

**ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE FOR
THE CHEBYSHEV SERIES**

Kamel Al-Khaled

Abstract. Let $f(x)$ be a function of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$ and $S_n(f; x)$ the n th partial sum of the expansion of $f(x)$ in a Chebyshev series of the second kind. In this note we give the estimate for the rate of convergence of the sequence $S_n(f; x)$ to $f(x)$ in terms of the modulus of continuity of the total variation of $f(x)$.

1. Introduction. Let $U_n(x)$ be the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind [4]. Let $f(x)$ be a function of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$ and $S_n(f; x)$ the n th partial sum of the expansion of $f(x)$ in a Chebyshev series of the second kind:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n U_n(x)$$

with

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 (1-y^2)^{1/2} f(y) \frac{\sin(n+1) \arccos y}{\sin \arccos y} dy, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots). \quad (1.1)$$

According to the equiconvergence theorem for Jacobi series [4], we know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{2} (f(x+0) + f(x-0)), \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

In this note we shall find an estimate for the rate of convergence of the sequence $S_n(f; x)$ to $f(x)$. Results of this type for Fourier series of 2π -periodic functions of bounded variation were proved by Bojanic [2].

2. Preliminary Results. Before proving the main theorem we shall state a preliminary result. Al-Khaled [1] has studied the behavior of Chebyshev series for functions of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$ and he proved the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.1. If $f(x)$ is a function of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$. Let

$$A_x(y) = \begin{cases} f(y) - f(x-0), & -1 \leq y < x \\ 0, & y = x \\ f(y) - f(x+0), & x < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then for every $x \in (-1, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(f; x) - \frac{1}{2}(f(x+0) + f(x-0))| &\leq \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left[\frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x+(1-x)/k}^x(A_x) \right] + \frac{4}{n\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} |f(x+0) - f(x-0)| \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $V_a^b A_x$ is the total variation of A_x on $[a, b]$. Since $A_x(y)$ is continuous at $y = x$, the right-hand side of (2.1) converges to zero. For Theorem 2.1, we can make a rough estimate.

Corollary 2.2. Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(f; x) - \frac{1}{2}(f(x+0) + f(x-0))| &\leq \frac{18}{n(1-x^2)^{3/2}} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-(1+x)/k}^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) \\ &+ \frac{4}{n\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} |f(x+0) - f(x-0)|, \quad x \in (-1, 1), \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. For the quantities in equation (2.1), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x) &= \frac{1-x}{(1-x^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1-x^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) &= \frac{1+x}{(1-x^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1-x^2} \sum_{k=1}^n V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two inequalities we get the required result.

Example 2.1. For a fixed $x \in (-1, 1)$, consider a function g of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$, i.e.,

$$g(y) = |y - x|, \quad y \in (-1, 1).$$

Now we have $g(x+0) = g(x-0) = g(x) = 0$ and $A_x(y) = g(y)$, furthermore,

$$V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x) = \frac{1+x}{k}, \quad V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) = \frac{1-x}{k},$$

so, equation (2.1) becomes

$$|S_n(g; x)| \leq \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1+x}{k} + \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1-x}{k} \right) = \frac{18}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}.$$

But,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} = \ln n + \gamma + O(1).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 we get an estimate

$$S_n(g; x) - g(x) = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

Hereafter, the bounds of the terms “ O ” are independent of n and x . If we apply the above corollary from $V_{x-(1+x)/k}^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) = 2/k$, we shall obtain another estimate

$$S_n(g; x) - g(x) = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{n(1-x^2)\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Comparing (2.3) with (2.4), we see that when $|x| \rightarrow 1$, the estimate (2.3) is more exact than (2.4).

3. The Main Result. Now we state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1. If $f(x)$ is a continuous function of bounded variation on $[-1, 1]$ and $\omega_{v(f)}(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of the total variation $V_{-1}^t(f)$, then for $x \in (-1, 1)$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+x} \omega_{v(f)}(1+x) + \frac{1}{1-x} \omega_{v(f)}(1-x) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{1/n}^1 \left\{ \frac{\omega_{v(f)}((1-x)u)}{1-x} + \frac{\omega_{v(f)}((1+x)u)}{1+x} \right\} \frac{du}{u^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

especially, when $V_{-1}^t(f)$ belongs to the class $\text{Lip } \alpha$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$),

$$S_n(f; x) - f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha(1-x^2)^{3/2-\alpha}}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Further, for the Cesaro mean (c, λ) , $\lambda \in (0, 1)$:

$$\sigma_n^\lambda(f; x) = \frac{1}{(\lambda)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda - 1)_{n-k} S_k(f; x) \tag{3.3}$$

where in general

$$(\beta)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}.$$

We have also

$$\sigma_n^\lambda(f; x) - f(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma(1-x^2)^{3/2-\alpha}}\right), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\gamma = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \lambda\}$.

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is a continuous function, we have $A_x(y) = f(y) - f(x)$, so

$$V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(A_x) = V_x^{x+(1-x)/k}(f) - V_x^x(f) \leq \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1-x}{k}\right), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n$$

and

$$V_{x-(1+x)/k}^x(A_x) \leq \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1+x}{k}\right), \quad 2 \leq k \leq n$$

and

$$V_{-1}^x(A_x) \leq \omega_{v(f)}(1+x), \quad V_x^1(A_x) \leq \omega_{v(f)}(1-x).$$

Thus, applying Theorem 2.1, then for $x \in (-1, 1)$, $n \geq 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+x} \omega_{v(f)}(1+x) + \frac{1}{1-x} \omega_{v(f)}(1-x) \right\} \\ &+ \frac{9}{n\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{k=2}^n \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1-x}{k}\right) + \frac{1}{1+x} \sum_{k=2}^n \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1+x}{k}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and noting that

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1-x}{k}\right) \leq \int_{1/n}^1 \omega_{v(f)}((1-x)u)u^{-2}du$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^n \omega_{v(f)}\left(\frac{1+x}{k}\right) \leq \int_{1/n}^1 \omega_{v(f)}((1+x)u)u^{-2}du$$

we have formula (3.1).

When $V_{-1}^t(f) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), we have

$$\omega_{v(f)}((1-x)u) = O((1-x)^\alpha u^\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{v(f)}((1+x)u) = O((1+x)^\alpha u^\alpha).$$

From (3.1), we get (3.2). Now by (3.3) and $(\beta)_n = O(n^\beta)$, we know for $x \in (-1, 1)$, $n \geq 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^\lambda(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{(\lambda)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda-1)_{n-k} (S_k(f; x) - f(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\lambda)_n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (\lambda-1)_{n-k} (S_k(f; x) - f(x)) \\ &\quad + O(1/n) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+\lambda}(1-x^2)^{3/2-\alpha}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

According to formula (3.2), we get

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda)_n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (\lambda-1)_{n-k} (S_k(f; x) - f(x)) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\lambda(1-x^2)^{3/2-\alpha}}\right) \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^\alpha(n-k)^{1-\lambda}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let $\gamma = \min\{\alpha, 1-\lambda\}$. By the inequality

$$(a+b)^\gamma \leq 2^\gamma(a^\gamma + b^\gamma), \quad a > 0, \quad b > 0,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^\alpha (n-k)^{1-\lambda}} &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^\gamma (n-k)^\gamma} \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n-k} \right)^\gamma \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}\right) \left\{ \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^\gamma} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)^\gamma} \right\} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^{2\gamma-1}}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From this and (3.5), (3.6), we obtain the formula (3.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

A result of the type of equality in Theorem 3.1 for 2π -periodic continuous function of bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$ was proved by Natanson [3].

References

1. K. Al-Khaled, "An Estimate for the Rate of Approximation of Functions by Chebyshev Polynomials," *Revista Colombiana de Matematicas*, (to appear).
2. R. Bojanic, "An Estimate of the Rate of Convergence for Fourier Series of Functions of Bounded Variation," *Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgrade)* 26 (1979), 57–60.
3. G. I. Natanson, "On Fourier Series of Continuous Functions of Bounded Variation," (in Russian), *Vest, Leningrad, Univ.* 7 (1972), 154–155.
4. G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publ., 1939.

Kamel Al-Khaled
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Jordan University of Science and Technology
 P.O. Box 3030
 Irbid 22110, Jordan
 email: applied@just.edu.jo