + q + 1$ for every $r \in \pi \setminus L$.

Proof. Let r_0 be one of the points of $\mathcal{K} \cap \pi$. Suppose that $r \in \pi \setminus L$. Then there exists a generator π' of Q(6,3) through r meeting \mathcal{K} only in r_0 . The $q^2 - q$ lines of π' not through r_0 or r lie in q generators of Q(6,3) different from π' . Hence, at least $q^3 - q^2$ points of \mathcal{K} lie outside r^{\perp} , and so, $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^2 + q + 1$.

Lemma 10. Suppose that L is a 3-secant to \mathcal{K} , then the point $r \in L \setminus \mathcal{K}$ only lies on 3-secants to \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{K} = r^*\mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(4,3), with Q(4,3) the base of the cone $r^{\perp} \cap Q(6,3)$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{K} \cap L = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ and $r \in L \setminus \mathcal{K}$. Since $|(r_1^{\perp} \cup r_2^{\perp} \cup r_3^{\perp}) \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq 3+1+1+1$, necessarily $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \geq q^3 + q + 1 - 6 = q^3 - 2 > q^2 + q + 1$, so, using the proof of Lemma 9, r does not lie in a generator with 1 point of \mathcal{K} , so r only lies in generators containing at least 3 points of \mathcal{K} . Moreover, these 3 or 4 points are collinear with r by Corollary 2 and Lemma 9. If r projects the points of $r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}$ onto an ovoid of Q(4,3), then $|\mathcal{K}| = q(q^2+1)$; else $|\mathcal{K}| \geq q(q^2+2)$. Since $|\mathcal{K}| \leq q^3 + q + 1$, necessarily $\mathcal{K} = r^*\mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(4,3), with Q(4,3) the base of the cone $r^{\perp} \cap Q(6,3)$.

Theorem 10. A minimal blocking set \mathcal{K} of size $|\mathcal{K}| \leq q^3 + q + 1$, q = 3, of Q(6,3) is an ovoid \mathcal{O} or a truncated cone $r^*\mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} an elliptic quadric $Q^-(3,3) \subseteq Q(4,3)$, with Q(4,3) the base of the cone $r^{\perp} \cap Q(6,3)$. In particular, there does not exist a minimal blocking set of size $q^3 + q + 1$ on Q(6,3).

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{K} is not an ovoid of Q(6,3), then a line of Q(6,3) is either a 1-, 3-, or 4-secant to \mathcal{K} . By Lemma 10, we can assume that there is no 3-secant to \mathcal{K} . So a line of Q(6,3) containing at least 2 points of \mathcal{K} contains 4 points of \mathcal{K} . Suppose that L is a 4-secant to \mathcal{K} . By Lemma 5, we find that $|\mathcal{K}| \leq 4$, since a point of Q(6,3) \ L is perpendicular to at least one point of L. But $|\mathcal{K}| > q^3 + 1$, a contradiction.

Finally, we prove Theorem 8 in four steps.

Lemma 11. Suppose that π_{n-1} is a generator of Q(2n, q) such that $|\pi_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{K}| = 1$. For every $r \in \pi_{n-1} \setminus \mathcal{K}$, we have that $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + 1$.

Proof. Denote the unique point in $\pi_{n-1} \cap \mathcal{K}$ by s. The $q^{n-1} - q^{n-2}$ hyperplanes of π_{n-1} , not through r or s, all lie in q generators, different from π_{n-1} , all containing at least one point of \mathcal{K} . So at least $(q^{n-1} - q^{n-2})q$ points lie in $\mathcal{K} \setminus r^{\perp}$; so $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + 1$.

Lemma 12. Suppose that $r \notin \mathcal{K}$, and suppose that L is a line of Q(2n,3) through r such that $|L \cap \mathcal{K}| = 1$. Then $|r^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \leq q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + 1$.

Proof. Consider a generator through the line $\langle r, s \rangle$, $s \in L \cap \mathcal{K}$, only containing the point $s \in \mathcal{K}$. Such a generator exists; or else $|s^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{K}| \ge q^{n-2} + 2$. The preceding lemma proves the assertion.

Lemma 13. There does not exist a line of Q(2n,3) intersecting \mathcal{K} in 4 points.

Proof. Suppose that L is a line of Q(2n, 3) meeting \mathcal{K} in 4 points. By Lemma 5, we find that $|\mathcal{K}| \leq 4(q^{n-2}+1) < q^n+1$, a contradiction.

Theorem 11. The minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3), $n \ge 3$, of size at most $q^n + q^{n-2} + 1$, are truncated cones $\pi_{n-4}^* \mathcal{O}$, $\pi_{n-4}^\perp \cap Q(2n, q = 3) = \pi_{n-4}Q(6, q = 3)$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6,3), and $\pi_{n-3}^*Q^-(3, q = 3)$, $\pi_{n-3}^\perp \cap Q(2n, q = 3) = \pi_{n-3}Q(4, q = 3)$, $Q^-(3, q = 3) \subseteq Q(4, q = 3)$. Furthermore, a minimal blocking set of size $q^n + q^{n-2} + 1$ of Q(2n, q = 3) does not exist.

Proof. Suppose that L is a line of Q(2n, 3), which also is a 3-secant to K. Put L∩K = {r₁, r₂, r₃} and $r \in L \setminus K$. Then $|(r_1^{\perp} \cup r_2^{\perp} \cup r_3^{\perp}) \cap K| \leq q^{n-2} + 1 + 2(q^{n-2} - 2) \leq q^{n-1} - 3$. So $|r^{\perp} \cap K| \geq q^n + q^{n-3} + 1 - (q^{n-1} - 3) = 2q^{n-1} + q^{n-3} + 4 > q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + 1$. So every generator through r meets K in at least 3 points, hence $|r^{\perp} \cap K| \geq 3(q^{n-1} + 1)$. The projection of $r^{\perp} \cap K$ from r contains at least $q^{n-1} + q^{n-4}$ points; so since r lies on 3-secants to the projected points, necessarily $|r^{\perp} \cap K| \geq 3(q^{n-1} + q^{n-4})$, by the induction hypothesis. The induction hypothesis implies also that $r^{\perp} \cap K$ is projected onto a truncated cone $\pi_{n-5}^* \mathcal{O}$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6, q), or a truncated cone $\pi_{n-4}^* Q^-(3,q)$, since the projection of $K \cap r^{\perp}$ must be a minimal blocking set of the base Q(2n - 2, 3) of the cone $r^{\perp} \cap Q(2n, 3)$. It follows that $|r^{\perp} \cap K| =$ $q^n + q^{n-3}$ or, respectively, $q^n + q^{n-2}$. Hence, $r^{\perp} \cap K$ contains a truncated cone $\pi_{n-4}^* \mathcal{O}$, $\pi_{n-4}^{\perp} \cap Q(2n, q = 3) = \pi_{n-4} Q(6, q)$, \mathcal{O} an ovoid of Q(6, q), or, respectively a truncated cone $\pi_{n-3}^* Q^-(3, q)$. Since these structures are minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q = 3), we conclude that K is necessarily equal to one of these structures. ■

References

- [1] S. Ball. On ovoids of O(5, q). Adv. Geom., 4(1):1-7, 2004.
- [2] S. Ball, P. Govaerts, and L. Storme. On Ovoids of Parabolic Quadrics. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, to appear.
- [3] J. De Beule, P. Govaerts, and L. Storme. *Projective Geometries*, a share package for GAP. (http://cage.ugent.be/~jdebeule/pg), submitted to GAP.
- [4] J. De Beule and K. Metsch. Small point sets that meet all generators of Q(2n, p), p > 3, p prime. J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, 106(2):327–333, 2004.
- [5] J. De Beule and L. Storme. On the smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(2n, q), for q an odd prime. *Discrete Math.*, 294(1-2):83–107, 2005.
- [6] J. De Beule and L. Storme. The smallest minimal blocking sets of Q(6,q), q even. J. Combin. Des., 11(4):290–303, 2003.
- The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.3; 2002. (http://www.gap-system.org)
- [8] A. Gunawardena and G. E. Moorhouse. The non-existence of ovoids in $O_9(q)$. European J. Combin., 18(2):171–173, 1997.

- [9] W. M. Kantor. Ovoids and translation planes. Canad. J. Math., 34(5):1195– 1207, 1982.
- [10] W. M. Kantor. Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. I. SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods, 3(2):151–165, 1982.
- [11] K. Metsch. The sets closest to ovoids in $Q^{-}(2n + 1, q)$. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 5(2-3):389–392, 1998. Finite geometry and combinatorics (Deinze, 1997).
- [12] K. Metsch. Small point sets that meet all generators of W(2n + 1, q). Des. Codes Cryptogr., 31(3):283–288, 2004.
- [13] C. M. O'Keefe and J. A. Thas. Ovoids of the quadric Q(2n,q). European J. Combin., 16(1):87–92, 1995.
- [14] S. E. Payne and J. A. Thas. Finite Generalized Quadrangles, volume 110 of Research Notes in Mathematics. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1984.
- [15] T. Penttila and B. Williams. Ovoids of parabolic spaces. Geom. Dedicata, 82(1-3):1–19, 2000.
- [16] J. A. Thas. Polar spaces, generalized hexagons and perfect codes. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 29(1):87–93, 1980.
- [17] J. A. Thas. Ovoids and spreads of finite classical polar spaces. Geom. Dedicata, 10(1-4):135-143, 1981.
- [18] J. A. Thas and S. E. Payne. Spreads and ovoids in finite generalized quadrangles. *Geom. Dedicata*, 52(3):227–253, 1994.
- [19] J. Tits. Ovoides et groupes de Suzuki. Arch. Math., 13:187-198, 1962.
- [20] H. Van Maldeghem. Generalized polygons, volume 93 of Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.

Address of the authors: Department of Pure Mathematics and Computer Algebra, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, S 22, 9000 Gent, Belgium J. De Beule: http://cage.ugent.be/~jdebeule or http://www.jandebeule.be, jdebeule@cage.ugent.be L. Storme: http://cage.ugent.be/~ls, ls@cage.ugent.be